IEEE 3000 STANDARDS COLLECTION™

IEEE 3006 STANDARDS:
POWER SYSTEMS RELIABILITY

Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

IEEE STANDARDS ASSOCIATION

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

IEEE 3000 Standards Collection™
for Industrial & Commercial Power Systems

Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

Compiled by the

Technical Books Coordinating Committee
of the
IEEE Industry Applications Society

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

Abstract: Reliability data gathered from equipment reliability surveys and analyses over a period of 35 years or more is summarized in this collection. Equipment surveys conducted prior to 1976, detailed reports on the surveys and data collection efforts, and extensive lists of references on equipment reliability are presented. The collection provides the analyst with options for determining reliability parameters for older electrical systems.

Keywords: commercial power systems, electrical interruptions, IEEE Gold Book™ annex, historical reliability data, IEEE 3006, industrial power systems, outage data, power systems reliability, reliability analysis, reliability survey

This IEEE-SA publication is not a consensus document. Information contained in this work has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and reviewed by credible members of IEEE Technical Societies, Standards Committees, and/or Working Groups, and/or relevant technical organizations. Neither the IEEE nor its authors guarantees the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein, and neither the IEEE nor its authors shall be responsible for any errors, omissions, or damages arising out of the use of this information.

Likewise, while the author and publisher believe that the information and guidance given in this work serve as an enhancement to users, all parties must rely upon their own skill and judgement when making use of it. Neither the author nor the publisher assumes any liability to anyone for any loss or damage caused by any error or omission in the work, whether such error or omission is the result of negligence or any other cause. Any and all such liability is disclaimed.

This work is published with the understanding that the IEEE and its authors are supplying information through this publication, not attempting to render engineering or other professional services. If such services are required, the assistance of an appropriate professional should be sought. The IEEE is not responsible for the statements and opinions advanced in the publication.

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

Copyright © 2012 by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
All rights reserved. Published 9 November 2012. Printed in the United States of America.

IEEE and Color Books are registered trademarks in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, owned by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated.

National Electrical Code, NEC, and NFPA 70 are registered trademarks in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, owned by the National Fire Protection Association.

Mission critical facilities is a registered trademark of EYP Mission Critical Facilities, Inc.

Print: ISBN 978-0-7381-7563-8 STDVAPD95897
PDF: ISBN 978-0-7381-7562-1 STDVA95897

IEEE prohibits discrimination, harassment and bullying. For more information, visit http://www.ieee.org/web/aboutus/whatis/policies/p9-26.html. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

Notice to users

Laws and regulations

Users of IEEE-SA documents should consult all applicable laws and regulations. Compliance with the provisions of any IEEE-SA document does not imply compliance to any applicable regulatory requirements. Implementers of the standard are responsible for observing or referring to the applicable regulatory requirements. IEEE does not, by the publication of its standards, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Copyrights

This document is copyrighted by the IEEE. It is made available for a wide variety of both public and private uses. These include both use, by reference, in laws and regulations, and use in private self-regulation, standardization, and the promotion of engineering practices and methods. By making this document available for use and adoption by public authorities and private users, the IEEE does not waive any rights in copyright to this document.

Photocopies

Authorization to photocopy portions of any individual document for internal or personal use is granted by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., provided that the appropriate fee is paid to Copyright Clearance Center. To arrange for payment of licensing fee, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual document for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

Foreword

Compiled in this collection of historical reliability data is invaluable information supporting the planning and design of industrial and commercial electric power distribution systems. It is a compilation of historical survey information collected through the efforts of Don Koval and Charles Heising over a period of approximately 35 years. This is a tribute to them and all of the contributors that made IEEE Std 493™ *(IEEE Gold Book™)* one of the best in what was the IEEE Color Book® series.

This collection summarizes the reliability information collected from equipment reliability surveys over a period of 35 years or more. It consists of equipment surveys conducted prior to 1976, detailed reports on the surveys and data collection efforts, and extensive lists of references on equipment reliability. Selected reliability and availability numeric from the survey efforts are also presented in this document.

This document provides the analyst with options for determining reliability parameters for older electrical systems. Data not found anywhere else is the cornerstone of this document, with some surveys spanning many years. In addition, there is utility numeric critical to facility assessments that identifies available power.

Robert G. Arno, *Senior Member of IEEE*

This collection is respectfully dedicated to Don Koval and Charles Heising,
who devoted countless hours to compiling this data for the benefit of the industry.

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Contents

Report on Reliability Survey of Industrial Plants—Parts I, II, and III 1

Report on Reliability Survey of Industrial Plants—Parts IV, V, and VI 61

Cost of Electrical Interruptions in Commercial Buildings 87

Reliability of Electric Utility Supplies to Industrial Plants 95

Report of Switchgear Bus Reliability Survey of Industrial Plants and Commercial Buildings 100

Working Group Procedure for Conducting an Equipment Reliability Survey 109

Report of Transformer Reliability Survey—Industrial Plants and Commercial Buildings 114

Report of Large Motor Reliability Survey of Industrial and Commercial Installations
Parts I, II, and III 124

Reliability Study of Cable, Terminations, and Splices by Electric Utilities in the Northwest 151

Summary of CIGRE 13.06 Working Group World Wide Reliability and Maintenance Cost Data
on High Voltage Circuit Breakers above 63 kV 161

Report of Circuit Breaker Reliability Survey of Industrial and Commercial Installations 170

Reliability Survey of 600 to 1800 kW Diesel and Gas-Turbine Generating Units 187

Reliability/Availability Guarantees of Gas Turbines and Combined Cycle Generating Units 203

Transmission Line and Equipment Outage Data 221

Interruption Costs, Consumer Satisfaction, and Expectations for Service Reliability 258

Survey Results of Low-Voltage Breakers as Found During Maintenance Testing 266

Survey of Reliability and Availability Information for Power Distribution, Power Generation, and
HVAC Components for Commercial, Industrial, and Utility Installations 271

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

Report on Reliability Survey of Industrial Plants

Part I
Reliability of Electrical Equipment

Part II
Cost of Power Outages, Plant Restart Time, Critical Service Loss Duration Time, and Type of Loads Lost Versus Time of Power Outages

Part III
Causes and Types of Failures of Electrical Equipment, the Methods of Repair, and the Urgency of Repair

By
Reliability Subcommittee
Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Committee
IEEE Industry Applications Society

W. H. Dickinson, *Chair*

P. E. Gannon
M. D. Harris
C. R. Heising
D. W. McWilliams
R. W. Parisian
A. D. Patton
W. J. Pearce

Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Technical Conference
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
Atlanta, Georgia
May 13–16, 1973

Published by
IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications
March/April 1974

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

Report on Reliability Survey of Industrial Plants, Part I: Reliability of Electrical Equipment

IEEE COMMITTEE REPORT

Abstract—An IEEE sponsored survey of electrical equipment reliability in industrial plants was completed during 1972. The results are reported from this survey which included a total of 1982 equipment failures that were reported by 30 companies covering 68 plants in nine industries in the United States and Canada.

Introduction

A KNOWLEDGE of the reliability of electrical equipment is an important consideration in the design of power distribution systems for industrial plants. It is possible to make quantitative reliability comparisons between alternative designs of new systems and then use this information in cost–reliability tradeoff studies to determine which type of power distribution systems to use [1]–[10]. The cost of power outages at the various plant locations can be factored into the decision as to which type of power distribution system to use. These decisions can then be based upon total owning cost over the useful life of the equipment rather than first cost.

In 1969 a Reliability Working Group was formed under the Industrial Plants Power Systems Subcommittee, Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Committee. In 1972 the activity was changed to a Reliability Subcommittee under the same Committee. One of the major activities of the Reliability Working Group and the Reliability Subcommittee has been to conduct a survey of equipment reliability in industrial plants. This survey was conducted during the latter half of 1971 and the early part of 1972 and attempted to update a similar survey [11] which had been conducted eleven years ago. The results from the present survey contain data on failure rate and average downtime per failure for 74 equipment categories. The Reliability Subcommittee also felt that additional information was needed in the present survey beyond what was collected twelve years ago. Some of the additional information is the following:

1) cost of power outages of industrial plants;
2) plant restart time;
3) critical service loss duration time;
4) type of loads lost versus time of power outages;
5) repair or replacement time data;
6) repair urgency information;
7) causes and types of failures;
8) maintenance data and policies.

It is not practical to publish all the results contained in the survey in a single paper. They will be presented in six separate parts. The first three parts are published at this time

Part 1: Reliability of Electrical Equipment;
Part 2: Cost of Power Outages, Plant Restart Time, Critical Service Loss Duration Time, and Type of Loads Lost Versus Time of Power Outages [11];
Part 3: Causes and Types of Failures, Methods of Repair, and Urgency of Repair [12].

A major part of the data in these three papers are presented in summary form. It is expected that the additional three papers will be presented at a later date and will contain further in-depth information where questions have been raised to point out the need for such data.

Survey Form

The survey form is shown in Appendix A. Three types of cards were used for reporting the information.

Card type 1 asks for data on plant identification and other general plant information.

Card type 2 asks for data on a specific equipment class, including the total number of installed units, on their failure experience, on maintenance practices, and on estimated repair times of failed equipment.

Card type 3 asks for data on each individual failure reported on a card type 2.

It was necessary to provide definitions for "failure" and "repair time."

A *failure* is defined as any trouble with a power system component that causes any of the following to occur:

1) partial or complete plant shutdown, or below-standard plant operation;
2) unacceptable performance of user's equipment;
3) operation of the electrical protective relaying or emergency operation of the plant electrical system;
4) de-energization of any electric circuit or equipment.

A failure on a public utility supply system may cause the user to have either 1) a power interruption or loss of service, or 2) a deviation from normal voltage or frequency of sufficient magnitude or duration to disrupt plant production. A failure on an in-plant component causes a forced outage of the compo-

Paper TOD-73-158, approved by the Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Committee of the IEEE Industry Applications Society for presentation at the 1973 Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Technical Conference, Atlanta, Ga., May 13–16. Manuscript released for publication November 5, 1973.

Members of the Reliability Subcommittee of the IEEE Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Committee are W. H. Dickinson, *Chairman*, P. E. Gannon, M. D. Harris, C. R. Heising, D. W. McWilliams, R. W. Parisian, A. D. Patton, and W. J. Pearce.

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
Documents
Document Sharing Hub

nent, and the component thereby is unable to perform its intended function until it is repaired or replaced.

Repair time of a failed component or duration of a failure is the clock hours from the time of the occurrence of the failure to the time when the component is restored to service, either by repair of the component or by substitution with a spare component. It is not the time required to restore service to a load by putting alternate circuits into operation. It includes time for diagnosing the trouble, locating the failed component, waiting for parts, repairing or replacing, testing, and restoring the component to service.

Response to Survey

A total of 30 companies responded to the survey questionnaire, reporting data on 68 plants from nine industries in the United States and Canada as shown in Table I. There was a total of 1982 equipment failures reported in the survey; this included more than 620 000 unit-years of experience. Many of the plants reported data covering more than one year of experience.

