

Australian/New Zealand Standard™

Information technology—Process assessment

Part 4: Guidance on use for process improvement and process capability determination



AS/NZS ISO/IEC 15504.4:2005

This Joint Australian/New Zealand Standard was prepared by Joint Technical Committee IT-015, Software and Systems Engineering. It was approved on behalf of the Council of Standards Australia on 21 October 2004 and on behalf of the Council of Standards New Zealand on 12 November 2004.
This Standard was published on 5 January 2005.

The following are represented on Committee IT-015:

Australian Computer Society
Australian Information Industry Association
Australian Society for Technical Communication (NSW)
Australian Software Metrics Association
Griffith University
New Zealand Organisation for Quality
Software Engineering Australia (Qld)
Software Quality Association (ACT)
Software Quality Association (NSW)
Sydney SPIN Group (Software Process Improvement Network)
Systems Engineering Society of Australia
The University of New South Wales
University of South Australia
University of Technology, Sydney

Keeping Standards up-to-date

Standards are living documents which reflect progress in science, technology and systems. To maintain their currency, all Standards are periodically reviewed, and new editions are published. Between editions, amendments may be issued. Standards may also be withdrawn. It is important that readers assure themselves they are using a current Standard, which should include any amendments which may have been published since the Standard was purchased.

Detailed information about joint Australian/New Zealand Standards can be found by visiting the Standards Web Shop at www.standards.com.au or Standards New Zealand web site at www.standards.co.nz and looking up the relevant Standard in the on-line catalogue.

Alternatively, both organizations publish an annual printed Catalogue with full details of all current Standards. For more frequent listings or notification of revisions, amendments and withdrawals, Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand offer a number of update options. For information about these services, users should contact their respective national Standards organization.

We also welcome suggestions for improvement in our Standards, and especially encourage readers to notify us immediately of any apparent inaccuracies or ambiguities. Please address your comments to the Chief Executive of either Standards Australia or Standards New Zealand at the address shown on the back cover.

This Standard was issued in draft form for comment as DR 04403.

STANDARDS AUSTRALIA/STANDARDS NEW ZEALAND

RECONFIRMATION

OF

AS/NZS ISO/IEC 15504.4:2005

Information technology—Process assessment

**Part 4: Guidance on use for process improvement and process capability
determination**

RECONFIRMATION NOTICE

Technical Committee IT-015 has reviewed the content of this publication and in accordance with Standards Australia procedures for reconfirmation, it has been determined that the publication is still valid and does not require change.

Certain documents referenced in the publication may have been amended since the original date of publication. Users are advised to ensure that they are using the latest versions of such documents as appropriate, unless advised otherwise in this Reconfirmation Notice.

Approved for reconfirmation in accordance with Standards Australia procedures for reconfirmation on 30 October 2015.

Approved for reconfirmation in New Zealand on behalf of the Standards Council of New Zealand on 18 May 2016.

The following are represented on Technical Committee IT-015:

Australian Computer Society
Australian Society for Technical Communication (NSW)
Charles Sturt University
Department of Defence (Australian Government)
Griffith University
Institute of IT Professionals New Zealand
IT Service Management Forum Australia
La Trobe University
National Association of Testing Authorities Australia
NEHTA
New Zealand Organisation for Quality
NSW Business Chamber
Quantitative Enterprise Software Performance
Systems Engineering Society of Australia
University of Technology, Sydney

NOTES

Australian/New Zealand Standard™

Information technology—Process assessment

Part 4: Guidance on use for process improvement and process capability determination

Originated as AS 15504.4(Int)—1998.
Jointly revised and designated as AS/NZS ISO/IEC 15504.4: 2005.

COPYRIGHT

© Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand

All rights are reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of the publisher.

Jointly published by Standards Australia, GPO Box 5420, Sydney NSW 2001 and Standards New Zealand, Private Bag 2439, Wellington 6020

ISBN 0 7337 6430 4

PREFACE

This Standard was prepared by the Joint Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand Committee IT-015, Software and Systems Engineering.

