

BRITISH STANDARD**BS ISO/IEC
8802-3 : 1992****Implementation of ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992****Information technology —
Local and metropolitan area
networks —****Part 3 : Carrier sense multiple access
with collision detection (CSMA/CD)
access method and physical layer
specifications**

UDC 681.3 : 621.39

NO COPYING IN ANY FORM WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM BSI



Document Sharing Hub

National foreword

This British Standard reproduces verbatim ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992 and implements it as the UK national standard. It supersedes BS ISO 8802-3 : 1989 which is withdrawn.

This British Standard is published under the direction of the Information Systems Technology Standards Policy Committee whose Technical Committee IST/6 has the responsibility to:

- aid enquirers to understand the text;
- present to the responsible international committee any enquiries on interpretation, or proposals for change, and keep UK interests informed;
- monitor related international and European developments and promulgate them in the UK.

NOTE. International and European Standards, as well as overseas standards, are available from BSI Sales Department, BSI, Linford Wood, Milton Keynes, MK14 6LE.

Compliance with a British Standard does not of itself confer immunity from legal obligations.

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

**ISO/IEC
8802-3**

**ANSI/IEEE
Std 802.3**

Third edition
1992-03-20

Information technology — Local and metropolitan area networks —

Part 3:

**Carrier sense multiple access with collision
detection (CSMA/CD) access method and
physical layer specifications**

Technologies de l'information — Réseaux locaux et urbains

*Partie 3: Accès multiple par surveillance du signal et détection de collision
et spécifications pour la couche physique*



Reference number
ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992 (E)
ANSI/IEEE
Std 802.3, 1992 Edition

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
345 East 47th Street, New York, NY 10017-2394, USA

ISBN 1-55937-204-4

Copyright © 1992 by
The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
All rights reserved. Published 1992
Printed in the United States of America

*No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form,
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the publisher.*

March 20, 1992

SH15123

International Standard ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992
ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1992 Edition

(This edition contains ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3-1988,
 ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3c-1985, ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3d-1987,
 ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3b-1985 and ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3e-1987)

**Information technology—
 Local and metropolitan area
 networks—**

**Part 3:
 Carrier sense multiple access with
 collision detection (CSMA/CD)
 access method and
 physical layer specifications**

Sponsor

**Technical Committee on Computer Communications
 of the
 IEEE Computer Society**

Abstract: This Local and Metropolitan Area Network standard, ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992 [ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1992], specifies the media access control characteristics for the Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) access method. It also specifies the media, Medium Attachment Unit (MAU) and physical layer repeater unit for 10 Mb/s baseband and broadband systems and provides a 1 Mb/s baseband implementation. Specifications for MAU types 10BASE5, 10BASE2, FOIRL (fiber optic inter-repeater link), 10BROAD36, and 1BASE5 are included. Layer and sublayer interface specifications are aligned to the ISO Open Systems Interconnection Basic Reference Model and 8802 models. The 8802-3 internal model is defined and used.

Keywords: data processing, information interchange, local area networks, mode of data transmission, network interconnection, models.



Adopted as an International Standard by the
 International Organization for Standardization
 and by the
 International Electrotechnical Commission



Published by
 The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.



International Standard ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

In 1985, IEEE Standard 802.3-1985 was adopted by ISO Technical Committee 97, *Information processing systems*, as draft International Standard ISO/DIS 8802-3. Following the procedures described above, the Standard was subsequently approved by ISO and published as ISO 8802-3 : 1989, incorporating ISO 8802-3/DAD 1 which had resulted from the adoption by ISO in 1987 of ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3a.

A further revision was subsequently approved by ISO/IEC JTC 1 in 1990, incorporating ISO/IEC 8802-3/Amendments 2 and 5.

This third edition cancels and replaces ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1990 and incorporates Amendment 3 : *Broadband medium attachment unit and broadband medium specifications, Type 10BROAD36*, which was approved in 1990, and Amendment 4: *Physical signaling, medium attachment, and baseband medium specifications, Type 1BASE5*, approved in 1991.

For the purpose of assigning global addresses, the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., USA, has been designated by the ISO Council as the Registration Authority. Communications on this subject should be addressed to

Registration Authority for ISO/IEC 8802-3
c/o The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
445 Hoes Lane
PO Box 1331
Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331
USA

During the preparation of this International Standard, information was gathered on patents upon which application of the standard might depend. Relevant patents were identified as belonging to Xerox Corporation. However, ISO and IEC cannot give authoritative or comprehensive information about evidence, validity or scope of patent and like rights. The patent-holder has stated that licences will be granted under reasonable terms and conditions and communications on this subject should be addressed to


Xerox Corporation
PO Box 1600
Stamford, CT 06904
USA



International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland

Foreword to International Standard ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992

This standard is part of a family of standards for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks. The relationship between this standard and the other members of the family is shown below. (The numbers in the figure refer to ISO standard numbers.)



This family of standards deals with the physical and data link layers as defined by the ISO Open Systems Interconnection Basic Reference Model (ISO 7498 : 1984). The access standards define four types of medium access technologies and associated physical media, each appropriate for particular applications or system objectives. Other types are under investigation.

The standards defining these technologies are as follows:

- (1) ISO/IEC 8802-3 [ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1992 Edition], a bus utilizing CSMA/CD as the access method,
- (2) ISO/IEC 8802-4 [ANSI/IEEE Std 802.4-1990], a bus utilizing token passing as the access method,
- (3) ISO/IEC 8802-5 [IEEE Std 802.5-1992],* a ring utilizing token passing as the access method,
- (4) ISO 8802-7, a ring utilizing slotted ring as the access method.

ISO 8802-2 [ANSI/IEEE Std 802.2-1989], Logical Link Control protocol, is used in conjunction with the medium access standards.

The reader of this document is urged to become familiar with the complete family of standards.

The main body of this standard serves for both the ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992 and ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1992 Edition standards. ISO and IEEE each have unique foreword sections. The Annex applies to the IEEE standard only. The Appendixes serve as useful reference material to both standards.

* Anticipated publication in Spring 1992.

ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1992 Edition

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the Technical Committees of the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Board. Members of the committees serve voluntarily and without compensation. They are not necessarily members of the Institute. The standards developed within IEEE represent a consensus of the broad expertise on the subject within the Institute as well as those activities outside of IEEE which have expressed an interest in participating in the development of the standard.

Use of an IEEE Standard is wholly voluntary. The existence of an IEEE Standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE Standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard. Every IEEE Standard is subjected to review at least once every five years for revision or reaffirmation. When a document is more than five years old, and has not been reaffirmed, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE Standard.

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE. Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments.

Interpretations: Occasionally questions may arise regarding the meaning of portions of standards as they relate to specific applications. When the need for interpretations is brought to the attention of IEEE, the Institute will initiate action to prepare appropriate responses. Since IEEE Standards represent a consensus of all concerned interests, it is important to ensure that any interpretation has also received the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason IEEE and the members of its technical committees are not able to provide an instant response to interpretation requests except in those cases where the matter has previously received formal consideration.

Comments on standards and requests for interpretations should be addressed to:


Secretary, IEEE Standards Board
345 East 47th Street
New York, NY 10017
USA

IEEE Standards documents are adopted by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. Such adoptions does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor does it assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the standards documents.

Foreword

(This Foreword is not a part of this International Standard or of ANSI/IEEE 802.3, 1992 Edition.)

This standard is part of a family of standards for local and metropolitan area networks. The relationship between the standard and other members of the family is shown below. (The numbers in the figure refer to IEEE standard numbers.)



* Formerly IEEE Std 802.1A.

This family of standards deals with the physical and data link layers as defined by the ISO Open Systems Interconnection Basic Reference Model (ISO 7498 :1984). The access standards define several types of medium access technologies and associated physical media, each appropriate for particular applications or system objectives. Other types are under investigation.

The standards defining these technologies are as follows:

- IEEE Std 802[†] : Overview and Architecture. This standard provides an overview to the family of IEEE 802 Standards. This document forms part of the 802.1 scope of work.
- IEEE Std 802.1D: MAC Bridging. Specifies an architecture and protocol for the interconnection of IEEE 802 LANs below the MAC service boundary.

[†] The 802 Architecture and Overview Specification, originally known as IEEE Std 802.1A, has been renumbered as IEEE Std 802. This has been done to accommodate recognition of the base standard in a family of standards. References to IEEE Std 802.1A should be considered as references to IEEE Std 802.

- IEEE Std 802.1E: System Load Protocol. Specifies a set of services and protocol for those aspects of management concerned with the loading of systems on IEEE 802 LANs.
- ISO 8802-2 [ANSI/IEEE Std 802.2]: Logical Link Control
- ISO/IEC 8802-3 [ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3]: CSMA/CD Access Method and Physical Layer Specifications
- ISO/IEC 8802-4 [ANSI/IEEE Std 802.4]: Token Bus Access Method and Physical Layer Specifications
- IEEE Std 802.5: Token Ring Access Method and Physical Layer Specifications
- IEEE Std 802.6: Metropolitan Area Network Access Method and Physical Layer Specifications

In addition to the family of standards the following is a recommended practice for a common technology:

- IEEE Std 802.7: IEEE Recommended Practice for Broadband Local Area Networks

The reader of this document is urged to become familiar with the complete family of standards.

Conformance Test Methodology

A new standards series, identified by the number 1802, has been established to identify the conformance test methodology documents for the 802 family of standards. This makes the correspondence between the various 802 standards and their applicable conformance test requirements readily apparent. Thus the conformance test documents for 802.3 are numbered 1802.3, the conformance test documents for 802.5 will be 1802.5, and so on. Similarly, ISO will use 11802 to number conformance test standards for 8802 standards.

ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992 (ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1992 Edition)

This edition of the standard defines 10 Mb/s baseband and broadband implementations and a 1 Mb/s baseband implementation of the Physical Layer using the CSMA/CD access method. It is anticipated that future editions of the standard may provide additional implementations of the physical layer to support different needs (for example, media, and data rates).

This standard contains state-of-the-art material. The area covered by this standard is undergoing evolution. Revisions are anticipated to this standard within the next few years to clarify existing material, to correct possible errors, and to incorporate new related material.

Readers wishing to know the state of revisions should contact

Secretary
IEEE Standards Board
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc
PO Box 1331, 445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331
USA

The IEEE 802.3 Working Group acknowledges and appreciates that many concepts embodied in this standard are based largely upon the CSMA/CD access method earlier described in *The Ethernet* specification as written jointly by individuals from Xerox Corporation, Digital Equipment Corporation, and Intel Corporation. Appreciation is also expressed to Robert M. Metcalfe and David R. Boggs for their pioneering work in establishing the original concepts.

