



BSI Standards Publication

Determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body from wireless communications devices, 30 MHz to 6 GHz

Part 2: Specific requirements for finite difference time domain (FDTD) modelling of exposure from vehicle mounted antennas

National foreword

This British Standard is the UK implementation of IEC/IEEE 62704-2:2017+A1:2025. It supersedes BS IEC/IEEE 62704-2:2017, which is withdrawn.

The start and finish of text introduced or altered by amendment is indicated in the text by tags. Tags indicating changes to IEC/IEEE text carry the number of the IEC/IEEE amendment. For example, text altered by IEC/IEEE amendment 1 is indicated by A1 A1.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee GEL/106, Human exposure to low frequency and high frequency electromagnetic radiation.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient’s own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2025
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2025

ISBN 978 0 539 17423 6

ICS 17.220.20; 33.070.01

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 October 2017.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
30 September 2025	Implementation of IEC/IEEE amendment 1:2025

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

**Determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body from wireless communications devices, 30 MHz to 6 GHz -
Part 2: Specific requirements for finite difference time domain (FDTD) modelling of exposure from vehicle mounted antennas**



THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

Copyright © 2025 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

Copyright © 2025 IEEE

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing being secured. Requests for permission to reproduce should be addressed to either IEC at the address below or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester or from IEEE.

IEC Secretariat
3, rue de Varembe
CH-1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland
Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11
info@iec.ch
www.iec.ch

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
3 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016-5997
United States of America
stds.ipr@ieee.org
www.ieee.org

About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About the IEEE

IEEE is the world's largest professional association dedicated to advancing technological innovation and excellence for the benefit of humanity. IEEE and its members inspire a global community through its highly cited publications, conferences, technology standards, and professional and educational activities.

About IEC/IEEE publications

The technical content of IEC/IEEE publications is kept under constant review by the IEC and IEEE. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigendum or an amendment might have been published.

IEC publications search webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee, ...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished

Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and once a month by email.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc

If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: sales@iec.ch.

IEC Products & Services Portal - products.iec.ch

Discover our powerful search engine and read freely all the publications previews, graphical symbols and the glossary. With a subscription you will always have access to up to date content tailored to your needs.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary on electrotechnology, containing more than 22 500 terminological entries in English and French, with equivalent terms in 25 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.



Documents

Document Sharing Hub

CONTENTS

FOREWORD	4
INTRODUCTION	6
1 Scope	7
2 Normative references	7
3 Terms and definitions	7
4 Abbreviated terms	8
5 Exposure configuration modelling	8
5.1 General considerations	8
5.2 Vehicle modelling	9
5.3 Communications device modelling	10
5.4 Exposed subject modelling	12
5.4.1 General	12
5.4.2 Exposure evaluation in extremities or limbs	14
5.5 Exposure conditions	14
5.6 Accounting for variations in population relative to the standard human body model	17
5.6.1 Whole-body average SAR adjustment factors	17
5.6.2 Peak spatial-average SAR adjustment factors	19
6 Validation of the numerical models	21
6.1 Validation of antenna model	21
6.1.1 General	21
6.1.2 Experimental antenna model validation	21
6.1.3 Numerical antenna model validation	22
6.2 Validation of the human body model	23
6.3 Validation of the vehicle numerical model	25
6.3.1 General	25
6.3.2 Vehicle model validation for bystander exposure simulations	26
6.3.3 Vehicle model validation for passenger exposure simulations	27
7 Computational uncertainty	29
7.1 General considerations	29
7.2 Contributors to overall numerical uncertainty in standard test configurations	30
7.2.1 General	30
7.2.2 Uncertainty of the numerical algorithm	30
7.2.3 Uncertainty of the numerical representation of the vehicle and pavement	30
7.2.4 Uncertainty of the antenna model	31
7.2.5 Uncertainty of SAR evaluation in the standard bystander and passenger models	32
7.3 Uncertainty budget	32
8 Benchmark simulation models	33
8.1 General	33
8.2 Benchmark for bystander exposure simulations	34
8.3 Benchmark for passenger exposure simulations	35
9 Documenting SAR simulation results	37
9.1 General	37
9.2 Test device	37

