



BSI Standards Publication

Software engineering — Recommended practice for software acquisition

National foreword

This British Standard is the UK implementation of ISO/IEC/IEEE 41062:2019.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee IST/15, Software and systems engineering.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2019
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2019

ISBN 978 0 580 99869 0

ICS 35.080

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 28 February 2019.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD

ISO/IEC/
IEEE
41062

First edition
2019-02

Software engineering — Recommended practice for software acquisition

Ingénierie du logiciel — Pratique recommandée pour l'acquisition des logiciels



Reference number
ISO/IEC/IEEE 41062:2019(E)

Documents
© IEEE 2016
Document Sharing Hub



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© IEEE 2016

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO or IEEE at the respective address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc
3 Park Avenue, New York
NY 10016-5997, USA

Email: stds.ipr@ieee.org
Website: www.ieee.org

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted (see www.iso.org/directives).

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. The IEEE develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and serve without compensation. While the IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, the IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information contained in its standards.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

ISO/IEC/IEEE 41062 was prepared by the Software & Systems Engineering Standards Committee of the IEEE Computer Society (as IEEE Std 1062-2015) and drafted in accordance with its editorial rules. It was adopted, under the “fast-track procedure” defined in the Partner Standards Development Organization cooperation agreement between ISO and IEEE, by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 7, *Software and systems engineering*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

IEEE Recommended Practice for Software Acquisition

Sponsor

**Software & Systems Engineering Standards (C/S2ESC) Committee
of the
IEEE Computer Society**

Approved 5 December 2015

IEEE-SA Standards Board

Abstract: A set of useful quality considerations that can be selected and applied during one or more steps in a software acquisition process is described in this recommended practice. The recommended practices can be applied to software that runs on any computer system regardless of the size, complexity, or criticality of the software. The software supply chain may include integration of off-the-shelf (OTS), custom, or free and open source software (FOSS). Each organization or individual using this recommended practice will need to identify the specific quality and activities that need to be included within its acquisition process.

Keywords: acquirer, custom developed, end user, FOSS, IEEE 1062™, off-the-shelf, OTS, SaaS, software acquisition process, software as a service, supplier

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

Copyright © 2016 by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
All rights reserved. Published 26 February 2016. Printed in the United States of America.

IEEE is a registered trademark in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, owned by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated.

PDF: ISBN 978-1-5044-0085-5 STD20506
Print: ISBN 978-1-5044-0086-2 STDPD20506

IEEE prohibits discrimination, harassment, and bullying.

For more information, visit <http://www.ieee.org/web/aboutus/whatis/policies/p9-26.html>.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

iv
Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE documents are made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers, or a reference to this page, appear in all standards and may be found under the heading “Important Notice” or “Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents.”

Notice and Disclaimer of Liability Concerning the Use of IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE Standards documents (standards, recommended practices, and guides), both full-use and trial-use, are developed within IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (“IEEE-SA”) Standards Board. IEEE (“the Institute”) develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute (“ANSI”), which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and participate without compensation from IEEE. While IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards.

IEEE does not warrant or represent the accuracy or content of the material contained in its standards, and expressly disclaims all warranties (express, implied and statutory) not included in this or any other document relating to the standard, including, but not limited to, the warranties of: merchantability; fitness for a particular purpose; non-infringement; and quality, accuracy, effectiveness, currency, or completeness of material. In addition, IEEE disclaims any and all conditions relating to: results; and workmanlike effort. IEEE standards documents are supplied “AS IS” and “WITH ALL FAULTS.”

Use of an IEEE standard is wholly voluntary. The existence of an IEEE standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard.

In publishing and making its standards available, IEEE is not suggesting or rendering professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity nor is IEEE undertaking to perform any duty owed by any other person or entity to another. Any person utilizing any IEEE Standards document, should rely upon his or her own independent judgment in the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the appropriateness of a given IEEE standard.

IN NO EVENT SHALL IEEE BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE PUBLICATION, USE OF, OR RELIANCE UPON ANY STANDARD, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE AND REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGE WAS FORESEEABLE.

Translations

The IEEE consensus development process involves the review of documents in English only. In the event that an IEEE standard is translated, only the English version published by IEEE should be considered the approved IEEE standard.