Most of the data were reported to the IEEE Reliability Subcommittee during late 1971 and early 1972. Unfortunately, a downturn in the business cycle during this period of time caused many companies to reduce their work force and because of this fewer were able to participate in the survey than had been originally hoped.

Survey Data Preparation

All of the returned survey questionnaire forms were reviewed. An attempt was made to clarify any discrepancies that were detected. Usable data were punched onto IBM cards for use in data processing.

Statistical Analysis of Equipment Failures

Two equipment parameters are of prime importance in making system reliability studies. These parameters are 1) failure rate and 2) average outage duration or repair time. The best estimate for the failure rate of a particular type of equipment is the number of failures actually observed, divided by the total exposure time in unit-years, that is,

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{f}{T} \tag{1}$$

where

- $\hat{\lambda}$ best estimate of failure rate in failures per unit-year
- λ true failure rate
- f number of failures observed
- T total exposure time in unit-years.

Statements regarding the accuracy of failure rate estimates can be made through the use of confidence limits [10], [14]-[17]. Failure rate confidence limits are upper and lower values of failure rate such that the following equations hold:

$$\Pr\,[\lambda_L \geqq \lambda] = \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \tag{2}$$

$$\Pr\,[\lambda \geqq \lambda_U] = \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \tag{3}$$

where

- λ_L lower confidence limit of failure rate
- λ_U upper confidence limit of failure rate
- γ confidence interval (or confidence level).

A typical value often chosen for the confidence interval is 0.90. Once values for λ_L and λ_U are found, one can say that λ, whose best estimate is $\hat{\lambda}$, lies between λ_L and λ_U with 100γ percent confidence. Clearly the narrower the interval between λ_L and λ_U, the greater one's confidence that $\hat{\lambda}$ is a good estimate of λ, the true failure rate. Expressions for λ_L and λ_U are given as follows [17]:

$$\lambda_L = \frac{\chi^2(1-\gamma)/2, 2f}{2T} \tag{4}$$

$$\lambda_U = \frac{\chi^2(1+\gamma)/2, 2f+2}{2T} \tag{5}$$

where $\chi^2 p, n$ is the p percentage point of a chi-squared distribution with n degrees of freedom. $\chi^2 p, n$ is tabled in statistical handbooks.

By substituting the value of T from (1) into (4) and (5) we get

$$\lambda_L = \frac{\chi^2(1-\gamma)/2, 2f}{2f}(\hat{\lambda}) \tag{6}$$

$$\lambda_U = \frac{\chi^2(1+\gamma)/2, 2f+2}{2f}(\hat{\lambda}). \tag{7}$$

The deviation of the lower confidence level from $\hat{\lambda}$ in percent of $\hat{\lambda}$ is

$$\%\mathrm{dev}_L = 100\left(1 - \frac{\lambda_L}{\hat{\lambda}}\right). \tag{8}$$

Similarly, the deviation of the upper confidence level from $\hat{\lambda}$ in percent of $\hat{\lambda}$ is

$$\%\mathrm{dev}_U = 100\left(\frac{\lambda_U}{\hat{\lambda}} - 1\right). \tag{9}$$

Equations (6)-(9) were used to develop Fig. 1. These curves avoid the need of looking up $\chi^2 p, n$. Here λ_L and λ_U are plotted in terms of percent deviation from λ as a function of the observed number of failures.

The best estimate for the average outage duration or repair time for a particular type of equipment is simply the average of the observed outage durations. Confidence limit expressions for average outage durations are also available if the distributional nature of outage durations is known [17]. However, such expressions are not given here primarily because the average outage durations given in this paper are intended as a rough guide only. Equipment outage durations are believed to be more a function of the nature of a power system's operator than an inherent function of the equipment itself. Hence, average outage durations for equipment used in reliability studies should be values believed most reasonable for the particular system being studied.

The data from the survey contained information on the failure and repair characteristics of 217 categories of equipment. However, the number of observed failures for many equipment categories was too small to allow adequately accurate estimates of failure rates to be made. The Reliability Subcommittee felt that a minimum of eight to ten observed failures was required for "good" accuracy when estimating equipment failure rates (see Fig. 1). Therefore, whenever possible and reasonable from an engineering point of view, equipment categories having less than ten observed failures were combined with other categories so as to bring the number of observed failures in the combined category up to a minimum of ten. In some cases an equipment category with a large number of

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
Documents
Document Sharing Hub



TABLE 1 - RESPONSE TO SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

Type of Industry	Number of Companies	Number of Plants
All Industry - USA & Canada.....	30*	68
Auto.....	0	0
Cement.....	0	0
Chemical.....	8	21
Metal.....	3	3
Mining.....	0	0
Petroleum.....	5	8
Pulp and Paper.....	1	1
Rubber & Plastics.....	3	3
Textile	1	3
Other Light Manufacturing.....	4	17
Other Heavy Manufacturing.....	1	2
Other.....	9	10
Foreign.....	1	1

*Some companies include more than one industry

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

observed failures was further subdivided. In most cases the equipment size attribute was eliminated by combining categories that were identical except for equipment size. These steps reduced the original 217 equipment categories to the 74 categories published in this paper. A total of 66 equipment categories have eight or more observed failures each; the other eight categories have between four and seven observed failures each.

Survey Results of Equipment Failures

Table 2 gives a summary of the "All Industry" equipment failure rate and equipment outage duration data for the 66 equipment categories that contain eight or more failures. The "actual hours downtime per failure" is based upon the actual outage data of the failed equipment; the "industry average" uses all equipment failures, and the "median plant average" uses all plants that reported actual outage time data on equipment failures.

The 1962 survey [11] contained equipment outage duration data on failures that have been challenged for two reasons.

1) Repairing a failed component may take much longer than replacing with a spare (for example, a large power transformer).

2) The urgency for repair is a significant factor in the outage time (low priority repairs may take days or weeks).

In order to help correct these deficiencies, two additional columns on "repair" and "replace with spare" were included in the survey and contain average estimated clock hours to fix failure during a 24-hour work day. These estimates are averaged over all the plants participating in the survey, even where there were no actual failures. These results are reported in Table 2 and are not included in the more detailed Tables 3–19.

Tables 3–19 give more detailed data on equipment failure rate and actual hours of equipment downtime per failure for 74 equipment categories; this includes the 66 equipment categories in Table 2 plus the eight equipment categories containing from four to seven failures. The additional detail includes

1) sample size in unit years;
2) number of failures;
3) number of plants reporting data;
4) additional data on actual hours of downtime per failure;
5) data for various industry groups where there were ten or more failures in that industry.

The data on average estimated clock hours to fix failure during 24-hour work day have been omitted from Tables 3–19.

The reliability data in Tables 14, 16, and 18 on cables, joints, and terminations represent a different look at the same data that are contained in Tables 13, 15, and 17. One set of tables looks at the type of insulation and the other set of tables looks at the application of the cable.

General Comments and Discussion

A survey that collects data from many plants often contains errors. Some of the errors are due to a misinterpretation of the question by the respondent, and in other cases they can be caused by omission.

Many of the respondents apparently misinterpreted the question on "number of installed units" for double- or triple-circuit electric utility power supplies. In addition, there was some confusion on the outage time after a failure of a single circuit of a double- or triple-circuit utility power supply. See the separate discussion elsewhere in this paper on these points. These are the only known major problems of misinterpretation of survey questions.

It is suspected that the failure rate estimates may be biased on the high side due to the tendency of companies to report only on equipment that has actually experienced failures. In other words, some companies may have omitted submitting unit-years of experience data on equipment that had no failures. This factor may be partially balanced out by the belief that the companies that participated in the survey may be the ones that have the best maintenance programs and keep the best records and thus may have lower failure rates than the average.

It is expected that a future paper will contain a comparison of the equipment reliability from this survey with the results from the previous survey [11] that was published in 1962. A preliminary comparison has been made and shows the following overall conclusion for 1973 versus 1962.

1) The 1973 equipment failure rates are about 0.6 times the 1962 failure rates.

2) The 1973 average downtime per failure is about 1.6 times the 1962 average downtime per failure.

3) The product of failure rate times average downtime per failure is almost the same in 1973 as 1962.

Both of these parameters are within a factor of two; and this is often the best accuracy that can be expected from reliability data.

How accurate are the failure rates shown in Tables 2–19? Fig. 1 shows the upper and lower confidence limits of the failure rate versus the number of failures observed. It can be seen that ten failures has upper and lower confidence limits of +70 percent and −46 percent for a 90 percent confidence interval. It is possible to determine the upper and lower confidence limits for the failure rate data shown in Tables 3–19.

Example of Confidence Limit Calculation

The use of Fig. 1 to determine confidence limits will be illustrated with an example. Suppose that it is desired to compute confidence limits on the failure rate of liquid-filled transformers with voltage above 15 kV in the chemical industry. The desired confidence interval is 90 percent. From Table 4, $\hat{\lambda} = 0.0119$ failures per unit-year, and the number of observed failures is 19. Entering Fig. 1 with 19 observed failures and using the 90 percent confidence interval curves yields

$$\lambda_L = \hat{\lambda} - 0.34\hat{\lambda}$$
$$= 0.0119 - 0.0041 = 0.0078 \text{ failures per unit-year}$$
$$\lambda_U = \hat{\lambda} + 0.46\hat{\lambda}$$
$$= 0.0119 + 0.0055 = 0.0174 \text{ failures per unit-year.}$$

There is a 90 percent chance that the true failure rate lies between 0.0078 and 0.0174 failures per unit-year.

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 2 - SUMMARY OF "ALL INDUSTRY" EQUIPMENT FAILURE RATE AND EQUIPMENT OUTAGE DURATION DATA FOR 66 EQUIPMENT CATEGORIES CONTAINING 8 OR MORE FAILURES

Equipment	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate-Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime per Failure: Industry Average	Actual Hours Downtime per Failure: Median Plant Average	Average Estimated Clock Hours to Fix Failure During 24 Hour Work Day: Repair Failed Component	Average Estimated Clock Hours to Fix Failure During 24 Hour Work Day: Replace with Spare
Electric Utility Power Supplies..	All.....	0.643	1.33	1.04	-	-
" " " "	Single Circuit.....	0.537	5.66	5.10	-	-
" " " "	Double or Triple Circuit-All.....	0.622	0.85	1.17	-	-
" " " "	Automatically Switched Over.....	0.735	0.59	0.93	-	-
" " " "	Manual Switchover.....	0.458	1.87	2.00	-	-
" " " " ...	Loss of All Circuits at One Time..	0.119	2.00	1.58	-	-
Transformers.....	Liquid Filled-All.....	0.0041	529.	219.	378.	73.4
"	601 - 15,000 Volts - All Sizes.....	0.0030	174.	49.	382.	74.3
"	300-750 kVA.....	0.0037	61.0	10.7	49.0	3.7
"	751-2,499 kVA.....	0.0025	217.	64.	297.	39.7
"	2,500 kVA & up.....	0.0032	216.	60.0	618.	150.
"	Above 15,000 Volts.....	0.0130	1076.	1260.	367.	71.5
"	Dry Type; 0 - 15,000 Volts.....	0.0036	153.	28.	67.	39.9
"	Rectifier; Above 600 Volts.....	0.0298	380.	80.	300.	20.0
Circuit Breakers.....	Fixed Type (incl. molded case) - All..	0.0052	5.8	4.0	31.7	4.5
" "	0 - 600 Volts - All Sizes.....	0.0044	4.7	4.0	6.0	2.0
" "	0 - 600 amps.....	0.0035	2.2	1.0	4.0	2.0
" "	Above 600 amps.....	0.0096	9.6	8.0	8.0	2.0
" "	Above 600 Volts.....	0.0176	10.6	3.8	44.5	12.0
" "	Metalclad Drawout - All.....	0.0030	129.	7.6	54.2	3.9
" "	0 - 600 Volts - All sizes.....	0.0027	147.	4.0	47.2	2.9
" "	0 - 600 amps.....	0.0023	3.2	1.0	75.6	1.2
" "	Above 600 amps.....	0.0030	232.	5.0	29.4	4.0
" "	Above 600 Volts.....	0.0036	109.	168.	62.4	5.2
Motor Starters.....	Contact Type; 0 - 600 Volts.....	0.0139	65.1	24.5	8.0	4.6
" "	Contact Type; 601 - 15,000 Volts.....	0.0153	284.	16.0	23.6	13.8