9.3	Simulated configurations	37
9.4	Software and standard model validation	37
9.5	Antenna numerical model validation	37
9.6	Results of the benchmark simulation models	37
9.7	Simulation uncertainty	38
9.8	SAR results	38
Annex A (normative)	File format and description of the standard human body models	39
A.1	File format	39
A.2	Tissue parameters	42
Annex B (informative)	Population coverage	47
Annex C (informative)	Peak spatial-average SAR locations for the validation and the benchmark simulation models	51
Bibliography		52
Figure 1	– Antenna feed model	11
Figure 2	– Voltage and current at the matched antenna feed-point	12
Figure 3	– Bystander model (left) and passenger/driver model (right) for the SAR simulations	14
Figure 4	– Passenger and driver positions in the vehicle for the SAR simulations	16
Figure 5	– Bystander positions relative to the vehicle for the SAR simulations	16
Figure 6	– Experimental setup for antenna model validation	22
Figure 7	– Benchmark configuration for bystander model exposed to a front or back plane wave	24
Figure 8	– Benchmark configuration for passenger model exposed to a front or back plane wave	25
Figure 9	– Configuration for vehicle numerical model validation	26
Figure 10	– Side view (top) and rear view (bottom) benchmark validation configuration for bystander and trunk mount antenna	34
Figure 11	– Benchmark validation configuration for passenger and trunk mount antenna	36
Table 1	– Pavement model parameters	13
Table 2	– Whole-body average SAR adjustment factors for the bystander and trunk mount antennas	18
Table 3	– Whole-body average SAR adjustment factors for the bystander and roof mount antennas	18
Table 4	– Whole-body average SAR adjustment factors for the passenger and trunk mount antennas	18
Table 5	– Whole-body average SAR adjustment factors for the passenger and roof mount antennas	19
Table 6	– Peak spatial-average SAR adjustment factors for the bystander model and trunk mount antennas	20
Table 7	– Peak spatial-average SAR adjustment factors for the bystander model and roof mount antennas	20
Table 8	– Peak spatial-average SAR adjustment factors for the passenger model and trunk mount antennas	20
Table 9	– Peak spatial-average SAR adjustment factors for the passenger model and roof mount antennas	21

Table 10 – Peak spatial-average SAR for 1 g and 10 g and whole-body average SAR for the front and back plane wave exposure of the 3-mm resolution bystander model.....	24
Table 11 – Peak spatial-average SAR for 1 g and 10 g and whole-body average SAR for the front and back plane wave exposure of the 3-mm resolution passenger model.....	25
Table 12 – Antenna length for the vehicle model validation configurations	26
Table 13 – The reference electric field (top) and magnetic field (bottom) values for the numerical validation of the vehicle model for bystander exposure	27
Table 14 – Coordinates of the test points for the standard vehicle validation simulations for the passenger	28
Table 15 – The reference electric field (top) and magnetic field (bottom) values for the numerical validation of the vehicle model for passenger exposure	29
Table 16 – Numerical uncertainty budget for exposure simulations with vehicle mounted antennas and bystander and/or passenger models	33
Table 17 – Reference SAR values for the bystander benchmark validation model.....	35
Table 18 – Reference SAR values for the passenger benchmark validation model	36
Table A.1 – Voxel counts in each data file	40
Table A.2 – Tissues and the associated indices in the binary data file	41
Table A.3 – Cole–Cole parameters and density for the standard human body model tissues.....	43
Table A.4 – Relative dielectric constant and conductivity for the standard human body model at selected reference frequencies.....	45
Table B.1 – Whole-body average SAR adjustment factors for the bystander model and trunk mount antenna	47
Table B.2 – Whole-body average SAR adjustment factors for the bystander model and roof mount antenna.....	48
Table B.3 – Whole-body average SAR adjustment factors for the passenger model and trunk mount antenna	48
Table B.4 – Whole-body average SAR adjustment factors for the passenger model and roof mount antenna.....	48
Table B.5 – Peak spatial-average SAR adjustment factors for the bystander model and trunk mount antenna	49
Table B.6 – Peak spatial-average SAR adjustment factors for the bystander model and roof mount antenna.....	49
Table B.7 – Peak spatial-average SAR adjustment factors for the passenger model and trunk mount antenna	49
Table B.8 – Peak spatial-average SAR adjustment factors for the passenger model and roof mount antenna	50
Table C.1 – Location of the peak spatial-average SAR for the front and back plane wave exposure of the standard human body models	51
Table C.2 – Location of the peak spatial-average SAR for the vehicle mounted antenna benchmark simulation models	51