When the IEEE 802.3 Working Group approved the original standard (ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3-1985) in 1983, it had the following membership:

Donald C. Loughry, Chair

Phil L. Arst	Donald E. Kotas	Robert S. Printis
Robert F. Bridge	William P. Lidinsky	Gary S. Robinson
Charles Brill	Laurie Lindsey	Robert Rosenthal
G. J. Clancy	William D. Livingston	Gary Stephens
John Davidson	Andy Luque	Daniel P. Stokesberry
Ralph DeMent	Daniel Maltbie	Ken. F. Sumner
Hank (H. N.) Dorris	Jerry McDowell	Daniel Sze
Judith Estrin	C. Kenneth Miller	Victor J. Tarassov
Richard Fabbri	Robert L. Morrell	P. E. Wainwright
Ingrid Fromm	Wendell Nakamine	Lyle Weiman
Milton C. Harper	W. P. Neblett	Hugh E. White
Bryan Hoover	James Nelson	Choa-Ping Wu
George D. Jelatis	Thomas L. Phinney	Nick Zades
Harold W. Katz	David Potter	Mo R. Zonoun

Additional individuals who contributed actively in the development of the original standard (ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3-1985) throughout its elaboration were

Juan Bulnes	Dean Lindsay	Mark Townsend
Ron Crane	Then. T. Liu	Roger Van Brunt
Dane Elliot	Robert Moles	Bo Vicklund
Alan Flatman	Tony Lauck	Chris Wargo
Maris Graube	Joseph St. Amand	Richard Williams
Guy Harkins	Richard Seifert	Ron Yara
	Nathan Tobol	

The ECMA TC24 Committee on Communication Protocols also provided helpful input in the development of this standard.

When the IEEE 802.3 Working Group approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3a-1988 (Section 10) in November 1984, it had the following membership:

Donald C. Loughry, Chair

Alan Flatman, Chair, Type 10BASE2 Task Force

Menachem Abraham	Guy Harkins	Joseph Rickert
R. V. Balakrishnan	Greg Hopkins	Gary Robinson
William Belknap	Joe Kennedy	Robert Rosenthal
Charles Brill	Hiroshi Kobayashi	Joseph St. Amand
Juan Bulnes	Tony Lauck	Walter Schreuer
Stephen Cooper	William Livingston	Stephen Soto
Ronald Crane	Hugh Logan	Gary Spencer
John Davidson	Leland Long	Robert Summers
Mark Devon	Andy Luque	Pat Thaler
Phil Edholm	Daniel Maltbie	Geoff Thompson
Gregory Ennis	Steven Moustakas	Wendell Turner
Judy Estrin	Wendell Nakamine	David White
Richard Fransen	Lloyd Oliver	Lawrence White
Ingrid Fromm	Aidan Paul	Rich Williams
Robert Galin	David Potter	Ronald Yara
Rich Graham	Eugene Reilly	Mo Zonoun

The following persons were on the balloting committee that approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3-1985 for submission to the IEEE Standards Board:

W. Adams	R. Harrington	C. Ostreicher
R. Appleby	H. Heilborn	M. Papa
G. Arnold	L. Heselton	S. Peter
Y. Baeg	D. Hislop	D. Phuoc
E. Beauregard	C. Hobbs	T. Phinney
J. Becker	S. Hollander	G. Power
E. Bergaimini	P. Hutton	A. Reddi
Boorstyn	P. Induiago	M. Repko
A. Carrato	T. Ishida	F. Restivo
G. Carson	J. Jelemenshy	L. Rich
S. Chakradarti	O. Kahn	D. Rine
S. Chandra	S. Kak	R. Rosenthal
F. Chang	K. Katzeff	P. Ruosadri
C. Chao	C. Kessler	S. Samoylenko
C. Chen	D. Kirschen	B. Sashi
P. Chen	R. Kolm	A. Sauer
K. Chon	T. Kuki	N. Schneidewind
R. Chow	R. Kunkel	O. Serlin
G. Clinque	W. Lai	D. Shepard
I. Cotton	V. Lasker	D. Sloyer
D. Cox	N. Lau	H. Solomon
R. DeJardins	R. Laughlin	G. Stephens
D. Dickel	F. Lim	C. Stillebroer
C. Eldridge	T. Liu	K. Sumner
P. Enslow	J. Loo	E. Sykas
J. Fendirch	K. Loughner	A. Tantawi
M. Figuerea	D. Loughry	D. Tether
D. Fisher	T. Louhenkillbi	J. Tourret
J. Fletcher	D. Manchester	K. Tu
W. Franta	M. Marco	D. Umbaugh
R. Gagliano	D. Matters	J. Vorhies
D. Gan	D. McInode	A. Weissberger
M. Graube	D. Michels	W. Wenker
M. Greene	L. Moraes	T. Wicklund
R. Gustin	D. Morriss	T. Wolf
K. Harbaugh	J. Murayama	F. Wolff
G. Harkins	R. Nelson	R. Young
	D. Ofsevit	

The following persons were on the balloting committee that approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3a-1988 (Section 10) for submission to the IEEE Standards Board:

Marshall Abrams	Keith W. Harbaugh	Marco Meli
John Adams	S. M. Harris	David S. Millman
William B. Adams	J. Scott Haugdahl	Aditya N. Mishra
S. R. Ahuja	Sharon Healy	Richard J. Moff
Kit Athul	C. W. Hobbs	David E. Morgan
William Ayen	Jim P. Hong	Mike Morganti
Yong-Myung Baeg	Paul L. Hutton	Kinji Mori
Wesley A. Ballenger, Jr.	Richard Iliff	D. J. Morris
Edwardo W. Bergamini	George D. Jelatis	H. T. Mouftah
Henk F. Boley	Guy Juanole	Dale A. Murray
Betty Brannick	Siegel L. Junker	Ruth Nelson
George S. Carson	Karl H. Kellermayr	J. Duane Northcutt
Po Chen	Mladen Kezunovic	Charles Ostereicher
L. Y. Cheung	Samuel Kho	David Ofsevit
Kilnam Chon	David Kollm	Young Oh
T. Ricky Chow	Sastri L. Kota	George Parowski
David Cohen	Hirayr M. Kudyan	Thomas L. Phinney
Allen F. Conrad	Takahiko Kuki	Nikitas Pimopoulos
Ira W. Cotton	Lee LaBarre	David Potter
Robert S. Crowder	Wai-Sum Lai	John Potvcek
Michel Diaz	Valerie Lasker	Gary S. Robinson
Mitchell G. Duncan	Lanse M. Leach	Marya Repko
Philip H. Enslow, Jr.	Edward Y. S. Lee	Robert Rosenthal
Judith Estrin	Stephen E. Levin	Gian Paolo Rossi
John W. Fendrich	F. C. Lim	David J. Rypka
Harvey A. Freeman	Don C. Loughry	S. I. Samoylenko
Patrick Gonia	Joseph F. P. Luhukay	Norman F. Schneidewind
Ambuj Goyal	Wo-Shun Luk	Oscar Sepulveda
Michael D. Graebner	Marco Marsan	Omri Serlin
Maris Graube	Joseph Massi	D. Sheppard
Nobuhiro Hamada	Darrell B. McIndoe	R. M. Simmons
Joseph L. Hammond	Patrick S. McIntosh	David W. Sloyer

When the IEEE Standards Board approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3-1988 on June 9, 1988, and ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3a-1988 (Section 10) on October 20, 1988, it had the following membership:

Donald C. Fleckenstein, Chair

Marco Migliaro, Vice Chair

Andrew G. Salem, Secretary

Arthur A. Blaisdell	John W. Horch	L. Bruce McClung
Fletcher J. Buckley	Jack M. Kinn	Donald T. Michael*
James M. Daly	Frank D. Kirschner	Richard E. Mosher
Stephen R. Dillon	Frank C. Kitzantides	L. John Rankine
Eugene P. Fogarty	Joseph L. Koepfinger*	Gary S. Robinson
Jay Forster*	Irving Kolodny	Frank L. Rose
Thomas L. Hannan	Edward Lohse	Helen M. Wood
Kenneth D. Hendrix	John E. May, Jr.	Karl H. Zaininger
Theodore W. Hissey, Jr.	Lawrence V. McCall	Donald W. Zipse

*Member emeritus

ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3-1988 and ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3a-1988 were approved by the American National Standards Institute on January 12, 1989.

When the IEEE 802.3 Working Group approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3c-1985 (9.1-9.8) in July 1985, it had the following membership:

Donald C. Loughry, Chair
Geoffrey O. Thompson, Chair, Repeater Task Force

Menachem Abraham
 Keith Albright
 R. V. Balakrishnan
 William Belknap
 Richard Bennett
 Charles Brill
 Juan Bulnes
 Stephen Cooper
 Paul Eastman
 Phil Edholm
 Gregory Ennis
 Alan Flatman
 Richard Franssen
 Ingrid Fromm
 Robert Galin
 Sharad Gandhi
 Rich Graham
 Richard Gumpertz

Hacene Hariti
 Guy Harkins
 Fred Huang
 Stephen Janshego
 Donald Johnson
 Kwi-Yung Jung
 Paul Kellam
 Joe Kennedy
 Hiroshi Kobayashi
 Lee LaBarre
 Tony Lauck
 John Laynor
 William Livingston
 Terry Lockyer
 James Lucas
 Andy Luque
 Daniel Maltbie
 Steven Moustakas
 Lloyd Oliver

Aidan Paul
 David Potter
 Eric Rawson
 Joseph Rickert
 Gary Robinson
 Timothy Rock
 David Roos
 Robert Rosenthal
 Joseph St. Amand
 Walter Schreuer
 Semir Sirazi
 David Smith
 Stephen Soto
 Robert Summers
 Pat Thaler
 Wendell Turner
 Marc Warshaw
 Ronald Yara

The following persons were on the balloting committee that approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3c-1985 (9.1-9.8) for submission to the IEEE Standards Board:

Marshall Abrams	Richard Iliff	John Potvcek
John Adams	George D. Jelatis	Gary S. Robinson
William B. Adams	E.D. Jensen	Marya Repko
S. R. Ahuja	Guy Juanole	Robert Rosenthal
P. D. Amer	Karl H. Kellermayr	Gian Paolo Rossi
Kit Athul	Mladen Kozunovic	David J. Rypka
William Ayen	Samuel Kho	S. I. Samoylenko
Yong-Myung Baeg	David Kollm	Norman F. Schneidewind
Wesley A. Ballenger, Jr.	Sastri L. Kota	Oscar Sepulveda
Edwardo W. Bergamini	Hirayr M. Kudyan	Omri Serlin
H. F. Boley	Takahiko Kuki	D. Sheppard
Paul W. Campbell, Jr.	Lee LaBarre	R. M. Simmons
George S. Carson	Wai-Sum Lai	L. Sintonen
Po Chen	Lanse M. Leach	David W. Sloyer
L. Y. Cheung	Stephen E. Levin	Stephen Soto
Kilnam Chon	F. C. Lim	Fred Strauss
T. Ricky Chow	William Livingston	Bart W. Stuck
W. F. Chow	Don C. Loughry	Tatsuya Suda
David Cohen	Joseph F. P. Luhukay	Efstathios D. Sykas
Allen F. Conrad	Meli Marco	Daniel T. W. Sze
Robert S. Crowder	Marco Marsan	Ahmed N. Tantaui
Michel Diaz	Joseph Massi	Mario Tokoro
Philip H. Enslow, Jr.	Darrell B. McIndoe	H. C. Torng
Judith Estrin	Patrick S. McIntosh	Donald F. Towsley
John W. Fendrich	David S. Millman	Wei-Tek Tsai
Harvey A. Freeman	Aditya N. Mishra	M. Tsuchiya
R. J. Gagliano	David E. Morgan	Richard Tung
Patrick Gonia	Mike Morganti	Stanko Turk
Ambuj Goyal	Kinji Mori	L. David Umbaugh
Michael D. Graebner	D. J. Morris	James Vorhies
Maris Graube	H. T. Mouftah	Pearl S. C. Wang
Nobushiro Hamada	Dale A. Murray	Don Weir
Joseph L. Hammond	Ruth Nelson	Alan J. Weissberger
S. M. Harris	J. Duane Northcutt	William J. Wenker
J. Scott Haugdahl	Charles Oestereicher	Earl J. Whitaker
C. W. Hobbs	Young Oh	Michael Willett
Jim P. Hong	George Parowski	Tsong-Ho Wu
Paul L. Hutton	Thomas L. Phinney	Oren Yuen
	David Potter	

When the IEEE Standards Board approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3c-1985 (9.1-9.8) on December 12, 1985, it had the following membership:

John E. May, Chair

John P. Riganati, Vice Chair

Sava I. Sherr, Secretary

James H. Beall	Jay Forster	Lawrence V. McCall
Fletcher J. Buckley	Daniel L. Goldberg	Donald T. Michael*
Rene Castenschiold	Kenneth D. Hendrix	Frank L. Rose
Edward Chelotti	Irvin N. Howell	Clifford O. Swanson
Edward J. Cohen	Jack Kinn	J. Richard Weger
Paul G. Cummings	Joseph L. Koepfinger*	W. B. Wilkens
Donald C. Fleckenstein	Irving Kolodny	Charles J. Wylie
	R. F. Lawrence	

*Member emeritus

ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3c-1985 was approved by the American National Standards Institute on June 4, 1986.

