

Metallic materials — Conversion of hardness values

The European Standard EN ISO 18265:2003 has the status of a
British Standard

ICS 77.040.10

National foreword

This British Standard is the official English language version of EN ISO 18265:2003. It is identical with ISO 18265:2003. It supersedes BS 860:1967 which is withdrawn.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted by Technical Committee ISE/NFE/4, Mechanical testing of materials, to Subcommittee ISE/NFE/4/5, Indentation hardness testing, which has the responsibility to:

- aid enquirers to understand the text;
- present to the responsible international/European committee any enquiries on the interpretation, or proposals for change, and keep the UK interests informed;
- monitor related international and European developments and promulgate them in the UK.

A list of organizations represented on this subcommittee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

Cross-references

The British Standards which implement international or European publications referred to in this document may be found in the *BSI Catalogue* under the section entitled “International Standards Correspondence Index”, or by using the “Search” facility of the *BSI Electronic Catalogue* or of British Standards Online.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

Compliance with a British Standard does not of itself confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 17 November 2003

Summary of pages

This document comprises a front cover, an inside front cover, the EN ISO title page, the EN ISO foreword page, the ISO title page, pages ii to v, a blank page, pages 1 to 74, the Annex ZA page and a back cover.

The BSI copyright notice displayed in this document indicates when the document was last issued.

Amendments issued since publication

Amd. No.	Date	Comments
14919 Corrigendum No. 1	23 December 2003	Correction to EN ISO foreword page and incorporation of Annex ZA page

© BSI 23 December 2003

English version

**Metallic materials - Conversion of hardness values (ISO
18265:2003)**

Matériaux métalliques - Conversion des valeurs de dureté
(ISO 18265:2003)

Metallische Werkstoffe - Umwertung von Härtewerten (ISO
18265:2003)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 3 October 2003.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: rue de Stassart, 36 B-1050 Brussels

CORRECTED 2003-12-03

Foreword

This document (EN ISO 18265:2003) has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 164 "Mechanical testing of metals" in collaboration with Technical Committee ECISS/TC 1 "Steel - Mechanical testing", the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by May 2004, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by May 2004.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

Endorsement notice

The text of ISO 18265:2003 has been approved by CEN as EN ISO 18265:2003 without any modifications.

NOTE Normative references to International Standards are listed in Annex ZA (normative).

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO
18265

First edition
2003-11-01

Metallic materials — Conversion of hardness values

Matériaux métalliques — Conversion des valeurs de dureté



Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Principles of conversion	2
4 Application of conversion tables	2
4.1 General	2
4.2 Converting values	6
4.3 Designation of conversion results	8
4.4 Notes on use of conversion tables	9
Annex A (informative) Conversion table for unalloyed, low-alloy steels and cast iron	10
Annex B (informative) Conversion tables for steels for quenching and tempering	15
Annex C (informative) Conversion tables for cold working steels	33
Annex D (informative) Conversion tables for high speed steels	44
Annex E (informative) Conversion tables for hardmetals	56
Annex F (informative) Conversion tables for non-ferrous metals and alloys	60
Bibliography	73

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 18265 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 164, *Mechanical testing of metals*, Subcommittee SC 3, *Hardness testing*.

Introduction

The hardness conversion values given in Table A.1 were obtained in interlaboratory tests by the *Verein Deutscher Eisenhüttenleute* (VDEh) (German Iron and Steel Institute) using verified and calibrated hardness testing machines. Statistically reliable information cannot be given on the uncertainty of these values because the test conditions were not reproducible, and the number of results used to calculate the mean hardness values is not known. The conversion values in Table A.1 are in accordance with the information presented in IC No. 3 (1980) and IC No. 4 (1982) of the European Coal and Steel Community, as well as in ISO 4964:1984 and ISO/TR 10108:1989.

Annexes C, D and E contain — in a revised format — the extensive results on the conversion of hardness values presented in TGL 43212/02 to 43212/04, standards published by the former East German standards body, the *Amt für Standardisierung, Meßwesen und Warenprüfung* (ASMW). The values presented in Annex B had also been determined by the ASMW, but were published in a report of the *Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt* (PTB) ^[1], the German national institute for science and technology, not in a TGL standard.