DETERMINING THE PEAK SPATIAL-AVERAGE SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR) IN THE HUMAN BODY FROM WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS DEVICES, 30 MHz TO 6 GHz –

Part 2: Specific requirements for finite difference time domain (FDTD) modelling of exposure from vehicle mounted antennas

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation.

IEEE Standards documents are developed within IEEE Societies and Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. IEEE develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of IEEE and serve without compensation. While IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information contained in its standards. Use of IEEE Standards documents is wholly voluntary. *IEEE documents are made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers (see <http://standards.ieee.org/IPR/disclaimers.html> for more information).*

IEC collaborates closely with IEEE in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations. This Dual Logo International Standard was jointly developed by the IEC and IEEE under the terms of that agreement.

- 2) The formal decisions of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees. The formal decisions of IEEE on technical matters, once consensus within IEEE Societies and Standards Coordinating Committees has been reached, is determined by a balanced ballot of materially interested parties who indicate interest in reviewing the proposed standard. Final approval of the IEEE standards document is given by the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board.
- 3) IEC/IEEE Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees/IEEE Societies in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC/IEEE Publications is accurate, IEC or IEEE cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications (including IEC/IEEE Publications) transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC/IEEE Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC and IEEE do not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC and IEEE are not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or IEEE or their directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of technical committees and IEC National Committees, or volunteers of IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board, for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC/IEEE Publication or any other IEC or IEEE Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that implementation of this IEC/IEEE Publication may require use of material covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. IEC or IEEE shall not be held responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patent Claims or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

International Standard IEC/IEEE 62704-2 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 106: Methods for the assessment of electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields associated with

human exposure, in cooperation with International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety of the IEEE Standards Association¹, under the IEC/IEEE Dual Logo Agreement.

This publication is published as an IEC/IEEE Dual Logo standard.

The text of this standard is based on the following IEC documents:

FDIS	Report on voting
106/391/FDIS	106/392/RVD

Full information on the voting for the approval of this standard can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

This standard contains attached files in the form of CAD model datasets described in Annex A. These files are also available at:

http://www.iec.ch/dyn/www/f?p=103:227:0:::FSP_ORG_ID,FSP_LANG_ID:1303,25

A list of all parts in the IEC/IEEE 62704 series, published under the general title *Determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body from wireless communications devices, 30 MHz to 6 GHz*, can be found on the IEC website.

The IEC technical committee and IEEE technical committee have decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

¹ A list of IEEE participants can be found at the following URL: http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/24748-5/24748-5-2017/24748-5-2017_wg-participants.pdf

INTRODUCTION

Computational techniques have reached a level of maturity which allows their use in compliance assessments of wireless communication devices with vehicle mounted antennas. The increasing complexity of assessing product compliance with exposure standards according to specific absorption rate (SAR) limits calls for new compliance techniques. This technique should be time efficient and cost effective. Experimental compliance assessments for wireless communication devices used in combination with vehicles are extremely complex to perform or even not possible at all. National regulatory bodies (e.g. US Federal Communications Commission) encouraged the development of consensus standards as well as the establishment of the related IEEE TC34 SC2 subcommittee and IEC PT62704-2 working group. The benefits to the user include standardized and accepted protocols, standardized anatomical models, validation techniques, benchmark data, reporting format, means for estimating the overall uncertainty in order to produce valid, accurate, repeatable, and reproducible results.

