



DPC: 24/30458776 DC

BSI Group Headquarters

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL

Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 9000

Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7400

www.bsigroup.com

Date: 10 October 2024

Origin: International

Latest date for receipt of comments: 03 December 2024

Project No. 2022/01592

Responsible committee: IST/40 Data management and interchange

Interested committees:

Title: Draft BS EN ISO/IEC 15944-8 Information technology - Business operational view. Part 8: Identification of privacy protection requirements as external constraints on business transactions.

Please notify admin.start@bsigroup.com if you are aware of any keywords that might assist in clarifying or identifying the standard or of the content of this standard:

- i) has any issues related to 3rd party IPR, patent or copyright
- ii) affects other national standard(s)
- iii) requires additional national guidance or information

WARNING: THIS IS A DRAFT AND MUST NOT BE REGARDED OR USED AS A BRITISH STANDARD. THIS DRAFT IS NOT CURRENT BEYOND 03 December 2024

This draft is issued to allow comments from interested parties; all comments will be given consideration prior to publication. No acknowledgement will normally be sent. **See overleaf for information on the submission of comments.**

No copying is allowed, in any form, without prior written permission from BSI except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patent Act 1988 or for circulation within a nominating organization for briefing purposes. Electronic circulation is limited to dissemination by e-mail within such an organization by committee members.

Further copies of this draft may be purchased from BSI Shop <http://shop.bsigroup.com> or from BSI Customer Services, Tel: +44(0) 20 8996 9001 or email cservices@bsigroup.com. British, International and foreign standards are also available from BSI Customer Services.

Information on the co-operating organizations represented on the committees referenced above may be obtained from <https://standardsdevelopment.bsigroup.com/>.

Introduction

This draft standard is based on national and international discussions. Your comments on this draft are invited and will assist in the preparation of the consequent standard.

For international standards, comments will be reviewed by the relevant UK national committee before sending the consensus UK vote and comments to the international committee, which will then decide appropriate action. If the international standard is approved, it is usual for the text to be published as a British Standard.

For national standards, comments will be reviewed by the relevant UK national committee and the resulting standards published as a British Standard.

UK Vote

Please indicate whether you consider the UK should submit a negative (with supporting technical reasons) or positive vote on this draft. Please indicate if you are aware of any reason why this draft standard should not be published as a British Standard.

Submission of Comments

- **Annotated drafts are not acceptable and will be rejected.**
- All comments should be submitted online at <https://standardsdevelopment.bsigroup.com/>. You will need to register in order to comment.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: xx/xx/20xx	Document: ISO/DIS xxxx
------------------	------------------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	7
MB	Clause No./ Subclause No./Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/Note	Type of comment	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
	3.1	Definition 1	ed	Definition is ambiguous and needs clarifying.	Amend to read '...so that the mains connector to which no connection...'	
	6.4	Paragraph 2	te	The use of the UV photometer as an alternative cannot be supported as serious problems have been encountered in its use in the UK.	Delete reference to UV photometer.	



DRAFT International Standard

ISO/IEC DIS 15944-8

Information technology — Business operational view —

Part 8: Identification of privacy protection requirements as external constraints on business transactions

Technologies de l'information — Vue opérationnelle d'affaires —

*Partie 8: Identification des exigences de protection de la vie
privée en tant que contraintes externes sur les transactions
d'affaires*

ICS: 35.240.63

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32

Secretariat: **ANSI**

Voting begins on:
2024-10-10

Voting terminates on:
2025-01-02

This document is circulated as received from the committee secretariat.

THIS DOCUMENT IS A DRAFT CIRCULATED FOR COMMENTS AND APPROVAL. IT IS THEREFORE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AND MAY NOT BE REFERRED TO AS AN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD UNTIL PUBLISHED AS SUCH.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

Reference number
ISO/IEC DIS 15944-8:2024(en)

Full document details: 24/30458776 DC

© ISO/IEC 2024  **Documents**
Document Sharing Hub



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 Abbreviated terms	32
5 Fundamental principles and assumptions governing privacy protection requirements in business transactions involving individuals (external constraints perspective)	34
5.1 General	34
5.2 Exceptions to the application of the privacy protection principles	36
5.3 Fundamental Privacy Protection Principles	36
5.3.1 General	36
5.3.2 Privacy Protection Principle 1: Preventing Harm	36
5.3.3 Privacy Protection Principle 2: Accountability	37
5.3.4 Privacy Protection Principle 3: Identifying Purposes	39
5.3.5 Privacy Protection Principle 4: Informed Consent	40
5.3.6 Privacy Protection Principle 5: Limiting Collection	41
5.3.7 Privacy Protection Principle 6: Limiting Use, Disclosure and Retention	42
5.3.8 Privacy Protection Principle 7: Accuracy	46
5.3.9 Privacy Protection Principle 8: Safeguards	46
5.3.10 Privacy Protection Principle 9: Openness	47
5.3.11 Principle Protection Principle 10: Individual Access	48
5.3.12 Privacy Protection Principle 11: Challenging Compliance	50
5.4 Requirement for tagging (or labelling) data elements in support of privacy protection requirements	51
6 Collaboration space and privacy protection	52
6.1 General	52
6.2 Basic Open-edi collaboration space: Buyer and seller	52
6.3 Collaboration space: The role of buyer (as individual), seller and regulator	54
7 Public policy requirements of jurisdictional domains	55
7.1 General	55
7.2 Jurisdictional domains and public policy requirements	55
7.2.1 Privacy protection	57
7.2.2 Person and external constraints: Consumer protection	58
7.2.3 Individual accessibility	58
7.2.4 Human rights	59
7.2.5 Privacy as a right of an “individual” and not the right of an organization or public administration	60
8 Principles and rules governing the establishment, management and use of identities of an individual	61
8.1 General	61
8.2 Rules governing the establishment of personae, identifiers and signatures of an individual	62
8.3 Rules governing the assignment of unique identifiers to an individual by Registration Authorities (RAs)	67
8.4 Rules governing individual identity, authentication, recognition, and use	68
8.5 Legally recognized individual identifies (LRIIs)	72
9 Person component — Individual sub-type	73
9.1 General	73
9.2 Role qualification of a Person as an individual	73
9.3 Persona and legally recognized names (LRNs) of an individual	74

9.4	Truncation of legally recognized names of individuals	74
9.5	Rules governing anonymization of individuals in a business transaction.....	75
9.6	Rules governing pseudonymization of personal information in a business transaction.....	76
10	Process component	77
10.1	General.....	77
10.2	Planning.....	77
10.3	Identification.....	77
10.4	Negotiation.....	77
10.5	Actualization.....	78
10.6	Post-Actualization	78
11	Data component	78
11.1	General.....	78
11.2	Rules governing the role of Business Transaction Identifier (BTI) in support of privacy protection requirements.....	79
11.3	Rules governing state of change management of business transactions in support of privacy protection requirements	79
11.4	Rules governing records retention of personal information in a business transaction.....	80
11.5	Rules governing time/date referencing of personal information in a business transaction.....	81
12	Template for identifying privacy protection requirements on business transactions.....	81
12.1	Introduction and basic principles.....	81
12.2	Template structure and contents.....	81
12.3	Template for specifying the scope of an Open-edi scenario.....	83
12.4	Consolidated template of attributes of Open-edi scenarios, roles and information bundles	86
13	Conformance statement.....	89
13.1	General.....	89
13.2	Conformance to the ISO/IEC 14662 Open-edi Reference Model and the multipart ISO/IEC 15944 eBusiness standard.....	89
13.3	Conformance to ISO/IEC 15944-8	89
Annex A	(normative) Consolidated controlled vocabulary definitions and associated terms, as human interface equivalents (HIEs), with cultural adaptability: English and French language equivalency in an IT standardization context.....	90
Annex B	(normative) Consolidated set of rules in existing Parts of ISO/IEC 15944 of particular relevance to privacy protection requirements as external constraints on business transactions.....	93
Annex C	(normative) Business Transaction Model (BTM): Classes of constraints.....	104
Annex D	(normative) Integrated set of information life cycle management (ILCM) principles in support of information law compliance.....	108
Annex E	(normative) Key existing concepts and definitions applicable to the establishment, management, and use of identities of a single individual.....	111
Annex F	(normative) Coded domains for specifying state change and record retention management in support of privacy protection requirements	113
Annex G	(informative) Abstracts of ISO/IEC 15944-8.....	121
Annex H	(Informative) Exclusions to the scope of ISO/IEC 15944-8.....	123
Annex I	(Informative) Aspects not currently addressed in this document.....	124
Annex J	(Informative) List of parts of the ISO/IEC 15944 series	126
Bibliography		127

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO *had not* received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 32, *Data management and interchange*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/IEC 15944-8:2012), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- [Clause 1](#) (Scope) has been amended to move the detailed description of "Exclusions" and "Aspects currently not addressed" to two separate informative annexes;
- definitions in [Clause 3](#) have been updated to be aligned with other referenced source definitions;
- clauses and annexes have been aligned to changes in ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2;
- minor edits of [clause 5](#), [clause 9](#), [clause 12](#), annexes and bibliography.

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 15944 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

0.1 Purpose and overview

0.1.1 General

Modelling business transactions using scenarios and scenario components is done by specifying the applicable constraints on the data content using explicitly stated rules. The *Open-edī Reference Model* identified two basic classes of constraints, "internal constraints" and "external constraints". External constraints apply to most business transactions. {See Clause 0.4 and [Annex C](#)}

Jurisdictional domains are the primary source of external constraints on business transactions. Privacy protection requirements in turn are a common requirement of most jurisdictional domains, although they may also result from explicit scenario demands from, or on, the parties involved in a business transaction.

This document is a BOV-related standard which addresses basic (or primitive) requirements of a privacy protection environment, as legal requirements represented through jurisdictional domains, on business transactions, and also integrates the requirements of the information technology and telecommunications environments.

This document contains a methodology and tool for specifying common classes of external constraints through the construct of "jurisdictional domains". It meets the requirements set in ISO/IEC 15944-1 and ISO/IEC 15944-2 through the use of explicitly stated rules, templates, and Formal Description Techniques (FDTs).

In addition to the existing strategic directions of "portability" and "interoperability", the added strategic direction of ISO/IEC JTC 1 of "cultural adaptability" is also supported in this document. The external constraints of jurisdictional domains as a primary factor in choice and use of language and application of public policy are also addressed.

0.1.2 ISO/IEC 14662

The ISO/IEC 14662¹⁾ states the conceptual architecture and framework necessary for carrying out electronic business transactions among autonomous parties. That architecture identifies and describes the need to have two separate and related views of the business transaction.

The first is the Business Operational View (BOV). The second is the Functional Service View (FSV). [Figure 1](#) from ISO/IEC 14662 illustrates the Open-edī environment. {For definitions of the terms used in [Figure 1](#), please see [Clause 3](#) below}

1) The ISO/IEC 14462 *Open-edī Reference Model* serves as the basis of the 2000 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) among ISO, IEC, ITU and the UN/ECE concerning standardization in the field of electronic business. {See <https://www.itu.int/ITU-T/e-business/files/mou.pdf>}




Figure 1 — Open-edi environment — Open-edi Reference Model

ISO/IEC 14662, Clause 5 states:

"The intention is that the sending, by an Open-edi Party, of information from a scenario, conforming to Open-edi standards, shall allow the acceptance and processing of that information in the context of that scenario by one or more Open-edi Parties by reference to the scenario and without the need for agreement.

However, the legal requirements and/or liabilities resulting from the engagement of an organization in any Open-edi transaction may be conditioned by the competent legal environment(s) of the formation of a legal interchange agreement between the participating organizations. Open-edi Parties need to observe rule-based behaviour and possess the ability to make commitments in Open-edi, (e.g., business, operational, technical, legal, and/or audit perspectives)".