Official statements

A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual shall not be considered or inferred to be the official position of IEEE or any of its committees and shall not be considered to be, or be relied upon as, a formal position of IEEE. At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position of IEEE.

Comments on standards

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards documents are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE. However, IEEE does not provide consulting information or advice pertaining to IEEE Standards documents. Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments. Since IEEE standards represent a consensus of concerned interests, it is important that any responses to comments and questions also receive the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to comments or questions except in those cases where the matter has previously been addressed. For the same reason, IEEE does not respond to interpretation requests. Any person who would like to participate in revisions to an IEEE standard is welcome to join the relevant IEEE working group.

Comments on standards should be submitted to the following address:

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board
445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway, NJ 08854 USA

Laws and regulations

Users of IEEE Standards documents should consult all applicable laws and regulations. Compliance with the provisions of any IEEE Standards document does not imply compliance to any applicable regulatory requirements. Implementers of the standard are responsible for observing or referring to the applicable regulatory requirements. IEEE does not, by the publication of its standards, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Copyrights

IEEE draft and approved standards are copyrighted by IEEE under U.S. and international copyright laws. They are made available by IEEE and are adopted for a wide variety of both public and private uses. These include both use, by reference, in laws and regulations, and use in private self-regulation, standardization, and the promotion of engineering practices and methods. By making these documents available for use and adoption by public authorities and private users, IEEE does not waive any rights in copyright to the documents.

Photocopies

Subject to payment of the appropriate fee, IEEE will grant users a limited, non-exclusive license to photocopy portions of any individual standard for company or organizational internal use or individual, non-commercial use only. To arrange for payment of licensing fees, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

Updating of IEEE Standards documents

Users of IEEE Standards documents should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of new editions or may be amended from time to time through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata. An official IEEE document at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document together with any amendments, corrigenda, or errata then in effect.

Every IEEE standard is subjected to review at least every ten years. When a document is more than ten years old and has not undergone a revision process, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE standard.

In order to determine whether a given document is the current edition and whether it has been amended through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata, visit the IEEE-SA Website at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/standards.jsp> or contact IEEE at the address listed previously. For more information about the IEEE-SA or IEEE's standards development process, visit the IEEE-SA Website at <http://standards.ieee.org>.

Errata

Errata, if any, for all IEEE standards can be accessed on the IEEE-SA Website at the following URL: <http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/errata/index.html>. Users are encouraged to check this URL for errata periodically.

Patents

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken by the IEEE with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. If a patent holder or patent applicant has filed a statement of assurance via an Accepted Letter of Assurance, then the statement is listed on the IEEE-SA Website at <http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/patents.html>. Letters of Assurance may indicate whether the Submitter is willing or unwilling to grant licenses under patent rights without compensation or under reasonable rates, with reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination to applicants desiring to obtain such licenses.

Essential Patent Claims may exist for which a Letter of Assurance has not been received. The IEEE is not responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patent Claims, or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility. Further information may be obtained from the IEEE Standards Association.

Participants

At the time this IEEE recommended practice was completed, the RP of the Software Acquisition Working Group had the following membership:

Marcus Hervey, *Chair*
Paul Bishop, *Vice Chair*
Philip Bernick, Secretary

Angela Anuszewski
 Taohong Chang
 Milton Concepcion
 Steve Cropper
 Suellen Eslinger
 Michael George
 Guy Goodwin
 Jay Griesser

Leslie Holloway
 Thomas Howard
 Kathleen Kaplan
 Ronald Kohl
 Dana Kusumo
 Mario Lozano
 Linda Martinez
 Winifred Menezes

Zvikomborero Murahwi
 Lori Naiberg-Olson
 Annette Reilly
 Alberto Sampaio
 Rob Schaaf
 Mike Smith
 Joan Williamson
 Sharon Young

The following members of the individual balloting committee voted on this recommended practice. Balloters may have voted for approval, disapproval, or abstention.

