

95FTM5

The Surface Fatigue Life of Contour Induction Hardened AISI 1552 Gears

by: Dennis Townsend, NASA Lewis Research Center,
Alan Turza, Reliance Electric Company, and Mike Chaplin,
Contour Hardening, Inc.

American Gear Manufacturers Association



TECHNICAL PAPER

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

The Surface Fatigue Life of Contour Induction Hardened AISI 1552 Gears

Dennis Townsend, NASA Lewis Research Center, Alan Turza, Reliance Electric Company, and Mike Chaplin, Contour Hardening, Inc.

[The statements and opinions contained herein are those of the author and should not be construed as an official action or opinion of the American Gear Manufacturers Association.]

Abstract

Two groups of spur gears manufactured from two different materials and heat treatments were endurance tested for surface fatigue life. One group was manufactured from AISI 1552 and was finished ground to $0.4\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ($16\text{ }\mu\text{in}$) rms surface finish and then dual frequency contour induction hardened. The second group was manufactured from CEVM AISI 9310 and was carburized, hardened, and ground to a $0.4\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ($16\text{ }\mu\text{in}$) rms surface finish. The gear pitch diameter was 8.89 cm (3.5 in.). Test conditions were a maximum Hertz stress of 1.71 GPa (248 ksi), a bulk gear temperature of approximately 350 K (170F) and a speed of 10 000 rpm. The lubricant used for the tests was a synthetic paraffinic oil with an additive package. The test results showed that the 10 percent surface fatigue (pitting) life of the contour hardened AISI 1552 test gears was 1.7 times that of the carburized and hardened AISI 9310 test gears. Also there were two early failures of the AISI 1552 gears by bending fatigue.

Copyright © 1995

American Gear Manufacturers Association
1500 King Street, Suite 201
Alexandria, Virginia, 22314

October, 1995

ISBN: 1-55589-654-5

THE SURFACE FATIGUE LIFE OF CONTOUR INDUCTION HARDENED AISI 1552 GEARS

Dennis P. Townsend
NASA Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio

Alan Turza
Reliance Electric Company
Greenville South Carolina

and

Mike Chaplin
Contour Hardening, Inc.
Indianapolis, Indiana

Introduction:

Induction hardening has been used for several years to harden and improve the strength and durability of gears and other components [1 and 2]. Many applications using induction hardening require a relatively long time to complete the hardening process and control of the case hardness and case depth has often been less than desirable. Other methods of case hardening such as carburizing are very time consuming and tend to induce distortions in the gear which requires additional finishing operations to correct the distortions. Dual frequency induction hardening [3] uses a low frequency to pre-heat the gear and a much higher frequency to very quickly heat the surface of the gear for case hardening. The gear is then immediately quenched resulting in a hard case and good core properties with very little distortion. The dual frequency process also induces some residual compressive stress in the surface being hardened which improves the bending and surface fatigue strength of the material. This process is very attractive to the gear industry because it very dramatically speeds up the production process.

The increased surface compressive residual stress should provide good surface fatigue life and good bending fatigue life for the gears [4 to 7]. If an improvement in the surface and strength properties of gears is obtained by the dual frequency hardening process an increase in the life and reliability of the gear will be realized.

The objectives of the research reported herein were (1) to investigate the effects of dual frequency surface induction hardening of gear teeth on the surface fatigue life of AISI 1552 spur gears, and (2) to compare the life of the induction hardened gears to that of carburized, hardened and ground CEVM AISI 9310 test gears. To accomplish these objectives, one group of gears were manufactured from a single heat of consumable-electrode-vacuum-melted (CEVM) AISI 9310 gear material that was case carburized, hard-

ened and ground. A second group of gears was manufactured from AISI 1552. This group of gears was heat treated to a core hardness of Rc 34-38 after which they were finish ground. After the finishing operation the gears were case hardened by the dual frequency induction method to a case hardness of Rc 60 and a case depth of 0.0635 cm (0.025 in.).

