

Measurement of fluid flow: assessment of uncertainty in the calibration and use of flow measurement devices —

Part 2: Non-linear calibration relationships

[ISO title: Assessment of uncertainty in the calibration and use of flow measurement devices — Part 2 Non-linear calibration relationships]

UDC 532.57.089.6:681.121.089.6:532.57.088.3

Committees responsible for this British Standard

The preparation of this British Standard was entrusted by the Industrial-process Measurement and Control Standards Policy Committee (PCL/-) to Technical Committee PCL/2, upon which the following bodies were represented:

- British Compressed Air Society
- British Gas plc
- Department of Energy (Gas and Oil Measurement Branch)
- Department of Trade and Industry National Engineering Laboratory
- Electricity Supply Industry in England and Wales
- Energy Industries Council
- GAMBICA (BEAMA Ltd)
- Institute of Measurement and Control
- Institute of Petroleum
- Institute of Trading Standards Administration
- Institution of Gas Engineers
- Institution of Mechanical Engineers
- Water Authorities' Association

The following bodies were also represented in the drafting of the standard, through subcommittees and panels:

- Ministry of Defence
- Yorkshire Water Authority

This British Standard, having been prepared under the direction of the Industrial-process Measurement and Control Standards Policy Committee, was published under the authority of the Board of BSI and comes into effect on 29 September 1989

© BSI 10-1999

The following BSI references relate to the work on this standard:
Committee reference PCL/2
Draft for comment 85/29857 DC

ISBN 0 580 17382 8

Amendments issued since publication

Amd. No.	Date of issue	Comments

Contents

	Page
Committees responsible	Inside front cover
National foreword	ii
0 Introduction	1
1 Scope and field of application	1
2 References	1
3 Definitions	1
4 Symbols and abbreviations	1
5 Curve fitting	2
5.1 General	2
5.2 Computational methods	3
5.3 Selecting the optimum degree of fit	3
6 Uncertainty	4
Annex A Regression methods	5
Annex B Orthogonal polynomial curve fitting	8
Annex C Computer program using orthogonal polynomials	9
Annex D Examples	15
Annex E Finite-difference method	25
Figure 1 — Calibration data fitted using a second-degree polynomial	21
Figure 2 — Calibration data fitted using a third-degree polynomial	22
Figure 3 — Calibration data fitted using a fifth-degree polynomial	23
Figure 4 — Stage-discharge curve fitted using a fourth-degree polynomial	24
Table 1 — Calibration data for a differential pressure flow-meter	19
Table 2 — Calibration data for a turbine meter	19
Table 3 — Calibration data for a stream flow station	20
Table 4 — Finite-difference table	25
Publications referred to	Inside back cover

National foreword

This Part of BS 7118 has been prepared under the direction of the Industrial-process Measurement and Control Standards Policy Committee and is identical with ISO 7066-2 “*Assessment of uncertainty in the calibration and use of flow measurement devices — Part 2: Non-linear calibration relationships*”, published by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO).

It is envisaged that BS 7118-1, dealing with linear calibration relationships, will be identical with ISO 7066-1¹⁾.

Terminology and conventions. The text of the International Standard has been approved as suitable for publication as a British Standard without deviation. Some terminology and certain conventions are not identical with those used in British Standards; attention is drawn especially to the following.

The comma has been used in certain places as a decimal marker. In British Standards it is current practice to use a full point on the baseline as a decimal marker.

Wherever the words “this part of ISO 7066” appear, referring to this standard, they should be read as “this Part of BS 7118”.

Cross-reference

International Standard	Corresponding British Standard
ISO 5168:1987	BS 5844:1980 <i>Methods of measurement of fluid flow: estimation of uncertainty of a flow-rate measurement</i> (Identical)

A British Standard does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users of British Standards are responsible for their correct application.

Compliance with a British Standard does not of itself confer immunity from legal obligations.

Summary of pages

This document comprises a front cover, an inside front cover, pages i and ii, pages 1 to 26, an inside back cover and a back cover.

This standard has been updated (see copyright date) and may have had amendments incorporated. This will be indicated in the amendment table on the inside front cover.