In addition, Annex A of the ISO/IEC 14662 contains Figure A.1 which is about the Relationships of Open-edi standardization areas with other standards and importance of the legal environment. This document is a BOV standard which focuses on the legal environment for the application of privacy protection from an Open-edi perspective, and, as required follow-up standards development in support of the "Open-edi Reference Model".

ISO/IEC 15944-5 is used to identify the means by which laws and regulations impacting scenarios and scenario components, as external constraints, may be modelled and represented. The primary source of these external constraints is jurisdictional domains.

ISO/IEC 15944-1 creates rules for creating the specification of external constraints when modelling business transactions through scenarios, scenario attributes and scenario components. Several parts of ISO/IEC 15944 are used as input to this document. The rules are consolidated in this document in [Annex B](#).

Clause 7.1 in ISO/IEC 15944-1 states:

"The approach taken is that of identifying the most primitive common components of a business transaction and then moving from the general to the more detailed, the simplest aspects to the more complex, from no external constraints on a business transaction to those which incorporate external constraints, from no special requirements on functional services to specific requirements, and so on".

This document focuses on addressing commonly definable aspects of external constraints that relate to privacy protection when the source is a jurisdictional domain. A useful characteristic of external constraints is that, at the sectoral level, national and international levels, etc., focal points and recognized authorities often already exist. The rules and common business practices in many sectoral areas are already known. Use of this document (and related standards) addresses the transformation of these external constraints (business rules) into specified, registered, and re-useable scenarios and scenario components.

0.1.3 ISO/IEC 15944-1“Business Operational View (BOV)”

ISO/IEC 15944-1 states the requirements of the BOV aspects of Open-edi in support of electronic business transactions. They need to be taken into account in the development of business semantic descriptive techniques for modelling e-business transactions and components thereof as re-useable business objects. They include:

- commercial frameworks and associated requirements;
- legal frameworks and associated requirements;
- public policy requirements particularly those of a generic nature such as consumer protection, privacy, accommodation of handicapped/disabled;
- requirements arising from the need to support cultural adaptability. This includes meeting localization and multilingual requirements, (e.g., as may be required by a particular jurisdictional domain or desired to provide a good, service and/or right in a particular market. Here one needs the ability to distinguish, the specification of scenarios, scenario components, and their semantics, in the context of making commitments, between:
 - a) the use of unique, unambiguous and linguistically neutral identifiers (often as composite identifiers) at the information technology (IT) interface level among the IT systems of participation parties on the one hand; on the other;
 - b) their multiple human interface equivalent (HIE) expressions in a presentation form appropriate to the Persons involved in the making of the resulting commitments.

[Figure 2](#) shows an integrated view of these business operational requirements. It is based on Figure 3 from ISO/IEC 15944-1. Since the focus of this document is that of external constraints for which jurisdictional domains are the primary source, these primary sources have been shaded in [Figure 2](#) below).




Figure 2 — Integrated view — Business operational requirements: External constraints focus

0.2 Introducing the use of "Person", "organization" and "party" in the context of business transaction and commitment exchange

In electronic business transactions, whether undertaken on a for profit or not-for-profit basis, the key element is commitment exchange among Persons made among their Decision Making Applications (DMAs) of the Information Technology Systems (IT Systems)²⁾ acting on behalf of "Persons". "Persons" are the only entities able to make commitments³⁾. Clause 0.4 in ISO/IEC 15944-1 states:

2) See further [Clause 5.2 "Functional Services View"](#) in ISO/IEC 14662 "Open-edi Reference Model" (3rd edition).

3) The text in this section is based on existing text in Section "0.3" in ISO/IEC 15944-1 and ISO/IEC 14662 (3rd edition).

"When the ISO/IEC 14662 Open-edition Reference Model standard was being developed, the "Internet" and "WWW" were in an embryonic stage and their impact on private and public sector organizations was not fully understood."

The **Business Operational View (BOV)** was therefore defined as:

*"perspective of **business transactions** limited to those aspects regarding the making of **business decisions** and **commitments** among **organizations** which are needed for the description of a **business transaction**".*

The ISO/IEC 6523-1 definition of "organization" was used in the first edition (1997) of ISO/IEC 14662. The fact that today Open-edition, through the Internet and WWW, also involves "individuals" has been taken into account in the development of the 2nd and subsequent editions. ISO/IEC 15944-1 defines the term "commitment". However, the definition of the term "Open-edition Party" previously used proved not to be specific enough to satisfy scenario specifications when the legal aspects of commitment were considered. In many instances commitments were noted as being actually among IT systems acting under the direction of those legally capable of making commitment, rather than actual individuals acting in their own capacities. It was also recognized that in some jurisdictional domains a commitment could be made by "artificial" persons such as corporate bodies. Finally, it was noted that there are occasions where agents act, either under the instruction of a principal, or as a result of requirement(s) laid down by a jurisdictional domain, or where an individual is prevented by a relevant jurisdictional domain from being able to make a commitment in their own right, (e.g., a minor), and this is incorporated into the standard.

To address these extended requirements the additional concept and term of "Person", has been defined. A Person is defined such that they are capable of having the appropriate legal and regulatory constraints applied to them.

There are three categories of Person as a role player in Open-edition, namely: (1) the Person as "individual", (2) the Person as "organization", and (3) the Person as "public administration". There are also three basic (or primitive) roles of Persons in business transactions, namely: "buyer", "seller", and "regulator".

When modelling business transactions, jurisdictional domains prescribe their external constraints in the role of "regulator" and execute them as "public administration". {See further below [Clause 6.3](#)}

While "public administration" is one of the three distinct sub-types of Person, most of the rules applicable to "organization" also apply to "public administration". In addition, an unincorporated seller is also deemed to function as an "organization". Consequently, the use of "organization" throughout this document also covers "public administration". Where it is necessary to bring forward specific rules, constraints, properties, etc., which apply specifically to "public administration", this is stated explicitly.

The requirements of jurisdictional domains are specified through the use of sets of "Codes representing X..." Such sets of codes are created and maintained by Source Authorities via a rulebase with resulting coded domains in the form of data elements whose permitted values represent predefined semantics in a structured form, i.e., as a type of semantic component. Jurisdictional domains serve as Source Authorities for such coded domains.

These three categories of Person also identify the possible Source Authorities for coded domains. Source Authorities for coded domains are therefore either "organizations" or "public administrations".

Throughout this document:

- the use of Person with a capital "P" represents Person as a defined term, i.e., as the entity within an Open-edition Party that carries the legal responsibility for making commitment(s);
- "individual", "organization", and "public administration" are defined terms representing the three common sub-types of "Person";
- the words "person(s)" and/or "party(ies)" are used in their generic contexts independent of roles of "Person" as defined in the ISO/IEC 14662 and ISO/IEC 15944-1 standards. A "party" to a business transaction has the properties and behaviours of a "Person".

0.3 Importance and role of terms and definitions⁴⁾

The ISO/IEC 15944 multipart standard sets out the processes for achieving a common understanding of the Business Operational View (BOV) from commercial, legal, ICT, public policy and cross-sectoral perspectives. It is therefore important to check and confirm that a “common understanding” in any one of these domains is also unambiguously understood as identical in the others.

This sub-clause is included in each part of ISO/IEC 15944 to emphasize that harmonized terms and definitions are essential to the continuity of the overall standard. Definitions and their assigned terms need to be established as early as possible in the development process. Comments on any definition/term pair need to address the question of changes needed to avoid possible misinterpretation. Definitions may need to be amended/improved as part of the harmonization of definitions and their assigned terms among the various parts of ISO/IEC 15944.

In order to minimize ambiguity in the definitions and their associated terms, each definition and its associated term has been made available in at least one language other than English in the document in which it is introduced. In this context, it is noted that ISO/IEC 15944-7 already also contains human interface equivalents (HIEs) in ISO Chinese, ISO French, and ISO Russian⁵⁾.

0.4 Importance of the two classes of constraints of the Business Transaction Model (BTM)

The BTM has two classes of constraints; namely:

- 1) those which are "self-imposed" and agreed to as commitments among the parties themselves, i.e., "internal constraints";
- 2) those which are imposed on the parties to a business transaction based on the nature of the good, service and/or right exchanged, the nature of the commitment made among the parties (including ability to make commitments, the location, information identifying the parties as living individuals, and so on), i.e., "external constraints".

This document addresses external constraints. Jurisdictional domains are the primary source of external constraints⁶⁾. Privacy protection is addressed as a common set of external constraint requirements coming from jurisdictional domains.

ISO/IEC 15944-1, Clause 6.1.6 provides normative text for these two classes of constraints. It is included for convenience in this document as [Annex C](#).

0.5 Need for a standard based on rules and guidelines⁷⁾

This document is intended to be used within and outside of the ISO and IEC by diverse sets of users having different perspectives and needs. {See above [Figure 2](#) in Clause 0.2}

This Business Operational View (BOV) standard focuses on "other precise criteria to be used consistently as rules, guidelines, or definitions of characteristics, to ensure that materials, products, processes and services are fit for their purpose".

4) All the terms and definitions of the current editions of the ISO/IEC 14669 *Open-edi Reference Model* and the multipart ISO/IEC 15944 eBusiness standard have been consolidated in ISO/IEC 15944-7. A primary reason for having “Terms and definitions” in a standard is because one cannot assume that there exists a common understanding, worldwide, for a specific concept. And even if one assumes that such an understanding exists, then having such a common definition in [Clause 3](#) serves to formally and explicitly affirm (re-affirm) such a common understanding, i.e., ensure that all parties concerned share this common understanding as stated through the text of the definitions in [Clause 3](#).

5) The designation ISO before a natural language refers to the use of that natural language in ISO standards.

6) For business requirements of the Functional Service View and business demands on the Open-edi support infrastructure with respect to internal constraints, see further ISO/IEC 15944-1, Clause 6.5.2 "*Self-Imposed Constraints*". ISO/IEC 15944-4, which focuses on accounting and economic aspects of business transactions, does so from an "internal constraints" perspective.

7) This introductory clause is primarily based on that found in ISO/IEC 15944-1, Clause 6.1.2 titled "*Standard based on rules and guidelines*".

Open-edi is based on rules which are predefined and mutually agreed to. They are precise criteria and agreed upon requirements of business transactions representing common business operational practices and functional requirements.

[Clause 5](#) “Characteristics of Open-edi” in ISO/IEC 15944-1 defines the “Business Operational View (BOV)” type of Open-edi standards as “rule-based” standards⁸⁾. Of particular relevance is the first key characteristic of Open-edi as stated in [Clause 5.1](#) “Actions based upon following clear, predefined rules”. It is useful to quote some key normative text of ISO/IEC 15944-1 so that users of ISO/IEC 15944-8 have a clear understanding of the nature and purpose of this BOV standard.

“Open-edi requires the use of clear and pre-defined rules, principles and guidelines. These rules formally specify the role(s) of the parties involved in Open-edi and the available expected behaviour(s) of the parties as seen by other parties engaging in Open-edi. Open-edi rules are applied to:

- *the content of information flows;*
- *the order and behaviour of information flows themselves.*

The combination of both of these provides a complete definition of the relationships among the parties since it requires them to achieve a common semantic understanding of the information exchanged. They should also have consistent generic procedural views on their interaction. Therefore, rule sets have to be agreed to in advance and captured in Open-edi scenarios. This is a major component of the agreement required among parties.”

