BS ISO 16354:2013

BSI Standards Publication

Guidelines for knowledge libraries and object libraries

NO COPYING WITHOUT BSI PERMISSION EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW

raising standards worldwide™

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

National foreword

This British Standard is the UK implementation of ISO 16354:2013.

This standard provides a consistent and rigorous approach to the topic of object libraries. It is a resource for those involved in strategic questions of inter operability, linking and mapping. As demand arises for interoperability between process plant, civil engineering and building libraries, the discussion will be supported by its definitive set of reference terms and functional units that are outside of any particular domain.

The principles in this standard have been implemented by the UK construction industry with the development of the BS 8541 "Library objects for architecture, engineering and construction" series to standardize how library objects should be prepared and how their quality can be assessed. BS 8541 is published in four parts:

- Part 1: Identification and classification. Code of practice
- Part 2: Recommended 2D symbols of building elements for use in building information modelling
- Part 3: Shape and measurement. Code of practice
- Part 4: Attributes for specification and assessment. Code of practice

The following is a summary of the Parts of BS 8541 series and how they use the main functional units defined in ISO 16354 paragraph 5.3:

- Parts 1, 3 and 4 use ISO/DIS 16739 (as extended up to IFC4) and COBie as resources for their "Vocabulary" (5.3.1) and "Dictionary" (5.3.2) functional units.
- Part 1 documents the "Taxonomy" (5.3.3) and "Collection" (5.3.6) functional units.
- Part 2 documents the 2D symbology "Aspect model" (5.3.4) functional unit
- Part 3 documents the measurement and 3D shape as two "Aspect models" (5.3 .4) functional units.
- Part 4 documents the specification and assessment as two "Aspect models" (5.3.4) functional unit.
- A future Part to BS 8541 may cover assemblies as "Composition models" (5.3.6) functional units.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee B/555, Construction design, modelling and data exchange.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2013

Published by BSI Standards Limited 2013

ISBN 978 0 580 76800 2

ICS 91.010.01

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 April 2013.

Amendments issued since publication

Amd. No.	Date	Text affected

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 16354

First edition
2013-03-15

Guidelines for knowledge libraries and object libraries

Lignes directrices pour les bibliothèques de connaissance et les bibliothèques d'objets

Reference number
ISO 16354:2013(E)

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
© ISO 2013

Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2013

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

Contents

Page

Foreword ..... **iv**

Introduction ..... **v**

1 Scope **1**

2 Normative references **1**

3 Terms and definitions **1**
3.1 Terms and definitions for concepts 1
3.2 Terms and definitions for kinds of relations 7

4 Symbols and abbreviations **16**

5 Objectives **17**
5.1 Introduction 17
5.2 Scope, conditions and target users 17
5.3 Problem definition 18
5.4 Objective of this standard 18
5.5 Guidelines as instrument 20

6 Types of knowledge libraries **23**
6.1 Introduction 23
6.2 Definitions and types of knowledge libraries 24
6.3 Added value of knowledge libraries 27

7 Functional framework for knowledge libraries **30**
7.1 Introduction 30
7.2 Functional unit: vocabulary or list of terms 31
7.3 Functional unit: Dictionary 31
7.4 Functional unit: Taxonomy 31
7.5 Functional unit: Aspect Models 33
7.6 Functional unit: Composition Models 33
7.7 Functional unit: Collections 34
7.8 Relations between functional units 35

8 Guidelines for functional units **36**
8.1 Guidelines for Vocabularies or List of Terms 36
8.2 Guidelines for Dictionaries 39
8.3 Guidelines for Taxonomies 40
8.4 Guidelines for Aspect Models 46
8.5 Guidelines for Composition Models 47
8.6 Guidelines for Collections 49
8.7 Guidelines for Cross Functional Units 50

Annex A (informative) **Quality labels** **53**

Annex B (normative) **Reference model** **60**

Annex C (informative) **Guidelines under scrutiny** **66**

Annex D (informative) **Index** **70**

Bibliography **72**

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electro technical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 16354 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 59, *Buildings and civil engineering works*, Subcommittee SC 13, *Organization of information about construction works*.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

Introduction

Knowledge libraries are databases that contain modelled knowledge about kinds of things.

Knowledge libraries are intended to support business processes concerning any kind of products during their lifetime, for example to support their design, procurement, construction, operation or maintenance. There is an increasing awareness of the high potential value of knowledge libraries and of the drawbacks of the inconsistencies and lack of interoperability between different knowledge libraries.

This standard is based on Netherlands Technical Agreement NTA 8611:2008 (en), *Guidelines for Knowledge Libraries and Object Libraries, Version 3.0*.

On both a national and international level knowledge libraries exist or are being developed, such as the Gellish English Dictionary-Taxonomy (previously called STEPlib), UNETO-VNI ETIM system, LexiCon and the GWW Objectenbibliotheek [Civil Object Library] and International Framework for Dictionaries (IFD) developed by the Building Smart consortium. International efforts include IEC 61360, ISO 13584, ISO/TS 15926-4, and ISO 12006-3.

Historically, most libraries have had their own unique structure and methodology for defining their objects and they use their own naming conventions. For instance, the structure of the article classes laid down in ISO 13584-42 notably differs from that of the UNETO-VNI component classes (publication 8) or LexiCon, based on ISO 12006-3. In most cases the intrinsic definition of objects will also be different.

The major ICT developments with regard to the Internet and XML technology have increased the possibility for uniformity. From a technical point of view, it has become much easier to exchange data, which increases the need and support for this within the industry. Organizations launching new initiatives for the creation of knowledge libraries may also greatly benefit from enhanced uniformity. They may come up with questions such as: “Which existing libraries should be used?”, “Will these libraries receive sufficient support?”, “Do they fulfil my information needs?” and “Is there international support for such libraries?”

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ISO 16354:2013(E)

Guidelines for knowledge libraries and object libraries

1 Scope

The aim of this standard is to distinguish categories of knowledge libraries and to lay the foundation for uniform structures and content of such knowledge libraries and for commonality in their usage. By drawing up a number of guidelines, a guiding principle is provided for new libraries as well as for upgrading existing libraries. Without these guidelines there is an undesirable amount of freedom, so that the various libraries may become too heterogeneous. This would render the comparison, linking and integrated usage of these libraries very complex, if not impossible.