When the IEEE 802.3 Working Group approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3d-1987 (9.9), it had the following membership:

Donald C. Loughry, Chair
Steven Moustakas, Chair, Task Force

Menachem Abraham
 Keith Albright
 Keith Amundsen
 Jean-Pierre Astorg
 R. V. Balakrishnan
 Richard Bennett
 Charles Brill
 Juan Bulnes
 Robert Campbell
 Luigi Canavese
 Albert Claessen
 Peter Dawe
 Peter Desaulniers
 Raymond Duley
 Jeff Ebeling
 Gianfranco Enrico
 Alan Flatman
 Richard Fransen
 Ingrid Fromm
 Robert Galin
 Mark Gerhold
 Adi Golbert
 Rich Graham
 Rich Gumpertz
 Hacene Hariti

Lloyd Hasley
 Hawming Haung
 Charles Hoffner
 Michael Hughes
 Donald Johnson
 Mze Johnson
 Kwi-Yung Jung
 Matt Kaltenbach
 Paul Kellam
 Scott Kesler
 Hiroshi Kobayashi
 Hidetsune Kurokawa
 Lee LaBarre
 Ed Lare
 Wayne Lindquist
 Terry Lockyer
 Don Loughry
 James Lucas
 Andy Luque
 Lloyd Oliver
 Aidan Paul
 Roy Pierce
 Eric Rawson
 Joseph Rickert
 Gary Robinson

Timothy Rock
 David Roos
 Walter Schruer
 Semir Sirazi
 David Smith
 Robert Summers
 Pat Thaler
 Geoff Thompson
 Nathan Tobol
 Carlos Tomaszewski
 Wendell Turner
 Joseph Wiencko
 Bruce Williams

OBSERVERS

Allen Cherin
 John Decramer
 Paul Eastman
 Shinji Emori
 Jiro Kashio
 Michael Lee
 Luciano Marchitto
 Jim Montrose
 Peter Tarrant

The IEC TC83 Committee on Information Technology Equipment also provided very helpful input to the development of the FOIRL Standard (9.9).

The following persons were on the balloting committee that approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3d-1987 (9.9) for submission to the IEEE Standards Board:

William B. Adams	M. Kezunovic	Gary S. Robinson
S. R. Ahuja	Samuel Kno	Robert Rosenthal
Kit Athul	S. E. Kille	Gian Paolo Rossi
William Ayen	David Kollm	David J. Rypka
Eduardo W. Bergamini	Takahiko Kuki	S. I. Samaylenko
Paul W. Campbell, Jr.	Lee LaBarre	Norman F. Schneidewind
George S. Carson	Wai-Sum Lai	Omri Serlin
Po Chen	Lanse M. Leach	D. Sheppard
L. Y. Cheung	Edward Y. Lee	Ron Simmons
Kilnam Chon	R. C. Lightburn	J. B. Sinclair
W. F. Chow	F. C. Lim	L. Sintonen
Michael Coden	William D. Livingston	Tom Stack
A. F. Conrad	Don C. Loughry	Carel M. Stillebroer
Robert S. Crowder	Joseph F. P. Luhukay	Fred Strauss
Michel Diaz	Wo-Shun Luk	Tatsuya Suda
N. I. Dimopoulos	Marco Ajmone Marsan	P. Sugar
M. G. Duncan	Joseph Massi	Efstathios D. Sykas
Philip H. Enslow, Jr.	Marco Meli	Daniel T. W. Sze
Judith Estrin	Darrel B. McIndoe	Ahmed N. Tantawi
John W. Fendrich	P. S. McIntosh	H. C. Tornig
Harvey A. Freeman	David S. Millman	D. F. Towsley
Patrick S. Gonia	Aditya N. Mishra	Wei-Tek Tsai
R. L. Gordon	David E. Morgan	Stanko Turk
A. Goyal	Mike Morganti	L. David Umbaugh
M. D. Graebner	Kanji Mori	J. T. Vorhies
Maris Graube	David Morris	Pearl S. C. Wang
Joseph L. Hammond	H. H. T. Mouftah	Don Weir
Stephen Harris	Dale N. Murray	Alan J. Weissburger
J. Scott Haugdahl	R. R. Nelson	W. J. Wenker
C. W. Hobbs	J. D. Northcut	Earl J. Whitaker
Paul Hutton	Charles Oestereicher	Bryan Whittle
Richard Illif	Young Oh	Michael Willett
E. D. Jenson	George Parowski	David C. Wood
Guy Juanole	Thomas L. Phinney	Tsong-Hu Wu
Karl H. Kellermayr	J. M. Potucek	Oren Yuen
	Marya Repko	

When the IEEE Standards Board approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3d-1987 (9.9) on December 12, 1985, it had the following membership:

Donald C. Fleckenstein, Chair **Marco Migliaro, Vice Chair**
Andrew G. Salem, Secretary

James H. Beall	Leslie R. Kerr	Donald T. Michael*
Dennis Bodson	Jack Kinn	L. John Rankine
Marshall L. Cain	Irving Kolodny	John P. Riganati
James M. Daly	Joseph L. Koepfinger*	Gary S. Robinson
Stephen R. Dillon	Edward Lohse	Frank L. Rose
Eugene P. Fogarty	John May	Robert E. Rountree
Jay Forster	Lawrence V. McCall	William R. Tackaberry
Kenneth D. Hendrix	L. Bruce McClung	William B. Wilkens
Irvin N. Howell		Helen M. Wood

*Member emeritus

ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3d-1987 was approved by the American National Standards Institute on February 9, 1989.

When the IEEE 802.3 Working Group approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3b-1985 (Section 11), it had the following membership:

Donald C. Loughry, Chair
Menachem Abraham, Chair, Type 10BROAD36 Task Force

Keith Albright	Fred Huang	Aidan Paul
R. V. Balakrishnan	Stephen Janshego	David Potter
William Belknap	Donald Johnson	Eric Rawson
Richard Bennett	Kwi-Yung Jung	Eugene Reilly
Charles Brill	Paul Kellam	Joseph Rickert
Juan Bulnes	Joe Kennedy	Anthony Rizzolo
Stephen Cooper	Hiroshi Kobayashi	Gary Robinson
Ronald Crane	Lee LaBarre	Timothy Rock
John Davidson	Ed Lare	David Roos
Mark Devon	Tony Lauck	Robert Rosenthal
Paul Eastman	John Laynor	Joseph St. Amand
Phil Edholm	William Livingston	Walter Schreuer
Gregory Ennis	Terry Lockyer	Semir Sirazi
Judy Estrin	Hugh Logan	David Smith
Alan Flatman	Leland Long	Stephen Soto
Richard Franssen	James Lucas	Gary Spencer
Ingrid Fromm	Andy Luque	Robert Summers
Robert Galin	Daniel Maltbie	Pat Thaler
Sharad Gandhi	Joseph Mazor	Geoff Thompson
Rich Graham	Steven Moustakas	Nathan Tobol
Richard Gumpertz	Narayan Murthy	Wendell Turner
Hacene Hariti	Wendell Nakamine	Marc Warshaw
Guy Harkins	Lloyd Oliver	David White
Gregory Hopkins		Mo Zonoun

The following persons were on the balloting committee that approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3b-1985 (Section 11) for submission to the IEEE Standards Board:

Marshall Abrams	Mitchell G. Duncan	E. Douglas Jensen
John Adams	Philip H. Enslow, Jr.	Guy Juanole
William B. Adams	Judith Estrin	Siegel L. Junker
S. R. Ahuja	John W. Fendrich	Karl H. Kellermayr
Kit Athul	Harvey A. Freeman	Mladen Kezunovic
William Ayen	Patrick Gonia	Samuel Kho
Yong-Myung Baeg	Ambuj Goyal	David Kollm
Wesley A. Ballenger, Jr.	Michael D. Graebner	Sastri L. Kota
Edwardo W. Bergamini	Maris Graube	Hirayr M. Kudyan
Henk F. Boley	Nobuhiro Hamada	Takahiko Kuki
George S. Carson	Joseph L. Hammond	Lee LaBarre
Po Chen	Keith W. Harbaugh	Wai-Sum Lai
L. Y. Cheung	S. M. Harris	Valerie Lasker
Kilnam Chon	J. Scott Haugdahl	Lanse M. Leach
T. Ricky Chow	Sharon Healy	Edward Y. S. Lee
David Cohen	C. W. Hobbs	Stephen E. Levin
Allen F. Conrad	Jim P. Hong	F. C. Lim
Ira W. Cotton	Paul L. Hutton	Don C. Loughry
Robert S. Crowder	Richard Iliff	Joseph F. P. Luhukay
Michel Diaz	George D. Jelatis	Wo-Shun Luk

Marco Marsan
Joseph Massi
Darrell B. McIndoe
Patrick S. McIntosh
Marco Meli
David S. Millman
Aditya N. Mishra
Richard J. Moff
David E. Morgan
Mike Morganti
Kinji Mori
D. J. Morris
H. T. Mouftah
Dale A. Murray
Ruth Nelson
J. Duane Northcutt
Charles Oestereicher
David Ofsevit
Young Oh
George Parowski
Thomas L. Phinney
Nikitas Pimopoulos

David Potter
John Potvcek
Gary S. Robinson
Marya Repko
Robert Rosenthal
Gian Paolo Rossi
David J. Rypka
S. I. Samoylenko
Norman F. Schneidewind
Oscar Sepulveda
Omri Serlin
D. Sheppard
R. M. Simmons
David W. Sloyer
Stephen Soto
Tom Stack
Carel M. Stillebroer
Fred Strauss
Bart W. Stuck
Tatsuya Suda
Peter Sugar

Efstathios D. Sykas
Daniel T. W. Sze
Ahmed N. Tantaui
Mario Tokoro
H. C. Tornig
Donald F. Towsley
Wei-Tek Tsai
M. Tsuchiya
Richard Tung
Stanko Turk
L. David Umbaugh
James Vorhies
Pearl S. C. Wang
Don Weir
Alan J. Weissberger
William J. Wenker
Earl J. Whitaker
Bryan S. Whittle
Michael Willett
Donald Wittman
George R. Wood
Tsong-Ho Wu

When the IEEE Standards Board approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3b-1985 (Section 11) on September 19, 1985, it had the following membership:

John E. May, Chair

John P. Riganati, Vice Chair

Sava I. Sherr, Secretary

James H. Beall
Fletcher J. Buckley
Rene Castenschiold
Edward Chelotti
Edward J. Cohen
Paul G. Cummings
Donald C. Fleckenstein

Jay Forster
Daniel L. Goldberg
Kenneth D. Hendrix
Irvin N. Howell
Jack Kinn
Joseph L. Koepfinger*
Irving Kolodny
R. F. Lawrence

Lawrence V. McCall
Donald T. Michael*
Frank L. Rose
Clifford O. Swanson
J. Richard Weger
W. B. Wilkens
Charles J. Wylie

*Member emeritus

ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3b-1985 was approved by the American National Standards Institute on February 28, 1986.

