

THE ENGINEERING EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS USERS ASSOCIATION

This publication has been made available for release by IHS

NOT FOR RESALE

Any organisation that is a substantial user or substantial purchaser of engineering products may apply to become a member of EEMUA.

THE ENGINEERING EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS USERS ASSOCIATION

Guide for the design, construction and use of mounded horizontal cylindrical vessels for pressurised storage of LPG at ambient temperature

PUBLICATION 190

Edition 1

(Reprinted 2017 incorporating all amendments and errata to date since original publication)

Copyright © 2017 The Engineering Equipment and Materials Users Association.
A company limited by guarantee. Registered in England. Company number 477838.

ISBN 978 0 85931 220 2

Imprint reference 08-2017
Imprint reference 05-2015
Imprint reference 11-2005
First published 01-2000

16, Black Friars Lane,
London
EC4V 6EB
www.eemua.org
Tel: +44 (0) 20 74 88 08 01
E-mail: sales@eemua.org
Website: www.eemua.org

ENGINEERING EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS USERS ASSOCIATION

About EEMUA

The Engineering Equipment and Materials Users Association is usually known by its initials, EEMUA. EEMUA is an Association established by the owners and operators of industrial assets. Any organisation that is a substantial user or substantial purchaser of engineering products may apply to become a member of EEMUA. Membership is subject to the approval of Council.

EEMUA aims

EEMUA aims to improve the safety, environmental and operating performance of industrial facilities in the most cost-effective way, pursuing and promoting leadership in industrial asset management by sharing engineering experiences and expertise, and by the active, enlightened promotion of the distinct interests of users of engineering products. These aims are achieved by various means, including:

- Providing the organisation within which networking, information sharing and collaboration among users on non-competitive technical matters can take place
- Influencing the way written regulations are interpreted and applied in practice
- Promoting and presenting members' views, and encouraging the application of good sound engineering practices
- Developing user guides, specifications, training and competency schemes
- Facilitating members' participation in national and international standards making
- Influencing relevant national and European legislation and regulation.

The income and resources of EEMUA are applied solely towards the promotion of the aims of the Association. No profit is transferred by way of dividend, bonus or otherwise to members. The work of the Association is mainly carried out by members' representatives on EEMUA Technical Committees, Working Groups, and Forums, supported by EEMUA staff.

Incorporation, membership and other information

For the purposes of conducting its business, EEMUA is incorporated in England and Wales as a company, limited by guarantee, not having share capital. All usual aspects of company management and business administration are dealt with through the EEMUA Chief Executive, including policy implementation and leadership of the Executive team (the staff).

A list of EEMUA publications for sale is given at the end of this Publication. The full list is also on the Association's website, including details of on-line shopping facilities.

To enquire about corporate Membership, write to ask@eemua.org or call +44 (0)20 7488 0801.

ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION

Legal aspects

All rights, title and interest in this Publication belong to EEMUA. All rights are reserved. No part of this Publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means: electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or other, without the express prior written agreement of the EEMUA Chief Executive. Infringement of copyright is illegal and also reduces the Association's income thereby jeopardising its ability to fund the production of future publications.

It has been assumed in the preparation of this Publication that: the user ensures selection of those parts of its contents appropriate to the intended application; and that such selection and application is correctly carried out by appropriately qualified and competent persons for whose guidance this Publication has been prepared. EEMUA and the individual members of the Work Group that prepared this EEMUA Publication do not, and indeed cannot, make any representation or give any warranty or guarantee in connection with material contained herein. EEMUA and the individual members of the Work Group that prepared this EEMUA Publication expressly disclaim any liability or responsibility for damage or loss resulting from the use or reliance on this Publication. Any recommendations contained herein are based on the most authoritative information available at the time of writing and on current good engineering practice, but it is essential for the user to take account of pertinent subsequent developments and/or legislation.

Any person who encounters an inaccuracy or ambiguity when making use of this Publication is asked to notify EEMUA without delay so that the matter may be investigated and appropriate action taken.

Consultation and feedback

EEMUA encourages constructive comments on this Publication from both Members of the Association and others.

Comments should be sent on the standard feedback form, a copy of which is provided towards the end of this Publication. An electronic version of the feedback form is available from EEMUA - e-mail your request for a copy to ask@eemua.org, telephone your request to +44 (0)20 7488 0801, or download the form from the EEMUA website at www.eemua.org. Submit comments by e-mail (preferred) or post.

Comments are considered by the relevant EEMUA Technical Committees and may be incorporated in future editions of this Publication. New editions are publicised on the EEMUA website.

THE ENGINEERING EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS USERS ASSOCIATION

**GUIDE FOR THE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND USE OF MOUNDED HORIZONTAL
CYLINDRICAL VESSELS FOR PRESSURISED STORAGE OF LPG
AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURES****PUBLICATION No 190 : 2000****AMENDMENTS No 2, AUGUST 2017**

(incorporating Amendments No 1, November 2004)

The amendments below are issued under the authority of the EEMUA Storage Tanks Technical Committee which has responsibility for the maintenance of this publication. They have been carried through into the digital edition and are provided here for reference for those readers who have a paper copy.

2/1: §4.1.1 Underneath the bullet point “For hemispherical domed ends”, *add* the following text and table:

The following dimensions of straight flanges to hemispherical domed ends would normally be provided by manufacturers of such structures.

Table 1 Length of straight flange on domed ends

Outside diameter of vessel (D_o)	Length of straight flange
$3500 \text{ mm} < D_o \leq 4500 \text{ mm}$	30 mm
$4500 \text{ mm} < D_o \leq 6000 \text{ mm}$	40 mm
$6000 \text{ mm} < D_o \leq 8000 \text{ mm}$	50 mm

where: D_o = external diameter of vessel

2/2: §4.2 In the first and last bullet points, reference is incorrectly made to ‘Table D.1’ of PD 5500. The correct references are to ‘Table D.2’.

2/3: §4.3.4.2 *Amend* the first paragraph to read:

Unless environmental considerations, e.g. the presence of wet H_2S , require otherwise, the vessel shall be post-weld heat treated in accordance with the requirements of PD 5500 Table 4.4-1, and by PD 5500 Annex D where applicable. Recommended grades of steel are given in Appendix D.

2/4: §7.2 *Insert* as appropriate:

EN 10028-3	Specification for Flat Products Made of Steels for Pressure Purposes—Part 3: Weldable Fine Grain Steels, Normalised
EN 10222-4	Steel Forgings for Pressure Purposes—Part 4: Weldable Fine-grain Steels with High Proof Strength

2/5: §7.2, last line *Delete* the asterisk after ‘PD 5500’.

2/6: §7.3 *Insert* after entry for ISO 8503-1:

ISO 9329-3	Seamless Steel Tubes for Pressure Purposes—Technical Delivery Conditions—Part 3: Unalloyed and Alloyed Steels with Specified Low Temperature Properties
------------	---

2/7: §A.2.2 Delete the following sentences:

The table is valid for propane vessels. For butane vessels the figures need to be increased by a further 10%.