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Equipment	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime per Failure: Industry Average	Actual Hours Downtime per Failure: Median Plant Average	Average Estimated Clock Hours to Fix Failure During 24 Hour Work Day: Repair Failed Component	Average Estimated Clock Hours to Fix Failure During 24 Hour Work Day: Replace with Spare
Motors	Induction; 0 - 600 Volts	0.0109	114.	18.3	50.2	13.0
"	Induction; 601 - 15,000 Volts	0.0404	76.0	91.5	71.4	19.7
"	Synchronous; 0 - 600 Volts	0.0007	35.3	35.3	32.0	10.0
"	Synchronous; 601 - 15,000 Volts	0.0318	175.	153.	146.	18.7
"	Direct Current - All	0.0556	37.5	16.2	69.0	5.3
Generators	Steam Turbine Driven	0.032	165.	66.5	234.	201.
"	Gas Turbine driven	0.638	23.1	92.0	190.	400.
Disconnect Switches	Enclosed	0.0061	3.6	2.8	50.1	13.7
Switchgear Bus - Indoor & Outdoor (Unit = Number of Connected Circuit breakers or Instrument Transformer Compartments)	Insulated; 601 - 15,000 Volts	0.00170	261.	26.8	41.0	66.0
	Bare; 0 - 600 Volts	0.00034	550.	24.0	41.5	24.5
	Bare; Above 600 Volts	0.00063	17.3	13.0	20.6	7.3
Bus duct - Indoor & Outdoor (Unit = One Circuit Foot)	All Voltages	0.000125	128.	9.5	12.9	6.0
Open Wire (Unit = 1,000 Circuit Feet)	0 - 15,000 Volts	0.0189	42.5	4.0	4.6	8.0
	Above 15,000 Volts	0.0075	17.5	12.0	8.0	-
Cable - All Types of Insulation. (Unit = 1,000 Circuit Feet)	Above Ground & Aerial					
	0 - 600 Volts	0.00141	457.	10.5	20.8	39.7
" "	601 - 15,000 volts - All	0.01410	40.4	6.9	26.8	60.4
" "	In Trays Above Ground	0.00923	8.9	8.0	49.4	119.
" "	In Conduit Above Ground	0.04918	140.	47.5	-	19.8
" "	Aerial Cable	0.01437	31.6	5.3	10.6	28.0
" "	Below Ground & Direct Burial					
" "	0 - 600 Volts	0.00388	15.0	24.0	-	26.8
" "	601 - 15,000 Volts - All	0.00617	95.5	35.0	20.4	26.8
" "	In Duct or Conduit Below Ground	0.00613	96.8	35.0	20.9	26.8
"	Above 15,000 Volts	0.00336	16.0	16.0	16.0	-

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Equipment	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime per Failure: Industry Average	Actual Hours Downtime per Failure: Median Plant Average	Average Estimated Clock Hours to Fix Failure During 24 Hour Work Day: Repair Failed Component	Average Estimated Clock Hours to Fix Failure During 24 Hour Work Day: Replace with Spare
Cable.....	601 - 15,000 Volts					
(Unit = 1,000 Circuit Feet)...	Thermoplastic.....	0.00387	44.5	10.0	22.5	29.3
"	Thermosetting.....	0.00889	168.	26.0	27.2	55.2
"	Paper Insulated Lead Covered.....	0.00912	48.9	26.8	17.3	18.3
"	Other.....	0.01832	16.1	28.5	23.2	44.8
Cable Joints -All Types of Insul.	601 - 15,000 Volts					
" "	In Duct or Conduit Below Ground..	0.000864	36.1	31.2	14.7	5.5
Cable Joints.....	601 - 15,000 Volts					
" "	Thermoplastic.....	0.000754	15.8	8.0	12.6	22.0
" "	Paper Insulated Lead Covered.....	0.001037	31.4	28.0	30.0	-
Cable Terminations - All Types of Insulation.....	Above Ground & Aerial					
" " " "	0 - 600 Volts.....	0.000127	3.8	4.0	8.0	8.0
" " " "	601 - 15,000 Volts - All.....	0.000879	198.	11.1	34.6	40.6
" " " "	Aerial Cable.....	0.001848	48.5	11.3	15.3	18.0
" " " "	in Trays Above Ground.....	0.000333	8.0	9.0	48.8	58.3
" " " "	In Duct or Conduit Below Ground					
" "	601 - 15,000 Volts.....	0.000303	25.0	23.4	28.8	30.0
Cable Terminations.....	601 - 15,000 Volts					
	Thermoplastic.....	0.004192	10.6	11.5	12.0	12.0
" "	Thermosetting.....	0.000307	451.	11.3	30.2	42.8
" "	Paper Insulated Lead Covered...	0.000781	68.8	29.2	39.0	30.0
Miscellaneous.....	Inverters.....	1.254	107.	185.	5.0	8.0
"	Rectifiers.....	0.038	39.0	52.2	41.5	12.0

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

TABLE 3 - ELECTRIC UTILITY POWER SUPPLIES

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit - Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure: Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
30	314.4	202	All.....	All.....	0.643	1.33	*	1.04	24.0
7	70.8	38	"	Single Circuit.....	0.537	5.66	0.25	5.10	10.3
23	210.7	131	"	Double or Triple Circuit - All....	0.622	0.85	*	1.17	24.0
17	140.2	103	"	Automatically Switched Over.....	0.735	0.59	*	0.93	6.00
6	54.6	25	"	Manual Switchover.....	0.458	1.87	1.82	2.00	24.0
23	210.7	25	"	Loss of All Circuits At One Time	0.119	2.00	*	1.58	6.00
7	64.8	20	Chemical.....	All.....	0.309	1.42	*	1.58	6.00
7	64.8	20	"	Double or Triple Circuit - All....	0.309	1.42	*	1.58	6.00
6	60.1	20	"	Automatically Switched Over.....	0.333	1.42	*	1.58	6.00
3	46.5	10	Petroleum.....	All.....	0.215	6.80	0.33	4.95	9.57
2	18.5	49	Textile.....	All.....	2.649	0.28	0.014	2.17	4.33
2	18.5	49	"	Double or Triple Circuit - All....	2.649	0.28	0.014	2.17	4.33
1	3.4	46	"	Automatically Switched Over.....	13.46	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014
5	67.3	27	Other Light Manuf.	All.....	0.402	1.34	**	0.58	24.0
4	51.3	22	" " "	Double or Triple Circuit - All....	0.429	1.51	**	0.79	24.0
3	27.3	15	" " "	Automatically Switched Over.....	0.549	0.51	**	0.04	1.46

* 19 cycles
** 2 seconds

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 4 - TRANSFORMERS

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry		Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure: Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
33	15,210	63	All.....	Liquid Filled - All...	0.0041	529.	2.0	219.	3744.
30	13,210	39	"	601-15,000 volts - All Sizes....	0.0030	174.	2.0	49.	840.
12	3,002	11	"	300-750 kVA.....	0.0037	61.0	4.5	10.7	336.
18	6,040	15	"	751 - 2,499 kVA.....	0.0025	217.	2.0	64.0	840.
11	4,036	13	"	2,500 kVA & up.....	0.0032	216.	24.0	60.0	403.
12	1,848	24	"	Above 15,000 volts.....	0.0130	1076.	12.8	1260.	3744.
16	4,937	18	"	Dry Type; 0-15,000 volts.....	0.0036	153.	0.5	28.	720.
3	672	20	"	Rectifier, Above 600 volts.....	0.0298	380.	24.0	80.	867.
14	8,598	43	Chemical.....	Liquid Filled - All.....	0.0050	338.	8.0	168.	1800.
12	6,838	24	"	601-15,000 volts - All Sizes....	0.0035	52.3	8.0	48.5	336.
7	3,274	10	"	300-750 kVA.....	0.0031	19.3	3.0	8.0	120.
9	1,601	19	"	Above 15,000 volts.....	0.0119	670.	12.8	708.	3600.
2	662	16	"	Rectifier; Above 600 volts.....	0.0242	425.	80.0	474.	867.
3	2,512	14	Petroleum.....	Liquid Filled - All.....	0.0056	843.	4.5	591.	1178.
3	2,334	10	"	601-15,000 volts - All Sizes....	0.0043	244.	4.5	204.	403.

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 5 - CIRCUIT BREAKERS

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry		Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure: Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
16	9,501	49	All.....	Fixed Type(includes molded case) - all	0.0052	5.8	0.5	4.0	72.0
12	8,990	40	"	0 - 600 volts - All Sizes.....	0.0044	4.7	0.5	4.0	11.0
9	7,643	27	"	0-600 amps.....	0.0035	2.2	0.5	1.0	9.0
4	1,347	13	"	Above 600 amps.....	0.0096	9.6	5.0	8.0	11.0
5	510	9	"	Above 600 volts.....	0.0176	10.6	1.5	3.8	72.0
28	40,770	124	"	Metalclad, Drawout - All.....	0.0030	129.	0.3	7.6	890.
18	24,490	66	"	0-600 volts - All Sizes.....	0.0027	147.	0.2	4.0	894.
11	11,270	26	"	0-600 amps.....	0.0023	3.2	0.2	1.0	4.0
13	13,220	40	"	Above 600 amps.....	0.0030	232.	0.2	5.0	894.
22	16,280	58	"	Above 600 volts.....	0.0036	109.	1.1	168.	883.
5	1,961	20	Chemical.....	Fixed Type(includes molded case) - All	0.0102	8.1	4.3	9.0	11.0
3	1,520	15	"	0-600 volts - All Sizes.....	0.0099	9.5	5.0	9.0	11.0
2	937	13	"	Above 600 amps.....	0.0139	9.6	5.0	8.0	11.0
7	10,850	33	"	Metalclad, Drawout - All.....	0.0030	83.7	5.8	97.7	576.
7	4,808	31	"	Above 600 volts.....	0.0064	89.3	6.3	97.7	576.
3	1,885	18	Petroleum.....	Fixed Type(includes molded case) - All	0.0095	5.8	1.0	4.0	72.0
2	1,817	17	"	0-600 volts - All Sizes.....	0.0094	1.9	1.0	2.5	4.0
2	1,817	17	"	0-600 amps.....	0.0094	1.9	1.0	2.5	4.0
3	10,430	28	Textile.....	Metalclad, Drawout - All.....	0.0027	289.	0.3	4.0	890.
3	9,655	25	"	0-600 volts - All Sizes.....	0.0026	218.	0.3	4.0	894.
2	4,943	19	"	0-600 amps.....	0.0038	3.8	0.3	2.2	4.0

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 6 - MOTOR STARTERS

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
			All.....	Contact Type					
9	4,522	63	"	0-600 volts.....	0.0139	65.1	1.0	24.5	75.5
15	6,518	100	"	601-15,000 volts.....	0.0153	284.	3.0	16.0	1440.
3	854	5	"	Circuit Breaker.....	0.0059	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8
7	5,340	14	Chemical.....	Contact Type; 601-15,000 volts.....	0.0026	298.	4.5	16.0	1323.
1	207	51	Metal.....	Contact Type; 0-600 volts.....	0.2470	75.5	75.5	75.5	75.5
2	626	81	Petroleum.....	Contact Type; 601-15,000 volts.....	0.1294	1440.	1440.	1440.	1440.