The converted hardness values in the above-mentioned TGL standards were obtained in statistically reliable hardness and tensile tests. The hardness tests were performed using ASMW normal testing machines on plane-parallel, polished specimens of various materials in different heat treatment conditions. Tensile strength was tested on machines whose force measuring and extension measuring systems had been calibrated immediately before testing. The tensile test method used is equivalent to that specified in ISO 6892, and the calibration procedures conform with those specified in ISO 7500-1 and ISO 9513.

Users of this International Standard should take note of Clause 3, especially the concluding warning.

Metallic materials — Conversion of hardness values

1 Scope

This International Standard specifies the principles of the conversion of hardness values and gives general information on the use of conversion tables.

The conversion tables in Annexes A to F apply to

- unalloyed and low-alloy steels and cast iron;
- steels for quenching and tempering;
- cold working steels;
- high speed steels;
- hardmetals;
- non-ferrous metals and alloys.

NOTE The conversion tables in Annexes B to E are based on empirical results which were evaluated by means of regression analysis. Such analysis was not possible in the case of the values given in Annex A because a sufficient number of results was not available.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 6506-1:1999, *Metallic materials — Brinell hardness test — Part 1: Test method*

ISO 6507-1:1997, *Metallic materials — Vickers hardness test — Part 1: Test method*

ISO 6507-2:1997, *Metallic materials — Vickers hardness test — Part 2: Verification of testing machines*

ISO 6508-1:1999, *Metallic materials — Rockwell hardness test — Part 1: Test method (scales A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, K, N, T)*

ISO 6508-2:1999, *Metallic materials — Rockwell hardness test — Part 2: Verification and calibration of testing machines (scales A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, K, N, T)*

ISO 6892:1998, *Metallic materials — Tensile testing at ambient temperature*

ISO 7500-1:—¹⁾, *Metallic materials — Verification of static uniaxial testing machines — Part 1: Tension/compression testing machines — Verification and calibration of the force-measuring system*

ISO 9513:1999, *Metallic materials — Calibration of extensometers used in uniaxial testing*

¹⁾ To be published. (Revision of ISO 7500-1:1999)

3 Principles of conversion

Hardness testing is a form of materials testing that provides information on the mechanical properties of a material with limited destruction of the specimen and within a relatively short period of time. In practice, it is often desirable to use hardness results to draw conclusions on the tensile strength of the same material if tensile testing is too involved or the piece to be examined is not to be destroyed.

Since the means of loading in hardness testing is considerably different from that in tensile testing, it is not possible to derive a reliable functional relationship between these two characteristic values on the basis of a model. Nevertheless, hardness values and tensile strength values are positively correlated, and so it is possible to draw up empirical relationships for limited applications.

Often it is necessary to check a given hardness value against a value gained by a different test method. This is especially the case if only a certain method can be used due to the particular specimen or coating thickness, the size of the object to be tested, surface quality, or the availability of hardness testing machines.

Conversion of hardness values to tensile values makes it possible to carry out hardness measurement in place of the measurement of tensile strength taking into account that these tensile strength values must be seen as being the least reliable form of conversion. Likewise, with conversion between hardness scales, a hardness value can be replaced with a value obtained using the desired method.

NOTE Sometimes a conversion relationship is drawn on a single-case basis to gain information on properties other than hardness, most often to obtain a good estimate of tensile strength. Special relationships are sometimes also drawn for hardness-to-hardness conversions. This may be done as long as the following conditions are fulfilled.

- The hardness test method is only used internally, and the results obtained not be compared with those of other methods, or the details of the test procedure are defined precisely enough so that results can be reproduced by another laboratory or at another time.
- The conversion tables used have been derived from a sufficiently large number of parallel experiments using both scales and carried out on the material in question.
- Complaints may not be made on the basis of converted values.
- Converted results are expressed in such a manner that it is clear which method was used to determine the original hardness value.


WARNING — In practice, an attempt is often made to establish a strong relationship between the original and converted values without taking into consideration the characteristics of the material under test. As Figures 1 and 2 show, this is not possible. Therefore, users of this International Standard should ensure that all conditions for conversion are met (see also [2] and [3]).

