



Designation: D 6642 – 01

Standard Guide for Comparison of Techniques to Quantify the Soil-Water (Moisture) Flux¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 6642; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide describes techniques that may be used to quantify the soil-water (or soil-moisture) flux, the soil-water movement rate, and/or the recharge rate within the vadose zone. This guide is not intended to be all-inclusive with regard to available methods. However, the techniques described do represent the most widely used methods currently available.

1.2 This guide was written to detail the techniques available for quantifying soil-moisture flux in the vadose zone. These data are commonly required in studies of contaminant movement and in estimating the amount of water replenishing a renewable ground-water resource, that is, an aquifer. State and federal regulatory guidelines typically require this information in defining contaminant travel times, in performance assessment, and in risk assessment. Both unsaturated and saturated flow modelers benefit from these data in establishing boundary conditions and for use in calibrations of their computer simulations.

1.3 This standard is one of a series of standards on vadose zone characterization methods. Other standards have been prepared on vadose zone characterization techniques.

1.4 *This guide offers an organized collection of information or a series of options and does not recommend a specific course of action. This document cannot replace education or experience and should be used in conjunction with professional judgment. Not all aspects of this guide may be applicable in all circumstances. This ASTM standard is not intended to represent or replace the standard of care by which the adequacy of a given professional service must be judged, nor should this document be applied without consideration of a project's many unique aspects. The word "Standard" in the title of this document means only that the document has been approved through the ASTM consensus process.*

1.5 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory requirements prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

- D 653 Standard Terminology Relating to Soil, Rock, and Contained Fluids²
- D 1452 Practice for Soil Investigations and Sampling by Auger Boring²
- D 2216 Test Method for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass²
- D 3404 Guide to Measuring Matric Potential in the Vadose Zone Using Tensiometers²
- D 4643 Test Method for Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil by the Microwave Oven Heating²
- D 4696 Guide for Pore-Liquid Sampling from the Vadose Zone²
- D 4700 Guide for Soil Sampling from the Vadose Zone²
- D 4944 Test Method for Field Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil by the Calcium Carbide Gas Pressure Tester Method²
- D 5126 Guide for Comparison of Field Methods for Determining Hydraulic Conductivity in the Vadose Zone²
- D 5220 Test Method for Water Content of Soil and Rock In-Place by the Neutron Depth Probe Method²

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

- 3.1.1 *chlorine-36*, ³⁶Cl—a radioactive isotope of chlorine, containing one extra neutron in the nucleus, and a decay half-life of 300,000 years.
- 3.1.2 *deuterium*, ²H—a stable isotope of hydrogen, containing one extra neutron in the nucleus.
- 3.1.3 *oxygen-18*, ¹⁸O—a stable isotope of oxygen, containing two extra neutrons in the nucleus.
- 3.1.4 *recharge flux*, (LT^{-1})—the soil-moisture flux of water moving through the vadose zone, beneath the zone of evapotranspirative uptake, which eventually replenishes water to an underlying aquifer.
- 3.1.5 *soil-moisture flux*, (LT^{-1})—synonymous with specific discharge.
- 3.1.6 *specific discharge*, (LT^{-1})—the rate of flow of water through a porous medium per unit area measured at a right

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D18 on Soil and Rock and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D18.21 on Ground Water and Vadose Zone Investigations.

Current edition approved March 10, 2001. Published May 2001.

² *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 04.08.



angle to the direction of flow.

(D 653)

3.1.7 *specific flux*, (LT^{-1})—synonymous with specific discharge.

3.1.8 *tritium*, ^3H —a radioactive isotope of hydrogen, containing two extra neutrons in the nucleus, and a decay half-life of 12.3 years.

3.2 Definitions of other terminology used in this guide may be found in Standard D 653.

4. Summary of the Guide

4.1 The quantitative techniques described for assessing soil-moisture flux are:

4.2 *Water Balance Methods*—The water balance method is based on the mass balance of input/output parameters in the hydrologic budget. The hydrologic budget for any given locale may be summarized in terms of the influence of the following factors/phenomena: precipitation, runoff, infiltration, evapotranspiration, interflow, sources/sinks, and recharge rate. Soil-moisture flux may be estimated by determining all parameters in the hydrologic budget (for example, precipitation rate) except the desired flux term, which is solved by differencing the water balance equation. One or more of the parameters needed in the water balance method are typically difficult to estimate, and therefore cause significant uncertainties when estimating soil-moisture flux with this method.

4.3 *Chloride Mass-balance Method*—The chloride mass-balance method is similar to the water balance method in that mass balance concepts are employed. However, the chloride mass-balance approach relies upon the transport of chloride in soils to predict soil-moisture flux based on a knowledge of chloride deposition at the land surface. The uncertainties associated with chloride deposition and transport are much less than the uncertainties associated with estimating components of the hydrologic budget.