2/8: §A.2.2 Replace the present table by the following:**Table 2 Stress increase percentages for domed ends**

product	diameter D_o	hemispherical heads	torispherical heads	
			with stiffener next to dome	without stiffener next to dome
propane	≤ 3600 mm	11	4	6
	$3600 \text{ mm} < D_o \leq 4500$ mm	11.6	4.3	6.3
	$4500 \text{ mm} < D_o \leq 6000$ mm	12.3	4.9	6.9
	$6000 \text{ mm} < D_o \leq 7200$ mm	15.2	5.7	7.7
	> 7200 mm	16	6	8
butane	≤ 3600 mm	12.1	4.4	6.6
	$3600 \text{ mm} < D_o \leq 4500$ mm	12.8	4.7	6.9
	$4500 \text{ mm} < D_o \leq 6000$ mm	14.6	5.4	7.6
	$6000 \text{ mm} < D_o \leq 7200$ mm	16.7	6.2	8.4
	> 7200 mm	17.6	6.6	8.8

2/9: §A.2.2 Delete the existing sentence underneath the present table which reads:

For intermediate values of vessel diameter, interpolation should be used to calculate stress increases.

2/10: §A.4.2.5.2 Replace the text ‘For a slope of 30°’ by:

For a slope of 2 : 3 (see also figure in B.2 Mound):

2/11: §A.4.9 Add a new section “A.4.9 Allowable stresses” underneath section “A.4.8 Secondary Bending Stresses” and add the following text and table underneath:

Allowable stresses would normally be predicted by the appropriate design code that is used for the verification of the actual stresses which are detailed in Sections A.4.10 and further. The following allowable stresses would normally be applied:

Table 3 Load combinations and allowable stresses

Description	PD 5500	EN 13445
Normal operations f_1	$f_1 = \text{MIN} \left[\left(\frac{Re}{1.5} \right); \left(\frac{Rm}{2.35} \right) \right]$	$f_1 = \text{MIN} \left[\left(\frac{Re}{1.5} \right); \left(\frac{Rm}{2.4} \right) \right]$
Hydrostatic pressure without earth cover f_2	$f_2 = 0.9 \cdot Re$	$f_2 = 0.9 \cdot Re$
Hydrostatic pressure with earth cover f_3	$f_3 = 0.9 \cdot Re$	$f_3 = 0.9 \cdot Re$
Earthquake f_4	$f_4 = 1.2 \cdot f_1$	$f_4 = 1.2 \cdot f_1$
Explosion (blast load) f_5	$f_5 = 0.9 \cdot Re$	$f_5 = 0.9 \cdot Re$
Allowable stresses in fillet welds between web of stiffeners and shell plate and between web and flange of stiffeners f_6	$f_6 = 0.7 \cdot f_1$	$f_6 = 0.7 \cdot f_1$

2/12: §A.4.9 Renumber section “A.4.9 Summary of Stresses” and all its subsections A.4.9.X to “A.4.10 Summary of Stresses” and A.4.10.X.

2/13: §A.4.10 *Renumber* section “A.4.10 Stability of Stiffening Rings, Eurocode 3” and all its subsections A.4.10.X to “A.4.11 Stability of Stiffening Rings, Eurocode 3” and A.4.11.X.

2/14: Appendix D *Replace* in its entirety by the attached page.

EEMUA
August 2017
PUB 190 AMD 2

APPENDIX D

RECOMMENDED STEEL GRADES

Note.— Materials not specified in this table may be used provided they have guaranteed minimum Charpy impact values at the required test temperature and meet the requirements of PD 5500 Table D.2.

PRODUCT ¹	THICKNESS (mm)	PLATE		PIPE MATERIAL ³	FORGINGS ³
		REQ'D IMPACT TEST TEMP (°C) ²	MATERIAL		
BUTANE	25 to < 35	-40	EN 10028-3 P355NL1	ISO 9329-3 Gr PL23 ASTM A 333 Gr 6	EN 10222-4 P355 QH
	All thicknesses	-20	EN 10028-3 P355N ASTM A 516 Gr 60, S5	ISO 9329-3 Gr PL23 ASTM A 333 Gr 6	EN 10222-4 P355 NH ASTM A 350 LF2
PROPANE	< 18	-40	EN 10028-3 P355NL1	ISO 9329-3 Gr PL23 ASTM A 333 Gr 6 ⁴	EN 10222-4 P355 QH
	< 30	-20	EN 10028-3 P355N ASTM A 516 Gr 60, S5	ISO 9329-3 Gr PL23 ASTM A 333 Gr 6	EN 10222-4 P355 NH ASTM A 350 LF2
	All thicknesses	-40	EN 10028-3 P355NL1	ISO 9329-3 Gr PL23 ASTM A 333 Gr 6 ⁵	EN 10222-4 P355 QH

Superscripted Notes

- 1 For gas mixtures it will be necessary to conduct a full appraisal using PD 5500.
- 2 Charpy impact test temperatures are derived from EN 10028-3.
- 3 Required impact test temperature for pipe material and forgings is -50°C.
- 4 T < 12 mm.
- 5 T < 40 mm.

CONTENTS

	Page
FOREWORD	1
1 INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE	2
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	2
1.2 SCOPE.....	2
1.3 DEFINITIONS.....	2
1.4 GENERAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PURCHASER.....	3
1.5 PROJECT PLANNING	3
2 TYPES OF STORAGE VESSEL	4
2.1 SELECTION OF STORAGE VESSEL TYPE	4
2.2 MOUNDED STORAGE	4
3 FOUNDATION AND EARTH MOUND	6
3.1 INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR.....	6
3.2 SOIL INVESTIGATION.....	6
3.2.1 Fieldwork	6
3.2.2 Laboratory Work	7
3.2.2.1 Classification Tests	8
3.2.2.2 Other Tests	8
3.2.3 Reporting	8
3.3 TYPES OF FOUNDATION	9
3.3.1 Soil Bearing Foundations.....	9
3.3.2 Sandbed on Piled Concrete Slab	10
3.3.3 Vessels on Saddles.....	10
3.4 SETTLEMENT OF SOIL BEARING FOUNDATIONS	10
3.4.1 Immediate Settlements	10
3.4.2 Long-term Settlements.....	10
3.4.3 Total and Differential Settlements	10
3.5 SETTLEMENT MONITORING.....	11
3.5.1 Settlement Monitoring During Preloading	11
3.5.2 Settlement Monitoring During Operation	11
3.6 FOUNDATION DESIGN	12
3.6.1 General.....	12
3.6.2 Operational Phase of Vessel.....	12
3.6.3 Construction Phase of Vessel	12
3.7 MOUND DESIGN	13
3.7.1 Geometry	13
3.7.2 External Loads.....	13
3.7.3 Erosion Protection.....	13
3.8 FOUNDATION AND MOUND MATERIAL	14
3.9 CONSTRUCTION OF FOUNDATION AND MOUND.....	14
3.9.1 Foundation.....	14
3.9.2 Mound.....	15
4 VESSEL	16
4.1 DESIGN	16
4.1.1 General.....	16
4.1.2 Design Conditions.....	18
4.1.3 Design Loads.....	18
4.1.3.1 Dead Weight of the Vessel	18
4.1.3.2 Weight of Liquid in the Vessel.....	18
4.1.3.3 Internal Design Pressure.....	18
4.1.3.4 Negative Internal Pressure	20