The results obtained by following the protocols specified in this document represent a conservative estimate of the peak spatial-average and whole-body average SAR induced in the standard human body models and exposure conditions established for this document inside or nearby the vehicles representing typical use cases with transmitting mobile radios. The protocols set forth in this document produce results subject to modelling, simulations and other uncertainties that are defined in this document.

The standardized vehicle and human models, test configurations, and related results are representative of the typical exposure conditions expected by the passengers and bystanders near the vehicle with vehicle mounted antennas. It is not the intent of this document to provide a result representative of the absolute maximum SAR value possible under every conceivable combination of body size, posture, vehicle model, and distance from the vehicle and antenna. The following items are described in detail: simulation concepts, simulation techniques, finite difference time domain (FDTD) numerical method, benchmarking techniques, standardized anatomically correct human body models of the passenger and bystander, exposure conditions, reference exposure configurations for validation of the SAR simulation software, and the limitations of these models and tools when used for simulating the peak spatial-average and whole-body average SAR. Procedures for validating the numerical tools used for SAR simulations and assessing the SAR simulation uncertainties are provided. This document is intended primarily for use by engineers and other specialists who are familiar with electromagnetic (EM) theory, numerical methods, and, in particular, FDTD techniques. This document does not recommend specific SAR limit values since these are found in other documents.

1 Scope

This part of IEC/IEEE 62704 establishes the concepts, techniques, validation procedures, uncertainties and limitations of the finite difference time domain technique (FDTD) when used for determining the peak spatial-average and whole-body average specific absorption rate (SAR) in a standardized human anatomical model exposed to the electromagnetic field emitted by vehicle mounted antennas in the frequency range from 30 MHz to 1 GHz, which covers typical high power mobile radio products and applications. This document specifies and provides the test vehicle, human body models and the general benchmark data for those models. It defines antenna locations, operating configurations, exposure conditions, and positions that are typical of persons exposed to the fields generated by vehicle mounted antennas. The extended frequency range up to 6 GHz will be considered in future revisions of this document. This document does not recommend specific peak spatial-average and whole-body average SAR limits since these are found in other documents, e.g. IEEE C95.1-2005, ICNIRP (1998).

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60050 (all parts), *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV)* (available at: <http://www.electropedia.org>)

- A1** IEC/IEEE 62704-1:2017, *Determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body from wireless communications devices, 30 MHz to 6 GHz – Part 1: General requirements for using the finite difference time domain (FDTD) method for SAR calculations*

IEC/IEEE 62704-1:— 2, *Determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body from wireless communications devices, 30 MHz to 6 GHz – Part 1: General requirements for using the finite difference time domain (FDTD) method for SAR calculations* **A1**

IEEE Standards Dictionary Online (subscription available at: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/dictionary.jsp>)

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in **A1** IEC/IEEE 62704-1:2017 **A1**, the IEEE Standards Dictionary Online, IEC 60050 (all parts) and the following apply.

3.1

bystander model

heterogeneous human body model in the standing posture defined in this document to represent a bystander near the standardized vehicle

3.2

conservative estimate

estimate of the peak spatial-average SAR and whole-body average SAR as defined in this document that is representative of what is expected to occur in the body of the bystanders and passengers of a significant majority of the population during normal operating conditions of mobile radios with vehicle mounted antennas

A1 ² Under preparation. Stage at time of publication: IEC/IEEE CDV 62704-1:2023. **A1**

Note 1 to entry: Conservative estimate does not mean the absolute maximum SAR value that could possibly occur under every conceivable combination in the human body size, shape, separation from the antenna and/or vehicle.

3.3

heterogeneous standard human body model

anthropomorphic model of the human body with multiple anatomical structures, each of which is composed of the appropriate single, simulated-tissue type, such as skin, skull (bone), muscle, brain, eye tissue, etc., as defined by this document

Note 1 to entry: The standard human body model is based on the Visible Human dataset [1]³.

3.4

insertion loss

loss resulting from the insertion of a component in a transmission system calculated as the ratio of the power delivered to the load when connected to the generator to the power delivered to the load when the component is inserted

Note 1 to entry: Insertion loss is usually expressed in decibels (dB).