¹⁾ In preparation

0 Introduction

The method of fitting a straight line to flow measurement calibration data and of assessing the uncertainty in the calibration are dealt with in ISO 7066-1. ISO 7066-2 deals with the case where a straight line is inadequate for representing the calibration data.

1 Scope and field of application

This part of ISO 7066 describes the procedures for fitting a quadratic, cubic or higher degree polynomial expression to a non-linear²⁾ set of calibration data, using the least-squares criterion, and of assessing the uncertainty associated with the resulting calibration curve. It considers only the use of polynomials with powers which are integers.

Because it is generally not practicable to carry out this type of curve fitting and assessment of uncertainty without using a computer, it is assumed in this part of ISO 7066 that the user has access to one. In many cases it will be possible to use standard routines available on most computers; as an alternative the FORTRAN program listed in Annex C may be used.

Examples of the use of these methods are given in Annex D.

Extrapolation beyond the range of the data is not permitted.

Annex A, Annex B, Annex C, Annex D and Annex E do not form integral parts of this part of ISO 7066.

2 References

ISO 5168, *Measurement of fluid flow — Estimation of uncertainty of a flow-rate measurement*³⁾.

ISO 7066-1, *Assessment of uncertainty in the calibration and use of flow measurement devices — Part 1: Linear calibration relationships*⁴⁾.

3 Definitions

For the purposes of this part of ISO 7066, the following definitions apply.

3.1

method of least squares

technique used to compute the coefficients of a particular form of an equation which is chosen for fitting a curve to data. The principle of least squares is the minimization of the sum of squares of deviations of the data from the curve

3.2

polynomial (function)

for a variable x , a series of terms with increasing integer powers of x

3.3

regression analysis

the process of quantifying the dependence of one variable on one or more other variables

NOTE Many of the available computer programs suitable for curve fitting have the word “regression” in the title. For the purposes of this part of ISO 7066, the terms regression and least squares may be regarded as interchangeable.

3.4

standard deviation

the positive square root of the variance

3.5

variance

a measure of dispersion based on the mean of the squares of deviations of values of a variable from its expected value

4 Symbols and abbreviations

b_j	coefficient of x_j
C_{jb}	element of the inverse matrix
$e_r()$	random uncertainty of variable contained in parentheses ^a
$e_s()$	systematic uncertainty of variable contained in parentheses ^a
$e(\hat{y}_c)$	total uncertainty of calibration coefficient ^a
g_j	coefficient of j th orthogonal polynomial
m	degree of polynomial
n	number of data values
$p_j(x)$	j th orthogonal polynomial
$s()$	experimental standard deviation of variable contained in parentheses
s_r	residual standard deviation of data values about the curve
t	Student's t
x	the independent variable
x^*	arbitrary specified value of x
$\bar{x}$	arithmetic mean of the data values x_i
x_i	value of x at the i th data point
x_j	j th independent variable (in multiple linear regression)
x_{ji}	value of x_j at the i th data point
y	the dependent variable

²⁾ These procedures are also suitable for a linear set of calibration data.

³⁾ At present at the stage of draft. (Revision of ISO 5168:1978.)

⁴⁾ At present at the stage of draft.

$\bar{y}$	arithmetic mean of the data values y_i
$\hat{y}$	value of y predicted by the equation of the fitted curve
y_i	value of y at the i th data point
$\hat{y}_i$	value of $\hat{y}$ at $x = x_i$
ν	number of degrees of freedom

^a In some International Standards, the symbols U and E have been used instead of e .

5 Curve fitting

5.1 General

Before attempting polynomial curve fitting, consideration should be given to whether a simple transformation of the x variable or the y variable or both may effectively linearize the data to enable the straight line methods described in ISO 7066-1 to be used. Some appropriate transformations are suggested in ISO 7066-1.

If it is not possible to establish a straight line, then the objective is to find the degree and coefficients of the polynomial function which best represents a set of n pairs of (x_i, y_i) data values obtained from calibration. If, for example, a quadratic expression is chosen, the curve will be of the form

$$\hat{y} = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 \quad \dots (1)$$

The general polynomial expression is

$$\hat{y} = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_jx^j + \dots + b_mx^m$$

or

$$\hat{y} = \sum_{j=0}^m b_jx^j \quad \dots (2)$$

By applying the least-squares criterion, the coefficients b_j are computed to minimize the sum of squares of deviations of the data points from the curve:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

where $\hat{y}_i$ is the value predicted by equation (2) at $x = x_i$.