4.1.3.5	Loads due to the Mound	20
4.1.3.6	Loads due to Uneven Support by the Foundation	20
4.1.3.7	Loads due to Temperature and Internal Pressure Variations	21
4.1.3.8	Loads due to Earthquake	21
4.1.3.9	Loads due to an External Explosion	21
4.1.4	Load Combinations	22
4.2	MATERIALS	22
4.3	CONSTRUCTION	23
4.3.1	Tolerances	23
4.3.2	Stiffeners	23
4.3.3	Welding	23
4.3.4	Heat Treatment	23
4.3.4.1	Pre-heat Treatment	23
4.3.4.2	Post-Weld Heat Treatment	23
4.4	FITTINGS FOR OPERATIONAL PURPOSES	24
4.4.1	General	24
4.4.2	Fittings for Product Inlet and Outlet	24
4.4.3	Piping	25
4.4.4	Drainage Facilities	25
4.4.5	Manholes	25
4.4.6	Sleeves Around Nozzles and Manholes	25
4.4.7	Instrumentation	26
4.4.7.1	General	26
4.4.7.2	Level Measuring Instruments	26
4.4.7.3	Level Alarms and Ullage Gauges	26
4.4.7.4	Pressure Indicators and Alarms	26
4.4.7.5	Vacuum Relief Valves	26
4.4.7.6	Temperature Measuring Devices and Indicators	26
4.4.7.7	Gas Detectors and Explosimeters	27
4.5	CORROSION PROTECTION	27
4.5.1	General	27
4.5.2	Coating	27
4.5.2.1	External Coating	27
4.5.2.2	Glass Fibre Reinforced Bitumen Coating System	28
4.5.2.3	Epoxy or Urethane Coating System	28
4.5.2.4	Coating Application	28
4.5.2.5	Coating Prequalification	29
4.5.2.6	Internal Coating	29
4.5.3	Cathodic Protection	29
4.5.3.1	General	29
4.5.3.2	Protection Criteria	30
4.5.3.3	Design	30
4.5.3.3.1	<i>Data to be Provided by Purchaser</i>	30
4.5.3.3.2	<i>Soil Resistivity Measurements</i>	30
4.5.3.3.3	<i>Electrical Separation</i>	31
4.5.3.3.4	<i>Choice of Cathodic Protection System</i>	31
4.5.3.3.5	<i>Transformer-Rectifiers</i>	31
4.5.3.3.6	<i>Automatic Potential Control</i>	32
4.5.3.3.7	<i>Groundbeds</i>	32
4.5.3.3.8	<i>Monitoring Facilities</i>	33
4.5.3.3.9	<i>Cables and Distribution Boxes</i>	33
4.5.3.3.10	<i>Design Documents</i>	34
4.5.3.4	Installation	34
4.5.3.5	Commissioning	34
4.5.3.6	Operation and Maintenance	35
4.5.3.7	Special Design Considerations	35
4.6	LIGHTING PROTECTION	36

5	VESSEL: INSPECTION, TESTING AND CERTIFICATION	37
5.1	INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS, PROCEDURES AND STANDARDS	37
5.1.1	Procedures and Standards	37
5.1.2	Inspection Prior to Vessel Assembly	37
5.1.3	Inspection During Vessel Assembly	37
5.1.4	Inspection After Vessel Assembly	37
5.2	HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE TEST	37
5.3	MANUFACTURING REPORT	38
5.4	MARKING AND CERTIFICATION	38
6	GUIDELINES FOR IN-SERVICE INSPECTION	39
6.1	INSPECTION DURING OPERATION	39
6.1.1	Vessel	39
6.1.2	Vessel Settlement	39
6.1.3	Mound	39
6.2	TECHNICAL REVIEW	39
6.3	RECOMMENDED MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TECHNICAL INTEGRITY REVIEW	40
6.3.1	Recommended Actions Prior to Inspection	40
6.3.2	Recommended Actions Post-inspection	40
6.4	TYPICAL FAILURE MODES	41
6.5	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PLANING OF INSPECTION	41
7	REFERENCES	43
7.1	AMERICAN STANDARDS	43
7.2	BRITISH STANDARDS (including transposed ENs)	43
7.3	INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS	44
7.4	MISCELLANEOUS CODES AND STANDARDS	44
7.5	RECOMMENDED FURTHER READING	44
8	GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS	46
	APPENDIX A: STRESS ANALYSIS OF MOUNDED STORAGE VESSELS	47
A.1	INTRODUCTION	47
A.2	CIRCUMFERENTIAL BENDING	47
A.2.1	Unstiffened Cylinders	47
A.2.2	Stiffened Cylinders	47
A.3	NORMAL FORCES AND SHEAR FORCES	48
A.4	CALCULATION METHOD FOR STIFFENED CYLINDERS	48
A.4.1	Nomenclature	48
A.4.2	Loads	49
A.4.2.1	Dead Weight (Load 1)	49
A.4.2.2	Weight of Liquid Fill (Load 2)	50
A.4.2.3	Internal Design Pressure (Load 3)	50
A.4.2.4	Negative Internal Pressure (Load 4)	50
A.4.2.5	Pressure Due to Mound (Load 5)	51
A.4.2.5.1	Pressure of Mound on Cylinder	51
A.4.2.5.2	Pressure by Mound on Domed Ends	51
A.4.2.6	Load due to Uneven Support of the Vessel (Load 6)	52
A.4.2.7	Axial Loads due to Changes in Vessel Length (Load 7)	53
A.4.2.8	Seismic Loads (Load 8)	53
A.4.2.9	External Pressure Caused by Explosion of Gas Clouds (Load 9)	54
A.4.2.10	Supporting Pressure by the Foundation (Load 10)	54
A.4.3	Selection of Shell Plate Thickness	55
A.4.4	Bending Moments, Normal Forces and Shear Forces in Stiffening Rings	55
A.4.4.1	General	55
A.4.4.2	Bending Moments for 120° Support Angle	56
A.4.4.3	Normal Forces for 120° Support Angle	56