When the IEEE 802.3 Working Group approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3e-1987 (Section 12) in November 1986, it had the following membership:

Donald C. Loughry, Chair

Robert Galin, Chair, Type 1BASE5 Task Force

Menachem Abraham
Keith Albright
Keith Amundsen
Jean-Pierre Astorg
R. V. Balakrishnan
Ian Barker
Charles Brill
Juan Bulnes
Robert Campbell

Luigi Canavese
Albert Claessen
Michael Coden
Bill Cronin
Peter Dawe
Peter Desaulniers
Raymond Duley
Jeff Ebeling
Gianfranco Enrico

Alan Flatman
Richard Fransen
Mark Gerhold
Adi Golbert
Rich Graham
Richard Gumpertz
Hacene Hariti
Lloyd Hasley
Haw Ming Haung

Charles Hoffner
Michael Hughes
Donald Johnson
Mize Johnson
Kwi-Yung Jung
Matt Kaltenbach
Paul Kellam
Scott Kesler
Hiroshi Kobayashi
Hidetsune Kurokawa
Michael Lee
Lee LaBarre
Terry Lockyer

James Lucas
Andy Luque
Luciano Marchitto
Steven Moustakas
Lloyd Oliver
Roy Pierce
Bill Poston
Eric Rawson
Joseph Rickert
Gary Robinson
Timothy Rock
David Roos
Ed Sakaguchi

Walter Schreuer
Semir Sirazi
David Smith
Robert Summers
Peter Tarrant
Mark Taylor
Pat Thaler
Geoff Thompson
Nathan Tobol
Carlos Tomaszewski
Jayshree Ullal
Joseph Wiencko
Bruce Williams

The following persons were on the balloting committee that approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3e-1987 (Section 12) for submission to the IEEE Standards Board:

Marshall D. Abrams
William B. Adams
S. R. Ahuja
P. D. Amer
Kit Athul
William Ayen
Eduardo W. Bergamini
H. F. Boley
Paul W. Campbell, Jr.
George S. Carson
Po Chen
L. Y. Cheung
Kilnam Chon
W. F. Chow
Michael Coden
A. F. Conrad
Ira Cotton
D. E. Crotty
Robert S. Crowder
Michel Diaz
N. I. Dimopoulos
M. G. Duncan
P. M. Elliot
Philip H. Enslow, Jr.
Judith Estrin
John W. Fendrich
G. A. Foggiano
Harvey A. Freeman
Robert J. Gagliano
T. F. Gannon III
Patrick S. Gonias
R. L. Gordon
A. Goyal
M. D. Graebner
Maris Graube
Joseph L. Hammond
Stephen Harris
J. Scott Haugdahl
C. W. Hobbs
Paul Hutton

Richard Iliff
E. D. Jenson
Guy Juanole
S. L. Junker
Karl H. Kellermayr
M. Kezunovic
Samuel Kho
S. E. Kille
David Kollm
Takahiko Kuki
Lee LaBarre
Wai-Sum Lai
Lanase M. Leach
Edward Y. Lee
S. E. Levin
R. C. Lightburn
F. C. Lim
William D. Livingston
Don C. Loughry
Joseph F. P. Luhukay
Wo-Shun Luk
Marco Ajmone Marsan
Joseph Massi
Marco Meli
Darrel B. McIndoe
P. S. McIntosh
David S. Millman
Aditya N. Mishra
David E. Morgan
Mike Morganti
Kanji Mori
David Morris
H. H. T. Mouftah
Dale N. Murray
R. R. Nelson
J. D. Northcut
Charles Oestereicher
Young Oh
George Parowski
Thomas L. Phinney
David Potter

J. M. Potucek
Marya Repko
Gary S. Robinson
Robert Rosenthal
Gian Paolo Rossi
David J. Rypka
S. I. Samaylenko
Norman F. Schneidewind
Omri Serlin
D. Sheppard
Ron Simmons
J. B. Sinclair
L. Sintonen
Stephen H. Soto
Tom Stack
Carel M. Stillebroer
Fred Strauss
Bart W. Stuck
Tatsuya Suda
P. Sugar
Efsthios D. Sykas
Daniel T. W. Sze
Ahmed N. Tantawi
H. C. Torng
D. F. Towsley
Wei-Tek Tsai
Masahiro Tsuchiya
Stanko Turk
L. David Umbaugh
J. T. Vorhies
Pearl S. C. Wang
Don Weir
Alan J. Weissburger
W. J. Wenker
Earl J. Whitaker
Bryan Whittle
Michael Willett
David C. Wood
Tsong-Hu Wu
Oren Yuen

When the IEEE Standards Board approved ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3e-1987 (Section 12) on June 11, 1987, it had the following membership:

Donald C. Fleckenstein, *Chair*

Marco W. Migliaro, *Vice Chair*

Andrew G. Salem, *Secretary*

James H. Beall
Dennis Bodson
Marshall L. Cain
James M. Daly
Stephen R. Dillon
Eugene P. Fogarty
Jay Forster
Kenneth D. Hendrix
Irvin N. Howell

Leslie R. Kerr
Jack Kinn
Irving Kolodny
Joseph L. Koepfinger*
Edward Lohse
John May
Lawrence V. McCall
L. Bruce McClung
Donald T. Michael*

L. John Rankine
John P. Riganati
Gary S. Robinson
Frank L. Rose
Robert E. Rountree
Sava I. Sherr*
William R. Tackaberry
William B. Wilkens
Helen M. Wood

*Member emeritus

ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3e-1987 was approved by the American National Standards Institute on December 15, 1987.

Contents

SECTION	PAGE
1. Introduction.....	31
1.1 Overview.....	31
1.1.1 Basic Concepts	31
1.1.2 Architectural Perspectives	31
1.1.3 Layer Interfaces.....	33
1.1.4 Application Areas	33
1.2 Notation.....	33
1.2.1 State Diagram Conventions.....	33
1.2.2 Service Specification Method and Notation	35
1.2.3 Physical Layer and Media Notation.....	36
1.2.4 Physical Layer Message Notation	36
1.3 References.....	37
1.4 Definitions.....	38
2. MAC Service Specification.....	39
2.1 Scope and Field of Application.....	39
2.2 Overview of the Service	39
2.2.1 General Description of Services Provided by the Layer....	39
2.2.2 Model Used for the Service Specification	40
2.2.3 Overview of Interactions	40
2.2.4 Basic Services and Options	40
2.3 Detailed Service Specification	40
2.3.1 MA_DATA.request	40
2.3.2 MA_DATA.indication	41
3. Media Access Control Frame Structure	43
3.1 Overview.....	43
3.1.1 MAC Frame Format.....	43
3.2 Elements of the MAC Frame.....	44
3.2.1 Preamble Field	44
3.2.2 Start Frame Delimiter (SFD) Field	44
3.2.3 Address Fields.....	44
3.2.4 Destination Address Field	45
3.2.5 Source Address Field	46
3.2.6 Length Field	46
3.2.7 Data and PAD Fields	46
3.2.8 Frame Check Sequence Field.....	46
3.3 Order of Bit Transmission	47
3.4 Invalid MAC Frame.....	47
4. Media Access Control Method	49
4.1 Functional Model of the Media Access Control Method.....	49
4.1.1 Overview	49
4.1.2 CSMA/CD Operation.....	49
4.1.3 Relationships to LLC Sublayer and Physical Layer.....	52

SECTION	PAGE
4.1.4 CSMA/CD Access Method Functional Capabilities.....	52
4.2 CSMA/CD Media Access Control Method (MAC):	
Precise Specification	54
4.2.1 Introduction	54
4.2.2 Overview of the Procedural Model.....	54
4.2.3 Frame Transmission Model	57
4.2.4 Frame Reception Model	61
4.2.5 Preamble Generation	62
4.2.6 Start Frame Sequence.....	63
4.2.7 Global Declarations	63
4.2.8 Frame Transmission	66
4.2.9 Frame Reception	71
4.2.10 Common Procedures	74
4.3 Interfaces to/from Adjacent Layers	74
4.3.1 Overview	74
4.3.2 Services Provided by the MAC Sublayer.....	74
4.3.3 Services Required from the Physical Layer.....	75
4.4 Specific Implementations.....	77
4.4.1 Compatibility Overview	77
4.4.2 Allowable Implementations	77
5. Network Management	79
6. PLS Service Specifications.....	81
6.1 Scope and Field of Application	81
6.2 Overview of the Service	81
6.2.1 General Description of Services Provided by the Layer....	81
6.2.2 Model Used for the Service Specification	81
6.2.3 Overview of Interactions	81
6.2.4 Basic Services and Options	81
6.3 Detailed Service Specification	82
6.3.1 Peer-to-Peer Service Primitives.....	82
6.3.2 Sublayer-to-Sublayer Service Primitives	83
7. Physical Signaling (PLS) and Attachment Unit Interface (AUI) Specifications.....	85
7.1 Scope	85
7.1.1 Definitions	86
7.1.2 Summary of Major Concepts.....	86
7.1.3 Application	87
7.1.4 Modes of Operation	87
7.1.5 Allocation of Function	87
7.2 Functional Specification.....	87
7.2.1 PLS-PMA (DTE-MAU) Interface Protocol	87
7.2.2 PLS Interface to MAC and Management Entities	94
7.2.3 Frame Structure	96
7.2.4 PLS Functions	97

SECTION	PAGE
7.3 Signal Characteristics	100
7.3.1 Signal Encoding	100
7.3.2 Signaling Rate	105
7.3.3 Signaling Levels	105
7.4 Electrical Characteristics	105
7.4.1 Driver Characteristics	106
7.4.2 Receiver Characteristics	109
7.4.3 AUI Cable Characteristics	111
7.5 Functional Description of Interchange Circuits	113
7.5.1 General	113
7.5.2 Definition of Interchange Circuits	114
7.6 Mechanical Characteristics	117
7.6.1 Definition of Mechanical Interface	117
7.6.2 Line Interface Connector	117
7.6.3 Contact Assignments	118
8. Medium Attachment Unit and Baseband Medium Specifications, Type 10BASE5	121
8.1 Scope	121
8.1.1 Overview	121
8.1.2 Definitions	122
8.1.3 Application Perspective: MAU and MEDIUM Objectives	123
8.2 MAU Functional Specifications	124
8.2.1 MAU Physical Layer Functions	125
8.2.2 MAU Interface Messages	127
8.2.3 MAU State Diagrams	129
8.3 MAU-Medium Electrical Characteristics	130
8.3.1 MAU-to-Coaxial Cable Interface	130
8.3.2 MAU Electrical Characteristics	135
8.3.3 MAU-DTE Electrical Characteristics	136
8.3.4 MAU-DTE Mechanical Connection	136
8.4 Characteristics of the Coaxial Cable	136
8.4.1 Coaxial Cable Electrical Parameters	136
8.4.2 Coaxial Cable Properties	137
8.4.3 Total Segment DC Loop Resistance	139
8.5 Coaxial Trunk Cable Connectors	139
8.5.1 Inline Coaxial Extension Connector	139
8.5.2 Coaxial Cable Terminator	139
8.5.3 MAU-to-Coaxial Cable Connector	140
8.6 System Considerations	141
8.6.1 Transmission System Model	141
8.6.2 Transmission System Requirements	143
8.6.3 Labeling	146
8.7 Environmental Specifications	146

