

Specification for

Single-hit decision tables

UDC 681.3.01:65.012.221

NO COPYING WITHOUT BSI PERMISSION EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW



Document Sharing Hub

Committees responsible for this British Standard

The preparation of this British Standard was entrusted by the Office and Information Standards Committee (IST/-) to Technical Committee IST/15, upon which the following bodies were represented:

- Association of Computer Units in Colleges of Higher Education (ACUCHE)
- British Computer Society Ltd.
- British Telecommunications plc
- Business Equipment Trade Association
- Computing Services Association
- Department of Trade and Industry (National Physical Laboratory)
- Electricity Supply Industry in England and Wales
- Electronic Engineering Association
- HM Treasury (Central Computer and Telecommunications Agency)
- Institute of Data Processing Management
- Institute of Scientific and Technical Communicators
- Institution of Electrical Engineers
- LAMSAC
- Ministry of Defence
- National Computing Centre Ltd.

This British Standard, having been prepared under the direction of the Office and Information Standards Committee, was published under the authority of the Board of BSI and comes into effect on 30 September 1986

© BSI 11-1999

First published July 1977
First revision September 1986

The following BSI references relate to the work on this standard:
Committee reference IST/15
Draft for comment 85/65095 DC

ISBN 0 580 14719 3

Amendments issued since publication

Amd. No.	Date of issue	Comments

Contents

	Page
Committees responsible	Inside front cover
National foreword	ii
1 Scope and field of application	1
2 References	1
3 Definitions	1
4 Format	2
5 Relationships between table elements	4
6 Relationships between decision tables	4
7 Interpretation of decision tables	11
Annex A Recommendations for preparation	12
Annex B Examples of types of decision tables	15
Figure 1 — General format	3
Figure 2 — Sequence of decision tables	5
Figure 3 — Selection of decision tables	6
Figure 4 — Repetition of a decision table	7
Figure 5 — Nested tables (exit at condition)	8
Figure 6 — Nested tables (exit at action)	9
Figure 7 — Combined relationships	10
Publications referred to	Inside back cover

National foreword

This British Standard has been prepared under the direction of the Office and Information Standards Committee. It is identical with ISO 5806:1984 “*Information processing — Specification of single-hit decision tables*” published by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). This standard supersedes BS 5487:1977, which is withdrawn.

BS 5487 has been revised to maintain alignment with ISO 5806, and differs from the previous edition in respect of the addition of explanatory material. In revising the standard no technical changes have been made.

Terminology and conventions. The text of the International Standard has been approved as suitable for publication as a British Standard without deviation. Some terminology and certain conventions are not identical with those used in British Standards; attention is drawn especially to the following.

Wherever the words “International Standard” appear, referring to this standard, they should be read as “British Standard”.

Cross-references

International Standards	Corresponding British Standards
	BS 3527 <i>Glossary of terms used in data processing</i>
ISO 2382-1:1974	Part 1:1976 <i>Fundamental terms</i> (Identical)
ISO 2382-7:1977	Part 7:1977 <i>Digital computer programming</i> (Identical)

A British Standard does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users of British Standards are responsible for their correct application.

Compliance with a British Standard does not of itself confer immunity from legal obligations.

Summary of pages

This document comprises a front cover, an inside front cover, pages i and ii, pages 1 to 18, an inside back cover and a back cover.

This standard has been updated (see copyright date) and may have had amendments incorporated. This will be indicated in the amendment table on the inside front cover.

1 Scope and field of application

This International Standard specifies the basic format of single-hit decision tables and the relevant definitions, together with recommended conventions for preparation and use.

NOTE 1 This International Standard is concerned with the use of decision tables in the context of the documentation of computer-based information systems. It is not concerned with other uses, such as for the representation of program statements.

NOTE 2 The format and conventions for the preparation and use of “multiple-hit” decision tables are outside the scope of this International Standard.

2 References

ISO 2382, *Data processing — Vocabulary — Part 1: Fundamental terms — Part 7: Digital computer programming*.

3 Definitions

For the purpose of this International Standard the following definitions apply.

3.1

decision table

a table of all contingencies that are to be considered in the description of a problem together with the action to be taken (from ISO 2382-1)

3.2

“single-hit” decision table

a decision table where any set of conditions will be satisfied by one, and only one, rule

3.3

“multiple-hit” decision table

a decision table where at least one set of conditions will be satisfied by more than one rule (see note 2 to clause 1)

3.4

rule

a single column through the condition and action entry parts of the table, defining a unique set of conditions to be satisfied and the actions to be taken in consequence. A rule is satisfied if all conditions meet the condition entries of the rule

3.5

“ELSE”-rule

the actions to be taken for all combinations of conditions not covered by the other rules in the table

NOTE The use of the ELSE-rule facility is optional.