— The objective of the standard is to categorize knowledge libraries and object libraries and to provide recommendations for the creation of such libraries. Libraries that are compliant with the guidelines of this standard may be more easily linked to, or integrated with other libraries.

— The target audience of the standard consists of developers of knowledge libraries, builders of translation software or interfaces between knowledge libraries, certifying bodies and builders of applications who must base their work on the knowledge libraries laid down.

NOTE 1 Knowledge libraries are databases or files that contain modelled knowledge about kinds of things. They are intended to support business processes concerning any kind of products during their lifetime, for example to support their design, procurement, construction, operation or maintenance. There is an increasing awareness of the high potential value of knowledge libraries and of the drawbacks of the inconsistencies and lack of interoperability between different knowledge libraries.

NOTE 2 This standard does not aim to standardize terminology, but to harmonize and standardize concepts. Thus the use of synonyms and synonymous phrases and one-to-one translations are allowed or even recommended, provided that alternative terms denote the same concepts and reference is made to the corresponding synonymous terms in this standard.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

None.

3 Terms and definitions

3.1 Terms and definitions for concepts

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions of concepts apply.

NOTE The guidelines in this standard are expressed by using two kinds of building blocks: concepts and relation types (which are a special kind of concepts). In this clause, the definitions of those building blocks are provided. The overall description method of the building blocks bears great resemblance to the description method used in several other ISO standards, e.g. the ISO 10303 series.

For each concept a number, a term (which may be a multi-word term or phrase) and a definition is given, usually followed by an explanation in a Note and one or more examples. Each term denotes a concept in English in the context (language community) of this standard.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

3.1.1
knowledge library
collection of information models that express knowledge (which may include also definition models and requirements models) about kinds of things (concepts) and that are stored and retrieved as electronic information

Note 1 to entry: A knowledge library may contain knowledge about physical objects as well as about non-physical objects, such as occurrences, activities, processes and events, or about properties, relationships, scales (units of measure), mathematical objects, etc. Each information model in a knowledge library should be retrievable as a separate model, although the content of the various models may overlap. It is not required that every information model has a separate unique identifier as a model may also be retrieved on the basis of a query.

An *object library* (in the context of this standard) is a special kind of knowledge library as it is a collection of knowledge models (possibly also including definitions and requirements) about kinds of physical objects.

3.1.2
knowledge model
information model that expresses knowledge in a computer interpretable structure

Note 1 to entry: A knowledge model consists of a number of expressions of facts about a concept, each of which expressions expresses something that can be the case. Those expressions should comply with the guidelines in this standard. A requirements model is a subtype of a knowledge model. It expresses what shall be the case in a particular context.

Note 2 to entry: Knowledge models typically define further subtypes of the concepts that are defined in this standard.

Information models are expressions of meaning in a formal language that is computer interpretable.

3.1.3
fact
state of being the case

Note 1 to entry: A fact can be represented by a fact identifier (see the concept 'unique identifier'). Something that is the case may be expressed by an expression. Such an expression may consist of a relation between representatives of related things, whereas typically that relation is classified by a kind of relation. A fact may be stated, denied or questioned or confirmed in the expression.

3.1.4
definition
representation of a concept by a descriptive statement which serves to differentiate it from related concepts

[ISO 1087-1:2000]

Note 1 to entry: A definition may be expressed as natural language text (a textual definition) or as a definition model. A textual definition should comply with the applicable guideline(s) in this standard. A definition expresses what is by definition the case for all things of the defined kind and if such an expressed constraint is not the case for a thing, then that thing is not a thing of the defined kind.

A *definition model* is a subtype of a knowledge model and consists of a number of expressions of facts about the defined concept. Those expressions should comply with the guidelines in this standard.

3.1.5
concept
(1)unit of knowledge created by a unique combination of aspects and/or components

[adapted from ISO 1087-1:2000]

(2)commonality between individual things that is defined by one or more constraints that describe the limits for the inclusion of individual things to conform to the concept

Note 1 to entry: A concept is a human idea used to categorize phenomena and to allocate knowledge that is common about those phenomena. All concepts in a knowledge library are specializations (subtypes) of concept.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

A concept can be defined or described or it can be used to define or describe other concepts.

The above two definitions define the same concept from different perspectives.

This standard makes a distinction between a concept (itself) and the definition model (knowledge model) that defines the concept. The term 'unit of knowledge' should therefore be interpreted as the concept itself. In this standard characteristics are aspects that are distinguished from components.

EXAMPLE Concepts with names such as road, building, bicycle, repairing, length, organization, centimetre.

3.1.6
physical object
individual thing that has a physical nature with a limited lifespan; it may be materialized (and then may be observable and touchable) or it may be imagined (having deemed aspects, as-if observable)

Note 1 to entry: Physical objects (i.e. the concept 'physical object') is a core kind of object (or object type) with which this standard is concerned (see 5.2). The guidelines that have been included in this standard are therefore concerned mainly with knowledge libraries geared towards the description of physical matters. A physical object is to be distinguished from the stuff, such as steel, that specifies the material of construction aspect of a physical object. Physical objects may be solid or liquid or gaseous, but also electronic or electromagnetic, such as software or radiation.

EXAMPLE Subtypes of physical object are concepts such as ones that have the following names: bridge, switch, ventilator, pump, chair, ship, airplane, nut and bolt as well as liquid stream, application software, data file, document and beam of light. Examples of (individual) physical objects (exemplars) are the Eiffel Tower, Paris, V-6060 (a particular real vessel), D-101 (a particular copy of a document).

3.1.7
organization
social entity which is a physical object that consists of people in a structure that is controlled to meet some purpose

Note 1 to entry: People can be defined as living physical objects, although this does not exclude that they might have non-physical characteristics. An organization, defined as an arrangement of people, is therefore also defined as a subtype of physical object, so that all guidelines for physical objects are also applicable for organizations. An organization can possess or use material, such as equipment, machines, and buildings. The materials possessed by an organization are owned by, but not defined as parts of the organization.