This Standard is identical with, and has been reproduced from, ISO/IEC 15504-4:2004, *Information technology—Process assessment, Part 4: Guidance on use for process improvement and process capability determination*.

The objective of this Standard is to provide the software and systems engineering industry with a series of process improvement tools.

This Standard is Part 4 of AS/NZS ISO/IEC 15504, *Information technology—Process assessment*, which is published in parts as follows:

Part 2: Performing an assessment

Part 3: Guidance on performing an assessment

Part 4: Guidance on use for process improvement and process capability determination (this Standard)

The terms ‘normative’ and ‘informative’ are used to define the application of the annex to which they apply. A normative annex is an integral part of a standard, whereas an informative annex is only for information and guidance.

As this Standard is reproduced from an international standard, the following applies:

- (a) Its number appears on the cover and title page while the international standard number appears only on the cover.
- (b) In the source text ‘this International Standard’ should read ‘this Australian/New Zealand Standard’.
- (c) A full point substitutes for a comma when referring to a decimal marker.

References to International Standards should be replaced by references to Australian or Australian/New Zealand Standards, as follows:

<i>Reference to International Standard</i>		<i>Australian/New Zealand Standard</i>	
ISO/IEC		AS/NZS ISO/IEC	
12207	Information technology—Software life cycle process	12207	Information technology—Software life cycle process
15504	Information technology—Process assessment	15504	Information technology—Process assessment
15504-2	Part 2: Performing an assessment	15504.2	Part 2: Performing an assessment

CONTENTS

Page

Introduction	v
1 Scope.....	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions.....	1
4 Introduction	1
4.1 Process improvement and process capability determination.....	1
4.2 PI and PCD sponsors and teams.....	2
4.3 Process, guidance and method	2
4.4 Process improvement – purpose and outcomes.....	2
4.5 Process capability determination — purpose and outcomes	3
4.6 Process assessment output	3
5 Utilizing process assessment.....	4
5.1 General.....	4
5.2 Selecting Process Reference Model(s).....	4
5.3 Setting target capability	4
5.4 Defining the assessment input.....	6
5.5 Evaluating process-related risk.....	7
5.5.1 Inferring process-related risk from assessment output	7
5.5.2 Analysing weaknesses	9
6 Process improvement.....	10
6.1 Overview	10
6.2 Steps of process improvement	10
6.2.1 Step 1 – Examine organization’s business goals	10
6.2.2 Step 2 – Initiate process improvement cycle	11
6.2.3 Step 3 – Assess current capability.....	12
6.2.4 Step 4 – Develop action plan	12
6.2.5 Step 5 – Implement improvements.....	15
6.2.6 Step 6 – Confirm improvements	16
6.2.7 Step 7 – Sustain improvements.....	17
6.2.8 Step 8 – Monitor performance	17
7 Process capability determination.....	18
7.1 Overview	18
7.2 Steps of process capability determination.....	19
7.2.1 Step 1 – Initiate process capability determination	19
7.2.2 Step 2 – Set target capability	20
7.2.3 Step 3 – Assess current capability.....	20
7.2.4 Step 4 – Determine proposed capability.....	20
7.2.5 Step 5 – Verify proposed capability	21
7.2.6 Step 6 – Analyse process-related risk	21
7.2.7 Step 7 – Act on results	21
7.3 Comparability of assessment output analysis	21
Annex A (informative) Analysing process-related risk.....	23
A.1 Introduction	23
A.2 Probability.....	23
A.3 Consequence.....	24
A.4 Process-related risk.....	24
A.5 Determining which processes represent greatest risk.....	25