3.5

passenger model

heterogeneous human body model in the seating posture defined in this document to represent a passenger inside the standardized vehicle

3.6

vehicle model

numerical CAD-based model of the vehicle suitable for electromagnetic numerical simulations developed specifically for this document

4 Abbreviated terms

CAD	computer-aided design
FDTD	finite difference time domain
MPE	maximum permissible exposure
PEC	perfect electric conductor
PML	perfectly matched layers
PTT	push-to-talk
RF	radio frequency
RSS	root sum square
SAR	specific absorption rate

5 Exposure configuration modelling

5.1 General considerations

The three relevant elements that define the exposure conditions in vehicular environments are: the communication device(s) with antenna(s), the vehicle model, and the location of the exposed subject.

The communication device or devices typically consist of one or more transceivers connected to a single antenna. The connection of multiple transceivers may require multiplexers and/or power combiners, in addition to the RF transmission line (e.g. section of coaxial cable) routed from the transceiver (or the combiner) to the antenna connector.

³ Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

The term “transceiver” in the following refers to a single transceiver or a more complex system comprising an arbitrary number of transceivers and combiners, and possibly other devices along the RF signal path. Conventionally, any components inserted before the cable (if any) leading to the antenna will be considered part of the transceiver. The transceiver features an RF port (typically the connector where the cable is attached). The relevant RF signal characteristics (frequency, bandwidth, average power) at this port shall be known.

Relevant features of the antenna(s) are the geometrical dimensions, physical construction (e.g. materials), electrical characteristics (e.g. frequency response of the return loss, gain and radiation pattern), electrical/mechanical tuning mechanisms (if any), and mounting locations.

The metallic portions of the vehicle body and the antenna location are the most important parameters that define an exposure scenario. The shape and features of the vehicle body (e.g. windows) shall be representative of the typical application of the communication device without complicating the computational modelling unnecessarily. The model of the pavement shall also be included in the simulation.

5.2 Vehicle modelling

To obtain reliable and repeatable simulation results, a specific CAD model of the vehicle has been defined and is available with this document. To conduct a successful simulation according to this document, the CAD model of this standardized vehicle shall be used. Some results obtained using this standardized vehicle model may not be applicable for certain other vehicle types or different antenna installation conditions, e.g. if non-metallic roof installation is allowed.

The standardized vehicle model defined in this document for compliance assessment is applicable to all vehicle models when the following conditions are met. For either roof mount or trunk mount antenna, the distance to the bystander shall be defined with the antenna mounted according to the installation requirements. To help ensure the most conservative configuration(s) are considered for exposure assessment, the bystander separation distance shall be no greater than the minimum separation distance required for compliance as stated in the installation instructions. The same conditions shall apply to the separation distance between the antenna and the passenger except for the roof mount antenna configurations where the passenger is partially shielded by the metal roof. With such considerations, the impact of the vehicle model does not need to be considered when performing simulations using the standardized vehicle model, which makes this evaluation process practical.

Depending on the FDTD code, uniform or graded meshing algorithms can be employed. In the former case, the computational model resolution is usually determined by the anatomical details of the human model employed to represent the exposed subject. In the latter case, outside of the human body model, it is possible to relax the mesh resolution in some regions of the computational model (following the guidelines for graded mesh set forth in 5.2) in order to increase the execution speed of the numerical simulations and/or increase the geometrical dimensions of the computational domain. However, it is important that the same meshing resolutions as defined and used for the validation and reference models are also used for all related exposure simulations.

The computational model of the vehicle body comprises mainly metal sheets with perfect electric conductor (PEC) properties. It is important that the meshed representation of the standard CAD model be inspected to help ensure continuity of the metal sheets forming the vehicle body and also to ensure that the required gaps and small separations between the different metal parts in the vehicle are not shorted and become continuous in the meshing process. The metal sheets can be modelled as a collection of thin layers, i.e. where the PEC condition is enforced only on a series of contiguous voxel faces along one coordinate plane, properly interconnected among them; or as a combination of thin and volumetric objects. For consistency and to help ensure that the mesh generated for the standard vehicle model defined in this document is valid, a maximum mesh step of 10 mm shall be used.