A.4.4.4	Shear Forces for 120° Support Angle	57
A.4.5	Bending Moments and Forces for 90° Support angle	58
A.4.6	Bending Moments and Forces for 60° Support angle	58
A.4.7	Dimensions of Stiffening Rings.....	58
A.4.8	Secondary Bending Stresses	59
A.4.9	Allowable stresses	59
A.4.10	Summary of Stresses.....	60
A.4.10.1	Stresses in the Shell Plates.....	60
A.4.10.1.1	<i>Circumferential Stresses in the Shell Plates</i>	60
A.4.10.1.2	<i>Longitudinal Stresses in the Shell Plates</i>	60
A.4.10.1.3	<i>Shear Stresses in the Shell Plates</i>	60
A.4.10.1.4	<i>Stress Intensities in the Shell Plates</i>	61
A.4.10.2	Stresses in the Domed Ends	61
A.4.10.3	Stresses in the Stiffening Rings	62
A.4.10.4	Stresses in the Welds between Stiffening Rings and Shell Plates	62
A.4.10.5	Stability of Stiffening Rings.....	63
A.4.11	Stability of Stiffening Rings, Eurocode 3.....	65
A.4.11.1	General.....	65
A.4.11.2	Local Instability	65
A.4.11.3	In-plane Buckling	65
A.4.11.4	Shear Buckling of the Web	65
A.4.11.5	Flange Induced Buckling.....	66
A.4.11.6	Interaction between Buckling Modes	68
A.5	STRESS AND STABILITY CRITERIA	69
A.5.1	General.....	69
A.5.2	Shell Plates	70
A.5.3	Domed Ends	70
A.5.4	Stiffening Rings.....	71
A.6	DEFORMATION OF STIFFENING RINGS.....	71
APPENDIX B: TYPICAL DESIGN FEATURES FOR VESSEL, FOUNDATION AND CONNECTIONS		73
B.1	FOUNDATION MODES.....	73
B.2	MOUND.....	75
B.3	VESSEL CONNECTIONS (CONCEPTUAL).....	77
B.4	BOTTOM OUTLET WITH INSPECTION TUNNEL (CONCEPTUAL).....	78
APPENDIX C: DISTRIBUTION OF SOIL REACTION (SUPPORTING LOAD)		79
APPENDIX D: RECOMMENDED STEEL GRADES		80
APPENDIX E: PROJECT PLANNING		81
[APPENDIX F DELETED]		
APPENDIX G: NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING REQUIREMENTS		83
APPENDIX H: MATERIAL HARDNESS TESTING		85
APPENDIX I: SELECTION OF STORAGE SYSTEM.....		87
EEMUA Publication: Feedback Form		
EEMUA can offer		
EEMUA publications catalogue		

FOREWORD

GENERAL

Although pressure vessel designers have several codes from which to choose, no standard or guidance document specifically encompassing the design and installation of mounded storage vessels has been generally available. EEMUA's Storage Tanks Technical Committee has for some time been aware of this need, and has accordingly developed the present document.

The integrity of a pressure vessel depends on both its strength and the adequacy of the supporting foundation or structure. For vessels on earth foundations, with their inherent proneness to settlement, this aspect is of greater importance than for those more conventionally supported. For this reason, considerable coverage is given in this guide to soil investigation and foundation design.

IMPACT OF THE EUROPEAN PRESSURE EQUIPMENT DIRECTIVE

The European Parliament and the Council Directive 97/23/EC on pressure equipment (PED) is based on Article 114 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and is one of the main product harmonisation Directives based on the “New Approach” principles. The Pressure Equipment Directive (PED) is transposed into national law by the EU Member States.

This directive has been recently aligned to the New Legislative Framework (NLF) to simplify and improve the implementation.

The new Directive 2014/68/EU became effective on 20 July 2016. As of 1 June 2015, the current provisions related to the classification of fluids in the current PED Article 9 are already replaced by Article 13 of the new Directive.

REFERENCES AND ABBREVIATIONS

A listing of documents referred to in the main text and appendices, and recommended literature for further reading are given in Section 7, and a glossary of abbreviations in Section 8.

NOTE ON THE PRESENT (2017) REPRINT

All additional text and changes provided in this amended Publication are indicated in the summary of Amendments sheet No. 2 that has been inserted just before the Table of Contents. This reprint also incorporates all previous published Errata and Amendment sheets, however, these previously identified changes have not been indicated as such.

1. 1. INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

1.1 INTRODUCTION

In the context of the present document, **mounded storage** is the term given to the pressurised storage at ambient temperatures of liquefied petroleum gases in horizontal cylindrical vessels placed at or just below ground level and completely covered with suitable backfill. Several vessels may be placed side by side under one 'mound'.

Mounded storage installations, which have many advantages over alternative designs (see 2.2), may be located in refineries, chemical plants, gas plants, exploration and production facilities and supply/marketing depots. This Guide is applicable to all such locations.

1.2 SCOPE

Guidance is provided covering the main requirements for the successful design, construction, installation and use of mounded storage steel vessels, including:

- criteria for selecting mounded storage
- soil survey
- foundation and mound design
- vessel design and fabrication
- erection and construction
- requirements for operability (fittings, instrumentation)
- corrosion protection
- inspection and testing
- in-service monitoring, inspection and maintenance.

More detailed guidance on specific aspects, for example on stress analysis, is given in the appendices.

This Guide uses the formulae and design philosophy set out in the (BSI) PD 5500 pressure vessel design code.

1.3 DEFINITIONS

The **Purchaser** is the party that initiates the project and ultimately pays for its implementation. The term may also include an agent or consultant authorised to act for or on behalf of the Purchaser.

The **Contractor** is the party employed by the Purchaser to carry out all or any part of or manage the activities involved in creating the facility. The term also includes a sub-contractor employed by the Contractor.

The **Manufacturer/Supplier** is the party that manufactures or supplies vessels, equipment and services to perform the duties as specified by the Contractor and/or Purchaser.

The **foundation** is that part of the earth surrounding the vessel which actually carries the load.

The **mound** is that part of the earth surrounding the vessel which is not part of the foundation.

1.4 GENERAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PURCHASER

It is the responsibility of the Purchaser to provide the Contractor with the overall technical requirements of the project and all information necessary for the latter to carry out his work, including the composition and pertinent physical constants of the product to be stored, vessel size(s), site location and layout, soil survey details (if the survey is not carried out by the Contractor or his subcontractor) etc. The Purchaser may also choose to specify the applicable design code and materials of construction for the storage vessel(s), and other vessel parameters.

The Purchaser should also notify his requirements for quality assurance and certification to the Contractor and obtain confirmation that the latter is conversant with all statutory and/or local regulations governing the proposed installation and site.

1.5 PROJECT PLANNING

As with all projects, particularly multi-disciplinary ones such as designing and building a mounded storage facility, good, integrated project planning and management are essential to a successful outcome. Key activities and events for mounded storage projects are tabulated in Appendix E.

2. TYPES OF STORAGE VESSEL

2.1 SELECTION OF STORAGE VESSEL TYPE

In addition to mounded storage, other types of vessel may also be used to store LPG under pressure at ambient temperatures:

- spheres
- bullets (above ground)
- submerged storage vessels.

Furthermore, refrigerated storage at atmospheric pressure is possible in single, double or full containment tanks as specified in BS 7777* and EEMUA Pub. 147.

Appendix I presents a flow chart for storage vessel selection, together with a table of applicable selection criteria.

2.2 MOUNDED STORAGE

Mounded storage is employed because it provides additional safety compared with above ground storage of gases in spheres or bullets. Its major advantage is that the occurrence of a BLEVE is virtually impossible. Other benefits of the mound are:

- it protects the vessel(s) against
 - heat radiation from a nearby fire
 - a pressure wave originating from an explosion
 - impact by flying objects
 - sabotage
- it satisfies environmental and aesthetic requirements
- it results in reduced site area due to less stringent inter-spacing requirements
- the safety distance to the site boundary can be reduced considerably.

The design aspects of mounded storage projects are in general more complicated than those for aboveground spheres or bullets. Particular attention should be paid to the interaction between vessel and soil, and to corrosion protection.