4 Application of conversion tables

4.1 General

Conversion from one hardness value to another, or from a hardness value to a tensile strength value, involves uncertainties which must be taken into account. Extensive investigations have shown that it is not possible to establish universally applicable conversion relationships between hardness values obtained by different methods, no matter how carefully the tests had been carried out. This lies in the fact that there is a complex relationship between the indentation behaviour of a material and its elasticity. For this reason, the given conversion relationship provides greater equivalence the more similarity there is between the elasticity of the tested material and that of the material used to establish the relationship. Likewise, a better equivalence can be expected for methods with similar indentation processes (i.e. where the differences in the force application-indentation procedures and the test parameters is minimal). Therefore, conversion from hardness values to tensile values must be seen as being the least reliable form of conversion.

NOTE In many cases, the yield strength or the 0,2 % proof strength provides information on the elastic behaviour of a material.



X Hardness, HV 30

Y Tensile strength, R_m , MPa

Key

1 untreated, soft annealed, normalized


2 quenched and tempered

Figure 1 —HV 30/ R_m curves for quenching and tempering steels in various heat treatment conditions

It should be noted that each hardness determination is only applicable to the immediate area of the indentation. Where hardness varies, e.g. at an increasing distance from the surface, Brinell or Vickers hardness values, or even tensile strength values can deviate from the converted values solely as a result of the different rate of elongation within the area under consideration.

Hardness values should only be converted when the prescribed test method cannot be used, e.g. because a suitable machine is not available, or if the required samples cannot be taken. A suitable test method can be selected with the aid of Figures 3 and 4. Values obtained by conversion may only be taken as the basis of complaints if so agreed in the delivery contract.

If hardness or tensile strength values are determined by conversion in accordance with this International Standard, this shall be stated, as shall the hardness test method used (see ISO 6506-1, ISO 6507-1, ISO 6508-1).



X Hardness, HV 30
Y Tensile strength, R_m , MPa

Key

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1 $R_e/R_m = 0,45$ to $0,59$ | 4 $R_e/R_m = 0,70$ to $0,79$ heat treated |
| 2 $R_e/R_m = 0,60$ to $0,69$ | 5 $R_e/R_m = 0,80$ to $0,89$ |
| 3 $R_e/R_m = 0,70$ to $0,79$ normal annealed | 6 $R_e/R_m = 0,90$ to $0,99$ |

Figure 2 — Mean HV 30/ R_m curves for quenching and tempering steels with different R_e/R_m ratios

The basis of conversion shall be the mean of at least three individual hardness values.

To ensure an acceptable uncertainty of measurement, the specimen surfaces shall be machine-finished.


The uncertainties of the values given in the conversion tables here comprise the confidence interval of the hardness conversion curves calculated by means of regression analysis, and the uncertainty of the hardness or tensile strength value to be converted. The confidence interval of the regression function is a parameter that cannot be influenced by the user and is calculated as a function of hardness.

The uncertainty associated with the hardness values to be converted is influenced by the repeatability of the testing machine, the quality of the specimen surface, the uniformity of the specimen's hardness and the number of indentations used to determine hardness. It is thus dependent on the test conditions of the person doing the conversion. This conversion is to be carried out on the basis of the tables given in this International Standard for various groups of materials. These tables give hardness values for various scales and, in some cases, the relevant tensile strength.

When only comparing the values in these tables without actually carrying out hardness testing, the uncertainty of the converted value is reduced to the confidence interval of the calculated hardness conversion curve.

When using the tables, it is not significant which value is taken as the measured value and which as the converted one.

The determination of the uncertainty of converted values, as well as the specification of a permissible level of uncertainty may be agreed upon, in which case the converted values are to be established on the basis of the mean of five individual values.



X Vicker hardness, HV 30

Y₁ Rockwell hardness

Y₂ Brinell hardness

Key

1 non-ferrous metal

2 steel


3 hardmetal

NOTE This figure is intended only as an aid in selecting an alternative test method and is not to be used for conversion purposes.

^a Determined with a steel ball (HBS).

^b Determined with a hardmetal ball (HBW).

Figure 3 — Various hardness scales compared to the Vickers scale



X_1 Brinell hardness/Vicker hardness
 X_2 Rockwell hardness, (according to its different scales)
 Y Indentation depth, μm

Key

- 1 HB10/1 000
- 2 HB10/500 and HB 5/250
- 3 HB5/125 and HB 2,5/62,5
- 4 HB2,5/62,5

Figure 4 — Indentation depth as a function of hardness for various test methods

4.2 Converting values

4.2.1 Limits of error

Depending on the measurement conditions in practice, measured value/converted value pairs (e.g. HV/HRC, HRC/HV, HRA/HRN, HB/ R_m) can be taken from the tables in Annexes B to F. Essential criteria which should be taken into account when selecting a hardness test method are discussed in this clause.