4.4 *Soil-Physics Based Approaches*—A multitude of soil-physics based approaches exist to quantify the soil-moisture flux. These techniques rely upon indirect measures of soil-water movement, for example, physical data such as moisture content and pressure head, as input to mathematical equations to solve for the flux. The Darcy's Law approach is most commonly used, with variants on this method employed in infiltration quantification, for example, Green and Ampt equation. A more rigorous approach to estimating the soil-moisture flux is to employ Richard's equation, a transient, non-linear formulation describing flow through unsaturated porous media. Unsaturated hydraulic characteristic data required as input to these soil-physics based methods can be very uncertain with regard to spatial variability and/or measurement error.

4.5 *Bomb-pulse Tritium and Chlorine-36 Methods*—Environmental tracers may also be used to estimate the soil-moisture flux. Tritium (a radioactive isotope of hydrogen) and chlorine-36 (a radioactive isotope of chlorine) exist today in the environment because of natural processes in the earth's atmosphere. However, the atmospheric nuclear testing which occurred in the late 1950s and early 1960s created much higher concentrations of these radioisotopes in atmospheric fallout than normal. By sampling the concentrations of these radioisotopes in subsurface soils one can determine the extent of

infiltration over the past 30 to 40 years, and therefore quantify the soil-moisture flux.

4.6 *Stable Isotope Methods*—Another environmental tracer technique employs knowledge of naturally occurring deuterium (a stable isotope of hydrogen) and oxygen-18 (a stable isotope of oxygen) in the water molecule and how transport processes occur in subsurface soils. Because deuterium and oxygen-18 are natural components of the water molecule, and their behavior during evaporation and transient temperature phenomena are understood, the soil-moisture flux may be quantified based on this knowledge.

4.7 *Other Tracer Techniques*—Other tracer techniques exist to quantify the soil-moisture flux. In this category, techniques are discussed which physically introduce a tracer, or chemical constituent, into infiltration water or directly into the subsurface to monitor soil-water movement over a specified time frame. Tracers such as bromide, chloride, certain organic compounds, certain short-lived radionuclides, and tritium may be used in these types of tests. Obviously, the application of tracers in a man-made experiment has limitations on the length of analysis time. These techniques are best used to investigate shorter time-frame infiltration and soil-water movement rates, as well as adsorption phenomena.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The determination of the soil-moisture flux is one of the fundamental needs in the soil physics and hydrology disciplines. The need arises from requirements for defining recharge rates to ground water for water supply predictions, for contaminant transport estimates, for performance/risk assessment studies, and for infiltration testing purposes. The techniques outlined in this guide provide a number of alternatives for quantifying soil-moisture flux and/or the recharge rate for various purposes and conditions. This guide is not intended to be a comprehensive guide to techniques available for quantifying soil-moisture flux, but rather a "state-of-the-practice" summary. Likewise, this guide is not intended to be used as a comprehensive guide to performance of these methods, those detailed methods may come at a later time. Techniques that might be useful for the implementation of these methods, for example, sampling network design, are not part of this guide, but may come at a later time.

5.2 All of the techniques discussed in this guide have merit when it comes to quantification of the soil-moisture flux. Factors influencing the choice of methods include: need/objectives; cost; time scale of test; and defensibility/reproducibility/reduction in uncertainty. If the need for soil-moisture flux information is crucial in the decision making process for a given site or study, the application of multiple techniques is recommended. Most of the techniques identified above have independent assumptions associated with their use/application. Therefore, the application of two or more techniques at a given site may help to bound the results, or corroborate data distributions. The uncertainties involved in these analyses are sometimes quite large, and therefore the prospect of acquiring independent data sets is quite attractive.

5.3 As stated above, each of these techniques for quantification of soil-moisture flux has assumptions and limitations associated with it. The user is cautioned to be cognizant of

those limitations/assumptions in applying these techniques at a given site so as not to violate any conditions and thereby invalidate the data.

5.4 In general, the tracer techniques for quantifying soil-moisture flux will have less uncertainty associated with them than do the soil-physics based modeling approaches because they are based on direct measures of transport phenomena, rather than indirect measures of soil characteristic data/parameters. However, the forward problem of predicting future soil-water movement rates or transient behavior is best served by the modeling applications. The tracer methods may be used to calibrate, or supply boundary condition data to, the modeling techniques.

5.5 Published reviews of these methods are also available in the literature (1, 2, 3).

6. Quantitative Techniques

6.1 This standard is not intended for use as a specific guide to field operations, but as a guide to choosing one or more appropriate methods for quantifying the soil-moisture flux, soil-water movement rate, and/or the recharge rate. Therefore, issues regarding the selection of sampling locations and the adequacy of sampling, for example, sampling network design, are outside the scope of this guide.