Compared to PEC, electromagnetic field scattered by the glass surfaces and other dielectric parts is a second order effect; therefore, they are not present in the standard vehicle model. Likewise, rear window defogger elements contain high resistivity conductors and are electromagnetic scatterers, which may attenuate the flow of RF energy through the window. For the purpose of this document, the effect of defoggers is neglected.

5.3 Communications device modelling

Before addressing the exposure to the RF energy emitted by the mobile radio antenna, it shall be verified that electromagnetic emissions contributed by the transceiver equipment are insignificant compared to the exposure level. This can be done by referring to the available radiated emission data in the EMC compliance report for the transceiver evaluated according to measurements or other suitable means recommended by internationally recognized EMC standards.

The general guidelines set forth in IEC/IEEE 62704-1 for modelling the RF source as a resistive generator in the FDTD model should be applied. Except for special or unique circumstances, which shall be explained and justified in the assessment report, source excitation should be applied at the antenna feed-point.

The fixed losses should be identified and quantified when possible to determine overestimation of exposure. The effect of the cable insertion losses leading to the antenna feed-point may be neglected in computations through proper RF power scaling at the feed point. This introduces a conservative bias in RF exposure assessment. However, if cable losses can be reasonably well quantified according to cable specifications and length required for mounting the antenna at specific locations, the effect of cable losses can be considered in the assessment by reducing the net input power, by an amount equal to the cable loss minus 0,5 dB. For instance, if the cable loss is 1,25 dB, a radiated power of 0,75 dB less than the power available at the transceiver port is applied to the antenna feed-point in the simulation. If the cable loss is less than 0,5 dB, it shall be neglected. This intentional bias is introduced to account for minor variations in cable lengths and cable specifications or properties to help ensure the conservative nature of the RF exposure assessment. Furthermore, return loss due to the antenna mismatch may also be neglected, thus introducing additional conservative bias. In any case, proper justification shall be provided to quantify the cable insertion losses and return loss if they are introduced in the computational analysis.

Because of the linearity of the simulated fields in a defined electromagnetic exposure condition, FDTD simulations can be performed at any desired power level and then scaled to the actual maximum average output power of the communication device.

The antenna shall be modelled to represent the physical antenna to help ensure the results are valid. This might require assembling the model as a collection of wires, patches, volumetric dielectric or metallic objects, etc. It is possible to introduce simplifications to reduce the complexity of the antenna model. For instance, it may be possible to introduce lumped reactive elements in the FDTD model in lieu of electrically small reactances, such as loading coils (sometimes called “traps”) used to phase different wire sections of electrically long antennas. The antenna components that are smaller than one-tenth of the wavelength in the local dielectric material shall be deemed electrically small. Any such lumped element in the antenna model shall be validated according to the procedures set forth in 6.1.

Antenna models shall be located on the vehicle at the locations consistent with the test setup supported by this document and according to the antenna installation and product manual requirements. Test requirements for roof top and trunk mount antennas are specified in this document. The antenna and vehicle both contribute as radiating structures. In most cases, the antenna feed-point is located at the base of the antenna, where it makes contact with the vehicle body. This configuration is the one most frequently encountered. However, there might be cases where the feed-point is located elsewhere, for instance in the middle of a sleeve dipole antenna. Proper justification for the choice of antenna feed-point location shall be provided in the simulation report. There are also cases where matching networks are employed at the antenna feed-point to realize proper matching to the RF source impedance.

In these cases, the matching network may be omitted from the FDTD model since the computed results can always be scaled to produce the desired amount of antenna input power as shown below.

The antenna feed-point impedance and total power radiated under impedance match conditions at the test frequency can be obtained from simulations. The input impedance is calculated upon integrating the steady-state magnetic field surrounding the edge on which the feed-point is located to compute steady-state feed-point current (I), which is then used to derive the steady-state voltage (V) across the feed-point gap. The net average input power is computed as

$$P_{in} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}\{VI^*\} \quad (1)$$

where the asterisk indicates the complex conjugate.