TABLE 7 - MOTORS

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-YEars	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
			All.....	Induction					
17	19,610	213	"	0-600 volts.....	0.0109	114.	0.5	18.3	312.
17	4,229	171	"	601-15,000 volts.....	0.0404	76.0	3.3	91.5	191.
			"	Synchronous					
2	13,790	10	".....	0-600 volts.....	0.0007	35.3	35.3	35.3	35.3
11	4,276	136	"	601-15,000 volts.....	0.0318	175.	8.0	153.	360.
6	558	31	"	Direct Current.....	0.0556	37.5	4.0	16.2	139.
			Chemical.....	Induction					
6	9,638	50	"	0-600 volts.....	0.0052	22.5	6.	10.3	45.7
8	2,819	122	"	601-15,000 volts.....	0.0433	56.3	3.3	38.	191.
			"	Synchronous					
1	13,750	10	"	0-600 volts.....	0.0007	35.3	35.3	35.3	35.3
4	1,201	52	"	601-15,000 volts.....	0.0433	129.	25.8	113.	218.
			Petroleum.....	Induction					
3	6,467	146	"	0-600 volts.....	0.0226	158.	120.	139.	159.
2	1,015	34	"	601-15,000 volts.....	0.0335	139.	90.	119.	147.
			"	Synchronous					
2	2,826	78	"	601-15,000 volts.....	0.0276	207.	167.	210.	254.
			Rubber & Plastics.	Induction					
3	161	12	" "	601-15,000 volts.....	0.0748	144.	132	150.	168.
1	161	17	Textile.....	Direct Current.....	0.1056	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 8 - GENERATORS

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
8	761.8	24	All.....	Steam Turbine Driven.....	0.032	165.	1.5	66.5	1080.
4	89.4	57	".....	Gas Turbine Driven.....	0.638	23.1	5.0	92.0	720.
4	59.4	4	".....	Driven by Motor, Diesel, or Gas Engine.....	0.067	127.	121.	133.	144.
1	5.5	54	Petroleum.....	Gas Turbine Driven.....	9.818	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

TABLE 9 - DISCONNECT SWITCHES

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
8	2,065	6	All.....	Open.....	0.0029	183.	3.0	6.0	1080.
16	15,490	94	"	Enclosed.....	0.0061	3.6	0.2	2.8	9.3
4	2,205	22	Chemical.....	Enclosed.....	0.0100	6.0	2.0	5.1	6.5
1	4,293	61	Metal.....	Enclosed.....	0.0142	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8

TABLE 10 - SWITCHGEAR BUS: INDOOR & OUTDOOR
(Unit = Number of Connected Circuit Breakers or Instrument Transformer Compartments)

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
12	11,740	20	All.....	Insulated; 601-15,000 volts....	0.00170	261.	5.0	26.8	1613.
			"	Bare					
12	32,280	11	"	0-600 volts.....	0.00034	550.	2.0	24.0	2520.
5	20,560	13	"	Above 600 volts.....	0.00063	17.3	6.9	13.0	48.
5	4,003	15	Chemical.....	Insulated; 601-15,000 volts.	0.00375	340.	18.0	26.8	1613.
			"	Bare					
3	17,270	10	"	Above 600 volts.....	0.00058	19.3	6.9	42.0	48.

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 11 - BUS DUCT: INDOOR & OUTDOOR
(Unit = 1 Circuit Foot)

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate - Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
12	160,400	20	All.....	All Voltages.....	0.000125	128.	0.5	9.5	2160.

TABLE 12 - OPEN WIRE
(Unit = 1,000 Circuit Feet)

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate- Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
10	5,185	98	All.....	0-15,000 volts.....	0.0189	42.5	1.0	4.0	3600.
7	1,460	11	"	Above 15,000 volts.....	0.0075	17.5	0.4	12.0	48.
3	292.6	10	Chemical.....	0-15,000 volts.....	0.0342	606.	4.0	7.5	3600.
1	2,121	76	Petroleum.....	0-15,000 volts.....	0.0358	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
Documents
Document Sharing Hub

TABLE 13 - CABLE (ALL TYPES OF INSULATION)
(Unit = 1,000 Circuit Feet)

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate-Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant average
			All.....	Above Ground & Aerial					
10	5,692	8	"	0-600 volts.....	0.00141	457.	2.0	10.5	1802.
18	5,248	74	"	601-15,000 volts - All.....	0.01410	40.4	0.2	6.9	360.
7	1,517	14	"	In Trays Above Ground.....	0.00923	8.9	6.0	8.0	12.7
6	183	9	"	In Conduit Above Ground.....	0.04918	140.	4.0	47.5	360.
11	3,548	51	"	Aerial Cable.....	0.01437	31.6	0.2	5.3	178.
			"	Below Ground & Direct Burial					
3	2,060	8	"	0-600 volts.....	0.00388	15.0	8.0	24.0	48.0
26	19,120	118	"	601-15,000 volts - All.....	0.00617	95.5	0.3	35.0	4320.
26	18,940	116	"	In Duct or Conduit Below Ground	0.00613	96.8	0.3	35.0	4320.
1	2,975	10	"	Above 15,000 volts.....	0.00336	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0
			Chemical.....	Above Ground & Aerial					
7	1,961	44	"	601-15,000 volts - All.....	0.02244	35.5	2.0	4.7	154.
3	1,137	11	"	In Trays Above Ground.....	0.00968	7.8	6.0	7.0	8.0
5	737	28	"	Aerial Cable.....	0.03800	47.1	2.0	4.7	178.
			"	Below Ground & Direct Burial					
10	11,420	70	"	601-15,000 volts - All.....	0.00613	53.0	2.6	25.0	514.
10	11,420	70	"	In Duct or Conduit Below Ground	0.00613	53.0	2.6	25.0	514.
			Petroleum.....	Above Ground & Aerial					
2	2,838	15	"	601-15,000 volts - All.....	0.00529	21.0	7.7	27.7	47.6
2	2,669	12	"	Aerial Cable.....	0.00450	23.1	7.7	53.8	100.
			"	Below Ground & Direct Burial					
2	981	23	"	601-15,000 volts - All.....	0.02345	94.0	26.8	69.7	113.
2	981	23	"	In Duct or Conduit Below Ground	0.02345	94.0	26.8	69.7	113.
1	2,975	10	"	Above 15,000 volts.....	0.00336	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
Documents
Document Sharing Hub

TABLE 14 - CABLE (ALL APPLICATIONS)
(Unit = 1,000 Circuit Feet)

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate-Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure: Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
			All.....	601-15,000 volts					
9	9,819	38	"	Thermoplastic.....	0.00387	44.5	2.0	10.0	178.
15	5,960	53	"	Thermosetting.....	0.00889	168.	0.2	26.0	4320.
10	7,126	65	"	Paper Insulated Lead Covered..	0.00912	48.9	0.3	26.8	120.
8	1,419	26	"	Other.....	0.01832	16.1	0.7	28.5	168.
			Chemical.....	601-15,000 volts.					
7	9,158	36	"	Thermoplastic.....	0.00393	45.4	2.0	9.8	178.
3	2,578	26	"	thermosetting.....	0.01009	117.	17.3	202.	387.
4	937	26	"	Paper Insulated Lead Covered..	0.02774	10.7	2.6	25.0	120.
3	697	16	"	Other.....	0.02297	18.3	8.0	9.0	168.
			Petroleum.....	601-15,000 volts					
2	2,520	15	"	Thermosetting.....	0.00595	21.0	7.7	27.7	47.6
2	1,299	23	"	Paper Insulated Lead Covered..	0.01770	94.0	26.8	69.7	113.

TABLE 15 - CABLE JOINTS (ALL TYPES OF INSULATION)

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate-Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure: Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
			All.....	601-15,000 volts					
5	7,401	6	"	Above ground & Aerial.....	0.000811	20.3	8.0	16.5	48.0
12	40,500	35	"	In Duct or Conduit Below Ground	0.000864	36.1	1.0	31.2	160.
			Chemical.....	601-15,000 volts					
5	24,120	21	"	In Duct or Conduit Below Ground	0.000871	17.0	1.0	8.0	34.4

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
Documents
Document Sharing Hub

TABLE 16 - CABLE JOINTS (ALL APPLICATIONS)

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate-Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure: Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
			All.....	601-15,000 volts					
5	27,860	21	"	Thermoplastic.....	0.000754	15.8	3.4	8.0	36.0
4	4,857	6	"	Thermosetting.....	0.001235	102.	14.0	60.0	160.
5	13,500	14	"	Paper Insulated Lead Covered...	0.001037	31.4	1.0	28.0	75.5
			Chemical.....	601-15,000 volts					
4	22,900	20	"	Thermoplastic.....	0.000873	14.8	3.4	8.0	34.4

TABLE 17 - CABLE TERMINATIONS (ALL TYPES OF INSULATION)

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate-Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure: Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
			All.....	Above Ground & Aerial					
4	63,120	8	"	0-600 volts.....	0.000127	3.8	0.5	4.0	5.9
13	39,840	35	"	601-15,000 volts - All.....	0.000879	198.	1.0	11.1	728.
4	24,010	8	"	In Trays Above Ground.....	0.000333	8.0	7.0	9.0	11.0
3	3,920	5	"	In Conduit Above Ground....	0.001276	1157.	24.0	732.	1440.
7	11,910	22	"	Aerial Cable.....	0.001848	48.5	1.0	11.3	84.4
			"	In Duct or Conduit Below Ground					
6	26,390	8	"	601-15,000 volts.....	0.000303	25.0	16.0	23.4	34.5
			chemical.....	Above Ground & Aerial					
7	25,790	21	"	601-15,000 volts - All.....	0.000814	284.	7.0	11.2	728.
4	1,677	9	"	Aerial Cable.....	0.005367	14.6	9.0	13.7	24.0
			Petroleum.....	Above Ground & Aerial					
2	10,150	12	"	601-15,000 volts - All.....	0.001182	79.3	24.0	54.2	84.4
1	10,120	11	"	Aerial cable.....	0.001087	84.4	84.4	84.4	84.4