A.6	Analysis approach.....	25
A.7	Example risk analysis	25
A.7.1	F.1.3.3 System and Architectural Design.....	26
A.7.2	F.2.2 Configuration Management.....	27
A.7.3	F.3.1.4 Risk Management.....	27
Annex B	(informative) Subcontractors and consortia	28
B.1	Overview.....	28
B.1.1	Combining uniquely deployed processes	28
B.1.2	Combining processes deployed by more than one organizational unit.....	29
B.2	Enterprise reference architectures	29
Annex C	(informative) Process improvement and organizational culture	30
C.1	Introduction.....	30
C.2	Management responsibility and leadership	30
C.3	Values, attitudes and behaviour	30
C.4	Process improvement objectives and motivation	31
C.5	Communication and teamwork	31
C.6	Recognition.....	31
C.7	Education and training	31
Bibliography.....		33

INTRODUCTION

ISO/IEC 15504 provides a framework for process assessment and sets out the minimum requirements for performing an assessment in order to ensure consistency and repeatability of assessment ratings. Process assessment is applicable in the following circumstances:

- by or on behalf of an organization with the objective of understanding the state of its own processes for process improvement;
- by or on behalf of an organization with the objective of determining the capability of another organization's processes for a particular contract or class of contracts, or to determine the capability of its own processes for a particular requirement or class of requirements.

This informative part of ISO/IEC 15504 provides guidance on how to utilize a conformant process assessment within a process improvement programme or within either type of process capability determination.

ISO/IEC 15504-1 provides a general introduction to the concepts of process assessment and a glossary for assessment related terms.

ISO/IEC 15504-2 sets requirements for performing an assessment that ensure consistency and repeatability of the ratings. The requirements help to ensure that the assessment output is self-consistent and provides evidence to substantiate the ratings and to verify compliance with the requirements.

ISO/IEC 15504-3 provides guidance for interpreting the requirements for performing an assessment.

ISO/IEC 15504-5 contains an exemplar Process Assessment Model that is mapped to ISO/IEC 12207:1995/Amd.1:2002 as a Process Reference Model.

NOTES

AUSTRALIAN/NEW ZEALAND STANDARD

Information technology — Process assessment —

Part 4:

Guidance on use for process improvement and process capability determination

1 Scope

This part of ISO/IEC 15504 provides guidance on how to utilize a conformant process assessment within a process improvement programme or a process capability determination. This part of ISO/IEC 15504 is for information only.

The guidance provided does not presume specific organizational structures, management philosophies, life cycle models or development methods, although some of the examples and tables within the text are based upon processes from ISO/IEC 12207.

In the case of process improvement, the concepts and principles are appropriate for the full range of different business goals, application domains and sizes of organization, so that all types of organizations may use them. In the case of process capability determination, this guidance is applicable within any customer–supplier relationship, and to any organization wishing to determine the process capability of its own processes.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 12207, *Information technology — Software life cycle processes*

ISO/IEC 15504-1, *Information technology — Process assessment — Part 1: Concepts and vocabulary*¹⁾

ISO/IEC 15504-2, *Information technology — Process assessment — Part 2: Performing an assessment*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/IEC 15504-1 apply.

4 Introduction

4.1 Process improvement and process capability determination

Within ISO/IEC 15504, process assessment can be utilized:

- by or on behalf of an organization with the objective of understanding its own processes for *process improvement*;

1) To be published.

- by or on behalf of an organization with the objective of *determining the capability* of another organization's processes for a particular contract or class of contracts, or determining the capability of its own processes for a particular requirement or class of requirements.

Within a process improvement (PI) context, process assessment provides a means of characterizing an organizational unit in terms of the capability of selected processes. Analysis of the output of a conformant process assessment against an organizational unit's business goals identifies strengths, weaknesses and risks related to the processes. This, in turn, can help determine whether the processes are effective in achieving business goals, and provide the drivers for making improvements.

Process capability determination (PCD) is concerned with analysing the output of one or more conformant process assessments to identify the strengths, weaknesses and risks involved in undertaking a specific project using the selected processes within a given organizational unit. A process capability determination can provide a fundamental input to supplier selection, in which case it is often termed a 'supplier capability determination'.