The example below illustrates the conversion of values together with their limits of error using Table C.2.

Given hardness value: (300 ± 30) HV


Desired scale: HRC

Converted values from table: $270 \text{ HV} \triangleq 26,9 \text{ HRC}$

$300 \text{ HV} \triangleq 31,0 \text{ HRC}$

$330 \text{ HV} \triangleq 34,6 \text{ HRC}$

The converted value, $31^{+3,6}_{-4,1} \text{ HRC}$, for the nominal value 300 HV no longer represents the mean of the upper and lower limits in HRC because of the nonlinear relationship between HV and HRC values (see Figure 5). The confidence interval of the hardness conversion curve may be disregarded for such estimations.



X HV
Y HRC

Figure 5 — Shift of the nominal value when converting hardness values

4.2.2 Uncertainty


The uncertainty of a converted value should be taken from the curves associated with the conversion table used, as shown in the figures in Annexes B to E for various types of material.

The families of curves given in the annexes represent the uncertainty, u , for a probability level of 95 % as a function of the hardness value $\bar{H}_K$ for various reproducibility limits, R . ($\bar{H}_K$ is the corrected arithmetic mean of five individual values.) The curves have been arranged so that interpolation between neighbouring curves is possible. The reproducibility, R , is to be calculated on the basis of five measurements as shown in 4.4.2 for various hardness test methods.

The uncertainty curves only take into account the effects of the random errors of the measured value on the converted value. However, they do not take into account the systematic error of the testing machine used, as this can lead to exceedingly high errors in the converted result, even if the systematic error lies within the permissible range specified for the machine; this is explained in 4.4. For this reason, hardness testing machines shall be verified, using calibrated blocks, at least within the time interval specified in the relevant standards. The systematic error determined in this manner is to be compensated by correcting the measured mean hardness value. This is especially important in the case of Rockwell hardness testing. Figure 6 illustrates the determination of the uncertainty, u , of a converted hardness value (dashed line) according to the example below.

EXAMPLE

- Measured, corrected mean hardness $\bar{H}_K$ 500 HV
- Converted value as in Annex C 49,5 HRC
- Calculated reproducibility limit, R 2,0 %
- Uncertainty of converted value, u $\pm 0,7 \text{ HRC}$




X $\bar{H}_K$ in HV
Y u in HRC

Figure 6 — An example of the determination of uncertainty of a converted hardness value


4.3 Designation of conversion results

Conversion results shall be reported in a manner that clearly indicates which method was used to determine the original hardness value. In addition, the relevant annex to this International Standard or the table used shall be given.


EXAMPLE 1



EXAMPLE 2 If it is agreed that the uncertainty of the converted value is to be given, this shall be included in the result as follows:



EXAMPLE 3 Conversions into tensile strength values shall be expressed as follows:



4.4 Notes on use of conversion tables

4.4.1 Selection of alternative hardness test methods

4.4.1.1 In Figure 3 hardness scales for non-ferrous metals, hardmetals and selected steels are compared. The relationship of each scale to the Vickers scale is illustrated, and by comparison with Rockwell and Brinell scales (ordinates), information is gained as to the hardness ranges covered by each method. This figure is intended solely as an aid to selection and is not to be used for conversion purposes.

4.4.1.2 Figure 4 shows indentation depths as a function of hardness for various test methods. This should facilitate selection of a suitable test method on the basis of specimen or coating thickness.

4.4.1.3 Another criterion for selecting an alternative hardness test method is the uncertainty of the conversion results. Since this can vary greatly, the uncertainty curves given in this International Standard should also be used to determine which combination of methods is optimal for the application in question.

4.4.2 Calculating the reproducibility limit, R

The reproducibility limit, R , expressed as a percentage, shall be calculated for the different hardness test methods as shown in equations (1) to (3).

For HRB and HRF testing:

$$R = \frac{H_{\max} - H_{\min}}{130 - \bar{H}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

For HRC, HRA, HRD, HRN and HRT testing:

$$R = \frac{H_{\max} - H_{\min}}{100 - \bar{H}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

where

$H_{\max}, H_{\min}$ are the highest and lowest measured hardness values;

$\bar{H}$ is the mean of measured hardness values.

