

Australian/New Zealand Standard™

**Geographic information—Quality
assurance of data supply**



AS/NZS ISO 19158:2013

This Joint Australian/New Zealand Standard was prepared by Joint Technical Committee IT-004, Geographical Information/Geomatics. It was approved on behalf of the Council of Standards Australia on 12 December 2012 and on behalf of the Council of Standards New Zealand on 16 November 2012.
This Standard was published on 29 January 2013.

The following are represented on Committee IT-004:

ANZLIC—The Spatial Information Council
Australasian Fire and Emergency Service Authorities Council
Australian Antarctic Division
Australian Hydrographic Office
Australian Map Circle
CSIRO Exploration and Mining
Department of Lands, NSW
Department of Primary Industries and Water, Tas.
Geoscience Australia
Land Information New Zealand
Mercury Project Solutions
Office of Spatial Data Management
University of Melbourne

Keeping Standards up-to-date

Standards are living documents which reflect progress in science, technology and systems. To maintain their currency, all Standards are periodically reviewed, and new editions are published. Between editions, amendments may be issued. Standards may also be withdrawn. It is important that readers assure themselves they are using a current Standard, which should include any amendments which may have been published since the Standard was purchased.

Detailed information about joint Australian/New Zealand Standards can be found by visiting the Standards Web Shop at www.saiglobal.com.au or Standards New Zealand web site at www.standards.co.nz and looking up the relevant Standard in the on-line catalogue.

For more frequent listings or notification of revisions, amendments and withdrawals, Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand offer a number of update options. For information about these services, users should contact their respective national Standards organization.

We also welcome suggestions for improvement in our Standards, and especially encourage readers to notify us immediately of any apparent inaccuracies or ambiguities. Please address your comments to the Chief Executive of either Standards Australia or Standards New Zealand at the address shown on the back cover.

This Standard was issued in draft form for comment as DR AS/NZS ISO 19158.

Australian/New Zealand Standard™

Geographic information—Quality assurance of data supply

First published as AS/NZS ISO 19158:2013.

COPYRIGHT

© Standards Australia Limited/Standards New Zealand

All rights are reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of the publisher, unless otherwise permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Australia) or the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

Jointly published by SAI Global Limited under licence from Standards Australia Limited, GPO Box 476, Sydney, NSW 2001 and by Standards New Zealand, Private Bag 2439, Wellington 6140.

ISBN 978 1 74342 334 9

PREFACE

This Standard was prepared by the Joint Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand Committee IT-004, Geographical Information/Geomatics.

The objective of this Standard is to provide a quality assurance framework for the producer and customer in their production relationship and to identify methods of managing the quality of production more efficiently and effectively.

This Standard is identical with, and has been reproduced from ISO/TS 19158:2012, *Geographic information—Quality assurance of data supply*.

As this Standard is reproduced from an International Standard, the following applies:

- (a) Its number appears on the cover and title page while the International Standard number appears only on the cover.
- (b) In the source text ‘this Technical Specification’ should read ‘this Australian/New Zealand Standard’.
- (c) A full point substitutes for a comma when referring to a decimal marker.

None of the normative references in the source document have been adopted as Australian or Australian/New Zealand Standards.

The terms ‘normative’ and ‘informative’ have been used in this Standard to define the application of the annex to which they apply. A ‘normative’ annex is an integral part of a Standard, whereas an ‘informative’ annex is only for information and guidance.