3.6

condition

a description of a contingency to be considered in the representation of a problem, or a reference to other procedures to be considered as part of the condition

3.7

action

a description of an operation to be taken in the formulation of a solution

3.8

condition entry

an indication of the relevance of a condition to a particular rule

3.9

action entry

an indication of the relevance of an action to a particular rule

3.10

condition stub

a list of all the conditions to be considered in the description of a problem

3.11

action stub

a list of all the actions to be taken in the solution of a problem

3.12

table heading

the symbolic name or other means of referencing a decision table from other documents. Alternatively, or in addition, a clear description of the table

3.13

initialisation section

an optional list of unconditional actions to be executed sequentially before the first condition is examined; it may be written in the row which follows that of the table heading

3.14

limited entry table

a decision table where all the conditions and actions are completely described without reference to the rules (see Annex B, example 1)

3.15

extended entry table

a decision table where the conditions and actions are generally described but are incomplete: the specifications are completed by the values specified in the rules (see Annex B, example 2)

3.16

mixed entry table

a decision table whose stub consists of rows in which limited and extended entries are written (see Annex B, example 4)

3.17

complete table

a decision table where for all combinations of condition entries there exists a satisfying rule


NOTE In practical terms extended entry tables will include limited entries and are therefore mixed entry tables. Any extended or mixed entry table may be transformed into a limited entry table (see Annex B, example 3).

4 Format

4.1 Decision tables

The general representation of a decision table is given in Figure 1.

The body of the table shall be divided into four parts by double lines, drawn close (or alternatively, single thick lines): this is to separate the condition parts from the action parts, and stubs from entries.



4.2 Condition entries

Form	Meaning within rule	Application
Y	The stated condition shall be fulfilled in satisfying this rule (Y = "Yes").	limited entries
N	The stated condition shall not be fulfilled in satisfying this rule (N = "No").	
Text, a value or a code	The text (or value or code) completes the specification of the otherwise incomplete condition for this rule; the condition shall then be fulfilled in satisfying the rule. If a code is used then it shall be described in a cross-referenced note.	extended entries
—	The stated condition is not relevant to the satisfaction of the rule: alternatively, the condition is logically impossible in the context of this rule; this may optionally be emphasized by the symbol "#" instead of "—".	any type of entry

NOTE Any binary notation may be used to designate condition values.

4.3 Action entries

Form	Meaning within rule	Application
X	The stated action shall be taken when this rule is satisfied.	limited entries
Text, a value or a code	The text (or value or code) completes the specification of the otherwise incomplete action for this rule; the action shall be taken when the rule is satisfied. If a code is used then it shall be described in a cross-referenced note.	extended entries
—	The stated action shall not be taken when this rule is satisfied.	any type of entry

5 Relationships between table elements

5.1 Conditions

The relationship between successive conditions is the logical “AND”: the first condition to be tested is assumed to be preceded by “IF”. [Example: IF (first condition) AND (second condition), ..., AND (last condition)].

The order in which conditions are listed may be of importance. However, if the order is of no importance, tables may be easier to read if the more important, or “key” conditions are stated first: such a sequence might differ from the sequence preferred in programming.

5.2 Actions

The relationship between actions means successive execution: the first action to be taken is assumed to be preceded by “THEN”, and the first action, the second action, . . ., and the last action are successively executed.

Actions shall be stated in the order in which they are to be taken: where the execution sequence differs between rules then the actions shall be described as many times as necessary to show the various sequences. The use of sequence numbers is not recommended due to possible confusion with extended entry codes (see 4.3).

In any rule the last action to be taken should indicate where the next procedure is described unless the table is complete in itself.

5.3 Rules

The relationship between successive rules is the logical exclusive “OR”.

The sequence of rules in a decision table is irrelevant: note, however, the convention that if an ELSE-rule is used then, to aid readability, it generally appears as the last rule in the table (see Figure 1).

6 Relationships between decision tables

A large, and/or complex, problem may be described by a set of decision tables. There are four types of relationship, which may be combined:

- a) sequence;
- b) selection;
- c) repetition;
- d) nesting.

When decision tables are related then each shall be logically complete. The conditions in one table shall be tested independently of the results of condition tests in another: the effect of this requirement is that there is no relationship between the rules of related tables. This does not preclude such practices as the result of a condition test in one table being indicated through an action in that table (for example setting a flag) so that the indication may be inspected through a condition test in a subsequent table.