4.2 PI and PCD sponsors and teams

Process improvement programmes and process capability determinations will usually be required and resourced by a *sponsor* – as described in ISO/IEC 15504-1. The sponsor has the authority to ensure that the programme is carried out effectively, and takes ownership of the results. The sponsor may have one or more staff working within a team – a PI Team or PCD Team – whose task is to plan and implement the actions required to achieve the objectives identified by the sponsor.

Sponsorship may be implemented in a variety of ways, according to the culture of the organization. In non-hierarchical or higher maturity organizations for example, both sponsorship and project management of process improvement activities may be delegated to working level, although authorities, roles and responsibilities should always be clearly defined.

4.3 Process, guidance and method

In order to achieve improvements to selected processes, PI Sponsors should deploy a PI *process* as outlined in 4.4. In order to determine the capability of selected processes, PCD Teams should deploy a PCD process, as outlined in 4.5. This part of ISO/IEC 15504 provides *guidance* on how to deploy such processes. In either case, organizations should deploy a suitably capable process, and either acquire or develop a suitable *method* — setting out appropriate roles, techniques and specific activities — with which to implement the process. Such a method should:

- take account of the guidance contained within this part of ISO/IEC 15504;
- include or reference an assessment process which satisfies the requirements set out within ISO/IEC 15504-2 and accords with the guidance set out in ISO/IEC 15504-3.

4.4 Process improvement – purpose and outcomes

The purpose of process improvement is to continually improve the organization's effectiveness and efficiency through the processes used and maintained aligned with the business need.

As a result of successful implementation of process improvement:

- commitment is established to provide resources to sustain improvement actions;
- issues arising from the organization's internal/external environment are identified as improvement opportunities and justified as reasons for change;
- analysis of the current status of the existing process is performed, focusing on those processes from which improvement stimuli arise;
- improvement goals are identified and prioritized, and consequent changes to the process are defined and implemented;

- the effects of process implementation are monitored and confirmed against the defined improvement goals;
- knowledge gained from the improvements is communicated within the organization; and
- the improvements made are evaluated and consideration given for using solutions elsewhere within the organization.

[ISO/IEC 12207:1995/Amd.2²⁾, F.3.3.3]

NOTE 1 Information sources providing input for change may include: process assessment results, audits, customer's satisfaction reports, organizational effectiveness / efficiency, cost of quality.

NOTE 2 The current status of processes may be determined by process assessment.

4.5 Process capability determination — purpose and outcomes

The purpose of *process capability determination* is to identify the strengths, weaknesses and process-related risks associated with selected processes with respect to a particular specified requirement.

As a result of successful implementation of *process capability determination*:

- a target capability appropriate to the particular specified requirement is identified;
- reviews of the organization's processes are carried out to determine their suitability for the particular specified requirement in the light of process assessment results;
- strengths and weaknesses within the assessed processes are identified;
- any gaps between target and assessed capabilities are analysed;
- overall process-related risk is determined.

NOTE 1 The *selected processes* are chosen by the PCD Team as described in 7.2.2.

NOTE 2 The *specified requirement* may involve deploying an organization's processes for a new or an existing task, a contract or an internal undertaking, a product or a service, or any other business requirement.

NOTE 3 Reviews of the *organization's standard processes* are generally carried out following a process assessment of the organization's implemented processes, as described in ISO/IEC 15504-3.

NOTE 4 Process capability determination does not address all aspects of risk, which may include strategic, organizational, financial, personnel and many other factors. The output from a process capability determination feeds into an organization's risk management process, but only with respect to *process-related risk* – as outlined in 5.5.

4.6 Process assessment output

The output of a conformant process assessment includes a set of process profiles, which express the process attribute ratings assigned for each process selected from the specified Process Reference Model(s) – as described in ISO/IEC 15504-2.

An example set of process profiles, with ISO/IEC 12207 as the Process Reference Model, might be presented as illustrated in Figure 1. The processes (F.1.3.1, etc.) are from ISO/IEC 12207, while the process attributes (PA 1.1, etc.) and ratings (Fully achieved, etc.) are defined in ISO/IEC 15504-2.