EXAMPLE Subtypes of physical object are kinds of organizations such as department, project team, contractor. Examples of individual organizations that are classified by such a kind are: the United Nations, Microsoft, department X.

3.1.8
occurrence
state that is dynamic and is an interaction over time between involved things, each with its own role

Note 1 to entry: May also be called 'happening'. An occurrence can be an activity that is performed by a person or a process or an event. Essential is that an occurrence takes time. The involved objects are in begin conditions (state) at the start of the occurrence and are in end conditions (state) at the termination of the occurrence. The begin conditions and end conditions may be the same, but are usually different. An occurrence can also be called a state transition. An event usually has a very short duration. For some processes it seems as if nothing happens, apart from at their atomic scale.

EXAMPLE Subtypes of occurrence are: inspecting, pumping, flowing, fabricating, measuring, maintaining, control, project, earthquake, etc.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

3.1.9
term
(1)verbal designation of a concept or individual thing in a specific subject field

[adapted from ISO 1087-1:2000]

(2)role of a physical character string or sound that may include spaces and silences, respectively, that is used to designate a concept (e.g. a kind of physical object or aspect) or to designate an individual thing in a particular language (coding system) and language community

Note 1 to entry: A spoken sound or written character string is an utterance or written or printed physical object that is used in a certain context (language community) as a linguistic designation of a concept or of an individual thing. In this standard such a concept or individual thing is assumed to be represented by a unique identifier. A term usually consists of a particular sequence of characters or sound, one or more words, abbreviations or symbols, possibly separated by spaces or silences.

A *name* is a term that is neither a code nor a symbol or abbreviation. A name is a role of a character string in a naming relation, which denotes how something is called in a particular language and language community.

Note 2 to entry: A *character string* is a physical object; it is a sequence of characters of a standardized shape, typically ink on paper.

A term does not necessarily uniquely denote a particular concept, thus homonyms are not excluded. A term only uniquely denotes a particular concept in a particular language and language community.

The above two definitions are two expressions that intend to define the same concept.

EXAMPLE Terms such as road, room, bicycle, length, price, centrifugal pump, inspection, and kg that are used in English to refer to concepts; and terms such as Eiffel Tower and New York that are used to refer to individual things.

3.1.10
language
coding system of spoken and/or written words and sentences (expressions and phrases) that is used to communicate between people or systems

Note 1 to entry: There are natural languages and artificial languages. The latter may be a formal language (being explicitly defined and computer interpretable).

EXAMPLE English, German, and Mandarin are examples of natural languages. Gellish Formal English is an example of a formal artificial language, although its vocabulary consists of normal English terms.

3.1.11
language community
community that shares terminology (terms, names, abbreviations and codes) to unambiguously refer to concepts and to individual things

Note 1 to entry: A language community, also called a speech community, does not use homonyms within their shared community vocabulary, but may include synonyms. Terms from different language communities may include homonyms.

EXAMPLE Civil engineering, finance, and control engineering are examples of language communities.

3.1.12
unique identifier
UID
role of a character string when used for unambiguous reference to a concept or to an individual thing (e.g. a physical object or an aspect or a fact or a relation type) and that is unique within a particular common context, preferably in a universal context

Note 1 to entry: The function of a unique identifier is that it is a unique, language independent, reference to a concept, relation or individual thing. Ranges or conventions for unique identifiers shall be agreed between parties to avoid overlap with other parties when data exchange or data integration is intended.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

A UID of a concept is different from UIDs for particular quantities of information about that concept. A UID for a concept refers to the concept itself. Thus a UID for a pump is different from a UID for the information (collection of facts) that is expressed by an entity with its attributes in a database.

A UID for a fact (a relation) is independent of the expression (the way a fact is expressed).

The inverse expression of a relation denotes the same fact (and thus shall be indicated by the same UID).

EXAMPLE The UID 130206 in Gellish Formal English refers to the concept 'pump'. The UID 570039 refers to the concept 'kg' in the same context. In such a formal language, UIDs or ranges for unique identifiers are issued on request for individual things as well as for proprietary extensions of the concepts that are defined in the language.

IFC and IFD and others use an algorithm for generating Globally Unique Identifiers. The algorithm guarantees the uniqueness of the UID, independent of the application by which it is generated. However, every system may generate its own GUID for the same concept.

3.1.13
aspect
concept by which the existence and appearance of a thing is experienced and that cannot exist without the existence of its possessor and which is either an intrinsic non-separable facet of its possessor or a role of its possessor

Note 1 to entry: Aspects are the phenomena by which people experience the existence and appearance or value of things. Subtypes of aspects are: characteristic, with further subtypes: physical property and quality, such as material of construction (stuff), but also economic value, risk or social importance. Physical properties are quantifiable, whereas qualities are non-quantifiable. The nature of such a phenomenon is called a *conceptual aspect*. Its extent, intensity or size is called a *qualitative aspect*, also called an *aspect value* or *property value*.

The concept 'role' is an extrinsic subtype of aspect.

EXAMPLE Subtypes of aspect are: kinds of physical properties, such as the concept's shape, length and colour, and kinds of qualities (which are usually not quantified), such as flammability and corrosivity. Such kinds are called conceptual aspects. Generic values for those conceptual aspects are called qualitative aspects. Examples are: 'cylindrical shape', 'stainless steel', the length '3 m', the colour 'red' and the qualities 'flammable', 'inflammable' and 'corrosive'. Also numbers and ranges, such as 0, 1, 1.5, 1/8, '3 to 5' and ' > 10' are qualitative aspects.

3.1.14
scale
kind of relation that is used to classify relations between physical properties and numbers, thus indicating a method for quantifying sizes or extents of aspects by mathematical values or ranges

Note 1 to entry: A scale is meant to provide a mechanism to relate quantitative aspects (physical properties) to numbers or ranges that represent the sizes or intensities of the aspects on the scale. The number 1 on a scale is a unit of measure that refers to a (standard) reference value of the kind of aspect for which the scale is meant.

EXAMPLE length scale, velocity scale, temperature scale

Note 2 to entry: Qualitative scales are usually called units of measure. They are subtypes of scale.