In some cases, the degree m of the polynomial will be predetermined; for example, it may be known from experience that the calibration data will be satisfactorily represented by a cubic ($m = 3$) expression. Otherwise, the degree of fit is chosen by increasing the degree until an optimum is achieved (see 5.3).

If in increasing the degree of fit beyond a moderate degree significant improvements in the fit, as described in 5.3, continue to occur, then it is likely that the functional dependence is not suitable for representation by a polynomial; further, if the equation fitted has too many terms, the curve may display spurious oscillations. A not uncommon example is data which are virtually constant over most of the x range, but which vary strongly close to one end of the range.

In such cases, it is appropriate to divide the range into sections (see ISO 7066-1) which either are linear or can be fitted by a low-degree polynomial. Alternatively, transforming one or both variables may lead to a linear or low-degree polynomial function; transforming the independent variable to its reciprocal $1/x$ will in some cases result in adequate linearity.

The least-squares methods described in this part of ISO 7066 may not be appropriate if the effect of the random uncertainty $e_r(x)$ of the data values x_i is not negligible in comparison with that of the random uncertainty $e_r(y)$ of the y values. As in ISO 7066-1, if the magnitude of the slope⁵⁾ of the calibration curve is always less than one-fifth of $e_r(y)/e_r(x)$, the methods may be regarded as appropriate; where this does not apply the mathematical treatment is outside the scope of this part of ISO 7066. If therefore the normal practice in calibrating any particular meter is to plot the variables in such a way that the above condition does not hold, then either the conventional choice of abscissa and ordinate is to be reversed or this part of ISO 7066 cannot be used.

If either variable is transformed before fitting, then the uncertainties referred to above, and later (clause 6), relate to the new transformed variables. If, as a result of transforming the dependent variable, the random uncertainty $e_r(y)$ cannot be regarded as constant over the range, then a weighted least-squares method should be used. The weighted least-squares method is not described in this part of ISO 7066 but many computer library routines allow the data to be weighted.

⁵⁾ "Slope" here means the derivative $d\hat{y}/dx = b_1 + 2b_2x + \dots$.

5.2 Computational methods

Standard library routines for least-squares curve fitting are available on most computers. The method for fitting a straight line described in ISO 7066-1 is commonly known as linear or simple linear regression: the equivalent method for fitting a polynomial may be described as polynomial or curvilinear regression, which is a special type of multiple linear regression. Annex A gives further information on regression methods and how to use them.

As an alternative to the standard regression routines, the orthogonal polynomial method described in Annex B may be used: this method is particularly suitable when the degree of fit is not known beforehand. Annex C lists an appropriate orthogonal polynomial computer program.

When a computer is not available and the x values are uniformly spaced, a finite-difference method (see Annex E) may be used to provide a quick indication of what degree of fit may be appropriate to represent the data. The coefficients of a polynomial representing the data may also be calculated, but this will not be the least-squares polynomial. The calculation of uncertainty using this method is beyond the scope of this part of ISO 7066.

5.3 Selecting the optimum degree of fit

The optimum fit is determined by trying increasing values of the degree m , either up to a specified maximum or until no further significant improvement occurs. The residual standard deviation s_r should be computed for each degree (s_r is the square root of the residual variance) using the equation

$$s_r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 / (n - m - 1) \quad \dots (3)$$

where $\hat{y}_i$ is the value predicted by the polynomial expression [equation (2)] at $x = x_i$.

NOTE s_r^2 is equivalent to the term $s^2(y, x)$ used in ISO 7066-1. The degree m should always be much less than the number n of data points.

If the data are well represented by a polynomial of degree m , then s_r will decrease significantly until the degree m is reached; thereafter s_r will remain approximately constant. In general, however, the degree at which the decrease in s_r ceases to be significant is not obvious, and an objective test of significance should be used as an aid to finding the optimum degree of fit.

Increasing the degree from $m - 1$ to m is regarded as providing a statistically significant improvement in the fit if the new coefficient b_m differs significantly from zero, i.e. if $b_m + t_{95} s(b_m)$ and $b_m - t_{95} s(b_m)$ (the 95 % confidence limits of b_m) do not include zero.