Existing pressure vessels codes, such as BSI, CEN, CODAP and ASME, do not address soil cover nor the support aspects of mounded storage. As it is not intended that mounded vessels be externally inspected during their lifetimes, the utmost attention is required to be given to the external coating and cathodic protection system to minimise the risk of (undetected) corrosion.

Depending on site conditions, ground water level, and operational requirements, the vessels may be installed either at grade level or in an excavation. Vessels need to be installed above the highest known water table level, and the soil cover therefore usually protrudes above grade as an earth mound—hence the term ‘mounded storage’.

Vessels in open underground vaults and excavations are not considered to be mounded.

Mounded vessels are provided with connections (e.g. manholes, pressure relief valves and instrument connections) protruding through the top of the mound. Only if dictated by operational requirements should a bottom discharge in an inspection tunnel be considered.

* BS 7777 will be withdrawn when prEN 14620 is published.

Mounded storage vessels should be designed for a minimum life of 25 years.

If more than one vessel is placed in a single mound, then the minimum distance between the vessels depends on construction activities such as welding, coating, backfilling and compaction of the backfill material. A distance of 1 m is considered to be a practical minimum.

The maximum diameter is usually determined by factors such as design pressure, fabrication possibilities, post-weld heat treatment requirements, transport limitations, subsoil conditions and economy of design (8 m may be regarded as a practical upper limit).

For vessels which are founded on a sand-bed, the length of the vessel should be no more than eight times the diameter in order to prevent the design shell thickness being governed by longitudinal bending of the vessel due to possible differential settlements or to construction tolerances of vessels and foundations.

The maximum allowable length is usually determined by the subsoil conditions (especially if differential settlements are expected), size of available site, and economy of the design.

The above restrictions limit the maximum volume of a vessel to approximately 3500 m³ gross. There is no limitation to the minimum size of the vessel, except for practical considerations.

3. FOUNDATION AND EARTH MOUND

3.1 INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR

The Purchaser is responsible for carrying out a detailed site soil investigation and communicating the necessary details to the Contractor (see 1.4). This investigation may be completed on behalf of the Purchaser by a specialist consultant/contractor practised in this type of work and, in particular, with knowledge of the geology of the area. The Contractor should review this information for completeness and accuracy to enable his detailed foundation engineering report to be issued (see 3.2.3).

The Purchaser will need to provide the Contractor with the following site details:

- Location and description of the construction site (including former use).
- Orientation of the vessel(s) relative to plant north. (In deciding on vessel orientation, the Purchaser or his specialist consultant responsible for plant layout, will need to take prevailing wind direction into account.)
- Climatic data (if not already known).
- Conceptual site development plan.
- Elevations of the planned construction site (ground levels and ground water levels).

Also, information on the subsoil conditions should be made available to the Contractor. This information should address the following aspects:

- geological description of the area
- seismicity of the area
- geotechnical description of the area.

Depending on the history of the site, it should be considered whether the soil investigation needs to include an environmental/chemical survey of subsoil and ground water. The scope of the site investigation is described in 3.2.1, but may require to be extended depending on the outcome of the gathered information.

3.2 SOIL INVESTIGATION

3.2.1 Fieldwork

The heterogeneity/stratigraphy of the subsoil should be investigated by cone penetration tests (CPTs) and borings. If the use of CPTs is not possible, an increased number of borings should be carried out.

All borings provided as part of the fieldwork should be combined with recovered undisturbed samples and executed standard penetration tests, both at average intervals of 1.5 m and at changes of strata.

The minimum number of field tests is set out in the table below.

With CPTs	CPT	: centre to centre 20 ± 5 m
	BH	: at least 1, or 1 per 2 vessels
	USS/SPT	: each BH
	SCT	: at least 1, or 1 per 2 vessels
	DCT	: 1 BH location
	PM	: at least 1
With BHs	BH+USS/SPT	: centre to centre 20 ± 5 m
	SCT	: at least 1, or 1 per 2 vessels
	DCT	: 1 BH location
	PM	: at least 1

The positions of the CPTs and borings should be evenly distributed over the length of the vessels. Testing locations are indicated in the table below:

One vessel	:	along the centre line of the vessel
Two vessels	:	both outside edges of the vessels in the longitudinal direction
Three vessels or more	:	for outer vessels along the outside edges and for the inner vessel(s) along the centre line

The scope of work for the soil investigation may be reduced if reliable information from a geophysical survey is available (electromagnetic survey, geo-electric survey etc.).

Depending on the knowledge of the site and its specific geohydrology (e.g. presence of various aquifers, phreatic ground water level, piezometric levels from aquifers and level variations), the number of open standpipe piezometers should be determined. The minimum scope should include the installation of at least one open standpipe piezometer. Where an environmental survey is required, the open standpipe installation may be combined with the installation of an environmental monitoring well.

The piezometric level in the open standpipe needs to be monitored frequently both during execution of the soil investigation and during a period thereafter. The duration of this period will depend on the local climatological conditions.

3.2.2 Laboratory Work

The laboratory tests required to be carried out on recovered samples (undisturbed and disturbed) during the fieldwork are listed below.

3.2.2.1 Classification Tests

Classification tests to be carried out on all samples are:

- visual description
- wet and dry unit weight
- water content.

Classification tests to be carried out on samples selected by the Contractor in consultation with the Purchaser:

- particle size analysis
- Atterberg limits.

3.2.2.2 Other Tests

- Consolidation tests (seven loading steps + one unloading step).
- Consolidated drained and/or consolidated undrained triaxial tests to obtain strength and stiffness parameters of the soil (subsoil and fill material).
- Chemical analysis of soil and ground water samples.
- Optimum moisture content (for compaction of subsoil and fill material).
- Electrical resistivity of soil.
- Environmental analysis of soil and ground water samples, the scope of which depends on history of the site and nearby industrial activity.

It is stressed that recovery, preservation, transport and storage of soil and ground water samples for environmental analysis needs to be done according to stringent procedures.

3.2.3 Reporting

A foundation engineering report is required from the Contractor. The topics to be covered need to include, but not be limited to, the following items:

Factual data:

- topography and geodetic levels of the site
- observed ground water levels (including variations)
- results from field and laboratory work
- stratigraphy along the axis of the vessels (indicating position of CPTs and BHs).

Engineering:

- discussion of obtained factual data against general site geology
- discussion of possible local subsoil variation
- recommended installation level of vessels relative to ground water levels
- evaluation of load conditions during mound construction and operational lifetime, e.g. including horizontal loads on piled foundations as a consequence of mound construction.
- discussion of the recommended type of foundation (see 3.3 to 3.9) with respect to:
 - ◆ bearing capacity:
 - selection of governing load conditions for the critical stages of construction and for the operational lifetime of the mounded storage facility

- susceptibility of the subsoil to liquefaction, if relevant
 - for a soil bearing foundation, subsoil bearing capacity during various stages of mound construction and during the operational lifetime of the mounded storage facility
 - for piled foundations with a raft or saddles, axial pile bearing capacity taking into account positive/negative skin friction and end bearing, group effect and lateral bearing capacity
- ◆ settlement:
 - settlement analyses to be executed, specifying elastic, consolidation and creep components
 - selection of governing operational load
 - settlement of the vessel during hydrotesting (during construction and during in-service re-test conditions)
- minimum and maximum long-term subgrade (bedding) modulus along the vessel axis:
 - ◆ stability analyses of the mound slope for various load cases during construction and during operational lifetime (slip circle analyses)
 - ◆ construction of the mound; provide advice on:
 - the suitability of fill material for the foundation bed and the construction of the mound (including CP requirements)
 - compaction of the vessel foundation bed and the fill material surrounding the vessel
 - remedial measures to overcome severe erosion during construction, if relevant.