3.1.15
unit of measure
scale that specifies how the size or extent of an aspect is unambiguously quantified by a value on a mathematical range

Note 1 to entry: A unit of measure provides a specific mechanism to relate an aspect to a number or range that represents the size or intensity of the aspect.

EXAMPLE mm, cm, m, km, bar, mbar, mmHg, psi, °C

Note 2 to entry: In fact, the term 'unit of measure' refers to a standard value on a particular scale that is used for comparison. For example, it could be argued that '1 m' is the 'unit of measure', being a standard value on the metre scale, whereas the latter is indicated just as 'm'. That standard value is (approximately) the length of the standard bar in the 'Musée de Mesures' (Museum of Measures) in Paris that was originally used to measure length by comparison.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

3.1.16
role
extrinsic aspect that is possessed by a possessor as long as the possessor participates in a relation that requires that role

Note 1 to entry: A role is played by something when participating in a relation with something else. Typically roles are based on temporal situations. Thus they are extrinsic and not on intrinsic aspects. Physical objects can play various kinds of roles: they can play roles in relations with other physical objects, they can play a role as a possessor of an aspect and they can play a role in an occurrence, which is then called a kind of usage, etc. Kinds of roles of physical objects can be distinguished from kinds of physical objects by the fact that an actual role disappears when the physical object is taken away from its normal position and, for example, is put in stock in a warehouse.

Note 2 to entry: Aspects can also play various kinds of roles: they can play a role of being possessed and can play a role in a qualification relation, in a correlation or in some other kind of relation.

EXAMPLE The concepts part, whole, relator, related, involver, involved.

See also: examples of 'role of physical object' and examples of 'intrinsic aspect' (a kind of role of aspect).

3.1.17
role of physical object
role that a physical object plays in a relationship or the contribution that a physical object delivers in an occurrence

Note 1 to entry: A role of physical object is a role that is played by a physical object. Typically it is their usage. Roles of physical objects shall be distinguished from the physical objects that play the roles. A physical object typically loses its role when it is taken out of the context that is typical for that role. Therefore, whether a concept is a kind of role or a kind of physical object (is specifically designed with particular intrinsic aspects) can usually be determined by the answer to the question, "Is the thing on a shelf in stock still recognizable as such?". If not, then the concept denotes a role.

EXAMPLE 'chairman' is a kind of role that can be played by a person; 'left hand wheel' is a kind of role that can be played by a wheel; 'player', 'performer', 'subject', 'tool', usage', 'customer', 'supplier', 'part', 'whole', are other examples of kinds of roles of physical objects.

3.1.18
intrinsic aspect
role that an aspect plays in a relationship with a possessor and that is dependent on the aspect as well as on the possessing object

Note 1 to entry: Typically the name as well as the definition of an intrinsic aspect' includes the kind of physical object that possesses the aspect. It may also be that the aspect is possessed by a part of the assembly that is denoted as the possessor. Possessed aspect is a synonym of intrinsic aspect.

EXAMPLE 1 'Pipe diameter' is an intrinsic aspect that is defined as a diameter that is by definition possessed by a pipe.

EXAMPLE 2 'Shaft length' is an intrinsic aspect that is defined as a length that is by definition possessed by a shaft. 'Motor power' may be recorded as an intrinsic aspect of a car, although the power is an aspect that is possessed by a motor, which is a part of a car.

3.1.19
function
role of an occurrence that is intended to be performed or enabled by a physical object

Note 1 to entry: An occurrence (activity, process or event) typically has a relation with a player of a performer and possibly an enabler role. The occurrence has a role as the function (to be performed or enabled) in such a relation. The physical object will play a role as performer or enabler in that relation. So, the function denotes the occurrence.

Note 2 to entry: Sometimes the performer role of the physical object is also called its function. However, this is another concept, being a homonym.

EXAMPLE Pumping is a kind of occurrence that can be performed by a pump. In other words: pumping can be a function of a pump.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

3.1.20
objective
role of a state that is intended to be achieved or that is intended to be prevented

Note 1 to entry: Something has a role as objective when it is wanted to be in that state. Typically the objective of an activity. The state can be described by information or by a number of facts that shall be the case.

EXAMPLE An example of an objective might be: 'product A is being produced'. This might be the objective of project P.

3.1.21
collection
concept that indicates a plurality, consisting of a number of things without a particular structure between the elements and not necessarily with a common discriminator

Note 1 to entry: A collection is the result of bringing items together (or as if). Collections shall be distinguished from arrangements, assemblies and classes, kinds or categories. The reason for being element of a collection should therefore not be based on being connected or having a common discriminating aspect alone. Note, the number of elements in a collection may vary over time, and may consist of zero, one or more elements, while nevertheless remaining the same collection.

Note 2 to entry: Apart from this concept 'collection' there also exists a *collection relation* that relates an element to the collection of which the element is a component.

Note 3 to entry: In the context of knowledge libraries, collections are always collections of concepts.

EXAMPLE Stock items, such as a 'stock of bolts', or a pair of items. Not systems, such as 'sewer system', because a system is not a collection of parts, but an assembly or arrangement, which means that it is composed of (physically or functionally) connected or arranged parts. An organization is an example of an arrangement of people which is not a pure collection, because the persons have a relative position towards each other.

3.1.22
individual thing
concept that classifies any real world or imaginary thing that has an individuality that is not dependent on a commonality between things

Note 1 to entry: This standard is about kinds of things that are defined as commonalities between things, defined by the constraints on aspects or ranges of values for aspects of individual things. Those kinds of things can be used to classify individual things or to derive constraining aspects for individual things.

The concept that classifies all those individual things is called 'individual thing'. The concept 'individual thing' is the supertype of all kinds of individual things.

EXAMPLE Well known individual things are: the earth, the Eiffel Tower, New York, my car, V-6060 in the Shell Pernis refinery. However, planet, tower, city, car, vessel and refinery are not individual things, but kinds of things.

3.2 Terms and definitions for kinds of relations

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions of kinds of relations apply.

NOTE Kinds of relations are also called relation types or fact types. Each of the definitions of binary relation types is accompanied by the following information:

— the definition of which kinds of objects are related in such a relation (the R1 role player and the R2 role player);

— the kinds of roles that those concepts by definition play in such a relation (the R1 role and the R2 role);

— the expressions (phrases) that represent the relation type in natural language (the R1-R2 expression and the inverse R2-R1 expression).

