



BSI Standards Publication

Test methods for the experimental characterization of in-plane permeability of fibrous reinforcements for liquid composite moulding

National foreword

This British Standard is the UK implementation of [ISO 4410:2023](#).

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee PRI/42, Fibre reinforced thermosetting plastics and prepregs.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2023
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2023

ISBN 978 0 539 13949 5

ICS 83.120

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 July 2023.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO
4410

First edition
2023-07-19

Test methods for the experimental characterization of in-plane permeability of fibrous reinforcements for liquid composite moulding

*Méthodes d'essais pour la caractérisation expérimentale de la
perméabilité dans le plan des renforts fibreux pour le moulage de
composites liquides*



Reference number
ISO 4410:2023(E)
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
© ISO 2023



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2023, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

Page

Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms, definitions, symbols and abbreviated terms	1
3.1 Terms and definitions	1
3.2 Symbols and abbreviated terms	2
4 Principle	5
5 Design of experiments	5
5.1 Selection of injection method	5
5.2 Number of repeat tests	5
5.3 Setting the fibre volume fraction	6
5.4 Selecting the fluid injection pressure	6
5.5 Temperature conditions	6
5.6 Plausibility checks	6
6 Test specimen and specimen preparation	7
6.1 General information	7
6.2 Specimen cutting	7
6.3 Specimen stacking	7
6.4 Specimen mass measurement	8
7 Test fluid and fluid injection system preparation	9
7.1 Test fluid	9
7.2 Preparing the fluid and the injection system	9
8 Mould preparation	9
8.1 Specimen thickness control	9
8.2 Mould height	9
8.3 Surface roughness of mould	11
8.4 Alignment of top and bottom part of mould	11
9 Measurement of fluid pressure, temperature and flow rate	11
9.1 Fluid pressure measurement	11
9.2 Fluid temperature measurement	11
9.3 Fluid flow rate measurement	11
10 Method A: Linear flow experiments	11
10.1 Apparatus design	11
10.2 Specimen planar dimensions	12
10.3 Injection gate geometry	12
10.4 Vent geometry	13
10.5 Fluid injection system	13
10.6 Test preparation	13
10.6.1 Edge sealing	13
10.6.2 Placing the specimen in the mould	13
10.7 Sensor equipment/Data acquisition	14
10.7.1 Fluid flow front measurement	14
10.7.2 Sampling of measurement data	15
10.8 Data processing	15
10.8.1 Data segmentation	15
10.8.2 Data evaluation procedure	15
10.8.3 Calculating the permeability tensor	17
10.8.4 Validity checks	18
11 Method B: Radial flow experiments	18

11.1	Apparatus design	20
11.2	Specimen planar dimensions	20
11.3	Injection gate geometry	21
11.4	Vent geometry	21
11.5	Fluid injection system	21
11.6	Test preparation	22
	11.6.1 Inserting the inlet hole in the specimen	22
	11.6.2 Placing the specimen in the mould	22
11.7	Sensor equipment/Data acquisition	23
	11.7.1 Fluid flow front monitoring	23
	11.7.2 Sampling of measurement data	23
11.8	Data processing	23
	11.8.1 Data evaluation range	23
	11.8.2 Data segmentation	23
	11.8.3 Data processing algorithm	24
	11.8.4 Validity checks	28
12	Result documentation	29
	12.1 Single experiment documentation (Mandatory)	29
	12.2 Documentation of repeat experiments (Optional)	30
	12.3 Documentation of model approximation (Optional)	30
	Bibliography	32

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 61, *Plastics*, Subcommittee SC 13, *Composites and reinforcement fibres*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Liquid composite moulding (LCM) processes are employed for the manufacture of fibre reinforced polymer composites (FRPC). In all LCM processes, dry fibrous reinforcements are impregnated with a liquid resin system, which is cured following reinforcement impregnation to form the matrix in which the fibres are embedded. Impregnation is driven by positive applied pressure and/or vacuum. LCM is widely applied for the manufacture of lightweight components in the automotive, aerospace, marine, and energy (e.g. blades for wind turbines) industries.