Apparatus, Specimens, and Procedure:

Gear Test Apparatus: The gear fatigue tests were performed in the NASA Lewis Research Center's gear test apparatus (Fig. 1). This test rig used the four-square principle of applying the test gear load so that the input drive only needs to overcome the frictional losses in the system. A schematic of the test rig is shown in Fig. 1(b). Oil pressure and leakage flow are supplied to the load vanes through a shaft seal. As the oil pressure is increased on the load vanes, the slave gear applies the loop torque. This torque is transmitted through the test gears back to the slave gear, where an equal but opposite torque is maintained by the oil pressure. This torque on the test gears, which depends on the hydraulic pressure applied to the load vanes, loads the gear teeth to the desired contact or Hertz stress level. The two identical test gears can be started under no load, and the load can be applied gradually, without changing the running track on the gear teeth.

Separate lubrication systems are provided for the test gears and the main gearbox. The two lubrication systems are separated at the gearbox shafts by pressurized labyrinth seals. Nitrogen is used as the seal gas. The test gear lubricant is filtered through a 5- μ m nominal fiberglass filter. The test lubricant can be heated electrically with an immersion heater. The temperature of the heater is controlled to prevent overheating the test lubricant.

A vibration transducer mounted on the gearbox is used to automatically shut off the test rig when a gear surface fatigue pr-

curs. The gearbox is also automatically shut off if there is a loss of oil flow to either the main gearbox or the test gears, if the test gear oil overheats, or if there is a loss of seal gas pressurization.

The test rig is belt-driven by a variable speed motor. The operating speed for the test reported herein was 10 000 rpm.

Test Materials: One set of test gears was manufactured from a single heat of consumable-electrode vacuum-melted (CEVM) AISI 9310 steel. The AISI 9310 gears were case hardened to a case hardness of Rockwell C 61 and a case depth of 0.97 mm (0.038 in.). The nominal core hardness was Rockwell C 38. The second set of gears were manufactured from AISI 1552 and were case hardened by dual frequency induction system to a case hardness of Rc 60 to a depth of 0.0635 cm (0.025 in.). The core hardness was Rc 34-38. The chemical composition of the AISI 9310 and AISI 1552 materials are given in Table 1. The AISI 9310 test gears were case carburized and heat treated in accordance with the heat treatment schedule of Table 2. The AISI 1552 test gears were heat treated as shown in Table 3 to obtain the core hardness before finish grinding. They were then finish ground and induction hardened by heating the tooth flank surface with the dual frequency system as shown in Table 3 and immediately quenched from the induction hardening process to obtain the case depth and hardness. Figure 2 is a cross section of the AISI 1552 gear showing the case hardened area around the gear teeth. The gears were not finished after the induction hardening process. Figure 3(a) to (c) are photomicrographs of etched and polished gear teeth cross section showing the case, case core interface and core microstructure of the AISI 1552 material which is tempered martensite. Figure 4(a) and (b) are photomicrographs of etched and polished gear teeth cross section showing the case and core microstructure of the AISI 9310 material.

Test Gears: Dimensions of the test gears are given in Table 4. All gears have a nominal surface finish on the tooth face of $0.4\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (16 $\mu\text{in.}$) rms. and a standard 20 degree involute profile with tip relief. The linear tip relief was 0.0013 cm (0.0005 in.), starting at the highest point of single-tooth contact. The AISI 9310 test gears were finish ground after heat treatment. The AISI 1552 test gears were finish ground before contour hardening and were not finished after hardening. Therefore any distortion induced by the induction hardening process would not be removed. Table 5 shows the case and core hardness properties of the AISI 9310 and AISI 1552 gears. Figure 5(a) shows a hardness profile of the hardened case of the AISI 1552 test gear at several locations on the tooth. Figure 5(b) shows the hardness profile of the flank of the AISI 9310 gears. Figure 6(a) shows a typical residual stress curve for the carburized, hardened and ground AISI 9310 test gears. Figure 6(b) shows a typical residual stress curve for a dual frequency hardened AISI 1552 test gear.