Furthermore one or more example instances are given that illustrate the use of the relation type to express facts.

Note that the kinds of related objects and the kinds of roles they play are characterizing the relation type.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

3.2.1
relation
concept that expresses a fact or opinion about a fact by specifying the things that are involved in the fact and the roles that the various involved things play in the fact

Note 1 to entry: Each fact or state of affairs can be modelled as and expressed by a relation (relationship) between related things. The kind of relation (also called relation type or fact type) specifies how something relates to something else. The related objects specify what is related. Binary relations relate two things. Higher order relations relate more than two things. Each related thing has its own role of its own kind in the relation. Thus a relation indicates that a number of things are related to each other. If one of the related things is a plurality, then the relation implies multiple facts.

EXAMPLE The Eiffel Tower and Paris are related to each other. The relation is of the kind <is located in>. The Eiffel tower has a role as located in the relation and Paris has a role as locator in the relation. Activities and processes are typical examples of things that can be expressed as higher order relations.

3.2.2
relation between individual things
relation that relates an individual thing with another individual thing

Note 1 to entry: A fact in which individual things are involved can be modelled by a relation between individual things. The kind of relation specifies how the things are related.

EXAMPLE The fact that the Eiffel Tower is located in Paris is a fact that can be expressed by a relation between individual things (the Eiffel Tower and Paris), whereas the relation can be classified by a kind of relation called 'is located in'.

3.2.3
relation between kinds of things
relation that specifies knowledge or requirements or permissions in general terms about what can be the case, shall be the case, is allowed to be the case or is by definition the case

Note 1 to entry: A fact about kinds of things can be expressed by a relation between kinds of things. Such a fact typically expresses what can be the case for all things of those kinds, possibly within a specified context. Specializations of this kind of relation can constrain what can be the case to what shall be, is allowed to be or is by definition the case.

EXAMPLE All general knowledge, such as about possible compositions of things of a kind and about kinds of aspects that all things of a kind share.

3.2.4
relation between an individual thing and a kind of thing
relation that relates an individual thing with a kind of individual thing

Note 1 to entry: A kind of relation that specifies that an individual thing has a relation with a kind of thing or can have a relation with things of a particular kind. A classification relation is an example of a subtype of this relation.

EXAMPLE The fact that Paris is classified as a city and the fact that the individual object V-6060 is classified as a horizontal vessel. The fact that T-6000 can be used for storage of drinking water.

3.2.5
binary relation
relation that specifies a relationship between two things, each of which is playing its own role that is of a kind that is typical for the relation type

Note 1 to entry: Facts can be expressed as a binary relation or as a collection of binary relations between things. Most kinds of facts can be expressed using a single binary relation. Some facts require ternary or higher order relations. Those relations can be expressed using multiple binary elementary relations.

Note 2 to entry: This relation type is the top of the specialization hierarchy of binary relation types. It can be used to record that things are related without knowing how they are related, but usually more specialized relation types are used.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

EXAMPLE The fact that The Eiffel Tower is located in Paris is a fact that can be expressed as a binary relation between The Eiffel Tower and Paris, whereas the relation type is 'being located in'.

A composition relation is a binary relation type that relates two things. One of those things plays a role as part and the other plays a role as whole. Each binary relation type can be denoted by a phrase, such as 'can be a part of a'. In the inverse sequence the same relation type can be denoted by an inverse phrase, such as 'can be a whole for a'.

Activities are higher order relations that can be expressed by a number of binary elementary relations, where each binary relation specifies the role of an involved thing in the activity.

Example instances:

John	is related to	Peter
force	is related to	acceleration

3.2.6
specialization relation
relation between kinds of things that relates two concepts whereby the subtype concept is a more specific concept than the supertype concept and has all the aspects that define the supertype concept

R1 role player: concept

R1 role: subtype

R1-R2 expression: is a specialization of
is a kind of
is a subtype of

R2 role player: concept

R2 role: supertype

R2-R1 expression: is a generalization of
has as subtype
is a supertype of

Note 1 to entry: The constraints by which a supertype concept is defined are also applicable for its subtype concepts. A subtype concept is distinguished from its supertype and its neighbouring subtype concepts by being defined by additional constraints. A concept may be a subtype of more than one supertype concept. An aspect (value) by which a supertype concept is defined is also an aspect of all of its subtype concepts (the aspects are 'inherited'). The aspects of a concept shall also be applicable for the individual things that are classified by the kind. An individual thing that is classified by a concept (thus satisfying its defining constraints), is implicitly also classified by the supertypes of the concept. Knowledge about options for a concept is also knowledge about its subtypes, unless the knowledge is further constrained by the definition of the subtype.

The phrase 'is a specialization of' has as synonyms 'is a kind of' and 'is a subtype of'. The inverse phrase 'is a generalization of' has as synonyms 'has as subtype' and 'is a supertype of'.

Thus, the expression A is a kind of B, means that the concept A is a subtype of the concept B. For example, kinds of aspects (= subtypes of aspects) are: length, width, temperature, colour, etc.

Note 2 to entry: The term that denotes the subtype has a role as hyponym. The term that denotes the supertype has a role as hypernym.

EXAMPLE Assume that 'means of transport' is defined as a physical object that is intended to carry load. Furthermore, it is specified that concepts with the names 'car' and 'ship' are both a specialization of 'means of transport'. Then this implies that car as well as ship are intended to carry load (without the need to explicitly specify those facts.) Furthermore, assume that individual object #12 is classified as a 'car' then the specialization relation implies that object #12 is also a 'means of transport'.

The concept 'width' is a specialization of 'distance'. If for distance it holds that it can be quantified on a length scale, then that implies that width inherits from distance that it also can be quantified on a length scale.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

Example instances:

car	is a specialization of	means of transport
means of transport	is a generalization of	car
ship	is a specialization of	means of transport

Note 3 to entry: The first two examples above are different expressions of the same fact.