To obtain short cycle times and high component quality in LCM, i.e. fast and complete saturation of the reinforcement with liquid resin, a suitable process design is required, based on knowledge of material properties. Darcy's law relates the phase-averaged flow velocity to the applied pressure gradient, the dynamic resin viscosity, and the reinforcement permeability for fluid flow. The permeability of fibrous structures, such as reinforcements, is generally direction-dependent and is described by a symmetric second-order tensor. Diagonalisation of the tensor leads to three principal permeabilities, which correspond to the flow oriented along three orthogonal axes, two of which describe the in-plane permeability.

This document focuses on the experimental characterization of unsaturated in-plane permeability of reinforcing materials for LCM. As with any kind of experiment, methodological, systematic and statistical errors may arise. In order to minimize methodological errors caused by different experimental methods, this document covers the two most common approaches, linear and radial flow experiments. Systematic errors inherent to these methods are minimized by distinct procedures for preparing and executing the flow experiments as well as for post-processing the acquired measurement data as prescribed in this document. Statistical errors are dominated by variations in material properties, particularly inhomogeneous areal weight and thus, fibre volume fraction of the reinforcing materials. This document covers well known statistical methods, such as multiple experiments at repetitive conditions, in order to estimate the uncertainty associated with the results.

Test methods for the experimental characterization of in-plane permeability of fibrous reinforcements for liquid composite moulding

1 Scope

This document specifies test methods for the experimental characterization of in-plane permeability of fibrous reinforcements for liquid composite moulding. Requirements for test equipment, test methods and data analysis are detailed, to ensure optimal accuracy and reproducibility of the results.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the cited edition applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 286-1:2010+Cor1:2013, *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — ISO code system for tolerances on linear sizes — Part 1: Basis of tolerances, deviations and fits*

[ISO 2555](#), *Plastics — Resins in the liquid state or as emulsions or dispersions — Determination of apparent viscosity using a single cylinder type rotational viscometer method*

[ISO 21920-2](#), *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Surface texture: Profile — Part 2: Terms, definitions and surface texture parameters*

[ISO 21920-3](#), *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Surface texture: Profile — Part 3: Specification operators*

3 Terms, definitions, symbols and abbreviated terms

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1.1

in-plane permeability

quantitative material parameter of a fibrous reinforcement (a porous medium), relating the phase-averaged flow velocity of a liquid in the reinforcement to the applied pressure gradient and the dynamic viscosity of the fluid.

Note 1 to entry: During impregnation of a fibrous reinforcement with a fluid, the permeability of the fibrous reinforcement, the permeability tensor, $\mathbf{K}$, relates the phase-averaged flow velocity, $\mathbf{v}$, to the applied pressure gradient, ∇p , and the dynamic resin viscosity, μ , as stated in Darcy's law.

$$\mathbf{v} = - \left(\frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mu} \right) \cdot \nabla p$$

As per this definition, the permeability is given in units of square metres (m²). Importantly, the permeability of a reinforcement depends on the fibre volume fraction and the geometrical fibre arrangement. Because of the directionality of the fibre arrangement in a reinforcement, the permeability is generally anisotropic. The principal components of the tensor **K**, in its diagonal form are referred to as k_1 and k_2 , representing the highest and lowest values of the in-plane permeability, respectively.

Note 2 to entry: Permeability is an equivalent parameter defined at the level of an equivalent homogeneous medium representing an intrinsically heterogeneous material. Darcy's law has been extended to unsaturated flow or transient flow, neglecting the effect of dynamic wetting.

3.1.2 unsaturated flow

dynamic flow of a fluid in a porous medium where initially empty (vacuum) pore spaces are filled or an initially present fluid (e.g. air) is displaced

3.1.3 in-plane anisotropy ratio

characteristic of a material showing different properties in different directions

Note 1 to entry: The in-plane anisotropy ratio, α , is defined here as the ratio of lowest to highest in-plane permeability, i.e. $\alpha = \frac{k_2}{k_1}$.