SAR can be normalized to P_{in} to obtain SAR per watt input power. Whole-body average and peak spatial-average SAR for 1 g or 10 g can be determined by scaling the normalized SAR by the maximum power rating at the transceiver/antenna port. The final results may also take into consideration the time averaging allowed by national regulatory exposure requirements (e.g., the 50 % duty cycle afforded to certain PTT mobile radios).

A Thevenin model of the source feeding the antenna is shown in Figure 1. The voltage source V_S and the real source impedance R_S are employed in the computations.




Figure 1 – Antenna feed model

The antenna impedance is $Z_A = R_A + jX_A$. The available power from the source is the maximum amount that would be dissipated in the load under perfect impedance matching condition (also known as conjugate matching condition $Z_A = R_S$):

$$P_{av} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}\{V_A I_A^*\} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_S}{2} \frac{V_S^*}{2R_S} = \frac{|V_S|^2}{8R_S} \quad (2)$$

The operating condition for maximum power at R_S is represented in Figure 2. Note that this is the same condition, where the matched load resistance is represented by a power metering device, used to measure the maximum conducted power from a radio.




Figure 2 – Voltage and current at the matched antenna feed-point

Therefore, it is possible to establish the Thevenin voltage V_S that would be representative of a radio transmitter with maximum rated power $P_{\max}$ and the source impedance R_S as follows:

$$P_{\max} = P_{\text{av}} = \frac{|V_S|^2}{8R_S} \Rightarrow |V_S| = \sqrt{8R_S P_{\max}} \quad (3)$$

When the transmitter is connected to an antenna that exhibits the input impedance Z_A , the power radiated can be no greater than the maximum rated power $P_{\max}$ because of two physical mechanisms: energy dissipation in the antenna structure and energy reflection at the antenna port. The first mechanism is due to dielectric and ohmic losses while the second one is due to impedance mismatch at the antenna port. Neglecting energy dissipation introduces a conservative bias in compliance assessments. In computations, this is accomplished by applying ideal (lossless) material properties for the radiating structures, and in such case the radiated power P_{rad} is equal to the antenna input power P_{in} . An additional conservative bias is introduced by neglecting the mismatch losses. The mismatch loss factor is:

$$\eta = \frac{P_{\text{rad}}}{P_{\max}} = 1 - |\Gamma|^2 = 1 - \left| \frac{Z_A - R_S}{Z_A + R_S} \right|^2 = \frac{4R_A R_S}{|Z_A + R_S|^2} \quad (4)$$

where Γ is the reflection coefficient at the antenna port. Therefore, in order to neglect the mismatch loss, the computed fields are scaled up by a factor $\sqrt{1/\eta}$ after simulations. This is equivalent to setting $P_{\text{rad}} = P_{\max}$ and therefore $\Gamma = 0$, which is equivalent to saying that there are no mismatch losses and that the maximum power of the radio transmitter is radiated.

By following the approach described above, the effect of any ohmic losses due to the matching network components is omitted in the FDTD model, thus introducing a further conservative bias in the assessment.

5.4 Exposed subject modelling

A1 5.4.1 General **A1**

The exposed subject shall be modelled in simulations using the standard bystander and passenger models which are based on the “Visible Human” [1] dataset. These models are freely available and are provided with this document in a generalized FDTD format. They include 39 different tissue types with dielectric properties and mass densities derived based on Gabriel [2] and available from publicly accessible databases [3]. The dielectric properties are determined according to frequency dispersion characteristics by applying the Cole–Cole formulas [4].

The Visible Human is a model of a standing man. Suitable rearrangement of its body parts has been performed to arrive at a sitting model (i.e. passenger model) and a properly positioned bystander model. The manipulations required specialized software.⁴ The derived bystander and passenger models are available as part of this document and can be imported into custom or commercial FDTD codes. To this end the files for these models and tissue structures are available in the voxel based format. The file format and other details for these standardized human models, including the tissue parameters, are described in Annex A. The reference data on tissue dielectric constants and conductivities at a few selected frequencies are also included in Annex A for validating that tissue parameters are correctly implemented according to the Cole–Cole model [4].