CONTENTS

1	Scope	1
2	Conformance	1
3	Normative references	1
4	Terms and definitions	1
5	Abbreviated terms	3
6	General principles	3
6.1	Quality assurance in production and update	3
6.2	Data quality evaluation	4
6.3	Quality assurance framework	5
7	Requirements	6
7.1	Production and/or update quality assurance	6
7.2	Quality assurance levels	6
8	Quality assessment procedures	7
8.1	Basic quality assessment	7
8.2	Operational quality assessment	7
8.3	Full quality assessment	8
8.4	Quality assurance maintenance and monitors	8
8.5	Failure to achieve required quality assurance level	9
	Annex A (normative) Abstract test suite	10
	Annex B (informative) Example of supplier responsibilities	12
	Annex C (informative) Quality assurance in production and the steps to an appropriate level of quality assurance	15
	Annex D (informative) Example of individual and team quality assessment procedure	19
	Bibliography	22

INTRODUCTION

This Technical Specification provides a quality assurance framework for the producer and customer in their production relationship. It identifies methods of managing the quality of production more efficiently and effectively. It enables innovation and continual improvement within the context of existing:

- geographic information quality principles and quality evaluation procedures, and
- quality management systems.

With ever increasing demands in value and quality in the Geographic Information (GI) market the framework facilitates the production of a product that meets requirements in terms of cost, quantity, quality and timeliness.

Through the application of the framework there are opportunities for:

- better understanding of requirements by all involved in production and update especially within multiple producer environments,
- reduced data throughput time,
- reduced rework,
- improved data quality, and
- increased confidence within a mutually beneficial relationship leading to lower costs for both supplier and organization.

ISO 19157 establishes the principles for the description of geographic data quality and specifies components for reporting quality information as well as procedures for the evaluation of geographic data quality.

The quality assessment procedure, as defined in this Technical Specification, is a second-party (customer) conformity assessment activity.

AUSTRALIAN/NEW ZEALAND STANDARD

Geographic information—Quality assurance of data supply**1 Scope**

This Technical Specification provides a framework for quality assurance specific to geographic information. It is based upon the quality principles and quality evaluation procedures of geographic information identified in ISO 19157 and the general quality management principles defined in ISO 9000 [2].

The framework defined in this Technical Specification enables a customer to satisfy itself that its suppliers, both internal and external, are capable of delivering geographic information to the required quality. Fundamental to the framework is the assurance of the supplier's ability to understand and meet the quality requirements. Through the quality assurance framework both the customer and the supplier are able to consider the quality required at the earliest opportunity in the production/update process.

Principles and responsibilities of the relationship between the customer and the supplier that facilitate the framework are provided. The responsibility for the quality assessment procedure is shared between the customer and the supplier.

This Technical Specification is applicable to customers and suppliers of all geographic information where the quality of the product may be impacted upon by the supplier's processes in any of the following scenarios:

- there is an agreement or legislation for the supply of data acquisition services,
- data acquisition services are being tendered for, and
- one or more suppliers exist in the supply chain.

This Technical Specification is not applicable for the supply of legacy datasets or 'off the shelf' products where there is no further data production or update activity to manage.

2 Conformance

Any organization claiming conformance with this specification shall pass all of the requirements described in the abstract test suite presented in Annex A.

3 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 19157:—¹⁾, *Geographic information — Data quality*

4 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

1) To be published.

4.1**customer**

organization or person that receives a product (4.3)

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2005, definition 3.3.5]

NOTE The customer can be internal or external to the supplier (4.11) organization.

4.2**process**

set of interrelated or interacting activities which transforms inputs into outputs

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2005, definition 3.4.1]

NOTE The process may be broken down further into elemental activities [sub-process (4.10)] as is deemed necessary to control the quality (4.4) of the process.

4.3**product**

result of a process (4.2)

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2005, definition 3.4.2]

4.4**quality**

degree to which a set of inherent characteristics fulfils requirements

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2005, definition 3.1.1]

NOTE For the purposes of this Technical Specification the quality characteristics of a product (4.3) include:

- data quality (the elements of which are described by ISO 19157),
- volume of delivery,
- schedule of delivery, and
- cost of production and/or update.

4.5**quality assessment procedure**

procedure by which a customer (4.1) assures that its suppliers (4.11) are capable of consistently delivering the product (4.3) to the required quality (4.4)

NOTE The assessment procedure is a second-party (customer) conformity assessment activity.