These rules also serve as a common set of understanding bridging the varied perspectives of the commercial framework, the legal framework, the information technology framework, standardizers, consumers, etc.⁹⁾

For ease of reference, common rules have been sequentially enumerated, and are presented in **bold** font. Where guidelines associated with a rule are provided, they are numbered sequentially after that rule and are shown in **bold** and *italic* font¹⁰⁾. Choice of words in the rules, the guidelines and the terms and definitions are governed by maximizing the ability to map, on the one hand, to all the sources of requirements of the Business Operational View (BOV) of any e-business transaction, (e.g., commercial, legal, public policy, cultural adaptability, sectoral, etc.), frameworks of the day-to-day world of business, and, on the other hand, those pertaining to the Functional Services View (FSV) in support of BOV requirements, (e.g., that of those providing information technology and communication services in support of commitment exchange of any kind and among all parties involved in a business transaction).

0.6 Use of "jurisdictional domain", and "jurisdiction" (and "country") in the context of business transaction and commitment exchange

The term "jurisdiction" has many possible definitions. Some "jurisdictions" have accepted international legal status while others do not. It is also common practice to equate "jurisdiction" with "country", although the two are by no means synonymous. It is also common practice to refer to states, provinces, länder, cantons, territories, municipalities, etc., as "jurisdictions", and in contract law it is customary to specify a particular court of law as having jurisdiction or a defined national body, or an international body as having jurisdiction (even if that is not legally enforceable), and so on. Finally, there are differing "legal" definitions of "jurisdiction". Readers of this document need to understand that in this document:

- the use of the term "jurisdictional domain" represents its use as a defined term;
- the use of the terms "jurisdiction(s)" and/or "country(ies)" represents their use in their generic contexts and do not imply that this document has any legal effect per se.

8) The key characteristics of Open-edi are (as stated in [Clause 5](#), ISO/IEC 15944-1) are:

9) The working principle is that of "coordinated autonomy", i.e., all parties are autonomous. Therefore, the extent to which they cooperate, agree on common needs, business rules, constraints, practices, etc., and reach agreement on the same in form of precise rules, terms and definitions, etc., is a key influence on the creation of necessary standards as well as common scenarios, scenario attributes and scenario components.

10) For example, "Guideline 5G2" equals the second Guideline under Rule 5.

At the same time, a set of external constraints of a jurisdictional domain lends itself to being modelled through scenarios and semantic components. For example, Annex "I" in ISO/IEC 15944-1, titled, "*Scenario Description Using the Open-Edi Scenario Template, Telecommunications Operations Map Example*" is a scenario of an external constraint of a jurisdictional domain, i.e., the USA, that provides a business process framework for the enterprise process required for a telecommunications service provider. Here, the fact that external constraints of jurisdictional domains are a primary factor in choice of language and application of public policy are also addressed in this document.

0.7 Use of "identifier" as "identifier (in business transaction)" to prevent ambiguity¹¹⁾

Clause 6.1.4 of ISO/IEC 15944-1 focuses on the requirement for the unambiguous identification of entities in business transactions. "Unambiguous" is a key issue in business transactions because states of ambiguity and uncertainty are an anathema from commercial, legal, consumer and information technology perspectives. Issues of unambiguousness apply to all aspects of a business transaction and even more so to those which are EDI-based. Open-edi transactions anticipate that all entities are fully and clearly identified prior to the transaction.

The ISO/IEC 15944 multipart standard serves as a methodology and tool for the specification and unambiguous identification of Open-edi scenarios, scenario attributes and scenario components as re-useable elements, i.e., as re-useable business objects, in support of common business transactions. These and related objectives of interoperability and re-usability of Open-edi scenarios and scenario components for business transactions require their unambiguous identification.

ISO/IEC 15944-1 defines "unambiguous" as follows:

unambiguous

*level of certainty and explicitness required in the completeness of the semantics of the **recorded information** interchanged that is appropriate to the goal of a **business transaction***

[ISO/IEC 15944-1,20173.66]

and "identifier (in business transaction)" as follows:

identifier (in business transaction)

unambiguous, unique and a linguistically neutral value, resulting from the application of a rule-based identification process

NOTE *Identifiers are required to be unique within the identification scheme of the issuing authority.*

[ISO/IEC 15944-1:2017, 3.27]

Thus, readers of this document need to understand that the "identifier" in this document is used as a defined term as "identifier (in a business transaction)"¹²⁾.

0.8 Use of "privacy protection" in the context of business transaction and commitment exchange

Jurisdictional domains such as UN member states (and/or their administrative sub-divisions), have enacted various "privacy" laws, "data protection" laws, "protection of personal information" laws, etc., (as well as pursuant regulations). Some of these sources of legal requirements focus on the protection of personal information in IT systems only, (e.g., "data protection"), while others focus on the protection of personal

11) This is a summary of ISO/IEC 15944-1, Clause 6.1.4 "*Business transactions: Unambiguous identification of entities*". See also Annex C in ISO/IEC 15944-1 titled *Unambiguous Identification of Entities in a Business Transaction* which provides the informative and explanatory text for the rules and definitions in Clause 6.1.4.

12) Identifiers in business transactions can be simple or composite identifiers. This is dependent on (1) the rules governing "identifiers" as a rule-based process; (2) the "registration schema" used (as well as any permitted combinations of the same).

information irrespective of the medium¹³⁾ used for the recording of personal information and/or its communication to other Persons.

In the case of personal information, this is currently defined by most jurisdictional domains to be a specific sub-set of recorded information relating to the Person as an “individual” – where the qualities of such type of Person are that they are required to be an identifiable, living individual. So this may only apply to some proportion of the specific role players in a business transaction (including their personae) and not others.

This document incorporates the common aspects of such laws and regulations as pertaining to privacy protection, applicable at the time of publication only. The concept of “privacy protection” also integrates these various sets of legal and regulatory requirements and does so from a public policy requirements perspective. {See below at [Clause 6.3](#) and [Clause 7](#)}

It needs to be borne in mind that the delivery of “privacy protection” requires action both at the business level (BOV) and technology levels (FSV). Where human beings interact with recorded information once it has passed through an Open-edi transaction, they may have the potential to compromise technical controls (FSV) that may have been applied. It is essential that business models take into account the need to establish overarching business processes that address issues that have not been, and/or cannot be resolved by the technical FSV controls applied so as to provide the overall privacy demands of regulation that are required to be applied to personal data, their use, proscribed dissemination and so on. In this regard, the interplay of the BOV and FSV views of all organizations needs to be taken into account.

0.9 Aspects currently not addressed

There are relevant privacy protection aspects which are currently not addressed in this document, i.e. this 2nd edition, see [Annex I](#).

0.10 Organization and description of this document

This document identifies basic common requirements of privacy protection requirements, as external constraints of jurisdictional domains, on the modelling of a business transaction through scenarios and scenario components.

Following Clauses 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4, which have common content in the multipart standard, [Clause 5](#) introduces a fundamental set of principles and assumptions governing privacy protection requirements in business transactions involving individuals as Persons. The essential aspects of eleven common privacy protection principles have been identified and their requirements are captured in the form of rules. Also, included in [Clause 5](#) are exclusions and rules for tagging (or labelling) data elements in support of privacy protection requirements.

The importance of the concept of “collaboration space” introduced in ISO/IEC 15944-4 is carried forward and adapted in the privacy protection context in [Clause 6](#), as the “privacy collaboration space (PCS)”. Refer to ISO/IEC 15944-4 in order to understand and use the concept of collaboration space and apply it in an ISO/IEC 15944-8 context. Generic public policy requirements which apply whenever individuals engage in a business transaction, i.e., as a buyer, are summarized in [Clause 7](#), which is based on ISO/IEC 15944-5. Refer to ISO/IEC 15944-5 in order to understand and use of the concept of public policy requirements. (Privacy protection is one of several common public policy requirements; others include consumer protection and individual accessibility.) [Clause 7](#) concludes by noting that privacy protection is a right of an “individual” only and not of an organization or public administration.

The establishment, management and use of the different identities that an individual has, is the focus of [Clause 8](#). The generic principles and rules already stated in ISO/IEC 15944-1 pertaining to Person are used, being placed in a privacy protection requirements context. These include “persona”, “identifiers” (and their assignment by Registration Authorities), “signature”, “individual identity (ii)”, “authentication”, recognition, i.e., as a “recognized individual identity (rii)”, “recognized individual name (RIN)”, etc.

Many aspects of the individual as a sub-type of Person and the resulting link to privacy protection were anticipated in the development of ISO/IEC 15944-1 and ISO/IEC 15944-5. The purpose of [Clause 9](#) is to consolidate those which apply to an individual, and do so in a privacy protection requirements context.

13) “Medium” is a defined concept. {See ISO/IEC 15944-1, Clause 6.4. “Rules governing the data component”, and its Clause 6.4.1 “Recorded information”}.

[Clause 9](#) addresses role qualifications of an individual, a legally recognized name (LRN), truncation of LRNs, anonymization and use of pseudonyms.

[Clause 10](#) focuses on the process component of the Business Transaction Model (BTM) which is constructed of five fundamental activities: planning, identification, negotiation, actualization and post-actualization. The generic rules in Clause 6.5 of ISO/IEC 15944-1 are brought forward, and those which pertain to an individual as a buyer are adapted and applied from a privacy protection perspective.

Similarly, [Clause 11](#) focuses on the data component of the BTM and brings forward, in summary form, applicable concepts and rules in ISO/IEC 15944-1 and ISO/IEC 15944-5 in the context of privacy protection requirements. Specific aspects addressed in [Clause 11](#) include the role of the business transaction identifier (BTI), change management and records retention of personal information, and associated data synchronization requirements, for personal information among all parties to a business transaction as well as date/time referencing.

As in ISO/IEC 15944-1, ISO/IEC 15944-2, and ISO/IEC 15944-5, Clause 12 provides a checklist through the use of templates to guide the user through the mechanics of determining the source of the external constraints where these are jurisdictional domains; and of determining the adequacy of a scenario specification as well as of available scenario components.

Finally, annexes are provided for elaboration of points raised in the main body. [Annex A](#) introduces the consolidated controlled vocabulary definitions and associated terms, as human interface equivalents (HIEs), with cultural adaptability: English and French language equivalency in an IT standardization context..

[Annex B](#) identifies rules stated in the other parts of ISO/IEC 15944 that are applicable to this document. [Annex C](#) is common to ISO/IEC 15944-2, ISO/IEC 15944-4, and ISO/IEC 15944-5. It summarizes the Business Transaction Model (BTM). [Annex D](#) presents, in summary form, an integrated set of information life cycle (ILCM) principles in support of information law compliance from a jurisdictional domain perspective.

The purpose of [Annex E](#) is to bring forward and highlight the key concepts and their definitions applicable to the establishment and management, etc., of the multiple identities of a single individual.

[Annex F](#)¹⁴⁾ provides the primitive and essential set of coded domains whose interworking is required in order to be able to support state changes and record retention requirements in support of privacy protection requirements.

[Annex G](#) contains abstracts of ISO/IEC 15944-8 in ISO English, French and Chinese.

[Annex H](#) provides detailed exclusions to the scope of this document.

[Annex I](#) introduces many other relevant privacy protection aspects currently not addressed in this document.

[Annex J](#) provides the list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 15944 series.

14) The coded domains presented in this [Annex F](#) are an application in a privacy protection context of those stated in Clause 6.6.4 of ISO/IEC 15944-5, which presents a high level generic approach. The reason that this normative text is in an annex is to facilitate its possible use in a new part of ISO/IEC 15944, i.e., new Part 12 and possibly other new Parts.