6.2 Water Balance Methods

6.2.1 Theory

6.2.1.1 The technique that was typically employed in water resources planning to estimate the rate and amount of recharge to an aquifer was the water balance approach. In this method, all inputs and outputs to the aquifer are estimated, for example, precipitation, evapotranspiration, surface runoff, pumping, discharge, and interflow, and the interrelationship between the parameters derived from simple mass-balance concepts. The water balance equation for a given watershed may be represented as follows:

$$R = P + I - ET + SR_{on} - SR_{off} + L_{on} - L_{off} - \Delta S \quad (1)$$

where:

- R = recharge rate or soil-moisture flux below the root zone [L/T],
- P = precipitation rate [L/T],
- I = irrigation water application [L/T],
- ET = evapotranspiration rate [L/T],
- SR_{on} = surface water runoff [L/T],
- SR_{off} = surface water runoff [L/T],
- L_{on} = interflow (water laterally entering the zone of interest) [L/T],
- L_{off} = interflow (water laterally leaving the zone of interest) [L/T], and
- ΔS = change in soil moisture storage [L/T]. The water balance equation is then solved for the recharge rate.

6.2.1.2 The main drawback to this approach is that parameters such as evapotranspiration are very difficult to measure or estimate. There is a large amount of uncertainty in evapotranspiration estimates. This uncertainty in the input parameters then translates into a large uncertainty in the recharge estimate. Therefore, independent methods for estimating the recharge rate have been developed. The water balance method may be

used to solve for any of the parameters shown in Eq 1, given estimates for the other parameters. There may be some applications (discussed below) which may employ other methods to estimate the recharge rate and then to solve for evapotranspiration, for instance. The water balance approach is basically a mass balance method. Eq 1 has also been used in more simplified form for smaller scale, test-specific applications to define soil-moisture flux. Infiltration techniques, such as the instantaneous profile (IP) method (4), rely on water balance methods to estimate soil-moisture flux. The IP method, and its variants, are generally used to quantify hydraulic characteristic data, such as the unsaturated hydraulic conductivity as a function of moisture content. In so doing, the soil-moisture flux is quantified by a mass balance method and used as input to a mathematical/graphical procedure to determine the hydraulic characteristic data. Data requirements generally include the determination of moisture content and pressure head in situ. Neutron logging, Time Domain Reflectometry, Resonant Frequency Capacitance, and cross-hole gamma methods may be used to quantify changes in soil moisture with time (ASTM D18.21.89.16 and D18.21.89.17). Tensiometers are used for the determination of the pressure head changes during the IP test (Guide D 3404). In the IP method, the drainage portion of the infiltration test is the most important data gathering sequence, when inflow, surface runoff, interflow, discharge, and evapotranspiration are essentially zero. Therefore, changes in soil-moisture storage with time can be equated to soil-moisture flux.

6.2.1.3 Another application of the water balance method is in the use of weighing lysimeters (5). The concept of a weighing lysimeter is relatively simple. Typically, a cylinder is emplaced in the ground filled with soil approximating the stratigraphy of the surrounding soil. The cylinder may be set on a weighing pan to quantify changes in weight/mass due to precipitation, evapotranspiration, and recharge/outflow. The lower boundary flux condition must be maintained equivalent to the surrounding media if natural conditions are to be approximated. Care must be taken in the construction of lysimeters to ensure that the potential for preferential flow along sidewalls is minimized. Weighing lysimeters are typically used to define evapotranspiration components.

6.2.2 Applications—Water balance methods are the simplest means of estimating the soil-moisture flux or recharge rate, but also probably the least accurate. The need for obtaining the soil-moisture flux, as well as the acceptable uncertainty, would help dictate the usefulness of this approach. The typical applications would be: *a*) to estimate the amount of renewable resource in a ground-water supply, that is, aquifer, for consumptive and/or industrial use, or agricultural activities, and *b*) to estimate boundary conditions for numerical flow simulations, where the flow simulations are performed for a specific need such as estimating travel times in an aquifer, or a pathways analysis in a performance and/or risk assessment, and *c*) to estimate the evapotranspiration rate by independently estimating the recharge rate (with techniques described below) for risk assessment purposes, that is, air pathway releases of volatile contaminants, and *d*) to estimate the soil-moisture flux during real-time infiltration testing, for example, IP method.

6.2.3 Monitoring and Operating Procedures

6.2.3.1 The water balance approach relies on independent measures/estimates of various parameters to calculate a residual parameter in a mass-balance equation. Typically, the recharge rate is the parameter of interest, but other techniques (described below) may also be used to estimate the recharge rate, thereby allowing other parameters to be estimated, for example, evapotranspiration rate.