3.3 TYPES OF FOUNDATION

3.3.1 Soil Bearing Foundation

A soil bearing foundation which supports the vessel over its full length, on a sand-bed, is preferred. See Appendix B.1.1. This type of foundation provides continuous support, which allows an economic structural design of the vessel, an economic foundation method, and optimal cathodic protection.

In order to reduce settlements, it may be necessary to employ soil improvement methods. In that respect a preload embankment, preferably consisting of the fill material to be used during sand-bed and mound construction, is an economic solution. The duration of the preload period depends on the subsoil condition, especially the period required for the drainage of water from the subsoil. In some cases additional fill material may be required to minimise the preload period so as to meet the project schedule. During construction of the embankment and the subsequent preload period, settlements should be monitored and recorded. To that purpose, at least three settlement beacons should be installed along the future axis of each vessel. Monitoring of settlements during construction and the preload period allows for (foundation) design verification.

In addition, at specific locations soil improvement by replacement of the subsoil may be required. The combination of soil replacement and preloading is also a feasible option.

Although a soil bearing type of foundation is preferred, it will not always be possible. If, for example, long-term settlements are too large, a sound and/or economic structural design of the vessel may not be possible. Also, seismicity may affect the selection of the foundation type.

3.3.2 Sandbed on Piled Concrete Slab

If for settlement reasons the project does not allow the use of a soil bearing foundation, a piled foundation supporting a concrete slab may be considered. The vessel is then installed in a sand-bed of minimum thickness 1 m on top of the concrete slab. See Appendix B.1.2.

3.3.3 Vessels on Saddles

In some designs, the vessel is placed on saddles and subsequently on piled foundations (see B.1.3) as an alternative to the above foundation modes. This foundation mode is completely different from the philosophy described in this Guide. In fact, a conventionally supported vessel is created, but covered with a layer of soil.

An undesirable side effect occurs with piled saddles: the subsoil will settle but the saddles will not. This causes the mound to separate from underneath the vessel. It is for this reason that the design philosophy described in this document is not valid for mounded vessels on (piled) saddles. Due to the complexity in the design, construction and long-term (cathodic) protection, mounded vessels on piled saddles are not recommended.

3.4 SETTLEMENT OF SOIL BEARING FOUNDATIONS

3.4.1 Immediate Settlements

Settlements of the vessel and/or preload embankment occurring immediately during construction should be analysed and compared with predicted settlements ('trending').

3.4.2 Long-term Settlements

Settlement analyses should also address long-term settlements of the vessel during its operational lifetime. Predicted long-term settlements should be used to derive a subgrade modulus required for vessel design purposes.

3.4.3 Total and Differential Settlements

In the settlement analysis, both the total and differential settlements of the vessel need to be addressed.

The maximum allowable total settlement of the vessel depends, amongst other things, on connecting piping and/or whether a tunnel is to be built to house a bottom discharge pipe.

Differential settlements of the vessel will affect its longitudinal slope and uniformity of support.

Settlements of the vessel may be considerably reduced by the application of soil improvement (see 3.3.1).

3.5 SETTLEMENT MONITORING

3.5.1 Settlement Monitoring During Preloading

Prior to installation of a preload embankment, settlement plates should be installed on the original ground level. The settlement plates may consist of a 1 x 1 m base plate with a 25 mm diameter vertical rod. The rod needs to extend above the preload embankment for measuring purposes. The settlement plates are to be removed at the end of the preloading period. Frequency of settlement monitoring should be in accordance with the table below:

Phase		Frequency
Preloading	Month 1 & 2	Weekly
	Month 3 & 4	Fortnightly
	Month 5 onwards	Monthly
Vessel installation		Weekly

In case of doubt on the stability of the preload embankment and/or mound as a consequence of the presence of a top clay, excess pore water pressures should be monitored during preload embankment and/or mound construction.

3.5.2 Settlement Monitoring During Operation

Permanent reference points should be located longitudinally on top of the vessel for monitoring vessel settlements. The maximum spacing of these reference points should be approximately twice the vessel diameter. A minimum of three reference points, two near the vessel ends and one in the middle, should be installed to enable identification of possible vessel bending. Nozzles or domes may be used for this purpose.

Vessel settlements should be monitored during its operational life. The monitoring frequency will depend on the magnitude of the predicted settlements and on the associated period. The results should be compared with the predicted settlements. If actual settlement exceeds that predicted and/or the rate of settlement increases, specialist advice should be obtained concerning the need for corrective action.

For site fabricated vessels, which usually undergo hydrotest on their foundation, test settlement monitoring should be performed during the test (5.2). Settlements should be monitored for the weight loads at 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% filling, and after 48 hours with the vessel completely filled. The settlement rate during this testing period should diminish with time as otherwise there would be a danger of instability. If the rate does not diminish adequately, the Purchaser needs to be informed immediately. The vessel should be partially emptied, and geotechnical and mounded vessel specialists consulted.

3.6 FOUNDATION DESIGN

3.6.1 General

Foundations should be designed, constructed and monitored in accordance with applicable local and international standards. In all phases of the project, the design loads and load combinations described in Sec. 4 should be considered. The vessels should be installed at least 0.6 m above the highest groundwater level on a sand-bed of at least 1 m thickness in order to obtain proper bedding-in.

The foundation of the vessel needs to be constructed such that during the operational life of the vessel, its longitudinal slope will be between 1:200 minimum and 1:50 maximum. The former is the minimum for effective drainage whilst the latter is intended for minimising dead stock. Hence in the design phase the predicted immediate and long-term settlements along the axis of each vessel need to be taken into account in determining the slope.

3.6.2 Operational Phase of Vessel

In the operational phase, it is assumed that the vessel is supported over an angle of 120°.

The calculation of contact pressure at the vessel–foundation interface is shown in A.4.2.10 and Figure A.3.

The loads on the vessel, and hence on the foundation, are as described in A.4.2. The minimum safety factor during operations should be 2.

3.6.3 Construction Phase of Vessel

During construction, it may be advantageous to support the vessel over an angle of less than 120° (with a minimum of 60°).

In the case of on-site vessel assembly, the maximum foundation loading will occur during hydrostatic pressure testing. The maximum load will consist of the weight of the vessel and the contained water. The bearing capacity of the foundation needs to be verified for this load condition. Special attention should be given in that respect to the presence of welding trenches.

The minimum safety factor for the bearing capacity and overall vessel stability during the hydrostatic pressure test should be 1.5.