SECTION	PAGE
8.7.1 General Safety Requirements	146
8.7.2 Network Safety Requirements.....	147
8.7.3 Electromagnetic Environment.....	148
8.7.4 Temperature and Humidity	149
8.7.5 Regulatory Requirements.....	149
9. Repeater Unit for 10 Mb/s Baseband Networks	151
9.1 Overview.....	151
9.2 Definitions.....	152
9.3 References.....	153
9.4 Compatibility Interface.....	153
9.4.1 AUI Compatibility	153
9.4.2 Direct Cable Compatibility	153
9.4.3 IRL Compatibility	154
9.5 Basic Functions.....	154
9.5.1 Repeater Set Network Properties.....	154
9.5.2 Signal Amplification.....	154
9.5.3 Signal Symmetry	154
9.5.4 Signal Retiming	154
9.5.5 Data Handling	155
9.5.6 Collision Handling	155
9.5.7 Electrical Isolation.....	156
9.6 Detailed Repeater Functions and State Diagrams.....	156
9.6.1 State Diagram Notation	156
9.6.2 Data and Collision Handling	162
9.6.3 Preamble Regeneration	162
9.6.4 Fragment Extension.....	162
9.6.5 MAU Jabber Lockup Protection	162
9.6.6 Auto-Partitioning/Reconnection (Optional).....	162
9.7 Electrical Isolation	165
9.7.1 Environment A Requirements	165
9.7.2 Environment B Requirements	165
9.8 Reliability	165
9.9 Medium Attachment Unit and Baseband Medium Specification for a Vendor-Independent FOIRL.....	166
9.9.1 Scope.....	166
9.9.2 FOMAU Functional Specifications	168
9.9.3 FOMAU Electrical Characteristics	178
9.9.4 FOMAU/Optical Medium Interface.....	179
9.9.5 Characteristics of the Optical Fiber Cable Link Segment.....	180
9.9.6 System Requirements	181
9.9.7 Environmental Specifications	183
10. Medium Attachment Unit and Baseband Medium Specifications, Type 10BASE2	185

SECTION	PAGE
10.1 Scope	185
10.1.1 Overview	185
10.1.2 Definitions	186
10.1.3 Application Perspective: MAU and Medium Objectives	187
10.2 References	188
10.3 MAU Functional Specifications	188
10.3.1 MAU Physical Layer Functional Requirements	189
10.3.2 MAU Interface Messages	192
10.3.3 MAU State Diagrams	194
10.4 MAU-Medium Electrical Characteristics	195
10.4.1 MAU-to-Coaxial Cable Interface	195
10.4.2 MAU Electrical Characteristics	197
10.4.3 MAU-DTE Electrical Characteristics	198
10.5 Characteristics of Coaxial Cable System	198
10.5.1 Coaxial Cable Electrical Parameters	198
10.5.2 Coaxial Cable Physical Parameters	199
10.5.3 Total Segment DC Loop Resistance	201
10.6 Coaxial Trunk Cable Connectors	201
10.6.1 In-Line Coaxial Extension Connector	201
10.6.2 Coaxial Cable Terminator	201
10.6.3 MAU-to-Coaxial Cable Connection	201
10.7 System Considerations	203
10.7.1 Transmission System Model	203
10.7.2 Transmission System Requirements	204
10.8 Environmental Specifications	206
10.8.1 Safety Requirements	206
10.8.2 Electromagnetic Environment	207
10.8.3 Regulatory Requirements	207
11. Broadband Medium Attachment Unit and Broadband Medium Specifications, Type 10BROAD36	209
11.1 Scope	209
11.1.1 Overview	209
11.1.2 Definitions	212
11.1.3 MAU and Medium Objectives	213
11.1.4 Compatibility Considerations	214
11.1.5 Relationship to PLS and AUI	214
11.1.6 Mode of Operation	214
11.2 MAU Functional Specifications	214
11.2.1 MAU Functional Requirements	214
11.2.2 DTE PLS to MAU and MAU to DTE PLS Messages	218
11.2.3 MAU State Diagrams	219
11.3 MAU Characteristics	224
11.3.1 MAU to Coaxial Cable Interface	224

SECTION	PAGE
11.3.2 MAU Frequency Allocations.....	231
11.3.3 AUI Electrical Characteristics.....	232
11.3.4 MAU Transfer Characteristics.....	233
11.3.5 Reliability.....	242
11.4 System Considerations.....	242
11.4.1 Delay Budget and Network Diameter.....	242
11.4.2 MAU Operation with Packets Shorter than 512 Bits.....	242
11.5 Characteristics of the Coaxial Cable System.....	244
11.5.1 Electrical Requirements	244
11.5.2 Mechanical Requirements.....	244
11.5.3 Delay Requirements.....	245
11.6 Frequency Translator Requirements for the Single-Cable Version.....	245
11.6.1 Electrical Requirements	245
11.6.2 Mechanical Requirements.....	246
11.7 Environmental Specifications.....	246
11.7.1 Safety Requirements.....	246
11.7.2 Electromagnetic Environment.....	246
11.7.3 Temperature and Humidity	247
12. Physical Signaling, Medium Attachment, and Baseband Medium Specifications, Type 1BASE5	249
12.1 Introduction.....	249
12.1.1 Overview	249
12.1.2 Scope.....	249
12.1.3 Definitions.....	249
12.1.4 General Characteristics.....	252
12.1.5 Compatibility.....	252
12.1.6 Objectives of Type 1BASE5 Specifications.....	252
12.2 Architecture.....	252
12.2.1 Major Concepts	252
12.2.2 Application Perspective.....	253
12.2.3 Packet Structure	254
12.3 DTE Physical Signaling (PLS) Specification.....	256
12.3.1 Overview	256
12.3.2 Functional Specification	256
12.4 Hub Specification	265
12.4.1 Overview	265
12.4.2 Hub Structure	267
12.4.3 Hub PLS Functional Specification	267
12.5 Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) Specification.....	274
12.5.1 Overview	274
12.5.2 PLS-PMA Interface	275
12.5.3 Signal Characteristics.....	275
12.6 Medium Dependent Interface (MDI) Specification	285

SECTION	PAGE
12.6.1 Line Interface Connector	285
12.6.2 Connector Contact Assignments	285
12.6.3 Labeling	285
12.7 Cable Medium Characteristics	285
12.7.1 Overview	285
12.7.2 Transmission Parameters	285
12.7.3 Coupling Parameters	287
12.7.4 Noise Environment	288
12.8 Special Link Specification	289
12.8.1 Overview	289
12.8.2 Transmission Characteristics	290
12.8.3 Permitted Configurations	290
12.9 Timing	290
12.9.1 Overview	290
12.9.2 DTE Timing	290
12.9.3 Medium Timing	291
12.9.4 Special Link Timing	291
12.9.5 Hub Timing	291
12.10 Safety	292
12.10.1 Isolation	292
12.10.2 Telephony Voltages	292

FIGURES

Fig 1-1	LAN Standard Relationship to the OSI Reference Model	32
Fig 1-2	State Diagram Notation Example	34
Fig 1-3	Service Primitive Notation	36
Fig 2-1	Service Specification Relation to the LAN Model	39
Fig 3-1	MAC Frame Format	43
Fig 3-2	Address Field Format	44
Fig 4-1	MAC Sublayer Partitioning, Relationship to OSI Reference Model	50
Fig 4-2	CSMA/CD Media Access Control Functions	53
Fig 4-3	Relationship Among CSMA/CD Procedures	56
Fig 4-4	Control Flow Summary	58
Fig 4-5	Control Flow: MAC Sublayer	59
Fig 6-1	Service Specification Relationship to the LAN Model	82
Fig 7-1	Physical Layer Partitioning, Relationship to OSI Reference Model	85
Fig 7-2	Generalized MAU Model	88
Fig 7-3	PLS Reset and Identify Function	90
Fig 7-4	PLS Mode Function	91
Fig 7-5	PLS Output Function	92
Fig 7-6	PLS Input Function	98
Fig 7-7	PLS Error Sense Function	99
Fig 7-8	PLS Carrier Sense Function	101

FIGURES	PAGE
Fig 7-9	Interface Function for MAU with Conditioning..... 102-103
Fig 7-10	Examples of Manchester Waveforms 104
Fig 7-11	Differential Output Voltage, Loaded 107
Fig 7-12	Generalized Driver Waveform 108
Fig 7-13	Common-Mode Output Voltage 109
Fig 7-14	Driver Fault Conditions.....110
Fig 7-15	Common-Mode Input Test.....111
Fig 7-16	Receiver Fault Conditions 112
Fig 7-17	Common-Mode Transfer Impedance.....113
Fig 7-18	Connector Locking Posts 116
Fig 7-19	Connector Slide Latch.....117
Fig 7-20	Connector Hardware and AUI Cable Configuration.....118
Fig 8-1	Physical Layer Partitioning, Relationship to the ISO Open System Interconnection Reference Model.....121
Fig 8-2	Interface Function: Simple MAU without Isolate Capability...130
Fig 8-3	Interface Function: Simple MAU with Isolate Capability 131
Fig 8-4	Jabber Function.....132
Fig 8-5	Recommended Driver Current Signal Levels 134
Fig 8-6	Typical Coaxial Trunk Cable Signal Waveform.....134
Fig 8-7	Maximum Coaxial Cable Transfer Impedance 137
Fig 8-8	Coaxial Tap Connector Configuration Concepts.....142
Fig 8-9	Typical Coaxial Tap Connection Circuit.....142
Fig 8-10	Maximum Transmission Path.....143
Fig 8-11	Minimal System Configuration.....144
Fig 8-12	Minimal System Configuration Requiring a Repeater Set 144
Fig 8-13	An Example of a Large System with Maximum Transmission Paths.....145
Fig 8-14	An Example of a Large Point-to-Point Link System (5140 ns) 145
Fig 9-1	Repeater Set, Coax-to-Coax Configuration.....151
Fig 9-2	Repeater Unit State Diagram 160
Fig 9-3	Transmit Timer State Diagram for Port X.....161
Fig 9-4	Tw2 State Diagram.....161
Fig 9-5	MAU Jabber Lockup Protection State Diagram 161
Fig 9-6	Partitioning State Diagram for Port X.....164
Fig 9-7	Schematic of the Vendor-Independent FOIRL and Its Relationship to the Repeater Unit 167
Fig 9-8	FOMAU Transmit, Receive, and Collision Functions State Diagram.....176
Fig 9-9	FOMAU Jabber Function State Diagram 177
Fig 9-10	Low Light Level Detection Function State Diagram.....177
Fig 10-1	Physical Layer Partitioning; Relationship to the ISO Open System Interconnection (OSI) Reference Model.....185
Fig 10-2	MAU Interface Function 190