3.2.7
qualification relation
kind of specialization relation that relates two concepts whereby the subtype is a qualitative concept and the supertype is a conceptual concept

R1 role player: *qualitative* concept

R2 role player: *conceptual* concept

R1 role: qualifier

R2 role: nature

R1-R2 expression: is a qualification of

R2-R1 expression: is the nature of

Note 1 to entry: This relation type is intended to distinguish qualitative concepts from their conceptual concepts as counterparts, while still being subtypes of them. Thus the relation type is a subtype of a specialization relation. In case of an aspect, it specifies that the qualitative or quantitative aspect (also called aspect value, with subtypes property value and quality value) are defined to be a qualification (specialization) of an appropriate (generic) conceptual aspect. In case of a physical object, it specifies that the subtype is a type of physical object that has a number of aspects with specified qualitative or quantitative aspect values. Qualitative concepts are concepts with one or more fixed aspect value.

EXAMPLE The concept 'colour' is a conceptual aspect. The concept 'red' is a qualitative aspect. 'Red' has a qualification relation with the conceptual aspect 'colour'.

Similarly '37 °C' is a quantitative aspect that is a qualification of the conceptual aspect 'temperature'.

And '100' is a qualification of the conceptual aspect 'number'.

The concept '6x50 mm hexagonal galvanized bolt' is a type of physical object (and thus a qualitative concept) that is a qualification of the concept bolt.

Example instances:

red	is a qualification of	colour
mm	is a qualification of	length scale
deg C	is a qualification of	temperature scale
37 deg C	is a qualification of	temperature
100	is a qualification of	number
6x50 mm hexagonal bolt	is a qualification of	hexagonal bolt

3.2.8
manufacturer's model of physical object relation
special kind of qualification relation that relates two concepts whereby the subtype is a manufacturer's model of a kind of physical object and the supertype is concept that is a kind of physical object

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

R1 role player: physical object

R2 role player: physical object

R1 role: manufacturer's model

R2 role: nature

R1-R2 expression: is a model of

R2-R1 expression: is the nature of model

Note 1 to entry: This relation specifies that manufacturer's models of physical objects are models (qualifications) of more generic concepts. This relation is a subtype of a qualification relation where the subtype is a manufacturer's model of the supertype concept. A manufacturer's model is also called 'model and size'.

A manufacturer's model of physical object relation should be distinguished from a classification relation, because a manufacturer's model is still a kind of thing, whereas a classification relation is intended for the classification of individual things, such as a particular installed item, indicated by its asset registration number.

EXAMPLE A type of car, such as an 'Audi Q7' is a manufacturer's model of the generic concept 'car'.

Example instances:

Audi Q7	is a model of	car
ALLWEILER model SNH 80	is a model of	twin screw pump

3.2.9
composition relation
relation between two kinds of things that specifies that something that is classified by a concept that plays the role of whole can have one or more components that are classified by another concept that plays the role of part, in which the number of parts may be constrained by cardinality constraints

R1 role player: concept

R2 role player: concept

R1 role: part

R2 role: whole

R1-R2 expression: can be a part of a

R2-R1 expression: can be a whole for a

Note 1 to entry: The relation specifies that things of a kind can be components of things of the other kind. The parts may be assembled in the whole or may just be connected or arranged to form a whole.

The composition relation shall be distinguished from a collection relation.

In a particular composition relation the physical object that plays the role of part may not be the same as the physical object that plays the role of whole. Note that also this fact is inherited to subtypes of the related physical objects.

EXAMPLE A 'wheel' can be a *part of* a 'car'; a 'valve' can be part of a 'piping system' and a 'road' can be part of a 'road network'. Because of inheritance this means that a wheel can also be part of a Volvo S40 and that a ball valve can also be part of a piping system (or one of its subtypes).

Example instances:

car	can have as part a	wheel

3.2.10
physical object – aspect relation
relation between a kind of physical object and a kind of aspect that specifies that physical objects of the specified kind can have or has by definition an aspect of the specified kind

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

R1 role player: physical object

R2 role player: aspect

R1 role: possessor

R2 role: possessed

R1-R2 expression: can have as aspect a

R2-R1 expression: can be an aspect of a

Note 1 to entry: Normally the kind of physical object has the kind of aspect as an intrinsic aspect. If the thing that is related to the physical object appears to be a concept that exists independent of the physical object, then it is not really intrinsic. This means that other relation types should be considered. In this kind of relation the possessor (the R1 role player) shall be a physical object (and may not be something else) and the thing that is possessed (the R2 role player) shall be an aspect (and nothing else). In such a relation a physical object plays a role as possessor (R1) and an aspect plays the role of possessed (R2).

Note 2 to entry: Occurrences can also have some aspects, especially duration and timing aspects, however those relations are usually considered not relevant for knowledge libraries. Other aspects are normally aspects of the physical objects that are involved in the occurrences.

EXAMPLE A 'wheel' *can have as aspect a* 'diameter'.

A manufacturer or supplier of a bicycle is not an intrinsic aspect of a bicycle (the manufacturer exists independent of the bicycle) and thus they shall be related to a bicycle by another relation type.

Example instances:

wheel	can have as aspect a	diameter

3.2.11
aspect–scale relation
relation that specifies that aspects of the specified kind can be quantified using the kind of scale

R1 role player: aspect

R2 role player: scale

R1 role: quantifiable

R2 role: quantifying

R1-R2 expression: can be quantified on scale

R2-R1 expression: can be a scale for a

Note 1 to entry: An aspect of a particular kind may be quantified on various units of measure that are qualifications of the same kind of scale and sometimes may even be quantified on units of different kinds of scales. This relation type can thus be used to specify that aspects of a particular kind can be quantified on all units of measure that are qualifications of a particular kind of scale.

Note that this fact is inherited to all the subtypes of the kind of aspect.

EXAMPLE It may be specified that e.g. distance can be quantified on scale (by a number using a) length scale. This fact is then inherited by the subtypes of distance, such as length, width, diameter, and radius. This implies that those subtypes can also be quantified on any length scale.

Furthermore, it will be defined that, for example, mm, km and inch are qualifications of length scale (see the example instances of a qualification relation). Then this implies that distance as well as its subtypes (such as length) may be quantified on a mm, km or inch scale.

