



Strength of lugs under transverse load

ESDU Copyright material. For current status contact ESDU.

Copyright © 2006 ESDU Ltd.

Endorsed by
The Royal Aeronautical Society

ESDU DATA ITEMS

Data Items provide validated information in engineering design and analysis for use by, or under the supervision of, professionally qualified engineers. The data are founded on an evaluation of all the relevant information, both published and unpublished, and are invariably supported by original work of ESDU staff engineers or consultants. The whole process is subject to independent review for which crucial support is provided by industrial companies, government research laboratories, universities and others from around the world through the participation of some of their leading experts on ESDU Technical Committees. This process ensures that the results of much valuable work (theoretical, experimental and operational), which may not be widely available or in a readily usable form, can be communicated concisely and accurately to the engineering community.

We are constantly striving to develop new work and review data already issued. Any comments arising out of your use of our data, or any suggestions for new topics or information that might lead to improvements, will help us to provide a better service.

THE PREPARATION OF THIS DATA ITEM

The work on this particular Data Item was monitored and guided by the Aerospace Structures Committee. This Committee first met in 1940 and now has the following membership:

Chairman

Mr K. Fitzsimons — Westland Helicopters Ltd

Members

Prof P. Bartholomew — QinetiQ, Farnborough

Dr R. Biswas — SELEX Sensors and Airborne Systems Ltd, Luton

Mr A. Dickson — BAE SYSTEMS, Warton

Dr M.J. Graves* — The Boeing Company, Seattle, USA

Mr T. Henriksen* — European Space Agency, The Netherlands

Mr A. Missenden — Cranfield Aerospace Ltd, Bedfordshire

Mr P.J. Mitchelmore — Independent

Mr B. Popham — Astrium Space Ltd, Stevenage

Mr M.S. Pressnell — Independent

Prof A. Rothwell — Professor Emeritus, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Mr J.H. van der Sloot — Independent.

* Corresponding Member

The construction and subsequent development of the Data Item was undertaken by

Mr N. Dev-Anand — Senior Engineer.

The person with overall responsibility for the work in this subject area is Mr A.C. Quilter, Head of Strength Analysis Group.

STRENGTH OF LUGS UNDER TRANSVERSE LOAD

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Notation	2
2. GENERAL APPLICATION AND LIMITATIONS OF THE ANALYSIS	3
3. METHOD OF ANALYSIS	5
3.1 Lug failure due to rupture	6
3.2 Lug failure due to overall permanent deformation	6
4. DERIVATION AND REFERENCES	8
4.1 Derivation	8
4.2 References	8
5. EXAMPLE	9
5.1 Basic lug parameters	9
5.2 Lug rupture	10
5.3 Lug maximum permissible permanent deformation	11

ESDU Copyright material. For current status contact ESDU.

STRENGTH OF LUGS UNDER TRANSVERSE LOAD

1. INTRODUCTION

This Data Item provides data for use in determining the load to cause failure by rupture or maximum permissible permanent deformation of parallel-sided and tapered lugs under in-plane transverse loading*. The data are provided in the form of two figures. They are applicable to round- and square-ended lugs made of ductile metallic materials (see the note in the second paragraph of Section 2 regarding material grain direction). Lugs fabricated from brittle materials are not covered by the Item.

The data given in this Item are based on the analyses presented in Derivations 1, 2 and 4. Most of the numerical data have been obtained from both material and geometric non-linear finite element analyses supported and verified by experimental data from Derivation 3. Sections 2 and 3 of this Item describe the application and limitations of the analysis, and the calculation of the rupture and permanent deformation loads of the lug.




Airbus A300 rudder hinge lug

* For data relating to lugs under in-plane axial and oblique loading, see ESDU 91008.

1.1 Notation

Both SI and British units are quoted but any coherent system of units may be used.

A_E	effective area of lug under transverse load	m^2	in^2
$A_E = \frac{6}{\frac{3}{A_1} + \frac{1}{A_2} + \frac{1}{A_3} + \frac{1}{A_4}}$			
A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4	critical areas of lug under transverse load, see Sketch 3.2	m^2	in^2
a	distance from centre of loaded hole in lug to lug end, see Sketch 1.1	m	in
C	non-dimensional parameter used in Figures 1 and 2		
d_h	diameter of loaded hole in lug, see Sketch 1.1	m	in
E	Young's modulus of lug material	N/m^2	lbf/in^2
f_t	ultimate tensile stress of lug material	N/m^2	lbf/in^2
K_{uy}	transverse rupture factor of lug		
m	material characteristic, see Reference 6		
P_{py}	load to cause maximum permissible permanent deformation of lug under transverse (y-direction) load	N	lbf
P_{uy}	transverse (y-direction) rupture load of lug	N	lbf
P_y	applied transverse (y-direction) load	N	lbf
t	thickness of lug	m	in
t_2	0.2 per cent proof stress of lug material	N/m^2	lbf/in^2
W	nominal width of lug, see Sketch 1.1	m	in
x, y	co-ordinate system for lug, see Sketch 1.1		
γ_{max}	allowable shear strain of lug material		
ϵ_t	ultimate total tensile strain of lug material (total tensile strain at f_t)		
ϵ_{ref}	total strain at 0.2 per cent proof stress, t_2 , of lug material, $\epsilon_{ref} = (t_2/E) + 0.002$.		



Sketch 1.1 General lug geometry and loading

2. GENERAL APPLICATION AND LIMITATIONS OF THE ANALYSIS

This Item provides data that enable the loads to cause failure by rupture and maximum permissible permanent deformation to be determined for lugs under in-plane transverse (y -direction) loading. The term rupture is taken here to denote failure in the lug by fracture of the lug material in shear. The region of shear rupture is shown in Sketch 3.1. The term permanent deformation denotes that the lug material is strained beyond the elastic range (taken to be limited by the 0.2 per cent proof stress) of the lug material resulting in an irrecoverable permanent set.

The data presented may be applied to lugs fabricated from any ductile metallic material, but it should be noted that they have been obtained by finite element analyses supported and verified by experimental data from Derivation 3. For lugs fabricated from ductile metallic materials, the mode of failure under transverse (y -direction) load is likely to be rupture due to shear and bearing effects in the section of the lug receiving the pin load (see Sketch 3.1). Lugs fabricated from brittle materials with low fracture toughness generally fail in a tensile mode with fracture across the width of the lug at its end; this mode of failure is not covered