Information technology — Business operational view —

Part 8:

Identification of privacy protection requirements as external constraints on business transactions

1 Scope

This document:

- provides method(s) for identifying, in Open-edi modelling technologies and development of scenarios, the extra requirements in Business Operational View (BOV) specifications for identifying the additional external constraints to be applied to recorded information in business transactions relating to personal information of an individual, as required by legal and regulatory requirements of applicable jurisdictional domains having governance over the personal information exchanged among parties to a business transaction;
- integrates existing normative elements in support of privacy protection requirements as are already identified in ISO/IEC 14662 and ISO/IEC 15944-1, ISO/IEC 15944-2, ISO/IEC 15944-4, and ISO/IEC 15944-5, which apply to information concerning identifiable living individuals as buyers in a business transaction or whose personal information is used in the business transaction;
- provides overarching operational "best practice" statements for associated (and not necessarily automated) processes, procedures, practices and governance requirements that need to act in support of implementing and enforcing mechanisms needed to support privacy/data protection requirements necessary for the implementation in Open-edi transaction environments;
- identifies and provides a sample scenario and implementation (use case) for one or more use cases of privacy/data protection in business transactions;
- provides guidelines on the need for procedural mechanisms in the event that mandatory disclosure rules of transactional information that needs be implemented.

This document does not specify the technical mechanisms needed to implement the Functional Services View. Detailed exclusions to the scope of this document are provided in [Annex H](#).

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 639:2023, *Code for individual languages and language groups*

ISO/IEC 7501-1:2008, *Identification cards — Machine readable travel documents — Part 1: Machine readable passport*

ISO/IEC 7501-2:1997, *Identification cards — Machine readable travel documents — Part 2: Machine readable visa*

ISO/IEC 7501-3:2005, *Identification cards — Machine readable travel documents — Part 3: Machine readable official travel documents*

ISO/IEC 7812-1:2017, *Identification cards — Identification of issuers — Part 1: Numbering system*

ISO/IEC 7812-2:2017, *Identification cards — Identification of issuers — Part 2: Application and registration procedures*

ISO/IEC 14662:2010, *Information technology — Open-edi reference model*

ISO/IEC 15944-1, *Information technology — Business Operational View — Part 1: Operational aspects of Open-edi for implementation*

ISO/IEC 15944-2, *Information technology — Business operational view — Part 2: Registration of scenarios and their components as business objects*

ISO/IEC 15944-4, *Information technology — Business operational view — Part 4: Business transaction scenarios — Accounting and economic ontology*

ISO/IEC 15944-5, *Information technology — Business Operational View — Part 5: Identification and referencing of requirements of jurisdictional domains as sources external constraints*

ISO/IEC 15944-7, *Information technology — Business operational view — Part 7: eBusiness vocabulary*

APEC Privacy Framework. (2005)*

Charter of the United Nations (as signed 1945 and Amended 1965, 1968, and 1973+), United Nations (UN)*

EU General Data Protection Directive (GDPR) (2016)

OECD Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data (1980)

UN CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES. 2006+

Vienna Convention of the Law of Treaties (1969), United Nations (UN)

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1 address

set of *data elements* (3.32) that specifies a *location* (3.79) to which a *recorded information* (3.128) item(s), a *business object(s)* (3.9), a material *object(s)* (3.86) and/or a person(s) can be sent or from which it can be received

Note 1 to entry: An address can be specified as either a physical address and/or electronic address.

Note 2 to entry: In the identification, referencing and retrieving of registered business objects, it is necessary to state whether the pertinent recorded information is available in both physical and virtual forms.

Note 3 to entry: In the context of Open-edi, a “recorded information item” is modelled and registered as an Open-edi scenario (OeS), Information Bundle (IB) or Semantic Component (SC).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.1]

3.2

agent

Person ([3.102](#)) acting for another *Person* in a clearly specified capacity in the context of a *business transaction* ([3.11](#))

Note 1 to entry: Excluded are agents as "automatons" (or robots, bobots, etc.). In ISO/IEC 14662:2010, "automatons" are recognized and provided for but as part of the Functional Service View (FSV) where they are defined as an "Information Processing Domain (IPD)".

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.1]

3.3

anonymization

process ([3.117](#)) whereby the association between a *set of recorded information (SRI)* ([3.150](#)) and an identifiable *individual* ([3.56](#)) is removed where such an association may have existed

[SOURCE: Adapted from ISO 25237]

3.4

attribute

characteristic ([3.14](#)) of an *object* ([3.86](#)) or *entity* ([3.45](#))

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.4]

3.5

authentication

provision of assurance of the claimed identity of an *entity* ([3.45](#))

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 10181-2:1996, 3.3]

3.6

authenticity

property ([3.119](#)) that ensures that the identity of a subject or resource is the one claimed

Note 1 to entry: Authenticity applies to entities such as users, processes, systems and information.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.4. Adapted from ISO/IEC TR13335-1:1996, 3.3]

3.7

business

series of *processes* ([3.117](#)), each having a clearly understood purpose, involving more than one *Person* ([3.102](#)), realized through the exchange of *recorded information* ([3.128](#)) and directed towards some mutually agreed upon goal, extending over a period of time

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.1.2]

3.8

business event

occurrence in time that partners to a *business transaction* ([3.11](#)) wish to monitor or control

Note 1 to entry: Business events are the workflow tasks that business partners need to accomplish to complete a business transaction among themselves. As business events occur, they cause a business transaction to move through its various phases of planning, identification, negotiation, actualization, and post-actualization.

Note 2 to entry: Occurrences in time can either be: (a) internal as mutually agreed to among the parties to a business transaction; and/or, (b) reference some common publicly available and recognized date/time referencing schema, (e.g., one based on using the ISO 8601 and/or ISO 19135 standards).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-4:2015, 3.5]

3.9

business object

unambiguously (3.161) identified, specified, referenceable, registered and re-useable *Open-edition scenario* (3.95) or *Scenario Component* (3.144) of a *business transaction* (3.11)

Note 1 to entry: As an “object”, a “business object” exists only in the context of a business transaction.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.6]

3.10

Business Operational View

BOV

perspective of *business transactions* (3.11) limited to those aspects regarding the making of *business* (3.7) decisions and *commitments* (3.23) among *Persons* (3.102), which are needed for the description of a *business transaction* (3.11)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.1.3]

3.11

business transaction

predefined set of activities and/or *processes* (3.117) of *Persons* (3.102) which is initiated by a *Person* to accomplish an explicitly shared *business* (3.7) goal and terminated upon recognition of one of the agreed conclusions by all the involved *Persons* although some of the recognition may be implicit

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.1.4]

3.12

business transaction identifier

BTI

identifier assigned by a *seller* (3.147) or a *regulator* (3.136) to an instantiated *business transaction* (3.11) among the *Persons* (3.102) involved

Note 1 to entry: The identifier assigned by the seller or regulator shall have the properties and behaviours of an “identifier (in a business transaction)”.

Note 2 to entry: As an identifier (in a business transaction), a BTI serves as the unique common identifier for all Persons involved for the identification, referencing, retrieval of recorded information, etc., pertaining to the commitments made and the resulting actualization (and post-actualization) of the business transaction agreed to.

Note 3 to entry: A business transaction identifier can be assigned at any time during the planning, identification or negotiation phases but shall be assigned at least prior to the start or during the actualization phase.

Note 4 to entry: As and where required by the applicable jurisdictional domain(s), the recorded information associated with the business transaction identifier (BTI) may well require the seller to include other identifiers, (e.g., from a value-added good or service tax, etc., perspective) as assigned by the applicable jurisdictional domain(s).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.12]

3.13

buyer

Person (3.102) who aims to get possession of a good, service and/or right through providing an acceptable equivalent value, usually in money, to the *Person* providing such a good, service and/or right

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.8]

3.14

characteristic

abstraction of a *property* (3.119)

Note 1 to entry: ‘Having a cable for connecting with a computer’ as a characteristic of the concept ‘cord mouse’.

Note 2 to entry: Characteristics are used for describing concepts.

[SOURCE: ISO 1087:2009, 3.2.1]

3.15**character set**

finite set of different characters that is complete for a given purpose

EXAMPLE The international reference version of the character set of ISO 10646.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121547]

3.16**classification system**

systematic *identification* (3.54) and arrangement of *business* (3.7) activities and/or *scenario components* (3.144) into categories according to logically structured conventions, methods and procedural *rules* (3.140) as specified in a classification schema

Note 1 to entry: The classification code or number often serves as a semantic identifier (SI) for which one or more human interface equivalents (HIEs) exist.

Note 2 to entry: The rules of a classification schema governing the operation of a classification system at times lead to the use of ID codes which have an intelligence built into them, (e.g., in the structure of the ID, the manner in which it can be parsed, etc.). The use of block-numeric numbering schemas is an often used convention.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.17. Adapted from ISO 15489-1:2001, 3.5]

3.17**code**

collection of rules that maps the elements of a first set of values onto the elements of one or more different sets

Note 1 to entry: The first set of elements in the ISO 639 language code refers to individual languages or language groups. The sets of elements mapped onto are code values, specifically language identifiers.

[SOURCE: ISO 639:2023, 3.7.1]

3.18**code (in coded domain)**

identifier, i.e., an *ID code* (3.53), assigned to an *entity* (3.45) as member of a *coded domain* (3.19) according to the pre-established set of *rules* (3.140) governing that *coded domain*

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.19]

3.19**coded domain**

domain for which (1) the boundaries are defined and explicitly stated as a *rulebase* (3.141) of a *coded domain Source Authority* (3.21); and (2) each *entity* (3.45) which qualifies as a member of that domain is identified through the assignment of a unique *ID code* (3.53) in accordance with the applicable *Registration Schema (RS)* (3.133) of that *Source Authority (SA)* (3.151)

Note 1 to entry: The rules governing the assignment of an ID code to members of a coded domain reside with its Source Authority and form part of the coded domain Registration Schema (cdRS).

Note 2 to entry: Source Authorities which are jurisdictional domains are the primary source of coded domains.

Note 3 to entry: A coded domain is a data set for which the content values of the data element are predetermined and defined according to the rulebase of its Source Authority and as such have predefined semantics.

Note 4 to entry: Associated with a code in a coded domain can be: (a) one and/or more equivalent codes; or, (b) one and/or more equivalent representations especially those in the form of human interface equivalent (HIE) (linguistic) expressions.

Note 5 to entry: In a coded domain the rules for assignment and structuring of the ID codes are required to be specified.

Note 6 to entry: Where an entity as member of a coded domain is allowed to have, i.e., assigned, more than one ID code, i.e., as equivalent ID codes (possibly including names), one of these are required to be specified as the pivot ID code.

Note 7 to entry: A coded domain in turn can consist of two or more coded domains, i.e., through the application of the inheritance principle of object classes.

Note 8 to entry: A coded domain may contain an ID code which pertains to predefined conditions other than qualification of membership of entities in the coded domain. Further, the rules governing a coded domain may or may not provide for user extensions.

EXAMPLE 1 (1) The use of ID Code "0" (or "00", etc.) for "Others, (2) the use of ID Code "9" (or "99", etc.) for "Not Applicable"; (3) the use of "8" (or "98") for "Not Known"; and/or, if required, (4) the pre-reservation of a series of ID codes for use of "user extensions".

Note 9 to entry: In object methodology, entities which are members of a coded domain are referred to as instances of a class.

EXAMPLE 2 In UML modelling notation, an ID code is viewed as an instance of an object class.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.13]

3.20

coded domain Registration Schema

cdRS

formal *definition* (3.39) of both (1) the *data* (3.30) fields contained in the *identification* (3.54) and specification of an *entity* (3.45) forming part of the members a *coded domain* (3.19) including the allowable contents of those fields; (2) the *rules* (3.140) for the assignment of identifiers

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.21]

3.21

coded domain Source Authority

cdSA

Person (3.102), usually an *organization* (3.99), as a *Source Authority* (3.151) which sets the *rules* (3.140) governing a *coded domain* (3.19)

Note 1 to entry: Source Authority is a role of a Person and for widely used coded domains the coded domain Source Authority is often a jurisdictional domain.