3.1.4 linear injection

injection of fluid into a porous medium along one short edge of a rectangular geometry, resulting in a flow along the long edge, with velocity vectors oriented primarily in one direction

3.1.5 radial injection

injection of fluid into a porous medium through a central injection gate, resulting in a flow with velocity vectors extending radially outward from the gate, in all in-plane directions

3.1.6 race-tracking

locally increased flow velocity in gaps between specimen and mould

3.1.7 slowtracking

locally decreased flow velocity caused by over-compaction of the specimen along the mould edges

3.1.8 orientation angle

angle, β , between the direction of highest flow velocity, k_1 , and a reference direction, which is commonly the production direction of the material

Note 1 to entry: See [Figure 2](#).

3.2 Symbols and abbreviated terms

Symbol	Unit	Meaning
A_s	m ²	Specimen area (i.e. l_s multiplied with w_s for rectangular specimens)
$C_{1...4}$	m ²	Constants for the calculation of the principal permeabilities
CV	%	Coefficient of variation
D		Matrix containing the (x, y, z) data sets of an experiment
e		Eigenvector
f_1, f_2		Auxiliary functional terms

Symbol	Unit	Meaning
FS		Full scale
h	m	Height of the reinforcement specimen
i		Counting variable indicating the time step
J		Counting variable for experimental configurations of mould height and number of layers
k		Counting variable indicating the measurement data set
$k_{C,1}$ and $k_{C,2}$	m ²	Kozeny constants
$\bar{k}_e$	m ²	Average of experimentally measured permeability
k_e	m ²	Experimentally determined permeability
k_e^0	m ²	Experimentally determined permeability in the defined reference direction
k_e^{45}	m ²	Experimentally determined permeability orientated at an angle of 45° to the defined reference direction
k_e^{90}	m ²	Experimentally determined permeability perpendicular to the defined reference direction
k_e^{-45}	m ²	Experimentally determined permeability orientated at an angle of -45° to the defined reference direction
k_x	m ²	Permeability in flow direction
k_y	m ²	Permeability perpendicular (in-plane) to flow direction
k_1	m ²	Highest in-plane permeability
k_2	m ²	Lowest in-plane permeability
k_3	m ²	Out-of-plane permeability
$k_{1,a}$	m ²	Highest in-plane permeability, adjusted according to the actual fibre volume fraction
$k_{2,a}$	m ²	Lowest in-plane permeability, adjusted according to the actual fibre volume fraction
$\mathbf{K}$	m ²	Permeability tensor
l_s	m	Specimen length
LCM		Liquid composite moulding
m		Slope of the trend line correlating x_{mid}^2 and t
M_s	kg	Specimen mass (dry)
n		Number of measurement data sets in an experiment
n_L		Number of layers of a fibrous reinforcement in a specimen
n_T		Number of sampled data sets in a linear injection experiment
N		Number of experiments in a set
p	Pa	Array of experimental pressure values
∇p	Pa	Pressure gradient applied across the specimen, i.e. the gauge pressure applied
ΔP	Pa	Pressure drop
ΔP_{eff}	Pa	Time-averaged pressure drop
q		Coefficient in paraboloid matrix
$\mathbf{q}$		Array of coefficients from paraboloid matrix