Johann Amsenga
 Bakul Banerjee
 Pieter Botman
 Susan Burgess
 Juan Carreon
 Sue Carroll
 Suresh Channarasappa
 Keith Chow
 S Claassen
 Raul Colcher
 Paul Croll
 Geoffrey Darnton
 Ronald Dean
 Sourav Dutta
 Andrew Fieldsend
 Eva Freund
 David Fuschi
 Gregg Giesler
 Randall Groves
 Louis Gullo
 John Harauz

Mark Henley
 Richard Hilliard
 Werner Hoelzl
 Bernard Homes
 Noriyuki Ikeuchi
 Atsushi Ito
 Mark Jaeger
 Cheryl Jones
 Adri Jovin
 Piotr Karocki
 Yuri Khersonsky
 Thomas Kurihara
 Dewitt Latimer
 Claire Lohr
 Edward McCall
 James W. Moore
 Michael Newman
 Olileanya Ogbonna
 Robert Peterson
 Annette Reilly
 Robert Robinson
 Randy Saunders

Bartien Sayogo
 Robert Schaaf
 Hans Schaefer
 Maud Schlich
 Carl Singer
 Michael Smith
 Kendall Southwick
 Luca Spotorno
 Thomas Starai
 Eugene Stoudenmire
 Walter Struppler
 Marcy Stutzman
 Michael Swearingen
 Thomas Tullia
 John Vergis
 David Walden
 Michael Waterman
 Stephen Webb
 Jian Yu
 Oren Yuen
 Daidi Zhong

When the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved this recommended practice on 5 December 2015, it had the following membership:

John D. Kulick, *Chair*
Jon Walter Rosdahl, *Vice Chair*
Richard H. Hulett, *Past Chair*
Konstantinos Karachalios, *Secretary*

Masayuki Ariyoshi
Ted Burse
Stephen Dukes
Jean-Philippe Faure
J. Travis Griffith
Gary Hoffman
Michael Janezic

Joseph L. Koepfinger*
David J. Law
Hung Ling
Andrew Myles
T. W. Olsen
Glenn Parsons
Ronald C. Petersen
Annette D. Reilly

Stephen J. Shellhammer
Adrian P. Stephens
Yatin Trivedi
Phillip Winston
Don Wright
Yu Yuan
Daidi Zhong

*Member Emeritus

Introduction

This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 1062-2015, IEEE Recommended Practice for Software Acquisition.
--

This introduction provides some background on the rationale used to develop this recommended practice. This information is meant to aid in the understanding and usage of this recommended practice.

This recommended practice describes the management and execution of software acquisition activities. It is intended for the following:

- Individuals or organizations that acquire software from suppliers
- Individuals or organizations that acquire software from a developer for resale to other individuals or organizations
- Individuals or organizations that influence how software is acquired from suppliers
- Suppliers interested in providing high-quality software to acquirers

This recommended practice is designed to help organizations and individuals

- Incorporate quality considerations during the definition, evaluation, selection, and acceptance of supplier software for operational use
- Determine how supplier software should be evaluated, tested, and accepted for delivery to end users

This recommended practice is intended to satisfy the following objectives:

- Promote consistency within organizations in acquiring third-party software from software suppliers
- Provide useful practices on including quality considerations during software acquisition planning
- Provide useful practices on evaluating and qualifying supplier capabilities to meet user software requirements
- Provide useful practices on evaluating and qualifying supplier software
- Assist individuals or organizations judging the quality of supplier software for referral to end users