4.6**quality assessment result**

output of the quality assessment procedure (4.5)

4.7**quality assurance**

part of quality (4.4) management focused on providing confidence that quality requirements will be fulfilled

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2005, definition 3.2.11]

4.8**quality assurance level**

assurance level achieved is an outcome of the quality assessment procedure (4.5)

NOTE Three quality assurance levels can be achieved as part of the quality assurance framework: basic, operational and full.

4.9**quality control**

part of quality (4.4) management focused on fulfilling quality requirements

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2005, definition 3.2.10]

4.10**sub-process**

activity elements of a process (4.2)

NOTE Sub-processes can be broken down even further as is deemed necessary to control the quality (4.4) of the process.

EXAMPLE In the case of photogrammetric survey, aerial triangulation can be considered a sub-process.

4.11**supplier**

organization or person that provides a product (4.3)

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2005, definition 3.3.6]

NOTE 1 The supplier can be internal or external to the customer organization.

NOTE 2 In the context of this Technical Specification, the supplier has provided a product via a process that can have some impact on quality (4.4).

5 Abbreviated terms

AQL	Acceptance Quality Limit (ISO 3534-2 [1]) sometimes referred to as Acceptable Quality Level
GI	Geographic Information
KPI	Key Performance Indicators
QC	Quality Control
QA	Quality Assurance

6 General principles**6.1 Quality assurance in production and update**

Customers can provide data product specifications to suppliers expecting them to deliver data according to that specification with little or no input into the supplier's processes. Delivery is expected on time and to the volumes requested with data at the required quality level. This approach creates risks, as until the final product is delivered, there is limited confidence in the supplier's ability to achieve this. These risks are getting worse with the requirement for:

- more complex data,
- increased speed to market, and
- outsourced production and update (external to the customer).

Figure 1 identifies that a product is created from the culmination of several interrelated processes combining outputs to produce a final product. By introducing quality evaluation processes to the

data outputs from each process, sub-process, teams and individuals, according to the requirements of ISO 19157, it is possible to determine how the quality of the final product will be affected.

EXAMPLE A process is employed to correct numerous data defects. The output from this process is then passed to another process tasked with further data enhancements. Through measuring the quality of the output of both processes the error introduced into the product will be more accurately understood than by measuring the product alone. In complex production and/or update processes it is not always clear where an error has been created it is therefore not easy to resolve.

ISO 19157 identifies that data quality elements can be evaluated in various ways and at different stages of the lifecycle of a dataset. In this instance that stage is the production and update stage where the objective is to assure the customer that the product can be built and maintained to the required quality.

Figure 1 identifies that each individual, team, sub-process or process can be seen to be creating a dataset. It is this dataset that forms the scope for any testing. The scope will only include those data quality elements, based on ISO 19157, that can be affected by the individual, team, sub-process or process. In general all elements relevant to the final product will be considered. In all cases feedback on testing will be provided to ensure the required quality is achieved and maintained. This procedure may be managed within a framework provided by a quality management system.

NOTE In many cases the assurance of quality starts with the definition and design of a product. This aspect is covered in general terms in ISO 9001 [3] and more specifically with regard to GI in ISO 19131 [5].

6.2 Data quality evaluation

This Technical Specification differentiates between data testing undertaken by the supplier and the customer as quality control (QC) and data quality assurance (QA) respectively. With this differentiation, and specific supplier responsibilities, the product is built on the concept of quality.

QC data quality evaluation is built in to the production process, monitoring and controlling the output of individuals, sub-processes and processes. The sampling regime of QC is designed to take into consideration the knowledge and skill of the operators and the complexity of the tasks. QC data quality results will then provide strong indications of the likely quality of the product to be delivered to the customer. With quality assurance throughout the production process it is possible to check against customer requirements and to inform the product design process.

Once data is delivered to the customer it can be tested as product (data QA). In this instance the customer's sampling regime will take into consideration the experience of the supplier, the complexity of the task, the supplier's QC data quality results, quality plan and training records. The aim will be to reduce the requirement for data QA without creating further risk.