This condition may be expressed as

$$\left| \frac{b_m}{s(b_m)} \right| > t_{95}$$

where t_{95} is the Student's t value for the 95 % confidence level with $\nu = n - m - 1$.

The value of t_{95} as a function of the number of degrees of freedom ν can be computed from the following empirical equation:

$$t_{95} = 1,96 + 2,36/\nu + 3,2/\nu^2 + 5,2/\nu^{3,84} \quad \dots (4)$$

For the orthogonal polynomial coefficient g_m (see Annex B), the condition is

$$\left| \frac{g_m}{s(g_m)} \right| > t_{95}$$

Expressions for the variances of the coefficients $s^2(b_m)$ and $s^2(g_m)$ are given in Annex A and Annex B respectively.

It is important to test the effect of increasing the degree at least one degree beyond that which first shows no significant improvement, since it is often the case that either only the odd terms or only the even terms produce a significant improvement.

From a statistical point of view, the highest degree which produces an improvement in the fit which is significant at the 95 % confidence level may be regarded as the optimum degree. However, before this degree is selected as providing the most suitable expression to represent the data, other factors should be considered. These factors include any knowledge of the expected shape of the curve, the desirability of having a functional form which is not too complex, the range which it is necessary to represent, and the accuracy which is sought.

In assessing these factors, it is always advisable to plot graphs showing the data and the possible curves; these graphs will also highlight other possible problems. For example, if the degree is too low, then the curve will fail to represent a real trend in the data, and the predicted value $\hat{y}$ may have a bias over some of the range. If the degree is too high, the curve may be fitting the scatter of the data rather than the underlying trend.

The examples given in Annex D illustrate the application of some of these principles.

6 Uncertainty

The random component of the uncertainty, at the 95 % confidence level, of a predicted value $\hat{y}$ is given by

$$e_r(\hat{y}) = t_{95} s(\hat{y})$$

where $s(\hat{y})$ is the square root of the variance $s^2(\hat{y})$ of $\hat{y}$. Expressions for $s^2(\hat{y})$ are given in Annex A and Annex B; in general, $s^2(\hat{y})$ may be expressed as a polynomial function of x of degree $2m$. It is important to ensure that enough significant figures are used in the computation of $s^2(\hat{y})$ to avoid large rounding errors which result from subtraction.

It should be noted that the estimate of uncertainty provided by $e_r(\hat{y})$ will only be valid to the extent that the polynomial expression chosen is a good approximation to the true functional relationship between y and x .

The 95 % random confidence limits for the true value of y are

$$y \pm e_r(\hat{y})$$

As in ISO 7066-1, the uncertainty in the calibration coefficient is given by

$$e(\hat{y}_c) = \left[e_r^2(\hat{y}) + e_s^2(\hat{y}) \right]^{1/2}$$

where $e_s(\hat{y})$ is the systematic component of the uncertainty in $\hat{y}$.

NOTE In the revised version of ISO 5168, in preparation, guidelines are provided for using either the linear addition or the root-sum-square combination of random and systematic errors.

If the dependent variable has been transformed, then all the above uncertainties refer to the transformed variable.

Annex A Regression methods

(This annex does not form an integral part of the standard.)

A.1 Introduction

Regression methods for curve fitting are widely available under various names as standard routines in computer libraries. The documentation provided with these routines tends to assume a certain level of knowledge of regression analysis. The purpose of this annex is to provide a general description of the methods and terminology of regression curve fitting as a background to the documentation of the library routines.

The most widely available regression technique, apart from simple linear regression, is multiple linear regression; curve fitting can be carried out using a special type of multiple linear regression known as polynomial or curvilinear regression. If a polynomial regression routine is not available, then a multiple linear regression method can be used, although it is less convenient. "Stepwise" and "backwards elimination" or "back solution" are special types of multiple linear regression methods which may be used.

A.2 Multiple linear regression

In the following, the summation sign Σ is used to represent $\sum_{i=1}^n$ unless otherwise noted.

A dependent variable y is assumed to be related linearly to m independent variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ by

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_m x_m + U \quad \dots (5)$$

where

β_0 to β_m are the unknown regression coefficients;

U is a measure of the random effects which cause the dependence of y on the m independent variables to depart from exact linearity.