Contents

1. Overview	11
1.1 Scope	11
1.2 Purpose	12
2. Normative references.....	12
3. Definitions and terms	13
3.1 Definitions	13
3.2 Use of should, may, and can.....	13
4. Software acquisition alternatives.....	13
4.1 Introduction	13
4.2 Custom-developed software	14
4.3 Off-the-shelf (OTS) software.....	14
4.4 Software as a service (SaaS).....	15
5. Software acquisition process	18
5.1 Purpose	18
5.2 Eight steps in acquiring quality software.....	19
5.3 Step 1: Planning the software acquisition strategy	22
5.4 Step 2: Defining the acquisition and software requirements	24
5.5 Step 3: Identifying potential suppliers	26
5.6 Step 4: Preparing contract requirements	28
5.7 Step 5: Evaluating proposals and selecting the supplier	30
5.8 Step 6: Managing for supplier performance	32
5.9 Step 7: Accepting the software.....	33
5.10 Step 8: Evaluating the process and identifying improvement opportunities.....	34
6. Quality assurance for software acquisition.....	36
6.1 Objectives of quality assurance in software acquisition	36
6.2 Implementing quality assurance in software acquisition	36
Annex A (informative) Checklists for quality software acquisition processes.....	38
A.1 Checklist 1: Organizational strategy.....	39
A.2 Checklist 2: Define the software.....	40
A.3 Checklist 3: Supplier selection evaluation	42
A.4 Checklist 4: Supplier and acquirer obligations	44
A.5 Checklist 5: Quality and maintenance plans.....	45
A.6 Checklist 6: User survey	46
A.7 Checklist 7: Supplier performance standards.....	49
A.8 Checklist 8: Contract payments	50
A.9 Checklist 9: Monitor supplier progress.....	51
A.10 Checklist 10: Software evaluation	52
A.11 Checklist 11: Software testing	55
A.12 Checklist 12: Software acceptance	56
Annex B (informative) Software safety assurance and software information security assurance	57
B.1 Software safety assurance	57
B.2 Software information security assurance	58
Annex C (informative) Rights in technical data and software usage.....	61

Annex D (informative) Acquisition plan guidelines.....	62
D.1 (AP Section 1) Introduction.....	62
D.2 (AP Section 2) References.....	63
D.3 (AP Section 3) Definitions.....	63
D.4 (AP Section 4) Software acquisition overview.....	63
D.5 (AP Section 5) Software acquisition process.....	64
D.6 (AP Section 6) Software acquisition reporting requirements.....	64
D.7 (AP Section 7) Software acquisition management requirements.....	64
D.8 (AP Section 8) Software acquisition documentation requirements.....	65
Annex E (informative) Bibliography.....	66

IEEE Recommended Practice for Software Acquisition

IMPORTANT NOTICE: IEEE Standards documents are not intended to ensure safety, security, health, or environmental protection, or ensure against interference with or from other devices or networks. Implementers of IEEE Standards documents are responsible for determining and complying with all appropriate safety, security, environmental, health, and interference protection practices and all applicable laws and regulations.

This IEEE document is made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers appear in all publications containing this document and may be found under the heading “Important Notice” or “Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Documents.” They can also be obtained on request from IEEE or viewed at <http://standards.ieee.org/IPR/disclaimers.html>.

1. Overview

This recommended practice is divided into six clauses. Clause 1 provides the scope of this recommended practice. Clause 2 lists references to other standards that are essential in applying this recommended practice. Clause 3 provides definitions that are either not found in other standards, or have been modified for use with this recommended practice. Clause 4 describes the options for acquiring software. Clause 5 describes the eight steps in a software acquisition process and the related quality practices that apply to acquiring software. Clause 6 describes how quality assurance can be applied to software acquisition.

This recommended practice also contains five annexes. Annex A provides a set of checklists that individuals or organizations may elect to adapt to their specific needs, Annex B provides software acquisition guidelines with respect to Software Safety Assurance and Software Information Security Assurance, Annex C provides guidelines with respect to rights in technical data software usage, Annex D provides acquisition plan guidelines, and Annex E is the bibliography.

1.1 Scope

This recommended practice describes a set of useful quality considerations that can be selected and applied during one or more steps in a software acquisition process. The recommended practices can be applied to software that runs on any computer system regardless of the size, complexity, or criticality of the software. The software supply chain may include integration of commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS), custom, or free and open source software (FOSS). Each organization or individual using this recommended practice will need to identify the specific quality and activities that need to be included within the organization’s acquisition process. Security will be included as a quality attribute considered during the acquisition.

1.2 Purpose

This recommended practice is designed to help organizations and individuals incorporate quality, including security considerations during the definition, evaluation, selection, and acceptance of supplier software for operational use. It will also help determine how supplier software should be evaluated, tested, and accepted for delivery to end users. This recommended practice is intended to satisfy the following objectives:

- Promote consistency within organizations in acquiring software from software suppliers.
- Provide useful practices on including quality, security, safety and data rights considerations during acquisition planning.
- Provide useful practices on evaluating and qualifying supplier capabilities to meet user requirements.
- Provide useful practices on evaluating and qualifying supplier software.
- Assist individuals or organizations judging the quality of supplier software for referral to end users.
- Assist suppliers in understanding how the software will be evaluated, tested, and accepted for delivery to end users.