Symbol	Unit	Meaning
$\hat{q}$		Coefficient in rotated matrix
Q	m ³ /s	Volume flow rate
$\mathbf{Q}$		Matrix of paraboloid coefficients
$\mathbf{Q}_{33}$		3x3 Submatrix of paraboloid coefficients
$\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$		Matrix of rotated paraboloid coefficients
r_s	m	Specimen radius
r_1	m	Major radial extension of flow ellipse
r_2	m	Minor radial extension of flow ellipse
E_{RMSf}	m	Root mean square error of fitting the elliptic paraboloid
E_{RMSp}	Pa	Root mean square error of the pressure
s_{N-1}	m ²	Permeability standard deviation
$\mathbf{S}$		Scatter matrix
SVD		Singular value decomposition
t	s	Time
$\mathbf{t}$	s	Array of experimental timestamp values
T_{eff}	°C	Time-averaged temperature
$\mathbf{v}$	m/s	Darcy velocity vector
V		Coefficient of variation
V_f	%	Fibre volume fraction
w_s	m	Specimen width
x	m	Spatial coordinate in the reference direction of the coordinate frame of the test rig
x_{mid}	m	Shortest distance between the inlet region and the flow front position at the midpoint along the specimen width
x_{M1}	m	Shortest distance between the inlet region and the flow front position along the upper edge of the specimen in linear injection
x_{M2}	m	Shortest distance between the inlet region and the flow front position along the bottom edge of the specimen in linear injection
y	m	Spatial coordinate perpendicular (in-plane) to the reference direction of the coordinate frame of the test rig
z	s	Experimental time
α		Anisotropy ratio (k_2 / k_1)
β	degrees	Angle indicating orientation of K_1 with respect to the defined reference direction of the considered fibrous reinforcement
δ	°	Relative angle between the long cutting edge of a specimen for linear flow experiments reinforcement and the defined reference direction of the considered fibrous reinforcement
ε	m ²	Root mean square error of the flow front location x
$\varepsilon_{\text{crit}}$		Critical threshold for the race-tracking error
ε_K	m ²	Measurement error
ε_R		Race-tracking error

Symbol	Unit	Meaning
μ	Pa·s	Dynamic viscosity of fluid
λ		Eigenvalue
$\xi_{0...2}$		Auxiliary quantities
ρ_f	kg/m ³	Material density
ϕ	%	Porosity of reinforcement
w_A	kg/m ²	Areal density of a fibrous reinforcement layer (grammage)
ω	°	Smallest of the three relative angles δ selected for testing

4 Principle

A specimen of the fibrous reinforcement is compressed between two impermeable, parallel plates at a defined and uniform thickness. Then, a test fluid with known viscosity is injected at constant injection pressure through a defined inlet region, either a linear injection gate along one specimen edge or a radial injection gate in the centre of the specimen. This results in a one- or two-dimensional (i.e. linear or elliptical) flow pattern. While the reinforcement is impregnated, the flow front propagation is tracked to determine the directional flow front velocity. Data reduction schemes based on Darcy's law are applied to calculate in-plane permeability from the flow front velocity, the applied pressure gradient, the fluid viscosity, and the reinforcement porosity.

5 Design of experiments

5.1 Selection of injection method

The linear and radial test methods are equally applicable to the majority of reinforcements.

NOTE In special cases, each of the methods provides relevant specific advantages and disadvantages resulting from the different injection strategies:

- In the linear flow method, resin flows along the specimen edges. Gaps between the specimen and the mould walls can induce race-tracking, causing locally increased flow velocity compared to the bulk material. In the radial flow method, flow takes place within the specimen. However, if $k_1 \gg k_2$, the flow front in the k_1 -direction may reach the specimen edges before the minimum distance to the inlet (to obtain a stabilised flow front shape) is reached in the k_2 -direction. This would cause a calculation error as the resulting change in the pressure distribution is not considered during data processing.
- Radial injection methods allow for determination of the full in-plane permeability tensor in a single test, whereas it requires three tests in the linear injection method.
- Radial injection methods typically employ more expensive tooling than linear injection, due to the greater propensity for mould deflection owing to the larger specimen surface area.

Details on specific sources of scatter are described in detail in the publications on the results of international benchmark studies (see References [1] to [3]).

5.2 Number of repeat tests

The flow experiments defined in this document for characterization of unsaturated in-plane permeability are destructive by nature as the reinforcement specimen is irreversibly saturated with the test fluid. Therefore, repeat tests shall not be performed on a previously tested specimen. In repeat tests, new specimens with identical specifications, preferably from the same material roll/sheet, are tested at identical target conditions.