Note 2 to entry: Specific sectors, (e.g., banking, transport, geomatics, agriculture, etc.), may have particular coded domain Source Authority (ies) whose coded domains are used in many other sectors.

Note 3 to entry: A coded domain Source Authority usually also functions as a Registration Authority but can use an agent, i.e., another Person, to execute the registration function on its behalf.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.14]

3.22

collaboration space

business (3.7) activity space where an economic exchange of valued resources is viewed independently and not from the perspective of any *business partner*

Note 1 to entry: In collaboration space, an individual partner's view of economic phenomena is de-emphasized. Thus, the common use business and accounting terms like purchase, sale, cash receipt, cash disbursement, raw materials, and finished goods, etc., is not allowed because they view resource flows from a participant's perspective.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-4:2015, 3.12]

3.23

commitment

making or accepting of a right, obligation, liability or responsibility by a *Person* (3.102) that is capable of enforcement in the *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) in which the *commitment* (3.23) is made

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.5]

3.24

composite identifier

identifier (in a business transaction) (3.55) functioning as a single unique identifier consisting of one or more other identifiers, and/or one or more other *data elements* (3.32), whose interworkings are rule-based

Note 1 to entry: Identifiers (in business transactions) are for the most part composite identifiers.

Note 2 to entry: The rules governing the structure and working of a composite identifier should be specified.

Note 3 to entry: Most widely used composite identifiers consist of the combinations of: (a) the ID of the overall identification/numbering schema, (e.g., ISO/IEC 6532, ISO/IEC 7812, ISO/IEC 7506, UPC/EAN, ITU-TE.164, etc.), which is often assumed; (b) the ID of the issuing organization (often based on a block numeric numbering schema); (c) the ID of the entities forming part of members of the coded domain of each issuing organization.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.16]

3.25

computational integrity

expression of a *standard* (3.153) in a form that ensures precise description of behaviour and semantics in a manner that allows for automated processing to occur, and the managed evolution of such *standards* in a way that enables dynamic introduction by the next generation of information systems

Note 1 to entry: Open-edi standards have been designed to be able to support computational integrity requirements especially from a registration and re-use of business objects perspectives.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.17]

3.26

constraint

rule (3.140), explicitly stated, that prescribes, limits, governs or specifies any aspect of a *business transaction* (3.11)

Note 1 to entry: Constraints are specified as rules forming part of components of Open-edi scenarios, i.e., as scenario attributes, roles, and/or information bundles.

Note 2 to entry: For constraints to be registered for implementation in Open-edi, they are required to have unique and unambiguous identifiers.

Note 3 to entry: A constraint may be agreed to among parties, (condition of contract) and is therefore considered an internal constraint. Or a constraint may be imposed on parties, (e.g., laws, regulations, etc.), and is therefore considered an external constraint.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.11]

3.27

consumer

buyer (3.13) who is an *individual* (3.56) to whom *consumer protection* (3.28) requirements are applied as a set of *external constraints* (3.48) on a *business transaction* (3.11)

Note 1 to entry: Consumer protection is a set of explicitly defined rights and obligations applicable as external constraints on a business transaction.

Note 2 to entry: The assumption is that a consumer protection applies only where a buyer in a business transaction is an individual. If this is not the case in a particular jurisdictional domain, such external constraints should be specified as part of scenario components as applicable.

Note 3 to entry: It is recognized that external constraints on a buyer of the nature of consumer protection may be peculiar to a specified jurisdictional domain.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.12]

3.28**consumer protection**

set of *external constraints* (3.48) of a *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) as rights of a *consumer* (3.27) and thus as obligations (and possible liabilities) of a *vendor* (3.162) in a *business transaction* (3.11) which apply to the good, service and/or right forming the *object* (3.86) of the *business transaction* including associated information management and interchange requirements including applicable *set(s) of recorded information (SRIs)* (3.150)

Note 1 to entry: Jurisdictional domains may restrict the application of their consumer protection requirements as applicable only to individuals engaged in a business transaction of a commercial activity undertaken for personal, family or household purposes, i.e., they do not apply to natural persons in their role as "organization" or "organization Person".

Note 2 to entry: Jurisdictional domains may have particular consumer protection requirements which apply specifically to individuals who are considered to be a "child" or a "minor", (e.g., those individuals who have not reached their thirteenth birthday).

Note 3 to entry: Some jurisdictional domains may have consumer protection requirements which are particular to the nature of the good, service and/or right being part of the goal of a business transaction.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.33]

3.29**controlled vocabulary****CV**

vocabulary (3.163) whose entries, i.e., *definition* (3.39)/*term* (3.154) pairs, are controlled by a *Source Authority* (3.151) based on a *rulebase* (3.141) and *process* (3.117) for addition/deletion of entries

Note 1 to entry: In a controlled vocabulary, there is a one-to-one relationship of definition and term.

EXAMPLE The contents "Clause 3 Definitions" in ISO/IEC standards are examples of controlled vocabularies with the entities being identified and referenced through their ID codes, i.e., via their clause numbers.

Note 2 to entry: In a multilingual controlled vocabulary, the definition/term pairs in the languages used are deemed to be equivalent, with respect to their semantics.

Note 3 to entry: The rule-base governing a controlled vocabulary may include a predefined concept system.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.34]

3.30**data**

reinterpretable representation of information in a formalized manner suitable for communication, interpretation or processing

Note 1 to entry: Data can be processed by humans or by automatic means.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121272]

3.31**data (in a business transaction)**

representations of *recorded information* (3.128) that are being prepared or have been prepared in a form suitable for use in a computer system

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.14]

3.32**data element**

unit of *data* (3.30) for which the *definition* (3.39), *identification* (3.54), representation and permissible values are specified by means of a set of *attributes* (3.4)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.15]

3.33

data element (in organization of data)

unit of *data* (3.30) that is considered in a certain context to be indivisible

EXAMPLE The data element "age of a person" with values consisting of all combinations of 3 decimal digits.

Note 1 to entry: Differs from the entry 17.06.02 in ISO/IEC 2382-17.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121599]

3.34

dataset

identifiable collection of *data* (3.30)

Note 1 to entry: A dataset may be a smaller grouping of data which, though limited by some constraint such as spatial extent or feature type, is located physically within a larger dataset. Theoretically, a dataset may be as small as a single feature or feature attribute contained within a larger dataset. A hardcopy map or chart may be considered a dataset.

[SOURCE: ISO 19115-1:2014, 4.3]

3.35

dataset series

collection of *datasets* (3.34) sharing common characteristics

[SOURCE: ISO 19115-1:2014, 4.4]

3.36

data synchronization (in business transaction)

process (3.117) of continuous harmonization of a *set(s) of recorded information* (3.150) among all the parties to a *business transaction* (3.11) to ensure that the current state of such a *set(s) of recorded information* is the same in the *IT systems* (3.65) of all the participating parties

[SOURCE: Adapted from GS1 Global Traceability Standard (GDSN) Glossary]

3.37

Decision Making Application

DMA

model (3.81) of that part of an *Open-edl system* (3.97) that makes decisions corresponding to the *role(s)* (3.139) that the *Open-edl Party* (3.92) plays as well as the originating, receiving and managing *data* (3.30) values contained in the instantiated *Information Bundles (IBs)* (3.62) which is not required to be visible to the other *Open-edl Parties (OeP)*

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.7]

3.38

de facto language

natural language (3.85) used in a *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) which has the properties and behaviours of an *official language* (3.88) in that *jurisdictional domain* without having formally been declared as such by that *jurisdictional domain*

Note 1 to entry: A de facto language of a jurisdictional domain is often established through long term use and custom.

Note 2 to entry: Unless explicitly stated otherwise and for the purposes of modelling a business transaction through scenario(s), scenario attributes and/or scenario components, a de facto language of a jurisdictional domain is assumed to have the same properties and behaviours of an official language.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.42]

3.39

definition

representation of a concept by an expression that describes it and differentiate it from related concepts

[SOURCE: ISO 1087:2009, 3.3.1]

3.40

designation

representation of a concept by a sign which denotes it

Note 1 to entry: In terminology work three types of designations are distinguished: symbols, appellations and terms.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.79. Adapted from ISO 1087:2009, 3.4.1]

3.41

distinguishing identifier

data (3.30) that *unambiguously* (3.161) distinguishes an *entity* (3.45) in the *authentication* (3.5) process

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 10181-2:1996, 3.11]

3.42

eBusiness

business transaction (3.11), involving the making of *commitments* (3.23), in a defined *collaboration space* (3.22), among *Persons* (3.102) using their *IT systems* (3.65), according to *Open-edi* (3.89) *standards* (3.153)

Note 1 to entry: eBusiness can be conducted on both a for-profit and not-for-profit basis.

Note 2 to entry: A key distinguishing aspect of eBusiness is that it involves the making of commitment(s) of any kind among the Persons in support of a mutually agreed upon goal, involving their IT Systems, and doing so through the use of EDI (using a variety of communication networks including the Internet).

Note 3 to entry: eBusiness includes various application areas such as e-commerce, e-administration, e-logistics, e-government, e-medicine, e-learning, etc.

Note 4 to entry: The equivalent French language term for “eBusiness” is always presented in its plural form.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-7:2009, 3.06]

3.43

electronic address

address (3.1) used in a recognized electronic addressing scheme, (e.g., telephone, telex, IP, etc.), to which *recorded information* (3.128) item(s) and/or *business object(s)* (3.9) can be sent to or received from a Contact

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.32]

3.44

Electronic Data Interchange

EDI

automated exchange of any predefined and structured *data* (3.30) for *business* (3.7) purposes among information systems of two or more *Persons* (3.102)

Note 1 to entry: This definition includes all categories of electronic business transactions.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.8]

3.45

entity

any concrete or abstract thing that exists, did exist, or might exist, including associations among these things

EXAMPLE A person, object, event, idea, process, etc.

Note 1 to entry: An entity exists whether data about it are available or not.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121433]

3.46

entity authentication

corroboration that the *entity* (3.45) is the one claimed

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 9798-1:2010, 3.14]

3.47**exchange code set**

set of *ID codes* (3.53) identified in a *coded domain* (3.19) as being suitable for information exchange as shareable data (3.30)

EXAMPLE The 3-numeric, 2-alpha and 3-alpha code sets in ISO 3166-1.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.49]

3.48**external constraint**

constraint (3.26) which takes precedence over *internal constraints* (3.66) in a *business transaction* (3.11), i.e., is external to those agreed upon by the parties to a *business transaction*

Note 1 to entry: Normally external constraints are created by law, regulation, orders, treaties, conventions or similar instruments.

Note 2 to entry: Other sources of external constraints are those of a sectoral nature, those which pertain to a particular jurisdictional domain or a mutually agreed to common business conventions, (e.g., INCOTERMS, exchanges, etc.).

Note 3 to entry: External constraints can apply to the nature of the good, service and/or right provided in a business transaction.

Note 4 to entry: External constraints can demand that a party to a business transaction meet specific requirements of a particular role.

EXAMPLE 1 Only a qualified medical doctor may issue a prescription for a controlled drug.

EXAMPLE 2 Only an accredited share dealer may place transactions on the New York Stock Exchange.

EXAMPLE 3 Hazardous wastes may only be conveyed by a licenced enterprise.

Note 5 to entry: Where the Information Bundles (IBs), including their Semantic Components (SCs) of a business transaction are also to form the whole of a business transaction, (e.g., for legal or audit purposes), all constraints must be recorded.

EXAMPLE 4 There may be a legal or audit requirement to maintain the complete set of recorded information pertaining to a business transaction, i.e., as the Information Bundles exchanged, as a record.