Example instances:

distance	can be quantified on scale	length scale
length	can be quantified on scale	mm

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

3.2.12
aspect – unit of measure relation
relation that specifies that aspects of the specified kind *shall be* quantified using the particular unit of measure

R1 role player: aspect

R2 role player: unit of measure

R1 role: quantifiable

R2 role: quantifying

R1-R2 expression: shall be quantified on unit

R2-R1 expression: shall be a unit for a

Note 1 to entry: This relation type can be used to specify that the magnitude of aspects of a particular kind *shall be* quantified using a particular unit of measure (which is a subtype of scale). This requirement is valid only in an implicit or explicitly defined 'validity context', such as a particular project or company or knowledge library.

Note 2 to entry: This fact is inherited to the subtypes of the specified kind of aspect, unless it is overruled by a new specification.

EXAMPLE The aspect – unit of measure relation may be used to specify, for example, that every length shall be quantified on a mm scale, a requirement that is only valid in the validity context of project X.

Example instances:

distance	shall be quantified on unit	km
length	shall be quantified on unit	mm

3.2.13
involvement relation
relation between kinds of things that specifies that physical objects of a kind can play a role in an occurrence of a kind

R1 role player: physical object

R2 role player: occurrence

R1 role: involved

R2 role: involver

R1-R2 expression: can be involved in a

R2-R1 expression: can involve a

Note 1 to entry: This relation specifies that a physical object can be involved in an occurrence, but it does not specify how it is involved (in which kind of role). This might be specified by using subtypes of this relation type.

EXAMPLE A boiler can be involved in a water heating process. A locomotive can be involved in a rail transport.

Example instances:

boiler	can be involved in a	heating process
locomotive	can be involved in a	rail transport

3.2.14
collection of concepts relation
relation that specifies that a concept is an element of a collection of concepts

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

R1 role player: concept

R2 role player: collection

R1 role: collected

R2 role: collector

R1-R2 expression: is an element in collection of concepts

R2-R1 expression: is a collection of concepts including

Note 1 to entry: This relation only specifies that a kind of thing is element of a plurality of kinds. It does not say anything about the thing itself, nor about the nature of the collection nor about relations between the concepts that are elements in the collection. A collection may have only meaning within a particular context. The relation is typically used to define lists of options or pick-lists.

EXAMPLE The subtype of physical object 'lock' can be defined to be an element in the collection of concepts 'ironmongery' in a particular context. 'red', 'green' and 'blue' are qualitative aspects that are elements in the collection of concepts 'RGB colours'. That collection is typically called a list of (allowed) values and may form a 'pick list'.

Example instances:

lock	is an element in collection of concepts	ironmongery
red	is an element in collection of concepts	RGB colours

3.2.15
naming relation
relation that relates a term with something (UID) that is denoted by the term

R1 role player: term

R2 role player: anything

R1 role: name

R2 role: named

R1-R2 expression: is a name for

R2-R1 expression: has as name

Note 1 to entry: This relation defines how things are called in a particular language and language community. A naming relation is defined within the context of a language community as the preferred name in that community. It may be used also outside that language community. This relation allows for multiple names for the same things, thus enabling synonyms, abbreviations, codes and translations.

The same name may be allocated to different concepts, provided that they are defined in different language communities. This enables to specify homonyms.

EXAMPLE Physical object type 670171 from the taxonomy has as name 'bicycle' in a particular vocabulary in the English language. The same object has the name 'fiets' in the Dutch language. PC and personal computer are two different names for the same concept.

Example instances:

760171	has as name	bicycle (UK English)

3.2.16
description relation
relation that specifies that a textual description is a description of something

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

R1 role player: character string

R2 role player: anything

R1 role: description

R2 role: described

R1-R2 expression: is a description of

R2-R1 expression: is described as

Note 1 to entry: This relation defines that something is described by a piece of text in a particular language. This relation allows for multiple descriptions for the same things. A definition is a particular kind of description. Thus a definition relation might be defined as a subtype of a description relation.

EXAMPLE Physical object type 670171 is described using a particular description (sentence) in the English language (see the example below).

Example instances:

670171 is described as a cycle with two wheels in tandem, a steering handle, a saddle seat, and pedals by which it is propelled. It may also be propelled by a motor. (UK English).

3.2.17
synonym relation
relation between two naming relations that relates an object with a particular name in one context with the same object with another (or the same) name in another context in the same language

R1 role player: naming relation

R2 role player: naming relation

R1 role: synonym

R2 role: base

R1-R2 expression: is a synonym of

is an abbreviation of

R2 R1 description: is a synonym for

is abbreviated by

Note 1 to entry: This is a basic relation for linking the elements from various functional units or in different knowledge libraries. Essentially it is an equivalent for a naming relation, because if one object (indicated by a unique identifier) has more than one name, then those names are by definition each other's synonym. For clarity the relation phrase distinguishes between synonyms and abbreviations. Formally an abbreviation relation is a subtype of a synonym relation. Note that a name that is allocated via a synonym relation is defined within the context of a language and a language community (just as is the case with a naming relation). See also the translation relation.

A preferred term or preferred name is only preferred in some language community, whereas another term may be preferred in another language community. Therefore the synonym relation does not specify which term is preferred. Preferences for terms should be addressed by defining language communities with their preferred terms.

EXAMPLE The name 'bicycle' in the taxonomy is a synonym of the name 'bike' as a reference to a particular kind of physical object in the English dictionary. The name 'pump' in an Aspect Model is a synonym of the name 'pump' in a Composition Model.

Example instances:

English (130206) bike	is a synonym of	English (130206) bicycle
English (70620) PC	is an abbreviation of	English (70620) personal computer

3.2.18
translation relation
relation between two naming relations that relates an object with a particular name in one language with the same object with another (or the same) name in another language

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

R1 role player: naming relation

R2 role player: naming relation

R1 role: translation

R2 role: base

R1-R2 expression: is a translation of

R2 R1 description: is translated by

Note 1 to entry: This relation is similar to the synonym relation, but in this case the two contexts for the two names of the object are two different languages.

EXAMPLE A translation of the name 'Pumpe' as a reference to concept 130206 in a German–English dictionary.