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 18 - CABLE TERMINATIONS (ALL APPLICATIONS)

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate-Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure: Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
			All.....	601-15,000 volts					
2	2,385	10	"	Thermoplastic.....	0.004192	10.6	7.0	11.5	16.0
9	42,310	13	"	Thermosetting.....	0.000307	451.	9.3	11.3	1440.
5	20,490	16	"	Paper Insulated Lead Covered.	0.000781	68.8	16.0	29.2	82.6

TABLE 19 - MISCELLANEOUS

Number of Plants in Sample Size	Sample Size Unit-Years	Number of Failures Reported	Industry	Equipment Sub Class	Failure Rate-Failures per Unit-Year	Actual Hours Downtime/Failure: Industry Average	Minimum Plant Average	Median Plant Average	Maximum Plant Average
5	3,164.	6	All.....	Fuses.....	0.0019	5.5	1.0	2.0	24.0
3	30,600.	6	"	Protective Relays.....	0.0002	5.0	0.5	3.8	7.2
3	11.2	14	"	Inverters.....	1.25	107.	2.1	185.	369.
3	314.	12	"	Rectifiers.....	0.0382	39.0	32.4	52.2	72.0
2	5.6	14	Chemical.....	Inverters.....	2.51	107.	2.1	185.	369.
1	16.8	10	Petroleum.....	Rectifiers.....	0.5970	32.4	32.4	32.4	32.4

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR IEEE SURVEY FORM ON
RELIABILITY OF ELECTRIC EQUIPMENT IN INDUSTRIAL PLANTS

(SPONSORED BY THE RELIABILITY WORKING GROUP,
INDUSTRIAL PLANTS POWER SYSTEMS SUBCOMMITTEE,
INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL POWER SYSTEMS COMMITTEE)

PURPOSE This survey is intended to collect data on failures that occur in in-plant electric equipment and in public utility electric power supplies that affect operations in industrial plants. We hope that these data will determine not only accurate failure rates and repair times on major classes of equipment, but will also give an insight into the causes of these failures in such a way that remedial recommendations may be formulated to reduce failures and to improve plant performance.

MAILING INSTRUCTIONS Mail all filled-out forms to the following address.

IEEE-IGA Reliability Working Group
Care of Assistant Professor A D Patton, Dept of Electrical Engineering
Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas 77843

DATA PROCESSING These forms will be given a confidential company code, and will then be key punched on cards for processing by a digital computer along with data collected from others. The computer will prepare a suitable report on failure rates, durations, and causes of failure.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION The reverse side of the Survey Form asks for additional information. The following information should be filled in on the reverse side of the first page of data for each plant: company name, plant name, type and location, the name, address, and phone number of the individual submitting the data and/or the individual to whom questions about the data may be directed.

In addition, space is provided for remarks or clarifying comments on the data being reported. These comments should be filled in on all data sheets, if needed to clarify data.

DEFINITIONS

A component is a piece of equipment, a line or circuit, or a section of a line or circuit, or a group of items which is viewed as an entity.

A system is a group of components connected or associated in a fixed configuration to perform a specified function of generating, transmitting, or distributing power.

A failure is defined as any trouble with a power system component that causes any of the following to occur.

(1) Partial or complete plant shutdown, or below-standard plant operation
(2) Unacceptable performance of user's equipment
(3) Operation of the electrical protective relaying or emergency operation of the plant electrical system
(4) Deenergization of any electric circuit or equipment

A failure on a public utility supply system may cause the user to have either (1) a power interruption or loss of service, or (2) a deviation from normal voltage or frequency of sufficient magnitude or duration to disrupt plant production.

A failure on an in-plant component causes a forced outage of the component, and the component thereby is unable to perform its intended function until it is repaired or replaced.

Repair time of a failed component or duration of a failure is the clock hours from the time of the occurrence of the failure to the time when the component is restored to service, either by repair of the component or by substitution with a spare component. It is not the time required to restore service to a load by putting alternate circuits into operation.

It includes time for diagnosing the trouble, locating the failed component, waiting for parts, repairing or replacing, testing, and restoring the component to service.

Revision 3-4-71

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

2

USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR IEEE SURVEY FORM ON
RELIABILITY OF ELECTRIC EQUIPMENT IN INDUSTRIAL PLANTS
(SPONSORED BY THE RELIABILITY WORKING GROUP,
INDUSTRIAL PLANTS POWER SYSTEMS SUBCOMMITTEE,
INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL POWER SYSTEMS COMMITTEE)

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

THE SURVEY FORM The IEEE Survey Form 1-1-70 is an input data form for a computer program. The data on these forms will be key punched onto computer cards and analyzed by the computer program.

CODED DATA The Survey Form asks for coded and uncoded data. It is necessary to refer to the instructions in filling in either. The following shows the columns on each card type that requires filling in a code.

CARD TYPE	COLUMNS REQUIRING CODES
1	1-10, 36
2	11-18, 33-36
3	25, 29, 30-53, 57, 58

It may happen that none of the codes shown fit the particular case being reported. For such cases, the "other" code should be used, by filling a "9" or a "99" in the space provided. "Other" means not otherwise classified. If this is done, explain on reverse side of page, referring to card type and column number.

EQUIPMENT CLASS A group of codes is used to specify an equipment class. An equipment class consists of a main code, two sub-class codes, a voltage code and a size code. These are explained in the instructions. For the example shown on the filled-out form, this code is as follows.

CLASS	CODE	DESCRIPTION
Main	20	= transformer
Sub 1	4	= power
Sub 2	34	= liquid filled
Voltage	2	= 601-15,000 volts primary
Size	3	= 300-750 kVA

The above coded equipment class covers all liquid-filled power transformers, with a primary voltage of 601-15,000 volts and rated 300-750 kVA. Any transformer in the plant that does not fit this example is a different classification and requires a different coding. Thus, a 5000 kVA power transformer, liquid filled, 13.8 kV primary voltage would be coded 20-4-34-2-5.

CARD-TYPES The Survey Form asks for three types of information under the headings CARD-TYPE 1, CARD-TYPE 2, and CARD-TYPE 3.

In general, CARD-TYPE 1 asks for data on plant identification and other general plant information.

CARD-TYPE 2 asks for data on a specific equipment class, including the total number of installed units, on their failure experience, on maintenance practices, and on estimated repair times of failed equipment. The total installed units and their failure experience is the most essential data asked for.

CARDS-TYPE 3 asks for data on each individual failure reported on a CARD-TYPE 2.

A typical plant might have as many as, say 30 different equipment classes. These 30 equipment classes might have, for example 10 different failures. To report this information requires 30 pages of the Survey Form, one for each different equipment class. CARD-TYPE 1 is filled in completely on the first page and partly thereafter. CARD-TYPE 2 is filled in on each page. CARDS-TYPE 3 are filled in 10 times, once for each failure, if any.

CARD-TYPE 1 CARD-TYPE 1 is used to identify the reporting company and plant of that company and to give general information about that plant. The first 10 columns on this card are to be repeated by the key puncher onto CARD-TYPE 2 and CARDS-TYPE 3 for identification purposes.

Only one CARD-TYPE 1 is used by the computer program. However, we ask that on each page of the IEEE Survey Form that the first 7 columns be filled-in in case the filled-out survey forms become separated.

Fill in Items 1-8 on reverse side of first page of data for each plant.

ALL CARD TYPES Fill in CARD-TYPE, column number, and remarks or comments on reverse side, if any, on all data cards.

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

3

USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR IEEE SURVEY FORM ON
RELIABILITY OF ELECTRIC EQUIPMENT IN INDUSTRIAL PLANTS
(SPONSORED BY THE RELIABILITY WORKING GROUP,
INDUSTRIAL PLANTS POWER SYSTEMS SUBCOMMITTEE,
INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL POWER SYSTEMS COMMITTEE

CARD-TYPE 2 The second or CARD-TYPE 2 is used to report on each different equipment class in the plant. A typical plant might have a one type of utility supply, and several different classes each of transformers, circuit breakers, cables, etc. These different classes are shown in Columns 11-18. These Columns 11-18 are to be repeated by the key puncher on all CARDS-TYPE 3. There will be as many CARDS-TYPE 2 as there are different equipment classes.

Each CARD-TYPE 2 is used to report (1) the total number installed of one equipment class and the total number of failures experienced (if any) of that equipment class.

In addition, each CARD-TYPE 2 is used to report on maintenance practices and estimated repair times. These are your best estimate of repair times. These estimated times will be used if actual repair times are not known, or if actual repair times are much different from the average for some special reason which is unlikely to recur. We prefer to use actual data if available.

These data are to be left blank for failures on the utility power supply, since this information is not normally available.

CARD-TYPE 3 The third or CARD-TYPE 3 is used to report on actual data for each failure reported on a corresponding CARD-TYPE 2. Thus, associated with each CARD-TYPE 2 is a set of CARDS-TYPE 3. The number of CARDS-TYPE 3 will be the same as the number of failures (column 31) reported on CARDS-TYPE 2, for example, if a CARD-TYPE 2 has a 3 in Column 31, then 3 CARDS-TYPE 3 should be filled in.

Each CARD-TYPE 3 reports specific information on one failure, such as failure duration, urgency of repair, cause of failure, loads affected by the failure, and effect of failure on plant operations.

RIGHT-ADJUSTMENT OF DATA In filling in data, numbers should be right-adjusted, that is, they must end in the right-hand column of the assigned field. This means that if, for example, the survey form provides 3 columns to insert data but a two-digit number is to be inserted in the space available, then the number should be filled into the two right-hand columns.

SAMPLE FILLED-OUT FORM Refer to the attached sample filled-out form. This gives an example of a report on one class of transformers with two failures.