Test Lubricant: All the gears were lubricated with a single bath of synthetic paraffinic oil. The physical properties of this lubricant are summarized in Table 6. A five percent by volume extreme-pressure additive, designated Lubrizol 5002 (partial chemical analysis given in Table 6), was added to the lubricant.

Test Procedure: After the test gears were cleaned to remove the preservative, they were assembled on the test rig. The 0.635 cm (0.25-in.) wide test gears were run in an offset condition with a 0.30-cm (0.12-in.) tooth-surface overlap to give a load surface on the gear face of 0.28 cm (0.11 in.), thereby allowing for the

edge radius of the gear teeth. If both faces of the gears were tested, four fatigue tests could be run for each set of gears. All tests were run in at a pitch-line load of 1225 N/cm (700 lb/in.) for 1 hr, which gave a maximum Hertz stress of 0.756 GPa (111 ksi). The load was then increased to 5784 N/cm (3305 lb/in.), which resulted in a pitch-line maximum Hertz stress of 1.71 GPa (248 ksi). At the pitchline load the tooth bending stress was 0.21 GPa (30 ksi) if plain bending is assumed. However, because there was an offset load, an additional stress was imposed on the tooth bending stress. Combining the bending and torsional moments results in a maximum stress of 0.26 GPa (37 ksi). This bending stress does not include the effects of tip relief, which would also increase the bending stress since the tip relief shifts the load to a higher point of single tooth contact.

Operating the test gears at 10 000 rpm results in a pitch-line velocity of 46.55 m/sec (9163 ft/min). Lubricant was supplied to the inlet mesh at $800\text{ cm}^3/\text{min}$ (0.21 gpm) at $319\pm 6\text{ K}$ ($116\pm 10\text{ }^\circ\text{F}$). The lubricant outlet temperature was nearly constant at $350\pm 3\text{ K}$ ($170\pm 5\text{ }^\circ\text{F}$). The tests ran continuously (24 hr/day) until they were automatically shut down by the vibration detection transducer, located on the gearbox adjacent to the test gears or reached a timed out condition of 300×10^6 cycles. The lubricant is circulated through a 5- μm fiberglass filter to remove wear particles. After each test the lubricant and the filter element were discarded. Inlet and outlet oil temperatures were continuously recorded on a strip-chart recorder.

The pitch-line elastohydrodynamic (EHD) film thickness was calculated by the method of Ref. [8]. It was assumed, for this film thickness calculation, that the gear temperature at the pitch line was equal to the outlet oil temperature and that the inlet oil temperature to the contact zone was equal to the gear temperature even though the inlet oil temperature was considerably lower. It is more likely based on previously measured temperatures [9] that the gear surface temperature was even higher than the outlet oil temperature, especially at the end points of sliding contact. The EHD film thickness for these conditions was computed to be $0.33\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (13 $\mu\text{in.}$), which gave an initial ratio of film thickness to composite surface roughness h/σ of 0.55 at the 1.71 GPa (248 ksi) pitch-line maximum Hertz stress.

Results and Discussion:

One lot of carburized hardened and ground CEVM AISI 9310 gears and one lot of contour induction hardened AISI 1552 gears were tested in pairs until failure or were suspended after 500 hr of testing without failure. Twenty tests were conducted with five sets of gears for the AISI 9310 lot of material and only 10 tests were conducted, to save time and money, with four sets of gears for the AISI 1552 gears. Test conditions were a tangential tooth load of 5784 Newton's per centimeter (3305 lb/in.) which produced a maximum Hertz stress of 1.71 GPa (248 ksi) and a speed of 10 000 rpm. The gears failed by classical subsurface pitting fatigue or tooth bending fracture. There were nineteen failures and one suspension for the AISI 9310 gears and five failures and five suspensions for the AISI 1552 gears. Test results were analyzed by considering the life of each pair of gears as a system.

Surface (pitting) fatigue life results for the AISI 9310 gears are shown in Fig. 7(a). These data were analyzed by the method of Ref. [10]. The 10 and 50 percent lives were 20.6×10^6 and 45.4×10^6 stress cycles (34 and 76 hr), respectively. The slope of the Weibull