FIGURES	PAGE
Fig 10-3	Jabber Function State Diagram.....193
Fig 10-4	Driver Current Signal Levels 196
Fig 10-5	Coaxial Trunk Cable Waveform.....197
Fig 10-6	Maximum Coaxial Cable Transfer Impedance 200
Fig 10-7	Examples of Insulated Connector Cover 202
Fig 10-8	Maximum Transfer Path 203
Fig 10-9	The Minimum System Configuration.....205
Fig 10-10	The Minimum System Configuration Requiring a Repeater Set 205
Fig 10-11	An Example of a Large Hybrid System.....206
Fig 11-1	Physical Layer Partitioning; Relationship to the ISO Open System Interconnection Reference Model.....210
Fig 11-2	Broadband Cable Systems.....211
Fig 11-3	Transmit Function Requirements.....215
Fig 11-4	MAU State Diagram 220-221
Fig 11-5(a)	MAU Jabber State Diagram 222
Fig 11-5(b)	MAU Jabber State Diagram (continued).....223
Fig 11-6	Packet Format and Timing Diagram (AUI to Coaxial Cable Interface).....227
Fig 11-7	Spectrum Mask for RF Data Signal.....228
Fig 11-8	Transmit Out-of-Band Power Attenuation.....230
Fig 11-9	Packet Format at Modulator Input.....233
Fig 11-10	Scrambler 235
Fig 11-11	Differential Encoder.....236
Fig 11-12	Descrambler 236
Fig 11-13	No Collision Timing Diagram (Coax to AUI) 239
Fig 11-14	Collision Timing Diagram (RF Data to RF Collision Enforcement) 240
Fig 11-15	Collision Timing Diagram (Coaxial Cable Interface to AUI Circuit CI) 241
Fig 11-16	Timing at AUI for Zero-Length Coax 243
Fig 12-1	1BASE5 Relationship to the OSI Reference Model and the IEEE 802.3 CSMA/CD LAN Model.....250
Fig 12-2	Single Hub Network 253
Fig 12-3	Network With Two Levels of Hubs.....254
Fig 12-4	Network With Four Levels of Hubs 255
Fig 12-5	Station Physical Signaling Relationship to the OSI Reference Model and the IEEE 802.3 CSMA/CD LAN Model...257
Fig 12-6	DTE PLS Output Function 261
Fig 12-7	DTE PLS Input Function 262
Fig 12-8	DTE PLS Error Sense Function 263
Fig 12-9	DTE PLS Carrier Sense Function.....263
Fig 12-10	Examples of Manchester Waveforms 264
Fig 12-11	Examples of Collision Presence Waveforms.....265

FIGURES	PAGE
Fig 12-12	Hub Relationship to the OSI Reference Model and the IEEE 802.3 CSMA/CD LAN Model.....266
Fig 12-13	Hub PLS Upward Transfer Function 270
Fig 12-14	Hub PLS Jabber Function for Port X.....271
Fig 12-15	Hub PLS Downward Transfer Function 272
Fig 12-16	Physical Medium Attachment Relationship to the OSI Reference Model and the IEEE 802.3 CSMA/CD LAN Model.....275
Fig 12-17	Simulated Light Load 276
Fig 12-18	Simulated Heavy Load 276
Fig 12-19	Differential Output Voltage, Nominal Duration BT/2.....277
Fig 12-20	Differential Output Voltage, Duration BT.....277
Fig 12-21	Transmitter Waveform for Idle.....279
Fig 12-22	Start-of-Idle Test Load #1 279
Fig 12-23	Start-of-Idle Test Load #2 279
Fig 12-24	Transmitter Impedance Balance 280
Fig 12-25	Common-Mode Output Voltage 281
Fig 12-26	Transmitter Common-Mode Tolerance.....281
Fig 12-27	Common-Mode Impulse Test 282
Fig 12-28	Receiver Signal Envelope.....283
Fig 12-29	Receiver Common-Mode Rejection 284
Fig 12-30	DTE and Hub Connector.....286
Fig 12-31	Cable Connector 286
Fig 12-32	Cable Balance Test.....289
TABLES	
Table 8-1	Generation of Collision Presence Signal 122
Table 9-1	Maximum Allowable Timing Budget Contributions to the FOIRL System Timing Budget.....182
Table 10-1	Generation of Collision Presence Signal 191
Table 11.2-1	Single-Cable Frequency Allocations (Frequencies in MHz).....231
Table 11.2-2	Dual-Cable Frequency Allocations (Frequencies in MHz).....232
Table 11.4-1	Broadband Dual-Cable Systems—Physical Layer Delay Budget.....244
Table 11.5-1	Cable System Electrical Requirements.....245
Table 11.6-1	Frequency Translator Requirements.....245
ANNEX	
Additional Reference Material.....295	

APPENDICES	PAGE
A System Guidelines.....	297
B State Diagram, MAC Sublayer	309
C Application Context, Selected Medium Specifications.....	315
D Receiver Wavelength Design Considerations.....	317
APPENDIX FIGURES	
Fig A1 Maximal System Configuration Bit Budget Apportionments.....	299
Fig A2 Typical Signal Waveforms	302
Fig A3 Worst-Case Signal Waveform Variations.....	302
Fig A4 MDNEXT Cumulative Probability Distribution	308
Fig B1 Transmit Component State Diagram.....	310
Fig B2 Receive Component State Diagram	313
APPENDIX TABLES	
Table B1 Transmit Component State Transition.....	311
Table B2 Receive Component State Transition	313

Information technology— Local and metropolitan area networks—

Part 3: Carrier sense multiple access with collision detection (CSMA/CD) access method and physical layer specifications

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview

1.1.1 Basic Concepts. The Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) media access method is the means by which two or more stations share a common transmission medium. To transmit, a station waits (defers) for a quiet period on the medium (that is, no other station is transmitting) and then sends the intended message in bit-serial form. If, after initiating a transmission, the message collides with that of another station, then each transmitting station intentionally sends a few additional bytes to ensure propagation of the collision throughout the system. The station remains silent for a random amount of time (backoff) before attempting to transmit again. Each aspect of this access method process is specified in detail in subsequent sections of this standard.

This is a comprehensive standard for Local Area Networks employing CSMA/CD as the access method. This standard is intended to encompass several media types and techniques for signal rates of from 1 Mb/s to 20 Mb/s. This edition of the standard provides the necessary specifications for 10 Mb/s baseband and broadband systems, a 1 Mb/s baseband system, and a Repeater Unit.

1.1.2 Architectural Perspectives. There are two important ways to view local area network design corresponding to

(1) *Architecture*. Emphasizing the logical divisions of the system and how they fit together.

(2) *Implementation*. Emphasizing actual components, their packaging and interconnection.

This standard is organized along architectural lines, emphasizing the large-scale separation of the system into two parts: the Media Access Control (MAC) sublayer of the Data Link Layer, and the Physical Layer. These layers

ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992
ANSI/IEEE 802 Std 802.3, 1992

LOCAL AND METROPOLITAN AREA NETWORKS:

are intended to correspond closely to the lowest layers of the ISO Model for Open Systems Interconnection (see Fig 1-1). See ISO 7498:1984 [10].¹ The Logical Link Control (LLC) sublayer and MAC sublayer together encompass the functions intended for the Data Link Layer as defined in the OSI model.

1.1.2.1 An architectural organization of the standard has two main advantages:


(1) *Clarity*. A clean overall division of the design along architectural lines makes the standard clearer.

(2) *Flexibility*. Segregation of medium-dependent aspects in the Physical Layer allows the LLC and MAC sublayers to apply to a family of transmission media.

Partitioning the Data Link Layer allows various media access methods within the family of Local Area Network standards.

The architectural model is based on a set of interfaces that may be different from those emphasized in implementations. One critical aspect of the design,

Fig 1-1
LAN Standard Relationship to the
OSI Reference Model



¹ The numbers in brackets correspond to those of the references listed in 1.3; when preceded by A, they correspond to those listed in the Annex.

however, shall be addressed largely in terms of the implementation interfaces: compatibility.

1.1.2.2 Two important compatibility interfaces are defined within what is architecturally the Physical Layer.

(1) *Medium-Dependent Interface (MDI)*. To communicate in a compatible manner, all stations shall adhere rigidly to the exact specification of physical media signals defined in Section 8 (and beyond) in this standard, and to the procedures that define correct behavior of a station. The medium-independent aspects of the LLC sublayer and the MAC sublayer should not be taken as detracting from this point; communication by way of the ISO 8802-3 [IEEE 802.3] Local Area Network requires complete compatibility at the Physical Medium interface (that is, the coaxial cable interface).

(2) *Attachment Unit Interface (AUI)*. It is anticipated that most DTEs will be located some distance from their connection to the coaxial cable. A small amount of circuitry will exist in the Medium Attachment Unit (MAU) directly adjacent to the coaxial cable, while the majority of the hardware and all of the software will be placed within the DTE. The AUI is defined as a second compatibility interface. While conformance with this interface is not strictly necessary to ensure communication, it is highly recommended, since it allows maximum flexibility in intermixing MAUs and DTEs. The AUI may be optional or not specified for some implementations of this standard that are expected to be connected directly to the medium and so do not use a separate MAU or its interconnecting AUI cable. The PLS and PMA are then part of a single unit, and no explicit AUI specification is required.

1.1.3 Layer Interfaces. In the architectural model used here, the layers interact by way of well defined interfaces, providing services as specified in Sections 2 and 6. In general, the interface requirements are as follows:

(1) The interface between the MAC sublayer and the LLC sublayer includes facilities for transmitting and receiving frames, and provides per-operation status information for use by higher-layer error recovery procedures.

(2) The interface between the MAC sublayer and the Physical Layer includes signals for framing (carrier sense, transmit initiation) and contention resolution (collision detect), facilities for passing a pair of serial bit streams (transmit, receive) between the two layers, and a wait function for timing.

These interfaces are described more precisely in 4.3. Additional interfaces are necessary to allow higher level network management facilities to interact with these layers to perform operation, maintenance, and planning functions. Network management functions will be discussed in Section 5.

1.1.4 Application Areas. The applications environment for the Local Area Network is intended to be commercial and light industrial. Use of CSMA/CD LANs in home or heavy industrial environments, while not precluded, is not considered within the scope of this standard.

1.2 Notation

1.2.1 State Diagram Conventions. The operation of a protocol can be described by subdividing the protocol into a number of interrelated functions.

The operation of the functions can be described by state diagrams. Each diagram represents the domain of a function and consists of a group of connected, mutually exclusive states. Only one state of a function is active at any given time (see Fig 1-2).


Each state that the function can assume is represented by a rectangle. These are divided into two parts by a horizontal line. In the upper part the state is identified by a name in capital letters. The lower part contains the name of any ON signal that is generated by the function. Actions are described by short phrases and enclosed in brackets.

All permissible transitions between the states of a function are represented graphically by arrows between them. A transition that is global in nature (for example, an exit condition from all states to the IDLE or RESET state) is indicated by an open arrow. Labels on transitions are qualifiers that must be fulfilled before the transition will be taken. The label UCT designates an unconditional transition. Qualifiers described by short phrases are enclosed in parentheses.