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

7) DATE 3 - 4 - 71 , SAMPLE IEEE SURVEY FORM 11-1-70 PAGES 15 PAGE 4

RELIABILITY OF ELECTRIC EQUIPMENT IN INDUSTRIAL PLANTS

CARD – TYPE 1

(REFER TO SURVEY FORM INSTRUCTIONS)
(NOTE – * REFERS TO CODED DATA)

COMPANY CODE	PLANT* NO.	PLANT* TYPE	PLANT* LOCATION	PLANT* CLIMATE	PLANT* ATMOSPHERE	PLANT OPERATING SCHEDULE HR. PER DAY	PLANT OPERATING SCHEDULE DAYS PER WK.	ESTIMATED PLANT OUTAGE COST, $ PER FAILURE	ESTIMATED PLANT OUTAGE COST, $ PER HR. DOWNTIME	PLANT MAX. DEMAND AT PLANT DESIGN CAPACITY, KW	PLANT RESTART TIME, HOURS	CRITICAL SERVICE LOSS DURATION NO. OF UNITS	CRITICAL SERVICE LOSS DURATION UNITS*			CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
1	4	6	8	9	10	11	13	15	20	25	31	33	36			79	80
GEL	1	1	0	1	5	1	8	5	4000	2000	5400000	2	10	4		1	1

CARD – TYPE 2

EQUIPMENT CLASS* MAIN	SUB 1	SUB 2	VOLTAGE	SIZE	PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT FROM MO.	FROM YR.	TO MO.	TO YR.	NO. OF INSTALLED UNITS	NUMBER OF FAILURES	AVERAGE AGE*	MAINTENANCE NORMAL CYCLE, MO.	MAINTENANCE QUALITY	ESTIMATED CLOCK HOURS TO REPAIR A FAILURE: REPAIR FAILED COMPONENT 24-HR. PER DAY	REPAIR FAILED COMPONENT 8-HR. PER DAY	REPLACE WITH SPARE 24-HR. PER DAY	REPLACE WITH SPARE 8-HR. PER DAY	CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
11	13	15	17	18	19	21	23	25	27	31	33	34	36	37	41	45	48	79	80
20	43	42	3		1	66	10	70	120	2	3	3	2	100	300	14	48	2	1

CARDS – TYPE 3

FAILURE: NUMBER	DATE MO.	DATE YR.	FOREWARNING*	DURATION NO. OF UNITS	DURATION UNITS*	REPAIR METHOD*	REPAIR URGENCY*	NO. SINCE LAST MAINTAINED*	DAMAGED PART*	TYPE*	RESPONSIBILITY*	INITIATING CAUSE*	CONTRIBUTING CAUSE*	CHARACTERISTICS*	LOADS LOST*: COMPUTER	MOTOR	LIGHTING	SOLENOID	OTHER	% PRODUCTION LOST*	PLANT OUTAGE DURATION NO. OF UNITS	PLANT OUTAGE DURATION UNITS*	SERVICE RESTORED*	CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
19	21	23	25	26	29	30	32	34	36	38	40	42	44	46	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	57	58	79	80
1	969			60	2	2	1	2	9	1	1	4	99	15	1	1	1	1	1	2	44	4	4	3	1
2	870			180	2	1	1	3	2	1	5	99	10	6	1	1	0	9	1	2	44	4		3	2
3																								3	3
4																								3	4
5																								3	5
6																								3	6
7																								3	7
8																								3	8
9																								3	9
10																								3	0

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
AVA Documents Document Sharing Hub

MKD

APPENDIX A (P. 3 of 7)

5

USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARD-TYPE 1

CARD - TYPE 1

(REFER TO SURVEY FORM INSTRUCTIONS)
(NOTE - * REFERS TO CODED DATA)

COM-PANY CODE	PLANT*					PLANT OPERATING SCHEDULE		ESTIMATED PLANT OUTAGE COST, $		PLANT MAX. DEMAND AT PLANT DESIGN CAPACITY, KW	PLANT RESTART TIME, HOURS	CRITICAL SERVICE LOSS DURATION			CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
	NO.	TYPE	LOCATION	CLIMATE	ATMOSPHERE	HR. PER DAY	DAYS PER WK.	PER FAILURE	PER HR. DOWNTIME			NO. OF UNITS	UNITS*			
1	4	6	8	9	10	11	13	15	20	25	31	33	36		79	80
															1	1

COL UMN	NAME	CODE	DESCRIPTION
1	Company Code		Fill in on all pages a three-letter abbreviation of company name for identification of data.
4	Plant No		Fill in on all pages a sequence number starting with "1" for Plant 1, "2" for Plant 2, etc. for identification of data. A plant may consist of one or more units at the same site.
6	Plant Type		Fill in on all pages the plant type
		1	Auto Industry
		2	Cement Industry
		3	Chemical Industry
		4	Metal Industry
		5	Mining Industry
		6	Petroleum Industry
		7	Pulp and Paper Industry
		8	Rubber and Plastics Industry
		9	Textile Industry
		10	Other Light Manufacturing
		11	Other Heavy Manufacturing
		99	Other
8	Plant Location	1	USA and Canada
		2	Foreign
9	Plant Climate (For entire plant site)		Average of daily maximums for hottest month:
			Temperature / Relative Humidity (RH) (measured at noon to 2 PM ST)
		1	Hot (>90F) / High (>55 RH)
		2	Hot (>90F) / Moderate (50-55 RH)
		3	Hot (>90F) / Low (<50 RH)
		4	Moderate (80-90F) / High (>55 RH)
		5	Moderate (80-90F) / Moderate (50-55 RH)
		6	MOderate (80-90F) / Low (<50 RH)
		7	Low (<80F) / High (>55 RH)
		8	Low (<80F) / Moderate (50-55 RH)
		9	Low (<80F) / Low (<50 RH)
10	Plant Atmosphere (For entire plant site)	1	Clean to slightly polluted air
		2	With salt spray and corrosive chemicals
		3	With salt spray and dust or sand
		4	With salt spray only
		5	With corrosive chemicals and dust or sand
		6	With corrosive chemicals only
		7	With dust or sand only
		8	With conductive dust
		9	Other
	Plant Operating Schedule		
11	Hours per day		Give hours per normal working day that plant operates
13	Days per week		Give days per normal working week that plant operates
	Estimated Plant Outage Cost, Dollars		
15	Per Failure		Extra expense incurred because of a failure only (not including plant downtime), such as for damaged equipment, spoiled product, extra maintenance, or extra repair costs

EB

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
Documents
Document Sharing Hub

6

USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARD-TYPE 1

CARD – TYPE 1

(REFER TO SURVEY FORM INSTRUCTIONS)
(NOTE – * REFERS TO CODED DATA)

COMPANY CODE	PLANT*					PLANT OPERATING SCHEDULE		ESTIMATED PLANT OUTAGE COST, $		PLANT MAX. DEMAND AT PLANT DESIGN CAPACITY, KW	PLANT RESTART TIME, HOURS	CRITICAL SERVICE LOSS DURATION			CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
	NO.	TYPE	LOCATION	CLIMATE	ATMOSPHERE	HR. PER DAY	DAYS PER WK.	PER FAILURE	PER HR. DOWNTIME			NO. OF UNITS	UNITS*			
1	4	6	8	9	10	11	12	15	20	25	31	33	36		79	80
															1	1

COLUMN	NAME	CODE	DESCRIPTION
20	Per hour downtime		Value of lost production in dollars per hour of plant downtime only. This is the estimated revenues (sales price) of product not made, less expenses saved in labor, material, utilities, etc. If this varies with the duration of the plant downtime, use an average value per hour.
25	Plant maximum demand at design capacity, kW		Give the maximum electric power demand when the plant is operating at its rated or design capacity in kilowatts.
31	Plant restart time, hours		Give the time required to get the plant back into operation after service is restored following a failure that has caused a complete plant shutdown, hours.
	Critical service loss duration		
33	No of units		Give the maximum time in units defined in Col 36 of loss of service to the plant which will not cause a complete plant shutdown. Any power interruption of longer duration will cause a plant shutdown. In other words, give maximum length of power failure that will not stop plant production. This time is typically in the range of cycles to minutes.
36	Units	1	Select code for appropriate time unit that will give accurate results. Days
		2	Hours
		3	Minutes
		4	Seconds
		5	Cycles

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

WHD

APPENDIX A (P. 4 of 7)

7

USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARD-TYPE 2

CARD - TYPE 2

EQUIPMENT CLASS*					PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT				NO. OF INSTALLED UNITS	NUMBER OF FAILURES	AVERAGE AGE*	MAIN. - TENANCE		ESTIMATED CLOCK HOURS TO REPAIR A FAILURE				CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
					FROM		TO							REPAIR FAILED COMPONENT		REPLACE WITH SPARE			
MAIN	SUB 1	SUB 2	VOLTAGE	SIZE	MO.	YR.	MO.	YR.				NORMAL CYCLE, MO.	QUALITY	24-HR. PER DAY	8-HR. PER DAY	24-HR. PER DAY	8-HR. PER DAY		
11	13	15	17	18	19	21	23	25	27	31	33	34	36	37	41	45	49	79	80
																		2	1

COLUMN	NAME	CODE	DESCRIPTION
			Select appropriate code for Column 11-18
11	Main Class	10	Utility power supplies to plant
		20	Transformers
		30	Circuit Breakers
		40	Cable (Excluding joints and terminations)
		41	Cable Joints
		42	Cable Terminations
		43	Cable Duct or Busway
		44	Open Wire
		45	Busduct
		46	Switchgear Bus -insulated
		47	Switchgear Bus -bare
		50	Motors
		60	Generators
		70	Motor Starters
		80	Disconnect Switches
		90	Miscellaneous
		99	Other
13	Sub Class 1		For 10-Utility Power Supplies (A redundant supply will carry the plant load, if the normal circuit is out of service)
		1	Single Circuit (No redundant supply)
		2	Double Circuit (One redundant supply)
		3	Three or more circuits (two or more redundant supplies)
			For 20 - Transformers
		4	Power
		5	Other
			For 30-Circuit Breakers
		6	Metal Clad, drawout
		7	Fixed Type (includes molded case type)
			For 40-47 Cable or Bus
		9	Cable in Trays - aboveground
		10	Cable in Conduit -aboveground
		11	Aerial Cable
		12	Direct Buried Cable
		13	Cable in Duct or Conduit -belowground
		14	Bus or Busduct -indoor
		15	Bus or Busduct -outdoor
			For 50 - Motors
		16	Induction, ac
		17	Synchronous, ac
		18	Direct-current
			For 60 - Generators
		19	Steam Turbine Driven
		20	Gas Turbine Driven
		21	Diesel or Gas Engine Driven
		22	Motor-driven
			For 70 - Motor Starters
		23	Contactor Type
		24	Circuit Breaker

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

WHD

8

USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARD-TYPE 2

CARD – TYPE 2

EQUIPMENT CLASS*					PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT				NO. OF INSTALLED UNITS	NUMBER OF FAILURES	AVERAGE AGE*	MAIN. TENANCE		ESTIMATED CLOCK HOURS TO REPAIR A FAILURE				CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
					FROM		TO							REPAIR FAILED COMPONENT		REPLACE WITH SPARE			
MAIN	SUB 1	SUB 2	VOLTAGE	SIZE	MO.	YR.	MO.	YR.				NORMAL CYCLE, MO.	QUALITY	24-HR. PER DAY	8-HR. PER DAY	24-HR. PER DAY	8-HR. PER DAY		
11	13	15	17	18	19	21	23	25	27	31	33	34	36	37	41	45	49	79	80
																		2	1