For compliance assessment according to this document the human models shall not be modified or used in a different voxel resolution than those provided. The 3 mm resolution defined for these models shall be used in the frequency range of this document, up to 1 GHz, which roughly corresponds to no worse than one-twelfth of the wavelength inside the body. The recent study by Gosselin et al. [5] investigated the impact of the mesh resolution on the peak spatial-average and whole-body average SAR. The results of this study suggest that within the frequency range from 30 MHz to 1 GHz and using a voxel resolution of 3 mm, convergence for 10 g peak spatial-average SAR of approximately 0,5 dB and about 0,2 dB for the whole-body average SAR are expected. A separate study [6] evaluated convergence in the same frequency range and found better than 0,3 dB convergence for the peak spatial-average SAR for both 1 g and 10 g and better than 0,15 dB for the whole-body average SAR, when comparing the results computed for a bystander human body model meshed with 3 mm, 1,5 mm and 1 mm resolution. These studies indicate that the results are not likely to change substantially with better than 3 mm resolution models.

NOTE The actual resolution in terms of wavelength is typically much better than the worst case of one-twelfth of wavelength which is based on 1 GHz maximum frequency and the selected tissues with the highest dielectric constant.

For bystander exposure simulations it is necessary to properly model the pavement. Typical pavements such as asphalt and concrete have relatively stable electric properties within a wide frequency range [7], [8]. In general, these types of pavement, even in wet condition, as well as many different types of soil conditions can be consistently represented by a dielectric slab having a relative dielectric constant of 8 and a conductivity of 0,01 S/m. The pavement shall be represented using a dielectric slab with a minimum thickness, a relative dielectric constant, and a conductivity as defined in Table 1.


Table 1 – Pavement model parameters

Relative dielectric constant	Conductivity	Slab thickness
8	0,01 S/m	30 cm

Exposure simulations with larger thickness dielectric slabs do not show significant effect on the peak spatial-average SAR for 1 g, 10 g, whole-body average SAR and SAR distributions within the exposed bystander model [9]. The bystander model shall not be in direct contact with the dielectric slab representing the pavement to properly simulate the insulation gap typically provided by shoes between the feet and the ground. The distance between the feet and the ground shall be 10 mm.

Figure 3 depicts the bystander and passenger (driver) models provided by this document for SAR simulations.

⁴ VariPose®. Version 1.2, Remcom Inc., State College, PA USA. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of this product.



IEC

Figure 3 – Bystander model (left) and passenger/driver model (right) for the SAR simulations

A1 5.4.2 Exposure evaluation in extremities or limbs

For exposure evaluation in extremities or limbs two additional standard models, one for bystander and one for passenger, are provided with this document and shall be used. These additional models are identical to the corresponding standard models defined in 5.4.1 except for the voxels materials of extremity and limb tissues, which are labelled accordingly, as described in Annex A. Such labelling allows to selectively include or exclude the voxels of the body, extremities and/or limbs when evaluating exposure in the corresponding tissue types according to applicable guidelines and regulations.

NOTE The voxels of the extremities (hands, wrists, feet and ankles) and limbs in the described additional models do not overlap, therefore when evaluating exposure in the limbs it is important that both the extremity and the limb tissues are considered.

The evaluation of the SAR in the selected tissue types like the body, extremities or limbs shall be performed according to 6.3.3 of IEC/IEEE 62704-1:—. **A1**

5.5 Exposure conditions

Vehicle mounted antennas can produce RF exposure to subjects located inside or outside the vehicle. The former will be indicated in the following as “passengers” (including the driver), and the latter as “bystanders”.

The locations of the antenna on the vehicle body shall be clearly specified according to the test setup required by this document for roof top or trunk mount antenna installation. Antenna mount locations on the vehicle shall also follow the manufacturer’s recommended product manual specifications describing the proper antenna mount position(s). Depending on the antenna mount location different exposure configurations may be required for SAR evaluation using the bystander and/or passenger models. All the exposure configurations shall provide a conservative separation distance from the antenna to the bystander or passenger model that is consistent with the actual antenna installation, product safety manual and operating requirements.