State transitions and sending and receiving of messages occur instantaneously. When a state is entered and the condition to leave that state is not immediately fulfilled, the state executes continuously, sending the messages and executing the actions contained in the state in a continuous manner.

Some devices described in this standard (e.g., repeaters) are allowed to have two or more ports. State diagrams capable of describing the operation of devices with an unspecified number of ports, required qualifier notation that

Fig 1-2
State Diagram Notation Example



Key: () = condition, for example, (if no_collision)
 [] = action, for example, [reset PLS functions]
 * = logical AND
 + = logical OR
 Tw = Wait Time, implementation dependent
 Td = Delay Timeout
 Tb = Backoff Timeout
 UCT = unconditional transition

allows testing for conditions at multiple ports. The notation used is a term that includes a description in parentheses of which ports must meet the term for the qualifier to be satisfied (e.g., ANY and ALL). It is also necessary to provide for term-assignment statements that assign a name to a port that satisfies a qualifier. The following convention is used to describe a term-assignment statement that is associated with a transition:

- (1) The character ":" (colon) is a delimiter used to denote that a term assignment statement follows.
- (2) The character "←" (left arrow) denotes assignment of the value following the arrow to the term preceding the arrow.

The state diagrams contain the authoritative statement of the functions they depict; when apparent conflicts between descriptive text and state diagrams arise, the state diagrams are to take precedence. This does not override, however, any explicit description in the text that has no parallel in the state diagrams.

The models presented by state diagrams are intended as the primary specifications of the functions to be provided. It is important to distinguish, however, between a model and a real implementation. The models are optimized for simplicity and clarity of presentation, while any realistic implementation may place heavier emphasis on efficiency and suitability to a particular implementation technology. It is the functional behavior of any unit that must match the standard, not its internal structure. The internal details of the model are useful only to the extent that they specify the external behavior clearly and precisely.

1.2.2 Service Specification Method and Notation. The service of a layer or sublayer is the set of capabilities that it offers to a user in the next higher (sub)layer. Abstract services are specified here by describing the service primitives and parameters that characterize each service. This definition of service is independent of any particular implementation (see Fig 1-3).

Specific implementations may also include provisions for interface interactions that have no direct end-to-end effects. Examples of such local interactions include interface flow control, status requests and indications, error notifications, and layer management. Specific implementation details are omitted from this service specification both because they will differ from implementation to implementation and because they do not impact the peer-to-peer protocols.

1.2.2.1 Classification of Service Primitives. Primitives are of two generic types:

- (1) **REQUEST.** The request primitive is passed from layer N to layer N-1 to request that a service be initiated.
- (2) **INDICATION.** The indication primitive is passed from layer N-1 to layer N to indicate an internal layer N-1 event that is significant to layer N. This event may be logically related to a remote service request, or may be caused by an event internal to layer N-1.

The service primitives are an abstraction of the functional specification and the user-layer interaction. The abstract definition does not contain local




Fig 1-3
Service Primitive Notation

detail of the user/provider interaction. For instance, it does not indicate the local mechanism that allows a user to indicate that it is awaiting an incoming call. Each primitive has a set of zero or more parameters, representing data elements that shall be passed to qualify the functions invoked by the primitive. Parameters indicate information available in a user/provider interaction; in any particular interface, some parameters may be explicitly stated (even though not explicitly defined in the primitive) or implicitly associated with the service access point. Similarly, in any particular protocol specification, functions corresponding to a service primitive may be explicitly defined or implicitly available.

1.2.3 Physical Layer and Media Notation. Users of this standard need to reference which particular implementation is being used or identified. Therefore, a means of identifying each implementation is given by a simple, three-field, type notation that is explicitly stated at the beginning of each relevant section. In general, the Physical Layer type is specified by these fields:

<data rate in Mb/s> <medium type> <maximum segment length (x 100 m)>

For example, the standard contains a 10 Mb/s baseband specification identified as "TYPE 10BASE5," meaning a 10 Mb/s baseband medium whose maximum segment length is 500 m. Each successive Physical Layer specification will state its own unique TYPE identifier along similar lines.

1.2.4 Physical Layer Message Notation. Messages generated within the Physical Layer, either within or between PLS and the MAU (that is, PMA circuitry), are designated by an italic type to designate either form of physical or logical message used to execute the physical layer signaling process (for example, *input_idle* or *mau_available*).

CSMA/CD

ISO/IEC 8802-3:1992
ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1992

1.3 References

- [1] CISPR Publication 22 (1985), Limits and Methods of Measurement of Radio Interference Characteristics of Information Technology Equipment.²
- [2] IEC Publication 96-1, Radio-frequency cables, Part 1: General requirements and measuring methods.
- [3] IEC Publication 96-1A, 1st Supplement to Radio-frequency cables, Part 1: Appendix Section 5.4, Terminated triaxial test method for transfer impedance up to 100 MHz.
- [4] IEC Publication 169-8 and -16, Radio-frequency connectors, Part 8: Radio-frequency coaxial connectors with inner diameter of outer conductor 6.5 mm (0.256 in) with bayonet lock—Characteristic impedance 50 ohms (Type BNC); Part 16: Radio-frequency coaxial connectors with inner diameter of outer conductor 7 mm (0.276 in) with screw coupling—Characteristic impedance 50 ohms (75 ohms) (Type N).
- [5] IEC Publication 380, Safety of electrically energized office machines.
- [6] IEC Publication 435, Safety of data processing equipment.
- [7] IEC Publication 807-2, Rectangular connectors for frequencies below 3 MHz, Part 2: Detail specification for a range of connectors with round contacts—Fixed solder contact types.
- [8] IEC Publication 950, Safety of Information Technology Equipment, Including Electrical Business Equipment.
- [9] ISO 2382-9 : 1984, Data processing—Vocabulary—Part 09: Data communication.³
- [10] ISO 7498 : 1984, Information processing systems—open systems interconnection—Basic reference model.
- [11] IEC Publication 60, High-voltage test techniques.
- [12] IEC Publication 68, Environmental testing.
- [13] IEC Publication 793-1, Optical fibres, Part 1: Generic specification.
- [14] IEC Publication 793-2, Optical fibres, Part 2: Product specifications.⁴

² CISPR and IEC documents are available in the US from the Sales Department, American National Standards Institute, 11 West 42nd St., New York, NY 10018, USA. These documents are also available from International Electrotechnical Commission, 3 rue de Varembé, Case Postale 131, CH-1211, Genève 20, Switzerland/Suisse.

³ ISO documents are available in the US from the Sales Department, American National Standards Institute, 11 West 42nd St., New York, NY 10018, USA. ISO documents are also available from ISO member bodies and from ISO Central Secretariat, 1 rue de Varembé, Case Postale 56, CH-1211, Genève 20, Switzerland/Suisse.

⁴ Subsection 9.9 is to be read with the understanding that the following changes to IEC Publication 793-2 [14] have been requested:

- (1) Correction of the numerical aperture tolerance in Table III to ± 0.015 .
- (2) Addition of another bandwidth category, of ≥ 150 MHz referred to 1 km, for the type A1b fiber in Table III.

ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992
ANSI/IEEE 802 Std 802.3, 1992

LOCAL AND METROPOLITAN AREA NETWORKS:

- [15] IEC Publication 794-1, Optical fibre cables, Part 1: Generic specification.
- [16] IEC Publication 794-2, Optical fibres cables, Part 2: Product specifications.
- [17] IEC Publication 825, Radiation safety of laser products, equipment classification, requirements, and user's guide.
- [18] IEC Publication 874-1, Connectors for optical fibres and cables, Part 1: Generic specification.
- [19] IEC Publication 874-2, Connectors for optical fibres and cables, Part 2: Sectional specification for fibre optic connector type F-SMA.

Local and national standards such as those supported by ANSI, EIA, IEEE, MIL, NFPA, and UL are not a formal part of the ISO/IEC 8802-3 standard. Reference to such local or national standards may be useful resource material and are identified by a bracketed number beginning with the letter A and located in Annex A.

1.4 Definitions. The definitions used in this standard are consistent with ISO 2382-9:1984 [9]. A more specific Part 25, pertaining to LAN systems, is in development.


2. MAC Service Specification

2.1 Scope and Field of Application. This section specifies the services provided by the Media Access Control (MAC) sublayer to the Logical Link Control (LLC) sublayer for the ISO [IEEE] Local Area Network standard (see Fig 2-1). The services are described in an abstract way and do not imply any particular implementation, or any exposed interface. There is not necessarily a one-to-one correspondence between the primitives and the formal procedures and interfaces described in 4.2 and 4.3.

2.2 Overview of the Service

2.2.1 General Description of Services Provided by the Layer. The services

Fig 2-1
Service Specification Relation to the LAN Model



provided by the MAC sublayer allow the local LLC sublayer entity to exchange LLC data units with peer LLC sublayer entities. Optional support may be provided for resetting the MAC sublayer entity to a known state.

2.2.2 Model Used for the Service Specification. The model used in this service specification is identical to that used in 1.2.

2.2.3 Overview of Interactions

MA_DATA.request
MA_DATA.indication

2.2.4 Basic Services and Options. The MA_DATA.request and MA_DATA.indication service primitives described in this section are considered mandatory.

2.3 Detailed Service Specification

2.3.1 MA_DATA.request

2.3.1.1 Function. This primitive defines the transfer of data from a local LLC sublayer entity to a single peer LLC entity or multiple peer LLC entities in the case of group addresses.

2.3.1.2 Semantics of the Service Primitive. The semantics of the primitive are as follows:

```
MA_DATA.request (
    destination_address,
    m_sdu,
    service_class
)
```

The destination_address parameter may specify either an individual or a group MAC entity address. It must contain sufficient information to create the DA field that is appended to the frame by the local MAC sublayer entity and any physical information. The m_sdu parameter specifies the MAC service data unit to be transmitted by the MAC sublayer entity. There is sufficient information associated with m_sdu for the MAC sublayer entity to determine the length of the data unit. The service_class parameter indicates a quality of service requested by LLC or higher layer (see 2.3.1.5).

2.3.1.3 When Generated. This primitive is generated by the LLC sublayer entity whenever data shall be transferred to a peer LLC entity or entities. This can be in response to a request from higher protocol layers or from data generated internally to the LLC sublayer, such as required by Type 2 service.

2.3.1.4 Effect of Receipt. The receipt of this primitive will cause the MAC entity to append all MAC specific fields, including DA, SA, and any fields that are unique to the particular media access method, and pass the properly formed frame to the lower protocol layers for transfer to the peer MAC sublayer entity or entities.

CSMA/CD

ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992
ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1992

2.3.1.5 Additional Comments. The CSMA/CD MAC protocol provides a single quality of service regardless of the service_class requested.

2.3.2 MA_DATA.indication

2.3.2.1 Function. This primitive defines the transfer of data from the MAC sublayer entity to the LLC sublayer entity or entities in the case of group addresses.

2.3.2.2 Semantics of the Service Primitive. The semantics of the primitive are as follows:

```
MA_DATA.indication (
    destination_address,
    source_address,
    m_sdu,
    reception_status
)
```

The destination_address parameter may be either an individual or a group address as specified by the DA field of the incoming frame. The source_address parameter is an individual address as specified by the SA field of the incoming frame. The m_sdu parameter specifies the MAC service data unit as received by the local MAC entity. The reception_status parameter is used to pass status information to the peer LLC sublayer entity.