COL UMN	NAME	CODE	DESCRIPTION
13	Sub Class 1 (Cont)		For 80 - Disconnect Switches
		25	Open
		26	Enclosed
			For 90 - Miscellaneous
		27	Fuses
		28	Protective relays
		29	Batteries
		30	Inverters
		31	Rectifiers
		99	Other
15	Sub Class 2		For 10-Utility Supplies When service is lost because of a loss of one circuit of a redundant supply service is restored
		1	Automatically
		2	By remote control
		3	Manually
			For 20 - Transformers
		34	Liquid Filled
		35	Dry Type
		38	Rectifier
			For 40-51 Cable Type of Insulation
		40	Thermoplastic (PVC)
		41	Thermoplastic (Polyethylene)
		42	Thermosetting (SBR (Buna S) Rubber)
		43	Thermosetting (Butyl Rubber)
		44	Thermosetting (Oil Based Rubber)
		45	Thermosetting (Cross-Linked Polyethylene)
		46	Thermosetting (Silicone Rubber)
		47	Thermosetting (Ethylene Propylene)
		48	Thermosetting (Chlorosulphated Propylene)
		49	Paper-Insulated Lead Covered
		50	Varnished Cambric Insulated-Lead Covered
		51	Mineral-Insulated
		99	Other (Applies to Col 13-15, all classes, if not otherwise classified)
17	Volt Class	1	0-600 volt (Note: For transformers this is primary voltage)
		2	601-15,000 volt
		3	Above 15,000 volt

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

9

USER INSTRUCTION FOR CARD-TYPE 2

CARD – TYPE 2

EQUIPMENT CLASS*					PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT				NO. OF INSTALLED UNITS	NUMBER OF FAILURES	AVERAGE AGE*	MAINT. + TENANCE		ESTIMATED CLOCK HOURS TO REPAIR A FAILURE				CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
					FROM		TO							REPAIR FAILED COMPONENT		REPLACE WITH SPARE			
MAIN	SUB 1	SUB 2	VOLTAGE	SIZE	MO.	YR.	MO.	YR.				NORMAL CYCLE, MO.	QUALITY	24-HR. PER DAY	8-HR. PER DAY	24-HR. PER DAY	8-HR. PER DAY		
11	13	15	17	18	19	21	23	25	27	31	33	34	36	37	41	45	49	79	80
																		2	1

COLUMN	NAME	CODE	DESCRIPTION
18	Size Class		For Main Class 10 - Utility Supplies For Main Class 30 - Circuit Breakers For Main Class 80 - Disc Switches For Main Class 90 - Miscellaneous, Fuses
		1	100-600 Amperes
		2	Above 600 amperes
			For Main Class 20 - Transformers
		3	300-750 kVA
		4	751-2499 kVA
		5	2500-up kVA
			For Main Class 40-45 - Cable, etc
		6	Above No 1 AWG
			For Main Class 50 - Motors
			For Main Class 70 - Motor Starters
		7	50-1500 horsepower
		8	Above 1500 horsepower
			For Main Class 60 - Generators
		9	500-up kW
	Period covered by this report		Give month and year (numerals) for period for which failure data is available
19	From: Mo		Starting Month (Try to include data from date of installation)
21	From: Yr		Starting Year
23	To: Mo		Ending Month (Try to include data to date of this report)
25	To: Yr		Ending Year
27	No of installed units		Give total number of units installed. For cable or open wire, give length of circuit or run in M ft. For cable duct or busduct, give circuit length in feet. For switchgear bus, give the number of connected circuit breakers or instrument transformer compartments. For utility power supplies, give the number of separate supplies.
31	No of Failures		Give total number of failures that occurred during period of report. If more than 10 use additional page.
			Select codes for Column 30-53
33	Average Age	1	Less than 1 year old
		2	1-10 years old
		3	More than 10 years old
	Maintenance		Give normal cycle for preventive maintenance - (even if a failure has not occurred)
34	Normal Cycle, Mo	1	Less than 12 months
		2	12-24 months
		3	More than 24 months
		4	No preventive maintenance
36	Maintenance Quality		Your estimate of quality of preventive maintenance is -
		1	Excellent (by own forces)
		2	Fair (by own forces)
		3	Poor, inadequate (by own forces)
		4	None
		5	Excellent (by contracted forces)
		6	Fair (by contracted forces)
		7	Poor inadequate (by contracted forces)

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

WHD

10

USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARD-TYPE 2

CARD – TYPE 2

EQUIPMENT CLASS*					PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT				NO. OF INSTALLED UNITS	NUMBER OF FAILURES	AVERAGE AGE*	MAINTENANCE			ESTIMATED CLOCK HOURS TO REPAIR A FAILURE				CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
					FROM		TO								REPAIR FAILED COMPONENT		REPLACE WITH SPARE			
MAIN	SUB 1	SUB 2	VOLTAGE	SIZE	MO.	YR.	MO.	YR.				NORMAL	CYCLE NO.	QUALITY	24-HR. PER DAY	8-HR. PER DAY	24-HR. PER DAY	8-HR. PER DAY		
11	13	15	17	18	19	21	23	25	27	31	33	34		36	37	41	45	48	79	80
																			2	1

COL UMN	NAME	CODE	DESCRIPTION
	Estimated clock hours		Repair time (see definitions) Fill in the clock time for diagnosing the trouble, locating the failed component, waiting for parts repairing or replacing, testing and restoring the component to service. This is your estimate of the average repair time. Please note that actual repair times are requested in CARD-TYPE 3, Col 26. Explain on reverse side how work is done if by other than own forces.
	Repair failed component		With repair of failed equipment
37	24-hr per day		On round-the-clock emergency basis
41	8-hr per day		On basis of repair during normal work day
			With replacement of failed equipment with a spare by removal of failed equipment and substitution of spare equipment
	Repair with spare		
45	24-hr per day		On round-the-clock emergency basis
48	8-hr per day		On basis of repair during normal work day

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

APPENDIX A (P. 6 of

11
USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARD-TYPE 3

CARDS - TYPE 3

FAILURE															LOADS LOST*						PLANT OUTAGE DURATION				
NUMBER	DATE		FOREWARNING*	DURATION		REPAIR METHOD*	REPAIR URGENCY*	NO. SINCE LAST MAINTAINED*	DAMAGED PART*	TYPE*	RESPONSIBILITY*	INITIATING CAUSE*	CONTRIBUTING CAUSE*	CHARACTERISTICS*	COMPUTER	MOTOR	LIGHTING	HEATING	OTHER	% PRODUCTION LOST*	NO. OF UNITS	UNITS*	SERVICE RESTORED*	CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
	MO.	YR.		NO. OF UNITS	UNITS*																				
19	21	23	25	26	29	30	32	34	35	38	40	42	44	46	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	57	58	79	80
1																								3	1

COLUMN	NAME	CODE	DESCRIPTION
19	Failure No		Fill in one card (line) for each failure. The last failure number in Col 19 should correspond with the total failures reported in Col 31 of CARD-TYPE 2. If that number was "0" then no TYPE 3 cards should be filled in.
	Failure Date		
21	Mo		Fill in month failure occured (numeral)
23	Yr		Fill in year failure occurred (numeral)
25	Failure Forewarning		For public utility power interruption only
		1	If no forewarning was given
		2	If forewarning was given
			For other types of failure, leave blank
	Failure Duration		Fill in duration of failure from its initiation until (1) service is restored to normal, if a power interruption, or (2) the affected component or its replacement once again becomes available to perform its intended function.
26	No of Units		Fill in the number of time units selected in Col 29.
29	Units		Select code for appropriate time unit that will give accurate results. For most cases select hours as unit.
		1	Days
		2	Hours
		3	Minutes
		4	Seconds
		5	Cycles
			Select code for Col 30-44 (Leave blank for utility failures)
30	Failure Repair Method	1	Repair of failed component in place or sent out for repair
		2	Repair by replacement of failed component with spare
32	Failure Repair Urgency	1	Requiring round-the-clock all out efforts
		2	Requiring repair work only during regular workday, perhaps with some overtime.
		3	Requiring repair work on a non-priority basis.
34	Failure, months since maintained		Failed component last had preventive maintenance -
		1	Less than 12 months ago
		2	12-24 months ago
		3	Over 24 months ago
		4	No preventive maintenance
36	Failure, Damaged Part	1	Insulation - winding
		2	Insulation - bushing
		3	Insulation - other
		4	Mechanical - bearings
		5	Mechanical - other moving parts
		6	Mechanical - other
		7	Other electrical - auxiliary device
		8	Other electrical - protective device
		9	Tap changer - no load type
		10	Tap changer - load type
		99	Other

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

12

USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARD-TYPE 3

CARDS – TYPE 3



COLUMN	NAME	CODE	DESCRIPTION
38	Failure Type	1	Flashover or arcing involving groun.³
		2	All other flashover or arcing
		3	Other electrical defect
		4	Mechanical defect
		99	Other
			<u>Your best estimate of suspected responsibility</u>
40	Failure Responsibility	1	Manufacturer-defective Component
		2	Transportation to Site - defective handling
		3	Application Engineering - improper application
		4	Inadequate installation and testing prior to startup
		5	Inadequate maintenance
		6	Inadequate operating procedures
		7	Outside agency -personnel
		8	Outside agency -other
		99	Other
42	Failure Initiating Cause		<u>Insulation breakdown caused by</u>
		1	Transient overvoltage disturbance (lightning, switching surges, arcing ground fault in ungrounded system)
		2	Overvoltage
		3	Overheating
		4	Other insulation breakdown
		21	Mechanical breaking, cracking, loosening, abrading, or deforming of static or structural parts
		22	Mechanical burnout, friction, or seizing of moving parts
		23	Mechanically caused damage from foreign source (digging, vehicular accident, etc)
		41	Shorting by tools or metal objects
		42	Shorting by birds, snakes, rodents, etc
		51	Loss of control power
		52	Malfunction of protective relay control device, or auxiliary device
		61	Low voltage
		62	Low frequency
		99	Other
44	Failure Contributing Cause	1	Persistent overloading
		2	Above-normal temperatures
		3	Below-normal temperature
		4	Exposure to agressive chemicals or solvents
		5	Exposure to abnormal moisture or water
		6	Exposure to non-electrical fire or burning
		8	Obstruction of ventilation by foreign object or material
		9	Normal deterioration from age
		10	Severe wind, rain, snow, sleet, or other weather conditions
		11	Protective relay improperly set
		12	Loss or deficiency of lubricant
		13	Loss or deficiency of oil or cooling medium
		14	Misoperation or testing error
		15	Exposure to dust or other contaminents
		99	Other

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

WHD

APPENDIX A (P. 7 of 7)

13
USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARD-TYPE 3

CARDS - TYPE 3

FAILURE																			LOADS LOST*						PLANT OUTAGE DURATION				
NUMBER	DATE		FORECASTING*	DURATION		REPAIR METHOD*	REPAIR URGENCY*	NO. SINCE LAST MAINTAINED*	DAMAGED PART*	TYPE*	RESPONSIBILITY*	INITIATING CAUSE*	CONTRIBUTING CAUSE*	CHARACTERISTIC*	COMPUTER	MOTOR	LIGHTING	SOLENOID	OTHER	% PRODUCTION LOST*	NO. OF UNITS	UNITS*	SERVICE RESTORED*	CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
	MO.	YR.		NO. OF UNITS	UNITS*																					
19	21	23	25	26	29	30	32	34	36	38	40	42	44	46	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	57	58	79	80
																								3	1