Success in acquiring high-quality software products and services from software suppliers can be achieved by doing the following things:

- a) Identifying quality characteristics necessary to achieve the acquirer's objectives
- b) Selecting suppliers most capable of meeting the acquisition objectives
- c) Including quality considerations in the planning, evaluation, and acceptance activities
- d) Developing an organizational strategy for acquiring software
- e) Establishing a software acquisition process using the eight steps stated in 5.2 as a starting point
- f) Putting the defined process into practice

2. Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document (i.e., they must be understood and used, so each referenced document is cited in text and its relationship to this document is explained). For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments or corrigenda) applies.

IEEE Std 1228™, IEEE Standard for Software Safety Plans.^{1, 2}

ISO/IEC/IEEE Std 12207, Systems and software engineering—Software life cycle processes.

ISO/IEC/IEEE Std 15288, Systems and software engineering—System life cycle processes.

ISO/IEC/IEEE Std 15289, Content of life-cycle information products.

ISO/IEC/IEEE Std 24765, Systems and Software Engineering—Vocabulary.

¹IEEE publications are available from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., 445 Hoes Lane, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA (<http://standards.ieee.org/>).

²The IEEE standards or products referred to in this clause are trademarks of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.

3. Definitions and terms

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply. The *International Standard ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765: Systems and Software Engineering—Vocabulary* should be referenced for terms not defined in this clause.³

3.1 Definitions

acquisition: Process of obtaining a system, product, or service.

commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS): A product available for purchase and use without the need to conduct development activities [ISO/IEC/IEEE 15289:2011].

modified-off-the-shelf (MOTS): Software product that is already developed and available, usable either “as is” or with modification, and provided by the supplier, acquirer, or a third party.

off-the-shelf (OTS): Product or system already developed and available [ISO/IEC/IEEE 15289:2011].

software-intensive system: A system for which software is a major technical challenge and is perhaps the major factor that affects system schedule, cost, and risk [IEEE Std 1362-1998 (Reaff 2007)] and [ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765:2010].

NOTE—In the most general case, a software-intensive system is comprised of hardware, software, people, and manual procedures.⁴

3.2 Use of should, may, and can

In this document, the word *should* is used to indicate a recommendation. The word *may* is used to indicate a permissible action. The word *can* is used for statements of possibility and capability.

4. Software acquisition alternatives

4.1 Introduction

Software can be acquired in three different ways or a combination of the three. It is acknowledged that any combination of these three alternatives will make the overall software project more complex and likely to be different from each other. This document does not attempt to address the combinations of these three basic software acquisition options. The software acquisition alternatives include contracting custom-developed software, obtaining the right to use off-the-shelf (OTS) software and renting software as a service (SaaS). The advantages and disadvantages of each option are summarized in Table 1.

³The *International Standard ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765: Systems and Software Engineering—Vocabulary* is available at www.computer.org/sevocab.

⁴Notes in text, tables, and figures are given for information only and do not contain requirements needed to implement the standard.

4.2 Custom-developed software

Reaching an agreement, often a formal contract for the custom development is an acquisition approach where the solution to an acquirer's need is provided via hiring a supplier (outsourcing) or using an internal team to custom develop a software solution that is built to a specification or set of requirements. Following software development standards and known methods, the acquirer oversees the development, test activities, and products performed by the supplier. At acceptance, the acquirer takes ownership of the solution and possibly some of the supporting tools/methods in order to support ops/maintenance of the solution. This option includes software built from scratch and/or integrated from other existing components (e.g., reuse, modified FOSS).

4.2.1 Advantages of custom-developed software

The advantages of custom-developed software are as follows:

- The solution should match the need exactly, no more or no less.
- The acquirer can require that flexibility and maintainability be supported by the solution and by the supplier of the solution.
- The acquirer can monitor and influence the course of development/test in case changes are deemed appropriate.
- The acquirer can control and influence the related topics to the executable software solution (e.g., size, performance, information protection, authorization security, capacity) and the software development environment (language, tools, etc.).
- If a problem is reported, the acquirer can determine if the problem legitimately violates the specifications and if it does, the supplier should be responsible for fixing that problem, typically at no additional cost to the acquirer.
- Changes after acceptance are typically still under control of the acquirer via an ops/maintenance agreement with a possibly different ops/maintenance supplier.