6.2.3.2 The parameters typically estimated in the water balance method include precipitation, evapotranspiration, surface runoff, pumping/discharge rates, interflow, and changes in soil-moisture storage. Precipitation rates are quantified using conventional meteorological monitoring equipment.

6.2.3.3 Evapotranspiration rates can be estimated by several methods. First, there are empirical techniques based upon meteorological and soil moisture data (6). These empirical techniques are fraught with uncertainty, and therefore precision and bias become a major issue in evaluating the adequacy of such methods. The second approach used in estimating evapotranspiration rates is the use of weighing lysimeters (5). The basic concept behind a weighing lysimeter is that a volume of soil is placed in a caisson, emplaced in the ground with a weighing scale beneath it, and measurements of water gain/loss made through time. The caisson may contain specific plant types or bare soil. Differences in the weight of the caisson through time can be attributed to changes in the mass balance of water movement in the caisson. Outflow and inflow measurements are made, as well as soil moisture measurements to estimate the changes in soil-moisture storage. The analysis is essentially the water balance approach, only on a much smaller scale compared to that mentioned above. The problem with the weighing lysimeter methods is the applicability of measurements to actual field conditions. The boundary conditions of the caisson may not be entirely representative of the actual field conditions because of the lower boundary flux/head potential, constraints on multi-dimensional flow potential, and preferential flow potentials along the sidewalls. In addition, the evaporation rate may be estimated using stable isotope methods (discussed below), but are not conducive to quantifying transpiration.

6.2.3.4 Surface water runoff can be measured through stream gauging or by empirical modeling methods based on surface roughness and hydrologic characteristics. Some concerns exist regarding precision and bias for these methods, but generally the relative uncertainties are less than those associated with estimating evapotranspiration.

6.2.3.5 Interflow occurs when water is either entering or leaving the confines of the defined watershed as leakage from an adjacent watershed or an adjacent aquifer. Typically, interflow is estimated through the use of potentiometric surface maps (to quantify the hydraulic gradient) and hydraulic characteristic data, for example, transmissivity, to calculate inflow/outflow rates. Interflow may also be important as it pertains to lateral movement of moisture into and out of a defined vadose zone profile.

6.2.3.6 The change in soil-moisture storage may be quantified by a number of soil-moisture monitoring devices. Neutron logging, Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR), Resonant Fre-

quency Capacitance, and cross-hole gamma methods may be used to quantify changes in soil moisture with time (ASTM D18.21.89.16 and D18.21.89.17).

6.2.4 *Analysis, Interpretation, Accuracy, and Reporting*—The application of the water balance method through the use of Eq 1 is done to estimate soil-moisture flux. In reporting the results, statements should be made regarding the conditions during monitoring/sampling events, the location of the sampling site and its attributes, for example, topography, terrain, vegetative cover, etc., a summary of the monitoring data, for example, meteorological and soil-moisture data, the accuracy and precision of the data used in evaluating Eq 1, as well as a general qualitative analysis of the representativeness of the results.

6.3 Chloride Mass-balance Method

6.3.1 Theory

6.3.1.1 The chloride mass balance method is a geochemical technique which has been widely used throughout the world to quantify the soil-moisture flux, due to its low cost and ease of use (7, 8, 9). Two major assumptions are made in applying this technique. The first assumption is that the average rate of chloride deposition from precipitation to the soil is constant. The second assumption is that the chloride moves vertically by piston displacement, that is, no preferential flow paths, below the root zone. Under steady state conditions, the flux of chloride deposited at the land surface is equivalent to the flux of chloride beneath the root zone. Care must be taken to estimate the depth of the root zone, although no exact method is available. In semi-arid or arid environments the task is especially problematic due to the sometimes extreme depths that certain plants can develop root systems. Visual inspection of soil cores and/or analysis of pressure head profiles are sometimes used to estimate root zone depth. A methodology for determining root zone depth is beyond the scope of this guide, but might be included in a future test method to describe the actual application of this technique. Therefore:

$$R = P C_p / C_s \quad (2)$$

where:

R = the average recharge rate, or soil-moisture flux, below the root zone [$L T^{-1}$]

P = average precipitation rate [$L T^{-1}$]

C_p = average chloride concentration in precipitation and dry fallout [$M L^{-3}$]

C_s = the average chloride concentration in the soil [$M L^{-3}$]

6.3.1.2 In addition to estimating the soil-moisture flux below the root zone, the chloride mass-balance approach may be used to estimate soil-water age with depth in the profile. The following equation may be used to estimate soil-water age:

$$t_n = \int_{z=n}^{z=0} C_s \theta / C_p P \quad (3)$$

where:

θ = the moisture content, and

n = the total depth increment of the sampled soil profile at which a soil-water age is desired.

6.3.2 *Applications*—The chloride mass-balance method is generally applicable for quantifying soil-moisture flux below the root zone in non-humid locales. The application of this