Additionally, the vessel should be checked for a reduced angle of support, as the magnitude of the design coefficients K_M , K_N and K_S depends on the angle of support (A.4.4–A.4.6).

3.7 MOUND DESIGN

3.7.1 Geometry

The slope of the mound is not to exceed the natural slope of the fill material and should be 1:1.5 maximum. Following the results of the soil investigation, a slip circle analysis should be performed to verify the stability of mound. When performing such a calculation it should be noted that the angle of friction along the vessel–soil interface and the effective stress in a zone next to the vessel is lower than in an ‘all soil’ situation.

3.7.2 External Loads

The purpose of the mound is to protect the vessel against external events such as radiation in case of a fire, flying objects and sabotage; hence the thickness of the cover should be at least 0.5 metre. Temporary loadings on the vessel by construction equipment on the mound should be avoided when the thickness of the cover is less than 1 metre.

3.7.3 Erosion Protection

The slopes and top of the mound need to be protected against erosion. This can be effected by means of a suitable covering or by consolidation with vegetation.

Suitable types of covering include a flexible bituminous layer, prefabricated stone slabs and open concrete tiles. To prevent the possibility of gas accumulation inside the mound, continuous impermeable coverings should not be used.

Where the preferred method of protection is consolidation with vegetation, the ideal type is soil covering plants with widespread root systems that grow slowly (to reduce the need for trimming), e.g. cotoneaster. If grass is used, the sand fill should first be covered with at least 0.3 m of fertile cohesive soil.

Open drain channels should be constructed alongside the toe of the mound. These channels need to be able to cope with the rainwater run-off from the mound specified in the design and, if applicable, the run-off from surrounding higher areas. The mound top needs to have a slight downward slope to allow effective drainage. Only minimal rainwater percolation should be allowed through the erosion protection. Rainwater accumulation inside the mound needs to be avoided as this can lead to instability of the mound. No irrigation system should be installed on the mound as this might increase the corrosivity of the mound material.

3.8 FOUNDATION AND MOUND MATERIAL

In selecting the material for the foundation and the mound, consideration needs to be given to the following:

- In the case of multiple vessels there is limited accessibility for mechanical fill and compaction equipment to the area in-between the vessels. In these cases fill material should be chosen for ease of handling.
- The fill material needs to be compactable in order to minimise settlements.
- The fill material needs to be suitable to allow proper functioning of the cathodic protection system (4.5.3). Sea sand or any other fill material undesirable for reasons of corrosivity is not to be used.
- Although mounds are not intended to be removed for inspection, excavation of the vessel(s) may be required (e.g. by governmental regulations).

For the above reasons, sand should be used for both the sand-bed and mound. The sand needs to fulfil the following requirements:

- be clean
- the maximum silt content (particles smaller than 0.063 mm) should not exceed 10% by weight and the maximum organic material content should not exceed 3% by weight
- the maximum sulphate content should be 0.02%
- the maximum chloride content should be 0.02%
- the maximum particle size should be 2 mm
- grain size distribution should have a uniformity coefficient (D_{60}/D_{10}) of between 4 and 10.

In areas where sand is difficult to obtain, at least 0.3 m of sand should be placed around the vessel to protect the coating.

3.9 CONSTRUCTION OF FOUNDATION AND MOUND

3.9.1 Foundation

The sand-bed foundation, that is the bedding associated to the 120° bottom support angle, should be prepared in layers of a maximum thickness of 0.3 m. Each layer should be compacted to at least 95% of the maximum dry density. The maximum dry density should be determined in accordance with ASTM D 1557. The in situ density should be determined by either cone penetration testing or the replacement method in accordance with ASTM D 2167.

The cylindrical bottom profile of the vessel should later be cut in the sand-bed by excavation. A steel template of the same curvature as the vessel should be used to shape the sand-bed to the required profile. Over-excavation should be avoided.

Due to construction tolerances, it is recommended that the axis of the vessel should deviate from a straight line by not more than 0.3% of the vessel length.

The construction procedure for the foundation depends on the fabrication method for the vessel; the procedure needs to ensure that the required uniform support for the vessel is provided.

If the vessel has been assembled elsewhere before it is placed on its foundation, the deviations of the vessel axis from a straight line should be measured, and the sand-bed shaped accordingly to obtain a good fit of the vessel in the sand-bed and hence an even support.

If the vessel is assembled on its foundation, the shape of the sand-bed should be made in sections with a length equal to the length of the vessel section to be placed on it. Each foundation section should be completed just before the corresponding vessel section is placed on it and after the previous vessel section has been at least tack welded in place. This procedure will help to obtain an even support of the vessel.

Depending on the fabrication method, it may be necessary to dig trenches in the sand-bed for welding and inspection of circumferential seams of the vessel sections. The sides of the trenches need to be properly supported, for example with sandbags. After the weld inspection has been carried out, the hydrostatic pressure test successfully finished and the coating applied, the trenches are to be carefully backfilled with properly compacted sand. Coating of welds should only be applied after hydrostatic pressure testing. As it will be difficult to achieve the same compaction rate as for the bed already placed, the number and sizes of the trenches should be restricted to a minimum. The method of backfilling and compaction should be approved by the Purchaser.

Depending on the distance between the trenches, the local code requirements (which might require full vessel support during hydrostatic pressure testing) and the calculations, it may be required to temporarily backfill the trenches prior to the hydrostatic pressure test. In this way the maximum load on the sandbed is reduced.

To avoid too much disturbance of the foundation during construction, the shoulders of the foundation should have a horizontal part of at least 2 m wide before sloping down to grade.

After completion of construction of the vessel but prior to the hydrotest, the foundation needs to be repaired and re-compacted where required.

3.9.2 Mound

Horizontal support of the vessel by the mound is not assumed in the vessel design and is therefore not required. Too much compaction during emplacement of the mound may in fact impose excessive loads on the vessel. In view of this, it is advised that the mound should be compacted to 90% of the maximum dry density only.

If during construction a foundation with a reduced angle of support has been used, it should be built up with well-compacted sand (95% of the maximum dry density) to an angle of support of 120° before completing the mound (see Appendix B.2).

Refer to 3.7.3 for erosion protection.

4 VESSEL

4.1 DESIGN

4.1.1 General

The design guidelines set out in this document are largely based on (BSI) PD 5500. However, different codes may be preferred in certain countries, e.g. CODAP-95 or ASME VIII. Vessels to be installed in the EEA and Switzerland must comply with the PED. Vessels manufactured to harmonised standard EN 13445 will have presumption of conformity with the PED. Where other codes (including the present guidelines) are used, compliance with the essential safety requirements of the PED must be demonstrated. Annex Z of PD 5500 offers guidance on how this can be achieved.

Whilst specific articles in the aforementioned codes are sufficient for establishing the thickness of the shell and domed ends, the codes may have different approaches for establishing the required number and sizes of stiffening rings. The method employed in EN 13445 is based on the PD 5500 approach.

The recommended calculation method for stiffening rings is described in Appendix A. Other methods may be used with the approval of the Purchaser.

The principle of the design of the vessel is that the axisymmetrical loads are carried by the shell plates, while bending stresses due to non-axisymmetrical loads are carried by stiffening rings (except for small diameter, unstiffened vessels).