4.2.2 Disadvantages of custom-developed software

The disadvantages of custom-developed software are as follows:

- Typically costs more than the other options.
- Typically takes more time (sometimes much more) than the other options.

4.3 Off-the-shelf (OTS) software

This is an acquisition approach where the solution to an acquirer's need is provided via purchasing and taking possession of an already built solution from a software supplier. The acquirer agrees to the seller's license agreement to use the "executable" version of the solution but typically does not have access to the source code or other information about the solution or the processes used to produce that product. In addition, the acquirer typically takes possession of the software solution and installs it on assets owned by the acquirer. In addition, the solution is typically acquired in "as is" condition, unless the supplier offers built-in tailoring of the product (and this more common than not). This option includes commercial off-the-shelf (COTS), free and open source software (FOSS) products acquired in "as is" condition, and other non-developmental items (NDI).

4.3.1 Advantages of OTS

The advantages of OTS are as follows:

- Cost is less than the custom-developed option but similar to the SaaS option.
- Time to initial operations is less than the custom-developed option and can be greater than the SaaS option.
- Since the acquirer takes possession of the solution, the control over data and access is greater than the SaaS option and about the same as the custom-developed option.

4.3.2 Disadvantages of OTS

The disadvantages of OTS are as follows:

- The terms of the generally non-negotiable license agreement constrain how the solution may be used by the acquirer, possibly to the acquirer's detriment. The solution typically includes features that attempt to enforce specific agreement terms, adding cost and complexity to the solution.
- The solution may not satisfy all of the acquirer's needs (sometimes called gaps) and it may also provide features/capabilities that are not required, not desired or even tolerable to the acquirer. The acquirer may need additional solutions, or modifications to the acquired solution, to fill these gaps. In addition, the acquirer may also need to identify and deactivate or prevent the extraneous code from activating itself.
- Sometimes, the User Interface to the solution is different from the way an acquirer is currently offering a User Interface to their current users. This can sometimes/often be solved via product tailoring.
- Typically, there will be a need to obtain support from the supplier for installation, activation, and maintenance.
- Problem reporting can be an issue, depending on the supplier's capability to accept and react to problem reports. In addition, the supplier may not even agree that because an acquirer alleges a problem exists that a legitimate problem actually does exist.
- Product changes, both fixes and upgrades, are controlled mostly by the supplier and determined by marketplace needs. Such changes may not be consistent with the acquirer's ongoing needs or timeframe.
- It is possible for the vendor to go out of business resulting in a possible loss of data.

4.4 Software as a service (SaaS)

Software as a service (SaaS) is an acquisition approach where the solution to an acquirer's need is provided via an agreement with a supplier for access to a software product for a fixed amount of time and to use that software product remotely, via connection (wired, wireless, etc.) to the supplier's assets. Inputs to the remote software product are transmitted to the supplier and outcomes/results/products from using the software product are transmitted back to the acquirer. This acquisition option is part of the Cloud Computing approach (NIST Special Publication 800-145 [B12]) to software (aka renting access to products, services, infrastructure, etc.) and is frequently referred to as software as a service (SaaS).

4.4.1 Advantages of SaaS

The advantages of SaaS are as follows:

- Rapid access to products and services via Service Level Agreement (SLA) and ready to use solutions, more so than custom-developed or OTS.
- No need to provide internal computing assets to support “building or buying” the equivalent products or services, thus reducing costs and time in prep for using solution, as compared to both custom-developed and OTS.
- Typically is cheaper than custom-developed option and can be cheaper than the OTS option.
- No need to take permanent ownership of the software solution, thus reducing impacts associated with such ownership (e.g., sustainment, hardware to host solution), as in the custom-developed and OTS options.