Note 6 to entry: A minimum external constraint applicable to a business transaction often requires one to differentiate whether the Person, i.e., that is a party to a business transaction, is an individual, organization, or public administration. For example, privacy rights apply only to a Person as an individual.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.23]

3.49**Formal Description Technique****FDT**

specification method based on a description *language* (3.71) using rigorous and *unambiguous* (3.161) *rules* (3.140) both with respect to developing expressions in the *language* (formal syntax) and interpreting the meaning of these expressions (formal semantics)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.9]

3.50**Functional Service View****FSV**

perspective of *business transactions* (3.11) limited to those information technology interoperability aspects of *IT systems* (3.65) needed to support the execution of *Open-edl transactions* (3.98)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.10]

3.51**Human Interface Equivalent
HIE**

representation of the *unambiguous* (3.161) and *IT-enabled* semantics of an *IT interface equivalent* (3.68) [in a *business transaction* (3.11)], often the *ID code* (3.53) of a *coded domain* (3.19) [or a *composite identifier* (3.24)], in a formalized manner suitable for communication to and understanding by humans

Note 1 to entry: Human interface equivalents can be linguistic or non-linguistic in nature but their semantics remain the same although their representations may vary.

Note 2 to entry: In most cases there will be multiple human interface equivalent representations as required to meet localization requirements, i.e., those of a linguistic, jurisdictional, and/or sectoral nature.

Note 3 to entry: Human interface equivalents include representations in various forms or formats, (e.g., in addition to written text those of an audio, symbol (and icon) nature, glyphs, image, etc.).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.35]

3.52**IB Identifier**

unique, linguistically neutral, *unambiguous* (3.161) referenceable identifier for an *Information Bundle* (3.62)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.36]

3.53**ID code**

identifier assigned by the *coded domain Source Authority (cdSA)* (3.21) to a member of a *coded domain* (3.19) ID

Note 1 to entry: ID codes must be unique within the Registration Schema of that coded domain.

Note 2 to entry: Associated with an ID code in a coded domain can be: (a) one or more equivalent codes; or, (b) one or more equivalent representations, especially those in the form of human equivalent (linguistic) expressions.

Note 3 to entry: Where an entity as a member of a coded domain is allowed to have more than one ID code, i.e., as equivalent codes (possibly including names), one of these must be specified as the pivot ID code.

Note 4 to entry: A coded domain may contain ID codes pertaining to entities which are not members as peer entities, i.e., have the same properties and behaviours, such as ID codes which pertain to predefined conditions other than member entities. If this is the case, the rules governing such exceptions must be predefined and explicitly stated.

EXAMPLE (1) The use of an ID code "0" (or "00", etc.), for "Other"; (2) the use of an ID code "9" (or "99") for "Not Applicable"; (3) the use of "8" (or "98") for "Not Known"; if required, (4) the pre-reservation of a series or set of ID codes for use for user extensions.

Note 5 to entry: In UML modeling notation, an ID codes is viewed as an instance of an object class.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.37]

3.54**identification**

rule-based *process* (3.117), explicitly stated, involving the use of one or more *attributes* (3.4), i.e., *dataelement(s)* (3.32), whose content value (or combination of values) are used to identify uniquely the occurrence or existence of a specified *entity* (3.45)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.26]

3.55**identifier (in business transaction)**

unambiguous (3.161), unique and a linguistically neutral value, resulting from the application of a rule-based *identification* (3.54) *process* (3.117)

Note 1 to entry: Identifiers are required to be unique within the identification scheme of the issuing authority.

Note 2 to entry: An identifier is a linguistically independent sequence of characters capable of uniquely and permanently identifying that with which it is associated. {See ISO 19135:2005 (4.1.5).}

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.27]

3.56

individual

Person (3.102) who is a human being, i.e., a natural person, who acts as a distinct indivisible *entity* (3.45) or is considered as such

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.28]

3.57

individual accessibility

set of *external constraints* (3.48) of a *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) as rights of an *individual* (3.56) with disabilities to be able to use *IT systems* (3.65) at the human, i.e., user, interface and the concomitant obligation of a *seller* (3.147) to provide such adaptive technologies

Note 1 to entry: Although accessibility typically addresses users who have a disability, the concept is not limited to disability issues.

EXAMPLE Disabilities in the form of functional and cognitive limitations include: (a) people who are blind; (b) people with low vision; (c) people with colour blindness; (d) people who are hard of hearing or deaf, i.e., are hearing impaired; (e) people with physical disabilities; (f) people with language or cognitive disabilities.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.60]

3.58

individual anonymity

state of not knowing the identity or no having any recording of *personal information* (3.106) on or about an *individual* (3.56) as a *buyer* (3.13) by the *seller* (3.147) or *regulator* (3.136), (or any other party) to a *business transaction* (3.11)

3.59

individual authentication

provision of the assurance of a *recognized individual identity (rii)* (3.125) sufficient for the purpose of the *business transaction* (3.11)

3.60

individual identity

ii

Person identity (3.107) of an *individual* (3.56), consisting of the combination of the *persona* (3.104) information and identifier used by an *individual* in a *business transaction* (3.11), i.e., in the making of any kind of *commitment* (3.23)

3.61

individual persona Registration Schema

ipRS

persona Registration Schema (pRS) (3.105) where the *persona* (3.104) is, or includes, that of an *individual* (3.56) being registered

Note 1 to entry: Where a persona Registration Schema includes persona of sub-types of Persons, i.e., individuals, organizations, and/or, public administrations, those which pertain to individuals shall be identified as such because public policy as external constraints apply including those of a privacy protection requirements nature.

Note 2 to entry: In an individual persona Registration Schema, one shall state whether or not a truncated name, i.e. registered persona, of the individual, is allowed or mandatory, and if so the ipRS shall explicitly state the rules governing the formation of the same.

3.62**Information Bundle****IB**

formal description of the semantics of the *recorded information* (3.128) to be exchanged by *Open-edi Parties* (3.92) playing *roles* (3.139) in an *Open-edi scenario* (3.95)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.11]

3.63**information law**

any law, regulation, policy, or code (or any part thereof) that requires the creation, receipt, collection, description or listing, production, retrieval, submission, retention, storage, preservation or destruction of *recorded information* (3.128), and/or that places conditions on the access and use, confidentiality, privacy, integrity, accountabilities, continuity and availability of the processing, reproduction, distribution, transmission, sale, sharing or other handling of *recorded information*

3.64**Information Processing Domain****IPD**

Information Technology System (3.65) which includes at least either a *Decision Making Application (DMA)* (3.37) and/or one of the components of an *Open-edi Support Infrastructure* (3.96), and acts/executes on behalf of an *Open-edi Party* (3.92) (either directly or under a delegated authority)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.12]

3.65**Information Technology System****IT System**

set of one or more computers, associated software, peripherals, terminals, human operations, physical *processes* (3.117), information transfer means, that form an autonomous whole, capable of performing information processing and/or information transfer

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.12]

3.66**internal constraint**

constraint (3.26) which forms part of the *commitment(s)* (3.23) mutually agreed to among the parties to a *business transaction* (3.11)

Note 1 to entry: Internal constraints are self-imposed. They provide a simplified view for modelling and re-use of scenario components of a business transaction for which there are no external constraints or restrictions to the nature of the conduct of a business transaction other than those mutually agreed to by the buyer and seller.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.33]

3.67**IT-enablement**

transformation of a current *standard* (3.153) used in *business transactions* (3.11), [e.g., *coded domains* (3.19)], from a manual to computational perspective so as to be able to support *commitment* (3.23) exchange and *computational integrity* (3.25)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.48]

3.68**IT-interface equivalent**

computer processable *identification* (3.54) of the *unambiguous* (3.161) semantics of a scenario, *scenario attribute* (3.143) and/or *scenario component(s)* (3.144) pertaining to a *commitment* (3.23) exchange in a *business transaction* (3.11) which supports *computational integrity* (3.25)

Note 1 to entry: IT interface equivalents have the properties of identifiers (in business transaction) and are used to support semantic interoperability in commitment exchange.

Note 2 to entry: The value of an IT interface equivalent at times is a composite identifier.

Note 3 to entry: An IT interface equivalent as a composite identifier can consist of the identifier of a coded domain plus an ID code of that coded domain.

Note 4 to entry: An IT interface equivalent is at times used as a semantic identifier.

Note 5 to entry: An IT interface equivalent may have associated with it one or more human interface equivalents (HIEs).

Note 6 to entry: The value of an IT Interface is independent of its encoding in programming languages or APIs.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.45]

3.69

jurisdictional domain

jurisdiction, recognized in law as a distinct legal and/or regulatory framework, which is a source of *external constraints* (3.48) on *Persons* (3.102), their behaviour and the making of *commitments* (3.23) among *Persons* including any aspect of a *business transaction* (3.11)

Note 1 to entry: The pivotal jurisdictional domain is a United Nations (UN) recognized member state. From a legal and sovereignty perspective they are considered peer entities. Each UN member state, (a.k.a. country) may have sub-administrative divisions as recognized jurisdictional domains, (e.g., provinces, territories, cantons, länder, etc.), as decided by that UN member state.

Note 2 to entry: Jurisdictional domains can combine to form new jurisdictional domains, (e.g., through bilateral, multilateral and/or international treaties).

EXAMPLE The European Union (EU), NAFTA, WTO, WCO, ICAO, WHO, Red Cross, the ISO, the IEC, the ITU, etc.

Note 3 to entry: Several levels and categories of jurisdictional domains may exist within a jurisdictional domain.

Note 4 to entry: A jurisdictional domain may impact aspects of the commitment(s) made as part of a business transaction including those pertaining to the making, selling, transfer of goods, services and/or rights (and resulting liabilities) and associated information. This is independent of whether such an interchange of commitments is conducted on a for-profit or not-for-profit basis and/or includes monetary values.

Note 5 to entry: Laws, regulations, directives, etc., issued by a jurisdictional domain are considered as parts of that jurisdictional domain and are the primary sources of external constraints on business transactions.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.67]

3.70

jurisdictional domain identifier

ID code (3.53) of a *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) as recognized for use by peer *jurisdictional domains* within a system of mutual recognition

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.47]

3.71

language

systematic use of sounds, characters, symbols or signs to express meaning or communicate meaning or a message

Note 1 to entry: In this document, language refers to natural languages or special languages, but not "programming languages" or "artificial languages".

[SOURCE: Adapted from ISO 5127:2017, 3.1.5.01, add note 1 to entry]

3.72

language code

code that maps individual languages or language groups, represented by their unique language reference name, to language identifiers

Note 1 to entry: The ISO 639 language code.

Note 2 to entry: In this multipart ISO/IEC 15944 standard, the ISO 639-2/T (terminology) three alpha-code, shall be used.

[SOURCE: Adapted from ISO 639:2023, 3.7.3, add note 1 to entry]

3.73

legally recognized individual identity

LRII

recognized individual identity (rii) (3.125) which includes the use of a *recognized individual name (RIN)* (3.126) and the associated identifier, i.e., *ID code* (3.53), assigned as part of the *personal information* (3.106) for that *individual* (3.56) in the *individual persona Registration Schema (ipRS)* (3.61)

3.74

legally recognized individual identity Registration Schema

LRII-RS

individual persona Registration Schema (ipRS) (3.61) which has legal status and is so recognized in a *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) as being able to register a *recognized individual name (RIN)* (3.126) and unique identifier associated with such a registration

3.75

legally recognized language

LRL

natural language (3.85) which has status (other than an *official language* (3.88) or *de facto language* (3.38)) in a *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) as stated in an act, regulation, or other legal instrument, which grants a community of people (or its *individuals* (3.56)) the right to use that *natural language* in the context stipulated by the legal instrument(s)

Note 1 to entry: The LRL can be specified through either: (a) the identification of a language by the name used; or, (b) the identification of a people and thus their language(s).