From the n sets of observations

$$(y_i, x_{1i}, x_{2i}, \dots, x_{mi}), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

the estimates of the regression coefficients are

$$b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m$$

so that the estimate $\hat{y}$ of the true value corresponding to the i th set of observations of the independent variables is

$$\hat{y}_i = b_0 + b_1 x_{1i} + \dots + b_m x_{mi} \quad \dots (6)$$

The application of the least-squares procedure to minimize $\Sigma(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$ leads to a set of $m + 1$ simultaneous equations, commonly known as the "normal equations":

$$\begin{aligned} nb_0 + \Sigma(x_{1i})b_1 + \Sigma(x_{2i})b_2 + \dots + \Sigma(x_{mi})b_m &= \Sigma y_i \\ \Sigma(x_{1i})b_0 + \Sigma(x_{1i}^2)b_1 + \dots + \Sigma(x_{1i}x_{mi})b_m &= \Sigma(x_{1i}y_i) \\ \Sigma(x_{mi})b_0 + \Sigma(x_{mi}x_{1i})b_1 + \dots + \Sigma(x_{mi}^2)b_m &= \Sigma(x_{mi}y_i) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (7)$$

These can then be solved for the $m + 1$ unknowns $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m$.

A.3 Polynomial (curvilinear) regression

When a relationship between two variables is not linear, but may be fitted by a polynomial function

$$\hat{y} = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \dots + b_m x^m$$

there is said to be a polynomial or curvilinear regression of y on x . This can be treated as a multiple linear regression with the independent variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ replaced by $x, x^2, \dots, x^m$.

In clauses A.4 and A.5, any of the multiple linear regression expressions may be transformed to the equivalent polynomial regression expressions by replacing the j th independent variable x_j by x^j , and the corresponding data values x_{ji} by x_i^j .

A.4 Computation of coefficients and variances

Consider the multiple linear regression equation with $m = 2$

$$\hat{y} = b_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 \quad \dots (8)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\hat{y} = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 \quad \dots (9)$$

in the polynomial regression case.

When the least-squares criterion is applied, the normal equations are

$$nb_0 + \sum(x_{1i})b_1 + \sum(x_{2i})b_2 = \sum(y_i) \quad \dots (10)$$

$$\sum(x_{1i})b_0 + \sum(x_{1i})^2b_1 + \sum(x_{1i}x_{2i})b_2 = \sum(x_{1i}y_i) \quad \dots (11)$$

$$\sum(x_{2i})b_0 + \sum(x_{2i}x_{1i})b_1 + \sum(x_{2i})^2b_2 = \sum(x_{2i}y_i) \quad \dots (12)$$

The traditional method for solving the normal equations involves computing the inverse of the 3×3 matrix of coefficients of b_0 , b_1 and b_2 . If the elements of this inverse matrix are

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{00} & C_{01} & C_{02} \\ C_{10} & C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{20} & C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= C_{00} \sum y_i + C_{01} \sum(x_{1i}y_i) + C_{02} \sum(x_{2i}y_i) \\ b_1 &= C_{10} \sum y_i + C_{11} \sum(x_{1i}y_i) + C_{12} \sum(x_{2i}y_i) \\ b_2 &= C_{20} \sum y_i + C_{21} \sum(x_{1i}y_i) + C_{22} \sum(x_{2i}y_i) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (13)$$

or, in generalized form,

$$b_j = \sum_{k=0}^m [C_{jk} \sum(x_{ki}y_i)]$$

where $x_{ki} = 1$ for $k = 0$.

Note that since the matrix from the normal equations is symmetric, the inverse matrix is also symmetric.

The variances of the coefficients are

$$s^2(b_0) = s_r^2 C_{00}$$

$$s^2(b_1) = s_r^2 C_{11}$$

$$s^2(b_2) = s_r^2 C_{22}$$

where the residual variance, s_r^2 , is given as in 5.3 by

$$s_r^2 = \frac{\sum(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n - m - 1}$$

Because the inverse matrix is symmetric,

$$C_{01} = C_{10}$$

$$C_{02} = C_{20}$$

$$C_{12} = C_{21} \quad \dots (14)$$