For HV, Vickers microhardness, and HB testing:

$$R = \frac{d_{\max} - d_{\min}}{\bar{d}} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

where

$d_{\max}, d_{\min}$ are the largest and smallest measured indentation diagonals (Vickers) or the largest and smallest diameters (Brinell);

$\bar{d}$ is the mean of measured diagonals or diameters.

4.4.3 Effect of the systematic error

The effect of systematic errors of hardness values on conversion results is illustrated in the following example.

EXAMPLE According to Table E.2, a hardness value of 87,8 HRA corresponds to a converted value of 1 180 HV. In this hardness range, the limits of error of the testing machines (see ISO 6508-2 and ISO 6507-2) are $\pm 1,5$ HRA and $\pm 23,6$ HV, respectively (i.e. ± 2 % of the hardness value). A systematic error of a Rockwell testing machine of + 1,4 HRA lies within the permissible limits of error, although this would still lead to a deviation of 130 HV for the converted value if no correction is made before conversion. Deviations of this magnitude occur particularly when converting from Rockwell to Vickers or Brinell values.

Annex A (informative)

Conversion table for unalloyed, low-alloy steels and cast iron

WARNING — Hardness conversions are no substitute for direct measurements. These tables should be used with caution and only in accordance with the principles of conversions, see Clause 3.

A.1 Hardness-to-hardness conversion

When considering the confidence level of converted hardness values, the uncertainty of the hardness test method as well as the width of the conversion scatterband must be taken into account, as shown in Figure A.1. Curve a) characterizes the mean conversion relationship upon which the values given in this annex are based. Curves b_1) and b_2) delineate the areas on either side of a) which take into consideration the different elasticities of the steels tested. In an ideal conversion, the hardness value x_0 becomes y_0 . Taking account of the scatterband between b_1) and b_2), practically every hardness value between y_{01} and y_{02} is obtainable. It should be borne in mind that, because the hardness value x_0 is associated with the uncertainty of the relevant test method, the actual hardness can fluctuate between x_1 and x_2 and thus the converted value will lie between y_{11} and y_{22} .

NOTE In the interlaboratory tests carried out by the VDEh (see Introduction), the evaluation of about 700 results for the conversion between HV10 values and HB values produced (graphically depicted) scatterband widths of ± 24 HV10 and ± 23 HB, respectively. Regression analysis was not performed.

A.2 Hardness-to-tensile-strength conversion

While hardness-to-hardness conversion involves considerable scatter and systematic errors, conversion of hardness to tensile strength values produces even greater scattering. One reason for this is the great uncertainty, u , can be affected by microstructural changes (e.g. resulting from heat treatment or cold working) within, even, the same type of steel.

The tensile strength values given in Table A.1 are therefore only approximate values which cannot take the place of the results of tensile testing.

NOTE 1 In the interlaboratory tests carried out by the VDEh, the evaluation of about 700 results for the conversion from HV10 values to tensile strength values produced (graphically depicted) scatterband widths of ± 25 HV10 and ± 85 MPa, respectively. It was also shown that systematic deviations from the mean were possible for particular steel groups. For instance, for pearlitic steels within the hardness range of 300 HV10 to 500 HV10 it was found that the converted tensile values were, on the average, about 100 MPa higher than those listed in Table A.1. Regression analysis was not performed.

NOTE 2 Since high-strength structural steels are now being tested at an increasing rate, the tensile strengths in Table A.1 were extended up to 2 180 MPa. The tensile strength values in this table are based on results of extensive interlaboratory tests by the VDEh in the hardness range up to about 420 HV10, and on the results from [4] which are gradually approached by the values in the range above 420 HV10.