EXAMPLE In addition to acts and regulations, legal instruments include self-government agreements, land claim settlements, court decisions, jurisprudence, etc.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.71]

3.76

legally recognized name

LRN

persona (3.104) associated with a *role* (3.139) of a *Person* (3.102) recognized as having legal status and so recognized in a *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) as accepted or assigned in compliance with the *rules* (3.140) applicable of that *jurisdictional domain*, i.e. as governing the *coded domain* (3.19) of which the *LRN* (3.76) is a member

Note 1 to entry: A LRN may be of a general nature and thus be available for general use in commitment exchange or may arise from the application of a particular law, regulation, program or service of a jurisdictional domain and thus will have a specified use in commitment exchange.

Note 2 to entry: The process of the establishment of a LRN is usually accompanied by the assignment of a unique identifier.

Note 3 to entry: A LRN is usually a registry entry in a register established by the jurisdictional domain (usually by a specified public administration within that jurisdictional domain) for the purpose of applying the applicable rules and registering and recording LRNs (and possible accompanying unique identifiers accordingly).

Note 4 to entry: A Person may have more than one LRN (and associated LRN identifier).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.72]

3.77

list

ordered set of *data elements* (3.32)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.73]

3.78**localization**

pertaining to or concerned with anything that is not global and is bound through specified sets of *constraints* (3.26) of: (a) a linguistic nature including natural and *special languages* (3.152) and associated multilingual requirements; (b) jurisdictional nature, i.e., legal, regulatory, geopolitical, etc.; (c) a sectoral nature, i.e., industry sector, scientific, professional, etc.; (d) a human rights nature, i.e., privacy, disabled/handicapped persons, etc.; (e) consumer behaviour requirements; and/or, (f) safety or health requirements

Note 1 to entry: Within and among "locales", interoperability and harmonization objectives also apply.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.75]

3.79**location**

place, either physical or electronic, that can be defined as an *address* (3.1)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.50]

3.80**medium**

physical material which serves as a functional unit, in or on which information or *data* (3.30) is normally recorded, in which information or *data* can be retained and carried, from which information or *data* can be retrieved, and which is non-volatile in nature

Note 1 to entry: This definition is independent of the material nature on which the information is recorded and/or technology used to record the information, (e.g., paper, photographic, (chemical), magnetic, optical, ICs (integrated circuits), as well as other categories no longer in common use such as vellum, parchment (and other animal skins), plastics, (e.g., bakelite or vinyl), textiles, (e.g., linen, canvas), metals, etc.).

Note 2 to entry: The inclusion of the "non-volatile in nature" attribute is to cover latency and records retention requirements.

Note 3 to entry: This definition of "medium" is independent of: (a) the form or format of recorded information; (b) the physical dimension and/or size; (c) any container or housing that is physically separate from material being housed and without which the medium can remain a functional unit.

Note 4 to entry: This definition of "medium" also captures and integrates the following key properties: (a) the property of medium as a material in or on which information or data can be recorded and retrieved; (b) the property of storage; (c) the property of physical carrier; (d) the property of physical manifestation, i.e., material; (e) the property of a functional unit; (f) the property of (some degree of) stability of the material in or on which the information or data is recorded.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.34]

3.81**model**

abstraction of some aspect of reality

[SOURCE: ISO 19115-1:2014, 4.4.4.9]

3.82**multilingualism**

ability to support not only *character sets* (3.15) specific to a (*natural*) *language* (3.85) (or family of *languages* (3.71)) and associated *rules* (3.140) but also *localization* (3.78) requirements, i.e., use of a *language* from *jurisdictional domain* (3.69), sectoral and/or *consumer* (3.27) marketplace perspectives

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.82]

3.83**mutually defined-recognized individual identity****md-rii**

recognized individual identity (rii) (3.125) which is mutually defined and agreed to for use between the *seller* (3.147) and the individual (3.56), as *buyer* (3.13), in a *business transaction* (3.11)

Note 1 to entry: The establishment of a mutually agreed to and recognized individual between a seller and individual, as buyer, does not extinguish the applicable privacy protection rights of that individual.

Note 2 to entry: A mutually defined recognized individual identity (md-rii) shall be established between the seller and the individual no later than the end of the negotiation phase.

Note 3 to entry: Use of a mutually defined recognized individual identity (md-rii) may not be permitted where external constraints apply.

3.84**name**

designation (3.40) of an *individual* (3.56) concept by a linguistic expression

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.35. Adapted from ISO 5217:2000 and ISO 1087:2009]

3.85**natural language**

language (3.71) which is or was in active use in a community of people, and the *rules* (3.140) of which are mainly deduced from the usage

[SOURCE: ISO 5217:2000, 1.1.2.02]

3.86**object**

anything perceivable or conceivable

Note 1 to entry: Objects may be material (e.g. 'engine', 'sheet of paper', 'diamond'), immaterial (e.g. 'conversion ratio', 'project plan') or imagined, (e.g., 'unicorn', 'scientific hypothesis').

[SOURCE: ISO 1087:2009, 3.1.1]

3.87**object class**

set of ideas, abstractions, or things in the real world that are identified with explicit boundaries and meaning and whose properties and behaviour follow the same *rules* (3.140)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-1:2023, 3.3.1]

3.88**official language**

external constraint (3.48) in the form of a *natural language* (3.85) specified by a *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) for official use by *Persons* (3.102) forming part of and/or subject to that *jurisdictional domain* for use in communication(s) either: (a) within that *jurisdictional domain*; and/or, (b) among such *Persons*, where such communications are *recorded information* (3.128) involving *commitment(s)* (3.23)

Note 1 to entry: Unless official language requirements state otherwise, Persons are free to choose their mutually acceptable natural language and/or special language for communications as well as exchange of commitments.

Note 2 to entry: A jurisdictional domain decides whether or not it has an official language. If not, it will have a de facto language.

Note 3 to entry: An official language(s) can be mandated for formal communications as well as provision of goods and services to Persons subject to that jurisdictional domain and for use in the legal and other conflict resolution system(s) of that jurisdictional domain, etc.

Note 4 to entry: Where applicable, use of an official language may be required in the exercise of rights and obligations of individuals in that jurisdictional domain.

Note 5 to entry: Where an official language of a jurisdictional domain has a controlled vocabulary of the nature of a terminology, it may well have the characteristics of a special language. In such cases, the terminology to be used is required to be specified.

Note 6 to entry: For an official language, the writing system(s) to be used shall be specified, where the spoken use of a natural language has more than one writing system.

EXAMPLE 1 The spoken language of use of an official language may at times have more than one writing system. For example, three writing systems exist for the Inuktitut language. Canada uses two of these writing systems, namely, a Latin-1 based (Roman), the other is syllabic-based. The third is used in Russia and is Cyrillic based.

EXAMPLE 2 Norway has two official writing systems, both Latin-1 based, namely, Bokmål (Dano-Norwegian) and Nynorsk (New Norwegian).

Note 7 to entry: A jurisdictional domain may have more than one official language but these may or may not have equal status.

EXAMPLE 3 Canada has two official languages, Switzerland has three, while the Union of South Africa has eleven official languages.

Note 8 to entry: The BOV requirement of the use of a specified language will place that requirement on any FSV supporting service.

EXAMPLE 4 A BOV requirement of Arabic, Chinese, Russian, Japanese, Korean, etc., as an official language requires the FSV support service to be able to handle the associated character sets.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.87]

3.89

Open-edi

Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) (3.44) among multiple autonomous *Persons* (3.102) to accomplish an explicit shared *business* (3.7) goal according to *Open-edi* (3.89) standards (3.153)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.14]

3.90

Open-edi Description Technique

OeDT

specification method such as a *Formal Description Technique* (3.49), another methodology having the characteristics of a *Formal Description Technique*, or a combination of such techniques as needed to formally specify *BOV* (3.10) concepts, in a computer processable form

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.16]

3.91

Open-edi disposition

Process (3.117) governing the implementation of formally approved records retention, destruction (or expungement) or transfer of *recorded information* (3.128) under the control of a *Person* (3.102) which are documented in disposition authorities or similar instruments

Note 1 to entry: Within an organization, Open-edi disposition shall be in accordance and compliant with the applicable Open-edi records retention (OeRR) and disposal schedule (RRDS) of the organization.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.90. Adapted from ISO 15489-1]

3.92

Open-edi Party

OeP

Person (3.102) that participates in *Open-edi* (3.89)

Note 1 to entry: Often referred to generically in this and other eBusiness standards, (e.g., parts of the ISO/IEC 15944 multipart eBusiness standard) as party or parties for any entity modelled as a Person as playing a role in Open-edi scenarios.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.17]

3.93**Open-edi Record Retention****OeRR**

specification of a period of time that a *set of recorded information* (3.150) is required to be kept by a *Person* (3.102) in order to meet operational, legal, regulatory, fiscal or other requirements as specified in the *external constraints* (3.48) (or *internal constraints* (3.66)) applicable to a *Person* who is a party to a *business transaction* (3.11)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.92]

3.94**Open-edi Registration Item****OeRI**

recorded information (3.128) within a *registry* (3.135) relating to a specific *Open-edi scenario* (3.95) or *scenario components* (3.144) of a scenario including linkage information to a *scenario content* (3.145)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.70]

3.95**Open-edi scenario****OeS**

formal specification of a class of *business transactions* (3.11) having the same *business* (3.7) goal

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.18]

3.96**Open-edi Support Infrastructure****OeSI**

model(3.81) of the set of functional capabilities for *Open-edi systems* (3.97) which, when taken together with the *Decision Making Applications* (3.37), allows *Open-edi Parties* (3.92) to participate in *Open-edi transactions* (3.98)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.20]

3.97**Open-edi system**

information technology system (IT System) (3.65) which enables an *Open-edi Party* (3.92) to participate in *Open-edi transactions* (3.98)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.22]

3.98**Open-edi transaction**

business transaction (3.11) that is in compliance with an *Open-edi scenario* (3.95)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.23]

3.99**organization**

unique framework of authority within which a person or persons act, or are designated to act, towards some purpose

Note 1 to entry: The kinds of organizations covered by this International Standard include the following examples.

EXAMPLE 1 An organization incorporated under law.

EXAMPLE 2 An unincorporated organization or activity providing goods, services, and/or rights including: (a) partnerships; (b) social or other non-profit organizations or similar bodies in which ownership or control is vested in a group of individuals; (c) sole proprietorships; (d) governmental bodies.

EXAMPLE 3 Groupings of the above types of organizations where there is a need to identify these in information interchange.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.44. Adapted from ISO/IEC 6523-1: 2023, 3.1]

3.100

organization part

any department, service or other *entity* (3.45) within an *organization* (3.99), which needs to be identified for information interchange

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2017, 3.44. Adapted from ISO/IEC 6523-1:2023, 3.2]

3.101

organization Person

organization part (3.100) which has the properties of a *Person* (3.102) and thus is able to make *commitments* (3.23) on behalf of that *organization* (3.99)

Note 1 to entry: An organization can have one or more organization Persons.

Note 2 to entry: An organization Person is deemed to represent and act on behalf of the organization and to do so in a specified capacity.

Note 3 to entry: An organization Person can be a natural person such as an employee or officer of the organization.

Note 4 to entry: An organization Person can be a legal person, i.e., another organization.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.46]

3.102

Person

entity (3.45), i.e., a natural or legal person, recognized by law as having legal rights and duties, able to make *commitment(s)* (3.23), assume and fulfil resulting obligation(s), and able of being held accountable for its action(s)

Note 1 to entry: Synonyms for legal person include "artificial person", "body corporate", etc., depending on the terminology used in competent jurisdictional domains.