2.3.2.3 When Generated. The MA_DATA.indication is passed from the MAC sublayer entity to the LLC sublayer entity or entities to indicate the arrival of a frame to the local MAC sublayer entity. Such frames are reported only if they are validly formed, received without error, and their destination address designates the local MAC entity.

2.3.2.4 Effect of Receipt. The effect of receipt of this primitive by the LLC sublayer is unspecified.

2.3.2.5 Additional Comments. If the local MAC sublayer entity is designated by the destination_address parameter of an MA_DATA.request, the indication primitive will also be invoked by the MAC entity to the local LLC entity. This full duplex characteristic of the MAC sublayer may be due to unique functionality within the MAC sublayer or full duplex characteristics of the lower layers (for example, all frames transmitted to the broadcast address will invoke MA_DATA.indication at all stations in the network including the station that generated the request).

CSMA/CD


ISO/IEC 8802-3 : 1992
ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1992

3. Media Access Control Frame Structure

3.1 Overview. This section defines in detail the frame structure for data communication systems using local area network MAC procedures. It defines the relative positions of the various components of the MAC frame. It defines the method for representing station addresses. It defines a partition of the address space into individual (single station) and group (multicast or multi-station) addresses, and into user administered and globally administered addresses.

3.1.1 MAC Frame Format. Figure 3-1 shows the eight fields of a frame: the preamble, Start Frame Delimiter (SFD), the addresses of the frame's source and destination, a length field to indicate the length of the following field

Fig 3-1
MAC Frame Format



containing the LLC data to be transmitted, a field that contains padding if required, and the frame check sequence field containing a cyclic redundancy check value to detect errors in received frames. Of these eight fields, all are of fixed size except the LLC data and PAD fields, which may contain any integer number of octets between the minimum and maximum values determined by the specific implementation of the CSMA/CD Media Access mechanism. See 4.4 for particular implementations.

The minimum and maximum frame size limits in 4.4 refer to that portion of the frame from the destination address field through the frame check sequence field, inclusive.

Relative to Fig 3-1, the octets of a frame are transmitted from top to bottom, and the bits of each octet are transmitted from left to right.

3.2 Elements of the MAC Frame

3.2.1 Preamble Field. The preamble field is a 7-octet field that is used to allow the PLS circuitry to reach its steady-state synchronization with the received frame timing (see 4.2.5).


3.2.2 Start Frame Delimiter (SFD) Field. The SFD field is the sequence 10101011. It immediately follows the preamble pattern and indicates the start of a frame.

3.2.3 Address Fields. Each MAC frame shall contain two address fields: the Destination Address field and the Source Address field, in that order. The Destination Address field shall specify the destination addressee(s) for which the frame is intended. The Source Address field shall identify the station from which the frame was initiated. The representation of each address field shall be as follows (see Fig 3-2):


- (1) Each address field shall contain either 16 bits or 48 bits. However, at any

Fig 3-2
Address Field Format

48 BIT ADDRESS FORMAT



16 BIT ADDRESS FORMAT



I/G = 0 INDIVIDUAL ADDRESS
I/G = 1 GROUP ADDRESS
U/L = 0 GLOBALLY ADMINISTERED ADDRESS
U/L = 1 LOCALLY ADMINISTERED ADDRESS

given time, the Source and Destination Address size shall be the same for all stations on a particular local area network.

(2) The support of 16 or 48 bit address length for Source and Destination Address shall be left to the manufacturer as an implementation decision. There is no requirement that manufacturers support both sizes.

(3) The first bit (LSB) shall be used in the Destination Address field as an address type designation bit to identify the Destination Address either as an individual or as a group address. In the Source Address field, the first bit is reserved and set to 0. If this bit is 0, it shall indicate that the address field contains an individual address. If this bit is 1, it shall indicate that the address field contains a group address that identifies none, one or more, or all of the stations connected to the local area network.

(4) For 48 bit addresses, the second bit shall be used to distinguish between locally or globally administered addresses. For globally administered (or U, universal) addresses, the bit is set to 0. If an address is to be assigned locally, this bit shall be set to 1. Note that for the broadcast address, this bit is also a 1.

(5) Each octet of each address field shall be transmitted least significant bit first.

3.2.3.1 Address Designation. A MAC sublayer address is of one of two types:

(1) *Individual Address.* The address associated with a particular station on the network.

(2) *Group Address.* A multidestination address, associated with one or more stations on a given network. There are two kinds of multicast address:

(a) *Multicast-Group Address.* An address associated by higher-level convention with a group of logically related stations.

(b) *Broadcast Address.* A distinguished, predefined multicast address that always denotes the set of all stations on a given local area network.

All 1's in the Destination Address field (for 16 or 48 bit address size LANs) shall be predefined to be the Broadcast address. This group shall be predefined for each communication medium to consist of all stations actively connected to that medium; it shall be used to broadcast to all the active stations on that medium. All stations shall be able to recognize the Broadcast address. It is not necessary that a station be capable of generating the Broadcast address.

The address space shall also be partitioned into locally administered and globally administered addresses. The nature of a body and the procedures by which it administers these global (U) addresses is beyond the scope of this standard.⁵

3.2.4 Destination Address Field. The Destination Address field specifies the station(s) for which the frame is intended. It may be an individual or multicast (including broadcast) address.

⁵ Information concerning the Registration Authority and its procedures may be obtained on request to the Secretary General, ISO Central Secretariat, Case Postale 56, CH-1211 Genève, Switzerland, quoting the number of this International Standard. The ISO Global (U) address administration has been delegated to the Secretary, IEEE Standards Board, 345 East 47 Street, New York, NY 10017.

3.2.5 Source Address Field. The Source Address field specifies the station sending the frame. The Source Address field is not interpreted by the CSMA/CD MAC sublayer.

3.2.6 Length Field. The length field is a 2-octet field whose value⁶ indicates the number of LLC data octets in the data field. If the value is less than the minimum required for proper operation of the protocol, a PAD field (a sequence of octets) will be added at the end of the data field but prior to the FCS field, specified below. The procedure that determines the size of the pad field is specified in 4.2.8. The length field is transmitted and received with the high order octet first.

3.2.7 Data and PAD Fields. The data field contains a sequence of n octets. Full data transparency is provided in the sense that any arbitrary sequence of octet values may appear in the data field up to a maximum number specified by the implementation of this standard that is used. A minimum frame size is required for correct CSMA/CD protocol operation and is specified by the particular implementation of the standard. If necessary, the data field is extended by appending extra bits (that is, a pad) in units of octets after the LLC data field but prior to calculating and appending the FCS. The size of the pad, if any, is determined by the size of the data field supplied by LLC and the minimum frame size and address size parameters of the particular implementation. The maximum size of the data field is determined by the maximum frame size and address size parameters of the particular implementation.

The length of PAD field required for LLC data that is n octets long is $\max(0, \text{minFrameSize} - (8 \times n + 2 \times \text{addressSize} + 48))$ bits. The maximum possible size of the LLC data field is $\text{maxFrameSize} - (2 \times \text{addressSize} + 48)/8$ octets. See 4.4 for a discussion of implementation parameters; see 4.2.3.3 for a discussion of the minFrameSize .

3.2.8 Frame Check Sequence Field. A cyclic redundancy check (CRC) is used by the transmit and receive algorithms to generate a CRC value for the FCS field. The frame check sequence (FCS) field contains a 4-octet (32-bit) cyclic redundancy check (CRC) value. This value is computed as a function of the contents of the source address, destination address, length, LLC data and pad (that is, all fields except the preamble, SFD, and FCS). The encoding is defined by the following generating polynomial.

$$G(x) = x^{32} + x^{26} + x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{16} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^8 + x^7 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1$$

Mathematically, the CRC value corresponding to a given frame is defined by the following procedure:

- (1) The first 32 bits of the frame are complemented.
- (2) The n bits of the frame are then considered to be the coefficients of a polynomial $M(x)$ of degree $n-1$. (The first bit of the Destination Address field cor-

⁶ Packets with a length field value greater than those specified in 4.4.2 may be ignored, discarded, or used in a private manner. The use of such packets is beyond the scope of this standard.

CSMA/CD

ISO/IEC 8802-3:1992
ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1992

responds to the $x^{(n-1)}$ term and the last bit of the data field corresponds to the x^0 term.)

(3) $M(x)$ is multiplied by x^{32} and divided by $G(x)$, producing a remainder $R(x)$ of degree <31 .

(4) The coefficients of $R(x)$ are considered to be a 32-bit sequence.

(5) The bit sequence is complemented and the result is the CRC.

The 32 bits of the CRC value are placed in the frame check sequence field so that the x^{31} term is the left-most bit of the first octet, and the x^0 term is the right-most bit of the last octet. (The bits of the CRC are thus transmitted in the order $x^{31}, x^{30}, \dots, x^1, x^0$.) See reference [A18].

3.3 Order of Bit Transmission. Each octet of the MAC frame, with the exception of the FCS, is transmitted low-order bit first.


3.4 Invalid MAC Frame. An invalid MAC frame shall be defined as one that meets at least one of the following conditions:

(1) The frame length is inconsistent with the length field.

(2) It is not an integral number of octets in length.

(3) The bits of the incoming frame (exclusive of the FCS field itself) do not generate a CRC value identical to the one received.

The contents of invalid MAC frames shall not be passed to LLC. The occurrence of invalid MAC frames may be communicated to network management.



Key: () = condition, for example, (if no_collision)
[] = action, for example, [reset PLS functions]
* = logical AND
+ = logical OR
Tw = Wait Time, implementation dependent
Td = Delay Timeout
Tb = Backoff Timeout
UCT = unconditional transition

4. Media Access Control

4.1 Functional Model of the Media Access Control Method

4.1.1 Overview. The architectural model described in Section 1 is used in this section to provide a functional description of the Local Area Network CSMA/CD MAC sublayer.

The MAC sublayer defines a medium-independent facility, built on the medium-dependent physical facility provided by the Physical Layer, and under the access-layer-independent local area network LLC sublayer. It is applicable to a general class of local area broadcast media suitable for use with the media access discipline known as Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD).

The LLC sublayer and the MAC sublayer together are intended to have the same function as that described in the OSI model for the Data Link Layer alone. In a broadcast network, the notion of a data link between two network entities does not correspond directly to a distinct physical connection. Nevertheless, the partitioning of functions presented in this standard requires two main functions generally associated with a data link control procedure to be performed in the MAC sublayer. They are as follows:

- (1) Data encapsulation (transmit and receive)
 - (a) Framing (frame boundary delimitation, frame synchronization)
 - (b) Addressing (handling of source and destination addresses)
 - (c) Error detection (detection of physical medium transmission errors)
- (2) Media Access Management
 - (a) Medium allocation (collision avoidance)
 - (b) Contention resolution (collision handling)

The remainder of this section provides a functional model of the CSMA/CD MAC method.

4.1.2 CSMA/CD Operation. This section provides an overview of frame transmission and reception in terms of the functional model of the architecture. This overview is descriptive, rather than definitional; the formal specifications of the operations described here are given in 4.2 and 4.3. Specific implementations for CSMA/CD mechanisms that meet this standard are given in 4.4. Figure 4-1 provides the architectural model described functionally in the sections that follow.

The Physical Layer Signaling (PLS) component of the Physical Layer provides an interface to the MAC sublayer for the serial transmission of bits onto the physical media. For completeness, in the operational description that follows some of these functions are included as descriptive material. The