Example instances:

English (130206) pump	is a translation of	Deutsch (130206) Pumpe

3.2.19
classification relation
relation that relates an individual thing to a kind of thing, indicating that the individual thing is of the specified kind, because of the fact that the individual thing has aspects that comply with the definition of the kind

R1 role player: *an individual thing*

R2 role player: concept

R1 role: classified

R2 role: classifier

R1-R2 expression: is classified as a

R2-R1 expression: is a classifier of

Note 1 to entry: Although this standard is not about individual things, this relation is included to support the application of knowledge libraries. It is a relation that defines for individual things of what kind they are. Thus every usage of this relation relates an individual thing to a concept, preferably a concept in a knowledge library.

Classifying relation is a synonym of classification relation.

This relation shall be distinguished from a collection relation for individual things, because a classification is based on intrinsic aspects of the classified objects, whereas a collection is not.

Note 2 to entry: In information technology terms the concept 'instantiation relation' is often used. However, an instantiation relation differs from a classification relation. because it is a relation between an instance and a class (being a kind of thing or a set), whereas an instance can be an individual thing, but may also be a kind of thing. (An instantiation relation seems to be a combination of a classification relation, a qualification relation and a collection relation.)

EXAMPLE 'my car' is classified as an 'Audi Q7';

'mars' is classified as a 'planet';

'V-6060' is classified as a 'horizontal vessel'.

Example instances:

New York	is classified as a	(700008) city
V-6060	is classified as a	(520121) horizontal vessel

4 Symbols and abbreviations

CL Conformance Level

DTD Document Type Definition

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

E/M/S	Electrical engineering, Mechanical engineering and Sanitary installations
iGBi	interactive platform for construction and ICT
IFC	Industry Foundation Classes
IFD	International Framework for Dictionaries
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
TAX	taxonomy
UID	unique identifier
UML	Unified Modeling Language
VOC	vocabulary, list of terms
XML	eXtensible Mark-up Language
XSD	XML Schema Definition

5 Objectives

5.1 Introduction

In this clause, the objectives of the standard are described. 5.2 gives an outline of the scope and preconditions for a possible solution. In 5.3 a problem definition is given. This will constitute the basis for a further discussion of the objective envisaged in 5.4. Finally, 5.5 is devoted to a discussion of how the objective is realized by means of guidelines.

5.2 Scope, conditions and target users

The following items are within the scope of this standard.

— The guidelines are aiming for harmonization between knowledge libraries in terms of content in relation to structure and architecture. The guidelines are grouped in conformance levels, called conformance level 0 and 1 (see A.1.2). Conformance level 0 will guarantee that fundamental differences between similar types of knowledge libraries are prevented and that a basis is provided for data exchange and integration. Conformance level 1 aims to provide guidance for cooperative use of different knowledge libraries.

— Apart from the limited number of guidelines, the scope of knowledge libraries is limited as well. The scope includes information concerning concepts such as kinds of *physical objects,* their *aspects* and *roles, kinds of occurrences* (kinds of activities, processes and events that may happen and in which physical objects can be involved), *collections, functions*, kinds of *organizations* and *objectives*.

— Interpretations of or guidelines on the actual exchange of object data are excluded from the scope. The guidelines are limited to the enforcement of the harmonization of information about concepts. The existence of comparable data should then be the point of departure for drawing up agreements for data exchange.

— Guidelines for the modelling of knowledge about kinds of things (concepts, classes and types) are included as well as guidelines about classification of individual things by kinds of things (although the latter do not belong to a conformance level). Guidelines for facts about individual things other than their classification are excluded from the scope

The guidelines in this standard are made with the following condition:

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013

The guidelines in this standard should not conflict with any of the following standards, provided that those standards are not in conflict with each other:

a) ISO 10303;

b) ISO 12006-3;

c) ISO 13584;

d) ISO 15926.

The guidelines have the following target users:

— The target users consists of: developers of knowledge libraries, builders of translation programs or interfaces between knowledge libraries, certifying bodies and builders of applications who must base their work on the knowledge libraries that have been laid down.

— The guidelines are not aimed at a specific industry.

5.3 Problem definition

Despite the various initiatives that have been started in this area, the number of successful knowledge libraries is still limited. Apparently there are issues that prevent the potential value from being realized. In this subclause the most important issues are described, which will illustrate the need for guidelines on knowledge libraries.

In the first place, the various initiatives for developing knowledge libraries lack a common methodology. At the moment, many companies are unaware of current developments and the extent to which they can conform to a common language. Moreover, a library must gain a certain critical mass before it may receive sufficient support. In many cases this critical mass is still absent. Most of the existing information libraries have a limited scope and focus on island applications instead of data exchange and data integration. Altogether, this leads to fragmentation of information maintained in separate libraries. Initiatives with knowledge libraries have to struggle with the learning process of their users.

A second problem is that, paradoxically, there is a measure of resistance to migration to large libraries in the world of knowledge libraries. In many cases, organizations have invested substantially in their own libraries, and often their user groups will already have conformed to the architecture of those libraries. Migration or even the simple linking of libraries is usually found to be an (impermissible) extensive exercise. The architectures of libraries often differ, in addition to which the intrinsic definitions of concepts rarely match. For instance, the way in which a pump is defined in library A may differ substantially from its definition in library B.

A third issue concerns the quality of libraries. Setting up the architecture and filling such libraries make major demands of the competences of the responsible parties. For example, incorrect standard specification sheets may result in a considerable deterioration in the quality of a library. Organizations that work with such a library will eventually decide to resort to traditional methods and choose to circumvent the library. Limited quality will frequently stimulate other parties to set up new library type, which increases the problem of fragmentation.

Finally, experts have not yet reached consensus on the architecture for knowledge libraries. In the world of ISO there are currently various projects with regard to the structure (i.e. information model) of knowledge libraries. This means that not only the content of libraries, but also the architecture of that content is still under development.

5.4 Objective of this standard

The motivation for this effort may be deduced from 6.3, in which the added value of knowledge libraries is discussed. The effectiveness of almost all forms of applications of knowledge libraries will increase with their harmonization or integration.

© ISO 2013 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: BS ISO 16354:2013