Note 2 to entry: Person is capitalized to indicate that it is being used as formally defined in the standards and to differentiate it from its day-to-day use.

Note 3 to entry: Minimum and common external constraints applicable to a business transaction often require one to differentiate among three common subtypes of Person, namely individual, organization, and public administration.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.24]

3.103

Person authentication

provision of the assurance of a *recognized Person identity (rPi)* (3.127) (sufficient for the purpose of the *business transaction* (3.11)) by corroboration

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.48]

3.104

persona

set of *data elements* (3.32) and their values by which a *Person* (3.102) wishes to be known and thus identified in a *business transaction* (3.11)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.51]

3.105

persona Registration Schema

pRS

formal *definition* (3.39) of the *data* (3.30) fields contained in the specification of a *persona* (3.104) of a *Person* (3.102) and the allowable contents of those fields, including the *rules* (3.140) for the assignment of identifiers

Note 1 to entry: This may also be referred to as a persona profile of a Person.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.52]

3.106**personal information****PI**

any information on or about an identifiable *individual* (3.56) that is recorded in any form, including electronically or on paper

EXAMPLE Recorded information about an individual's religion, age, financial transactions, medical history, address, or blood type.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.105]

3.107**Person identity****Pi**

combination of *persona* (3.104) information and identifier used by a *Person* (3.102) in a *business transaction* (3.11)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.49]

3.108**Person signature**

signature, i.e., a *name* (3.84) representation, distinguishing mark or usual mark, which is created by and pertains to a *Person* (3.102)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.50]

3.109**personal information filing system**

any structured set of *personal information* (3.106) which is accessible according to specific criteria, whether centralized, decentralized or dispersed on a functional or geographical basis

3.110**physical address**

address (3.1) that is used/recognized by a postal authority and/or courier service to deliver information item(s), material *object(s)* (3.86), or *business object(s)* (3.9) to a Contact at either an actual *address* or a pick-up point *address*, (e.g., P.O. Box, rural route, etc.)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.80]

3.111**pivot code set**

set of *ID codes* (3.53) in a *coded domain* (3.19) which is made publicly known and available, the most stable, representing the defined semantics. Most often it is the same as the *ID code*

Note 1 to entry: The use of the pivot code set as distinguished from the ID code supports the requirement of a Source Authority to maintain internally and on a confidential basis the ID code of its members.

Note 2 to entry: At times a coded domain has more than one valid code set, (e.g., ISO 639, ISO 3166, etc.).

EXAMPLE In ISO 3166-1 the 3-digit numeric code is the pivot. The 2-alpha and 3-alpha code sets can change when the name of the entity referenced is changed by that entity.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2017, 3.104]

3.112**pivot ID code**

most stable *ID code* (3.53) assigned to identify a member of a *coded domain* (3.19) where more than one *ID code* may be assigned and/or associated with a member of that *coded domain*

EXAMPLE ISO 3166-1 contains three code sets: (a) a three-digit numeric code; (b) a two-alpha code; (c) a three-alpha code. The three-digit numeric code serves as the pivot ID code. It is the most stable, remains the same even though the two-alpha and/or three-alpha codes may and do change.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.105]

3.113**principle**

fundamental, primary assumption and quality which constitutes a source of action determining particular objectives or results

Note 1 to entry: A principle is usually enforced by rules that affect its boundaries.

Note 2 to entry: A principle is usually supported through one or more rules.

Note 3 to entry: A principle is usually part of a set of principles which together form a unified whole.

EXAMPLE Within a jurisdictional domain, examples of a set of principles include a charter, a constitution, etc.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.80]

3.114**privacy collaboration space****PCS**

modelling or inclusion of an *Open-edi scenario* (3.95) of a *collaboration space* (3.22) involving an *individual* (3.56) as the *buyer* (3.13) in a potential or actualized *business transaction* (3.11) where the *buyer* is an *individual* and therefore *privacy protection* (3.115) requirements apply to *personal information* (3.106) of that *individual* provided in that *business transaction*

3.115**privacy protection**

set of *external constraints* (3.48) of a *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) pertaining to *recorded information* (3.128) on or about an identifiable *individual* (3.56), i.e., *personal information* (3.106), with respect to the creation, collection, management, retention, access and use and/or distribution of such *recorded information* about that *individual* including its accuracy, timeliness, and relevancy

Note 1 to entry: Recorded information collected or created for a specific purpose on an identifiable individual, i.e., the explicitly shared goal of the business transaction involving an individual, shall not be used for another purpose without the explicit and informed consent of the individual to whom the recorded information pertains.

Note 2 to entry: Privacy protection requirements include the right of an individual to be able to view the recorded information about him/herself and to request corrections to the same in order to ensure that such recorded information is accurate and up-to-date.

Note 3 to entry: Where jurisdictional domains have legal requirements which override privacy protection requirements these are required to be specified, (e.g., national security, investigations by law enforcement agencies, etc.).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.109]

3.116**privacy protection officer****PPO**

organization Person (3.101) authorized by the *organization* (3.99) to act on behalf of that *organization* and entrusted by the *organization* as the officer responsible for the overall governance and implementation of the *privacy protection* (3.115) requirements for information life cycle management not only within that *organization* but also with respect to any *electronic data interchange* (3.44) of *personal information* (3.106) on the *individual* (3.56) concerned with parties to the *business transaction* (3.11), including a *regulator* (3.136) where required, as well as any *agents* (3.2), *third parties* (3.156) involved in that *business transaction*

3.117**process**

series of actions or events taking place in a defined manner leading to the accomplishment of an expected result

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.53]

3.118**processing of personal information**

any operation or set of operations which is performed upon *personal information* (3.106), whether or not by automatic means, such as collection, recording, organization, storage, adaptation or alteration, retrieval, consultation, use, disclosure by transmission, dissemination or otherwise making available, alignment or combination, blocking, erasure, destruction or expungement of such *personal information*

3.119**property**

peculiarity common to all members of an *object class* (3.87)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.111. Adapted from ISO/IEC 11179-1:2023]

3.120**pseudonym**

use of a *persona* (3.104) or other identifier by an *individual* (3.56) which is different from that used by the *individual* with the intention that it be not linkable to that *individual*

[SOURCE: Adapted from ISO TS25237.]

3.121**pseudonymization**

particular type of anonymization that removes the associate with an *individual* and adds an associate between a particular set of *characteristics* (3.14) relating to the *individual* and one more *pseudonym* (3.120)

[SOURCE: Adapted from ISO TR25237.]

3.122**public administration**

entity (3.45), i.e., a *Person* (3.102), which is an *organization* (3.99) and has the added *attribute* (3.4) of being authorized to act on behalf of a *regulator* (3.136)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.54]

3.123**public policy**

category of *external constraints* (3.48) of a *jurisdictional domain* (3.69) specified in the form of a right of an *individual* (3.56) or a requirement of an *organization* (3.99) and/or *public administration* (3.122) with respect to an *individual* pertaining to any exchange of *commitments* (3.23) among the parties concerned involving a good, service and/or right including information management and interchange requirements

Note 1 to entry: Public policy requirements may apply to any one, all or combinations of the fundamental activities comprising a business transaction, i.e., planning, identification, negotiation, actualization and post-actualization. {See further [Clause 6.3](#) "Rules governing the process component" in ISO/IEC 15944-1}.

Note 2 to entry: It is up to each jurisdictional domain to determine whether or not the age of an individual qualifies a public policy requirement, (e.g., those which specifically apply to an individual under the age of thirteen (13) as a "child", those which require an individual to have attained the age of adulthood, (e.g., 18 years or 21 years of age) of an individual to be able to make commitments of a certain nature.

Note 3 to entry: Jurisdictional domains may have consumer protection or privacy protection requirements which apply specifically to individuals who are considered to be "children", "minors", etc. (e.g. those who have not reached their 18th or 21st birthday according to the rules of the applicable jurisdictional domain).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.113]

3.124**publicly available personal information****PAPI**

personal information (3.106) about an *individual* (3.56) that the *individual* knowingly makes or permits to be made available to the public, or is legally obtained and accessed from: a) government records that are available to the public; or, b) information required by law to be made available to the public

EXAMPLE 1 Personal information which an individual knowingly makes or permits to be made available include public telephone directories, advertisements in newspapers, published materials, postings of this nature on the internet, social media, etc.

EXAMPLE 2 Government records that are publicly available include registers of individuals who are entitled to vote, buy or sell a property, or any other personal information that a jurisdictional domain requires to be publicly available, etc.

3.125**recognized individual identity****rri**

individual identity (3.60), established to the extent necessary for the specific purpose of a *business transaction* (3.11)

3.126**recognized individual name****RIN**

persona (3.104) of an *individual* (3.56) having the *properties* (3.119) of a *legally recognized name (LRN)* (3.76)

Note 1 to entry: On the whole, a *persona* presented by an individual should have a basis in law (or recognized jurisdictional domain) in order to be considered as the basis for a recognized individual name (RIN).

Note 2 to entry: An individual may have more than one RIN and more than one RIN at the same time.

Note 3 to entry: The establishment of a RIN is usually accompanied by the assignment of a unique identifier, i.e. by the jurisdictional domain (or public administration) which recognizes the *persona* as a RIN.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, 3.114]

3.127**recognized Person identity****rPi**

Person identity (Pi) (3.107), established to the extent necessary for a specific purpose in a *business transaction* (3.11)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.55]

3.128**recorded information**

information that is recorded on or in a *medium* (3.80) irrespective of form, recording *medium* or technology used, and in a manner allowing for storage and retrieval

Note 1 to entry: This is a generic definition and is independent of any ontology, (e.g., those of "facts" versus "data" versus "information" versus "intelligence" versus "knowledge", etc.).

Note 2 to entry: Through the use of the term "information," all attributes of this term are inherited in this definition.

Note 3 to entry: This definition covers: (a) any form of recorded information, means of recording, and any medium on which information can be recorded; (b) all types of recorded information including all data types, instructions or software, databases, etc.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.56]

3.129**register**

set of files containing identifiers assigned to items with descriptions of the associated items

[SOURCE: ISO 19135-1:2015, 4.1.9]

3.130**registration**

rule-based *process* (3.117), explicitly stated, involving the use of one or more *data elements* (3.32), whose value (or combination of values) is used to identify uniquely the results of assigning an *Open-edition Registration Item* (OeRI)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-2:2015, 3.94]

3.131**Registration Authority****RA**

Person (3.102) responsible for the maintenance of one or more *Registration Schemas (RS)* (3.133) including the assignment of a unique identifier for each recognized *entity* (3.45) in a *Registration Schema (RS)*

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.57]

3.132**Registration Authority Identifier****RA identifier****RAI**

identifier assigned to a *Registration Authority (RA)* (3.131)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-1:2023, 3.3.17]

3.133**Registration Schema****RS**

formal *definition* (3.39) of a set of *rules* (3.140) governing the *data* (3.30) fields for the description of an *entity* (3.45) and the allowable contents of those fields, including the *rules* for the assignment of identifiers

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.58]

3.134**Registration Schema (based) –recognized individual identity****RS-rii**

recognized individual identity (rii) (3.125) for use in a *business transaction* (3.11), by the buyer (3.13) as an *individual* (3.56), which is one based on the use by an *individual* as a member of a specified *Registration Schema (RS)* (3.133) of a particular *Registration Authority (RA)* (3.131)

3.135**registry**

information system on which a *register* (3.129) is maintained

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 19135-1:2015, 4.1.13]

3.136**regulator**

Person (3.102) who has authority to prescribe *external constraints* (3.48) which serve as *principles* (3.113), policies or *rules* (3.140) governing or prescribing the behaviour of *Persons* involved in a *business transaction* (3.11) as well as the provisioning of goods, services, and/or rights interchanged

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.59]