Table A.1 — Conversion of hardness-to-hardness or hardness-to-tensile-strength values for unalloyed and low-alloy steels and cast iron

Tensile strength MPa	Vickers hardness HV10	Brinell hardness HB ^a	Rockwell hardness							
			HRB	HRF	HRC	HRA	HRD	HR15N	HR30N	HR45N
255	80	76,0								
270	85	80,7	41,0							
285	90	85,5	48,0	82,6						
305	95	90,2	52,0							
320	100	95,0	56,2	87,0						
335	105	99,8								
350	110	105								
370	115	109	62,3	90,5						
385	120	114								
400	125	119	66,7	93,6						
415	130	124	71,2	96,4						
430	135	128								
450	140	133	75,0	99,0						
465	145	138								
480	150	143	78,7	(101,4)						
495	155	147								
510	160	152								
530	165	156	81,7	(103,6)						
545	170	162								
560	175	166	85,0	(105,5)						
575	180	171	87,1	(107,2)						
595	185	176								
610	190	181	89,5	(108,7)						
625	195	185								
640	200	190	91,5	(110,1)						
660	205	195	92,5							
675	210	199	93,5	(111,3)						
690	215	204	94,0							
705	220	209	95,0	(112,4)						
720	225	214	96,0							
740	230	219	96,7	(113,4)						
755	235	223								
770	240	228	98,1	(114,3)	20,3	60,7	40,3	69,6	41,7	19,9
785	245	233			21,3	61,2	41,1	70,1	42,5	21,1
800	250	238	99,5	(115,1)	22,2	61,6	41,7	70,6	43,4	22,2

Table A.1 (continued)


Tensile strength MPa	Vickers hardness HV10	Brinell hardness HB ^a	Rockwell hardness							
			HRB	HRF	HRC	HRA	HRD	HR15N	HR30N	HR45N
820	255	242	(101)		23,1	62,0	42,2	71,1	44,2	23,2
835	260	247			24,0	62,4	43,1	71,6	45,0	24,3
850	265	252			24,8	62,7	43,7	72,1	45,7	25,2
865	270	257	(102)		25,6	63,1	44,3	72,6	46,4	26,2
880	275	261			26,4	63,5	44,9	73,0	47,2	27,1
900	280	266	(104)		27,1	63,8	45,3	73,4	47,8	27,9
915	285	271	(105)		27,8	64,2	46,0	73,8	48,4	28,7
930	290	276			28,5	64,5	46,5	74,2	49,0	29,5
950	295	280			29,2	64,8	47,1	74,6	49,7	30,4
965	300	285			29,8	65,2	47,5	74,9	50,2	31,1
995	310	295			31,0	65,8	48,4	75,6	51,3	32,5
1 030	320	304			32,2	66,4	49,4	76,2	52,3	33,9
1 060	330	314			33,3	67,0	50,2	76,8	53,6	35,2
1 095	340	323			34,4	67,6	51,1	77,4	54,4	36,5
1 125	350	333			35,5	68,1	51,9	78,0	55,4	37,8
1 155	360	342			36,6	68,7	52,8	78,6	56,4	39,1
1 190	370	352			37,7	69,2	53,6	79,2	57,4	40,4
1 220	380	361			38,8	69,8	54,4	79,8	58,4	41,7
1 255	390	371			39,8	70,3	55,3	80,3	59,3	42,9
1 290	400	380			40,8	70,8	56,0	80,8	60,2	44,1
1 320	410	390			41,8	71,4	56,8	81,4	61,1	45,3
1 350	420	399			42,7	71,8	57,5	81,8	61,9	46,4
1 385	430	409			43,6	72,3	58,2	82,3	62,7	47,4
1 420	440	418			44,5	72,8	58,8	82,8	63,5	48,4
1 455	450	428			45,3	73,3	59,4	83,2	64,3	49,4
1 485	460	437			46,1	73,6	60,1	83,6	64,9	50,4
1 520	470	447			46,9	74,1	60,7	83,9	65,7	51,3
1 555	480	456			47,7	74,5	61,3	84,3	66,4	52,2
1 595	490	466			48,4	74,9	61,6	84,7	67,1	53,1
1 630	500	475			49,1	75,3	62,2	85,0	67,7	53,9
1 665	510	485			49,8	75,7	62,9	85,4	68,3	54,7
1 700	520	494			50,5	76,1	63,5	85,7	69,0	55,6
1 740	530	504			51,1	76,4	63,9	86,0	69,5	56,2
1 775	540	513			51,7	76,7	64,4	86,3	70,0	57,0
1 810	550	523			52,3	77,0	64,8	86,6	70,5	57,8

Table A.1 (continued)