4.4.2 Disadvantages of SaaS

The disadvantages of SaaS are as follows:

- The terms of the generally non-negotiable license agreement constrain how the solution may be used by the acquirer, possibly to the acquirer’s detriment. The solution typically includes features that attempt to enforce specific agreement terms, adding cost and complexity to the solution.
- The solution may not satisfy all of the acquirer’s needs (sometimes called gaps) and it may also provide features/capabilities that are not required, not desired or even tolerable to the acquirer. The acquirer may need additional solutions, or modifications to the acquired solution, to fill these gaps. In addition, the acquirer may also need to identify and deactivate or prevent the extraneous code from activating itself.
- The location of where the data is stored is not controlled by the acquirer; therefore the data (personal data, sensitive data, etc.) could be subject to the rules and regulations of the locality of the supplier.
- In the event the supplier is no longer a case of e.g., bankruptcy of supplier, potentially the acquirer’s data could be lost.
- The user interface to the solution may be different from the way an acquirer is currently offering a user interface to their current users. This can sometimes/often be solved via product tailoring.
- Protection of data, being transmitted in both directions due to dependency on supplier’s capabilities. This may require internal protections or legal agreements if this protection should ever fail or be compromised.
- Problem reporting and problem correction of a solution, if it does not work as desired: Supplier may not agree with nature of the problem or may not be able to fix in a timely manner. Similar to OTS and more problematic than custom-developed.
- Assured access to solution due to dependency on external assets. Again, backup/alternative paths to supplier’s assets may be required or legal recourse in case of loss of access. This is typically a bigger risk than for custom-developed or OTS options.
- Recovery from loss of access to or other failures of the solution may be dependent on the supplier’s abilities and sometimes out of the control of the acquirer.
- Product changes, both fixes and upgrades, are controlled mostly by the supplier and determined by marketplace needs. Such changes may not be consistent with the acquirer’s ongoing needs or timeframe.
- It is possible for the vendor to go out of business resulting in a possible loss of data.

Table 1—Software acquisition options

	Advantages	Disadvantages
Custom-developed software	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The solution should match the need exactly, no more or no less. 2. The acquirer can require that flexibility and maintainability be supported by the solution and by the supplier of the solution. 3. The acquirer can monitor and influence the course of development and test in case changes are needed. 4. The acquirer can control and influence the related topics to the executable software solution (e.g., size, performance, information protection, authorization security, capacity) and the software development environment (language, tools, etc.). 5. If a problem is reported, the acquirer can determine if the problem legitimately violates the specifications and if it does, the supplier should be responsible for fixing that problem, typically at no additional cost to the acquirer. 6. Changes after acceptance are typically still under control of the acquirer via an operations/maintenance agreement with a possibly different operations/maintenance supplier. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Typically costs more than the other options. 2. Typically takes more time than the other options.
Off-the-shelf (OTS) software	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cost is less than custom-developed option but similar to the SaaS option. 2. Time to initial operations is less than the custom-developed option but greater than the SaaS option. 3. Since the acquirer takes possession of the solution, the control over data and access is greater than the SaaS option and about the same as the custom-developed option. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The terms of the generally non-negotiable license agreement constrain how the solution may be used by the acquirer, possibly to the acquirer's detriment. The solution typically includes features that attempt to enforce specific agreement terms, adding cost and complexity to the solution. 2. The solution may not satisfy all of the acquirer's needs (sometimes called "gaps") and it may also provide features/capabilities that are not required, not desired or even tolerable to the acquirer. The acquirer may need additional solutions, or modifications to the acquired solution, to fill these gaps. In addition, the acquirer may need to identify and deactivate or prevent the extraneous code from activating itself. 3. Sometimes the user interface to the solution is different from the way an acquirer is currently offering a user interface to their current users. This can sometimes/often be solved via product tailoring. 4. Typically, there will be a need to obtain support from the supplier for installation, activation and maintenance. 5. Problem reporting can be an issue, depending on the supplier's capability to accept and react to problem reports. In addition, the supplier may not even agree that because an acquirer alleges a problem exists that a legitimate problem actually does exist. 6. Product changes, both fixes and upgrades, are controlled mostly by the supplier and determined by marketplace needs. Such changes may not be consistent with the acquirer's ongoing needs or timeframe. 7. It is possible for the vendor to go out of business resulting in a possible loss of data.