The vessels are subjected to internal vapour and hydrostatic liquid pressure (positive and negative) and to external loads due to the mound (in the axial and radial directions). Moreover, they may be subjected to live loads and earthquakes, depending on local conditions, and even to blast waves due to exploding gas clouds.

If a vessel is to be hydrostatically pressure tested on its foundation, longitudinal seams need to be avoided in the bottom 120° of the circumference.

The vessel heads should have a torispherical or hemispherical shape.

For an optimal vessel design, the thickness of the shell plates should be determined by the maximum internal design pressure or the external load caused by the mound in combination with negative internal pressure (if applicable), whichever is governing. For long vessels with a relatively small diameter, it is necessary to avoid shell plate thickness being governed by the longitudinal stresses caused by bending, friction etc. (see *Governing load* below).

Nozzles and manholes should be designed to withstand both internal and external (soil pressure and piping) loads in accordance with PD 5500. Lateral soil pressure on nozzles and manholes can be eliminated by the use of sleeves as described in 4.4.6.

The following guidelines are recommended for determining vessel dimensions:

Overall dimensions

- the vessel diameter should not exceed 8 m.
- the required volume should not exceed 3500 m³.

Governing load

- The vessel length should not exceed 8 times the vessel diameter. In vessels with a length-to-diameter ratio exceeding this limit, the longitudinal stresses caused by bending and frictional forces will govern the shell plate thickness, which leads to a less economical design.

Material and welding constraints

- For vessel cylinder:
 - The ratio of plate thickness to vessel diameter should be not less than 1/400.
 - The ratio of external to internal vessel diameter should not exceed 1.3. (This limit is imposed by the range of applicability of the equations used in Section 3 of PD 5500 which are based on mean diameter rules—see 3.2.2 Note 1 of that publication. For mounded storage vessels, practical values of the ratio will be well below the limit.)
- For hemispherical domed ends:
 - The following dimensions of straight flanges to hemispherical domed ends would normally be provided by manufacturers of such structures.


Table 4 Length of straight flange on domed ends

Outside diameter of vessel (D_o)	Length of straight flange
3500 mm < D_o ≤ 4500 mm	30 mm
4500 mm < D_o ≤ 6000 mm	40 mm
6000 mm < D_o ≤ 8000 mm	50 mm

where: D_o = external diameter of vessel

- Plate thickness of domed ends should not be less than 12 mm (see A.5.3)
- Ratio of plate thickness of domed ends to vessel diameter should not be less than 0.002 nor exceed 0.16.
- For torispherical domed ends:
 - The guidelines for hemispherical domed ends apply
 - The inside knuckle radius should not be less than 0.06 times the vessel diameter
 - the inside knuckle radius should not be less than twice the plate thickness
 - the inside spherical radius should not exceed the vessel diameter.
- For stiffening rings:
 - For vessels with a diameter of 3.5 m and greater, internal stiffening rings are normally required.
 - The maximum distance between the stiffeners is determined by the circumferential buckling stress in the shell plates.
 - The size of the stiffening rings depends on the load on the vessel and the distance between the rings. The thickness of the stiffener web and flange should not exceed the thickness of the shell. For economic reasons, the stiffener webs and flanges should be of the same material grade and thickness as the shell.
 - The ratio of distance between stiffeners to vessel diameter should be of the order of 1:2 to 1:1. Ratios up to 2:1 may be used, but will lead to less economic designs.
 - Stiffeners placed close to the transition of cylinder to domed end are very ineffective (A.2.2 and A.5.3).

The corrosion allowance will be specified by the Purchaser. The interpretation of corrosion allowance is shown in Figure 4.1.



'C' is the corrosion allowance

Figure 4.1

4.1.2 Design Conditions

The pressure–temperature curves for butane, propane and mixtures thereof are shown in Figure 4.2.

The ABP of the product is to be used as the lower design temperature and will be specified by the Purchaser.

If it can be ensured that due to operational safeguards the ABP can never be reached, a design temperature higher than the ABP or a decreased design pressure may be used. Confirmation from the Purchaser needs to be obtained.

4.1.3 Design Loads

The loads listed in 4.1.3.1 to 4.1.3.9 need to be taken into account in the design.

4.1.3.1 Dead Weight of the Vessel

Refer to Appendix A.4.2.1.

4.1.3.2 Weight of Liquid in the Vessel

Refer to A.4.2.2.

The densities of liquids for design pressure are to be taken as follows:

- for propane (C_3H_8) : 510 kg/m³ at 15°C
- for butane (C_4H_{10}) : 585 kg/m³ at 15°C

4.1.3.3 Internal Design Pressure

Refer to A.4.2.3.




Figure 4.2 Vapour Pressure–Temperature Relationships for Pressurised Commercial Butane–Propane Mixtures by Volume

4.1.3.4 Negative Internal Pressure

Refer to A.4.2.4. The negative internal pressure should be taken as -0.5 bar (ga), unless agreed otherwise with the Purchaser.

4.1.3.5 Loads due to the Mound

Refer to A.4.2.5.

The weight of the mound above the vessel is transmitted by the vessel to the foundation and will result in radial loads on the vessel (A.4.2.5.1).

If a vessel is installed in an excavation, it is possible that after backfilling the sides of the excavation exert a horizontal supporting pressure on the vessel. This beneficial effect depends very much on the quality and compaction of the fill material. For vessels installed above grade level only horizontal support pressure from the mound is to be taken into account.

Passive and active soil pressures at the heads of the vessels are to be taken into account in the design (A.4.2.5.2).

Mounded storage vessels ought not to be exposed to traffic or similar live loads on top of the mound. If this cannot be avoided, a suitable live load shall be taken into account in the design. The weight of pipelines, pipe bridges, etc. are also to be taken into account, if applicable.

4.1.3.6 Loads due to Uneven Support by the Foundation

Refer to A.4.2.6 and Appendix C.

Uneven support of the vessel may occur due to:

- variation in subsoil characteristics along the length of the vessel, which may cause a variation in support, as the vessel will settle as a rigid body
- construction tolerances of the vessel and its foundation, due to which the vessel will normally not sit perfectly on the foundation.

To allow for the effect of tolerances and uneven support, a maximum and a minimum soil reaction are assumed, as shown in Appendix C. Both soil reaction distributions are to be taken into account. Appendix C also gives the formulae for calculating the resulting bending moments, shear loads, soil reactions and vessel deflections, based on these assumptions.

Based on the soil investigation data, calculations of bending moments, shear forces etc., taking into account the long-term bedding/subgrade modulus of the subsoil, should be carried out using a 'beam on elastic foundation' method. The results of these calculations should be compared with those of the calculations performed in accordance with the guidelines of Appendix C. The larger bending moments, shear forces, etc., are to be used for the design of the vessel. These calculations may be dispensed with if it is obvious from the variation in subgrade modulus that the resulting bending moments, etc. will be smaller than those resulting from Appendix C.

Note.— The bedding/subgrade modulus as mentioned above is not the elastic short-term bedding modulus, as sometimes determined by a field test, but is the load of the vessel divided by the long-term settlement at that location (kN/m).