2) To be published.

Process	Process Attributes									
	Performed	Managed		Established		Predictable		Optimizing		
	PA 1.1	PA 2.1	PA 2.2	PA 3.1	PA 3.2	PA 4.1	PA 4.2	PA 5.1	PA 5.2	
F.1.3.1 Requirements Elicitation	F	F	L							
F.1.3.3 System and Architectural Design	F	F	F	F	L	L	L			
F.2.2 Configuration Management	F	P	L	F	L					
F.3.1.4 Risk Management	P	N	N	N	N					
F.1.1.2 Supplier Selection	L	L	L	L	L					

Key (as defined in Part 2)

	Not rated		Fully achieved		Largely achieved
	Partially achieved		Not achieved		

Figure 1 — Example assessment output set of process profiles

The guidance contained in this part of ISO/IEC 15504 is intended to apply to the output from a conformant process assessment.

5 Utilizing process assessment

5.1 General

This clause provides guidance upon issues common to both process improvement and process capability determination.

5.2 Selecting Process Reference Model(s)

Both process improvement and process capability determination require that the sponsor select a suitable Process Reference Model or Models.

A Process Reference Model describes a set of processes in terms of purpose and outcomes as defined in ISO/IEC 15504-2. A Process Reference Model is generally a recognized domain standard. ISO/IEC 12207, Annex F, and ISO/IEC 15288:2002 are Process Reference Models within the domains of software engineering and systems engineering, respectively.

The sponsor should determine which Process Reference Model(s) will best suit the specified requirement (for PCD) or business goals (for PI), following the guidance in ISO/IEC 15504-3 on the selection of suitable Process Reference Models.

Where improvements are planned for processes that do not align with any recognized domain standard, appropriate process models can still be defined and used, but this could not then be considered to be based upon a conformant process assessment.

5.3 Setting target capability

The sponsor should determine which processes from the chosen Process Reference Model(s) are most important to meeting the specified requirement (for PCD) or business goals (for PI).

The sponsor should then specify, for each selected process, a target process profile showing which process attributes are required, and – for each process attribute – what rating is judged necessary. Only process

attribute ratings of Fully achieved or Largely achieved should be set; Not required should be noted for any process attributes deemed not necessary. Partially achieved should not be set since this would indicate that some aspects of achievement would be unpredictable – as defined in ISO/IEC 15504-2.

The set of target process profiles expresses the *target capability* which the sponsor judges to be adequate, subject to an acceptable process risk, for meeting the specified requirement (for PCD) or business goals (for PI).

Table 1 — Example target capability

Selected process from Process Reference Model	Process attributes	Required process attribute rating
F.1.3.1 Requirements elicitation	PA 1.1 PA 2.1, PA 2.2	Fully achieved Largely achieved
F.1.3.3 System and Architectural Design	PA 1.1, PA 2.1, PA 2.2, PA 3.1, PA 3.2 PA 4.1, PA 4.2	Fully achieved Largely achieved
F.2.2 Configuration management	PA 1.1, PA 2.1, PA 2.2 PA 3.1, PA 3.2	Fully achieved Largely achieved
F.3.1.4 Risk Management	PA 1.1, PA 2.1, PA 2.2, PA 3.1, PA 3.2	Fully achieved
F.1.1.2 Supplier Selection	PA 1.1, PA 2.1 PA 2.2 PA 3.1, PA 3.2	Fully achieved Not required Largely achieved




Figure 2 — Example target capability presented as a set of target process profiles

Table 1 and Figure 2 illustrate an example target capability. The processes shown (F.1.3.1, etc.) are from ISO/IEC 12207, while the process attributes (PA 1.1, etc.) and ratings (Fully achieved, etc.) are defined in ISO/IEC 15504-2. Figure 2 illustrates a target capability where required ratings have been specified for individual process attributes.