Tensile strength MPa	Vickers hardness HV10	Brinell hardness HB ^a	Rockwell hardness							
			HRB	HRF	HRC	HRA	HRD	HR15N	HR30N	HR45N
1 845	560	532			53,0	77,4	65,4	86,9	71,2	58,6
1 880	570	542			53,6	77,8	65,8	87,2	71,7	59,3
1 920	580	551			54,1	78,0	66,2	87,5	72,1	59,9
1 955	590	561			54,7	78,4	66,7	87,8	72,7	60,5
1 995	600	570			55,2	78,6	67,0	88,0	73,2	61,2
2 030	610	580			55,7	78,9	67,5	88,2	73,7	61,7
2 070	620	589			56,3	79,2	67,9	88,5	74,2	62,4
2 105	630	599			56,8	79,5	68,3	88,8	74,6	63,0
2 145	640	608			57,3	79,8	68,7	89,0	75,1	63,5
2 180	650	618			57,8	80,0	69,0	89,2	75,5	64,1
	660				58,3	80,3	69,4	89,5	75,9	64,7
	670				58,8	80,6	69,8	89,7	76,4	65,3
	680				59,2	80,8	70,1	89,8	76,8	65,7
	690				59,7	81,1	70,5	90,1	77,2	66,2
	700				60,1	81,3	70,8	90,3	77,6	66,7
	720				61,0	81,8	71,5	90,7	78,4	67,7
	740				61,8	82,2	72,1	91,0	79,1	68,6
	760				62,5	82,6	72,6	91,2	79,7	69,4
	780				63,3	83,0	73,3	91,5	80,4	70,2
	800				64,0	83,4	73,8	91,8	81,1	71,0
	820				64,7	83,8	74,3	92,1	81,7	71,8
	840				65,3	84,1	74,8	92,3	82,2	72,2
	860				65,9	84,4	75,3	92,5	82,7	73,1
	880				66,4	84,7	75,7	92,7	83,1	73,6
	900				67,0	85,0	76,1	92,9	83,6	74,2
	920				67,5	85,3	76,5	93,0	84,0	74,8
	940				68,0	85,6	76,9	93,2	84,4	75,4

^a Brinell hardness values up to 450 HB were determined using a steel ball indenter, those above this value were determined with a hardmetal ball.

NOTE Values in parentheses are those lying outside the defined range of the standard test method but which may be used as estimates.



X Determined hardness value
Y Converted value

CAUTION — There may be very large scatter bands in the conversions of different kinds of cast iron.

Figure A.1 — Schematic representation of a scatterband for hardness-to-hardness conversion

Annex B (informative)

Conversion tables for steels for quenching and tempering

WARNING — Hardness conversions are no substitute for direct measurements. These tables should be used with caution and only in accordance with the principles of conversions, see Clause 3.

The values in these conversion tables are based on the results of testing carried out on steels as in TGL 6547 that have been quenched and tempered. The steel grades that were tested are listed in Table B.1, which also provides an overview of the former designations used in the TGL standard along with the corresponding designations as in EN 10083-1. Tables B.2 to B.4 give conversion values for the steels in various heat treatment conditions, while Tables B.5 to B.7 give an overview of the uncertainty curves presented in Figures B.1 to B.68 which are to be used in conjunction with the conversion tables.

NOTE A useful reference book is [24] with information for the comparison of different national and international steel designations with regard to their compositions.

Table B.1 — Quenching and tempering steels tested

Steel grade (as in TGL 6547)	Steel grade (as in EN 10083-1)	
	Material No.	Name
C25	1.1158	C25E
C35	1.1180	C35R
CK45	1.1191	C45E
CK55 ^a	1.1203	C55E
C60	1.1223	C60R
CK67 ^b	c	c
24CrMoV5.5 ^a	c	c
30CrMoV9	1.7707	30CrMoV9 ^d
30Mn5	1.1165	30Mn5 ^d
34Cr4	1.7033	34Cr4
37MnSi5	1.5122	37MnSi5 ^d
38CrSi6	1.7038	37CrS4
40Cr4	1.7035	41Cr4
42CrMo4	1.7225	42CrMo4
42MnV7	1.5223	42MnV7 ^d
50CrV4	1.8159	51CrV4
50MnSi4	1.5131	50MnSi4 ^d
60CrMo4 ^a	1.7228	50CrMo4
^a Not included in TGL 6547. ^b As in TGL 7975. ^c Not included in EN 10083-1 and in DIN 17200. ^d According to DIN 17200:1987-03 (withdrawn, replaced by DIN EN 10083-1) but not included in DIN EN 10083-1 or.		