COLUMN	NAME	CODE	DESCRIPTION
46	Failure Characteristic		<u>Utility Power Supplies</u> (Select code)
		1	Failure of single circuit (No redundant supply)
		2	Failure of one circuit of a double-circuit redundant supply
		3	Failure of both circuits of a double-circuit redundant supply
		4	Failure of all circuits of a three or more circuit redundant supply
		5	Partial failure of a three or more circuit redundant supply
			<u>Transformers</u> (Select code)
		6	Automatic removal by protective equipment
		7	Partial failure reducing capacity
		8	Manual removal
			<u>Circuit Breakers</u> (Select code)
		9	Failed to close when it should
		10	Failed while opening
		11	Opened when it shouldn't
		12	Damaged while successfully opening
		13	Damaged while closing
		14	Failed while operating (not while opening or closing)
			<u>General</u> (Select code for any other class)
		15	Failed (this applies to all classes)
		16	Failed during testing or maintenance
		17	Damage discovered during testing or maintenance
		20	Partial failure
		99	Other
	Loads Lost		What loads were lost because of failure (1=yes, 0=no, 9= not known) even though power is restored promptly
48	Computer		One or more computers or solid-state control devices operated incorrectly
49	Motor		One or more motors (contactor dropout)
50	Lighting		Lighting load
51	Solenoid		One or more solenoid -operated devices dropped out, such as a solenoid-operated fuel valve
52	Other		Lost other loads, describe in remarks
53	Percent Production Lost	0	None
		1	0-30 percent
		2	Above 30 percent

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

WHD

14

USER INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARD-TYPE 3

CARDS – TYPE 3

FAILURE																	LOADS LOST*						PLANT OUTAGE DURATION				
NUMBER	DATE		FORENAMING*	DURATION		REPAIR METHOD*	REPAIR URGENCY*	NO. SINCE LAST MAINTAINED*	DAMAGED PART*	TYPE*	RESPONSIBILITY*	INITIATING CAUSE*	CONTRIBUTING CAUSE*	CHARACTERISTIC*	COMPUTER	MOTOR	LIMITING	SOLENOID	OTHER	% PRODUCTION LOST*	NO. OF UNITS	UNITS*	SERVICE RESTORED*	CARD TYPE	CARD NO.
	MO.	YR.		NO. OF UNITS	UNITS*																				
19	21	23	25	26	29	30	32	34	36	38	40	42	44	46	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	57	58	79	80
1																								3	1

COL UMN	NAME	CODE	DESCRIPTION
54	No of Units		Fill in number of time units selected in Col 57
57	Units		Select code for appropriate time unit that will give accurate results. For most cases select hours as unit.
		1	Days
		2	Hours
		3	Minutes
		4	Seconds
		5	Cycles
58	Service restored		Give method of restoring service to plant
		1	Primary selection -manual
		2	Primary selection -automatic
		3	Secondary selection -manual
		4	Secondary selection -automatic
		5	Network protector operation -automatic
		6	Repair of failed componenet
		7	Replacement of failed component with spare
		8	Utility restored service
		9	Other -explain in remarks

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

Discussion

Motors

The data in Tables 7 and 2 show that synchronous motors, 0–600 V, have a failure rate approximately 15 times lower than induction motors, 0–600 V. It is believed that the failure 0.0007 per year for synchronous motors, 0–600 V, is much too low and is in error. It is believed that synchronous and induction motors, 0–600 V, should have failure rates that are nearly the same.

Generators

The data in Tables 8 and 2 show that steam turbine driven generators have a failure rate almost 20 times lower than gas turbine driven generators. It is believed that the failure rate of 0.032 per year for steam turbine driven generators is too low; the failure rate should probably be several times higher than this value. The gas turbine data in Table 8 show that one plant in the petroleum industry had 54 failures in 5.5 unit-years; this compares with 3 failures in 83.9 unit-years for the other three plants that submitted data in the survey. It is believed that the overall failure rate of 0.638 per year for gas turbines is too high.

Open Wire

A clear definition was not given for "open wire" on the survey form (see Appendix A). It is believed that all of the respondents interpreted "open wire" to mean "bare or weatherproof conductors supported on insulators."

Cable

The data in Tables 13 and 2 show that cable above ground and aerial has a failure rate for 0–600 V that is ten times lower than 601–15 000 V. It is believed that the failure rate of 0.00141 per unit-year for 0–600 V above ground and aerial is too low.

There is a wide variation in the failure rate for cable, 601–15 000 V, based upon the application (in trays above ground, in conduit above ground, aerial cable, in duct or conduit below ground). This variation covers a range of 8 to 1. It is believed that the failure rate of 0.04918 per year is too high for cable, 601–15 000 V, in conduit above ground.

There is a wide variation in the cable failure rate shown in Table 14 (and Table 2) for the different types of insulation (601–15 000 V, all applications). These failure rates vary over a range of 5 to 1. The very low failure rate data for thermoplastic insulation and the high failure rate data for other insulation came primarily from the chemical industry.

Switchgear Bus

The failure rate in Table 10 (and Table 2) shows that insulated bus, 601–15 000 V, has a failure rate about three times higher than bare bus, above 600 V. It is believed that this is the opposite of what it should be. The data submitted by the chemical industry has caused this distortion; they had a very high failure rate for insulated bus (601–15 000 V) and a low failure rate for bare bus (above 600 V).

Electric Utility Power Supplies

The data for electric utility power supplies are shown in Tables 3 and 2. The failure rate is about the same for a single circuit and a double or triple circuit. This is evidently due to the predominance of the throwover mode of operation of multiple-circuit supplies. However, the actual downtime per failure is about three to nine times higher for a single circuit than for a double or triple circuit; the downtime depends on whether manual switchover or automatic switchover is used on a multiple-circuit system.

It appears that many respondents misinterpreted the "number of installed units" for double- or triple-circuit electric utility power supplies. What was desired was the number of separate and independent points of supply, but this was often interpreted to be the number of circuits in the utility supply system. Thus the tendency was to report two installed units for double-circuit supplies. It is believed that this error was made in almost every case. Therefore, *the Reliability Subcommittee changed the number of installed units for multiple-circuit utility supplies to 1 except in those cases where other evidence indicated the presence of more than one point of supply.* The sample size shown in Tables 3 and 2 reflects this change for double- or triple-circuit electric utility power supplies. Thus a double- or triple-circuit supply for one year is counted as one unit-year.

It also appears that a few respondents incorrectly interpreted failure duration on card type 3 for multiple-circuit electric utility supplies. What was desired was the period of time during which service was interrupted. However, in a few cases it appears that what was given was the time to repair one circuit of a multiple-circuit supply even though the supply interruption time is limited to the time required to throw over to the alternate supply circuit. The *Reliability Subcommittee changed the failure duration to the value given for plant outage duration in those cases in which such an error was believed to exist.* However, it is suspected that not all of these errors were corrected. The effect of this change was to reduce the actual hours of downtime per failure for multiple-circuit supplies. The majority of the multiple-circuit supply failures are due to loss of the normal feed, and the duration of the failure is limited to the time to switch to the alternate feed. The average outage duration in Tables 3 and 2 is shorter for automatic switching than for manual switching, as one would expect.

There were 25 recorded cases of simultaneous failure of all circuits in a double- or triple-circuit supply. This gives a failure rate of 0.119 failure per year for loss of all circuits at one time. Further details on this are given in Part 3 [13]. Thus a multiple-circuit electric utility power supply has a failure rate (loss of all circuits at one time) that is only about five times lower than the failure rate (0.537 failures per year) for a single-circuit supply and about six times lower than the all-inclusive failure rate of 0.643 failure per year. The ratio between all-inclusive failure rate and the failure rate for loss of all circuits at one time is not as large as one might suspect. Some of the reasons for this are the following.

1) Some portion of utility supply failures are due to failure of the bulk power system which feeds all the supply circuits.

2) At least some cases of loss of all circuits at one time occur when a forced outage of one circuit overlaps a scheduled or maintenance outage of the other circuit (typical utility industry data indicate that this type of overlapping outage is often more probable than overlapping forced outages).

3) The all-inclusive failure rate is, in effect, an average outage rate reflecting the performance of some throwover schemes and some normally closed breaker schemes. Thus, since throw-

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

over schemes are expected to have higher outage rates than normally closed breaker schemes, it follows that the computed all-inclusive outage rate is probably somewhat lower than the outage rate which would be computed for throwover schemes only. (Unfortunately we cannot compute the throwover scheme outage rate since we do not know which of the reported utility supplies are throwover schemes.)

Only point 3) reflects on the accuracy of the data; the other two points just reflect the facts of life.

A comparison of the all-inclusive failure rate (0.643 failures per year) with the failure rate for loss of all circuits at one time (0.119 failures per year) gives a rough idea of the degree of supply failure rate improvement possible by going from a throwover scheme to a scheme using normally closed circuit breakers.

References

[1] W. H. Dickinson, P. E. Gannon, C. R. Heising, A. D. Patton, and D. W. McWilliams, "Fundamentals of reliability techniques as applied to industrial power systems," in *Conf. Rec. 1971 IEEE Ind. Comm. Power Syst. Tech. Conf.* 71C18-IGA, pp. 10–31.

[2] C. R. Heising, "Reliability and availability comparison of common low-voltage industrial power distribution systems," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Gen. Appl.*, vol. IGA-6, pp. 416–424, Sept./Oct. 1970.

[3] W. H. Dickinson, "Economic evaluation of industrial power system reliability," *AIEE Trans. (Appl. Ind.)*, vol. 76, pp. 264–271, Nov. 1957.

[4] W. H. Dickinson, "Evaluation of alternative power distribution systems for refinery process units," *AIEE Trans. (Power Appl. Syst.)*, vol. 79, Apr. 1960.

[5] W. H. Dickinson, "Economic justification of petroleum industry automation and other alternatives by the revenue requirements method," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Gen. Appl.*, vol. IGA-1, pp. 39–50, Jan./Feb. 1965.

[6] D. P. Garver, F. E. Montmeat, and A. D. Patton, "Power systems reliability I—Measures of reliability and methods of calculation," *IEEE Trans. Power Appl Sys.*, vol. 83, pp. 727–737, July 1964.

[7] F. E. Montmeat, A. D. Patton, J. Zemkoski, and D. J. Cummin, "Power system reliability II—Applications and a computer program," *ibid.*, vol. PAS-84, pp. 636–643, July 1965.

[8] Z. G. Todd, "A probability method for transmission and distribution outage calculations," *IEEE Trans. Power App. Syst.*, vol. 83, pp. 695–701, July 1964.

[9] C. F. DeSieno, and L. L. Stine, "A probability method for determining the reliability of electric power systems," *IEEE Trans. Power App. Syst.*, vol. 83, pp. 174–181, Feb. 1964.

[10] "General principles for reliability analysis of nuclear power generating station protection systems," IEEE Publ. 352, ANSI N41.4, 1972.

[11] W. H. Dickinson, "Report on reliability of electric equipment in industrial plants," *AIEE Trans. (Appl. Ind.)*, vol. 81, pp. 132–151, July 1962.

[12] IEEE Committee Report, "Report on reliability survey of industrial plants, Part II: Cost of power outages, plant restart time, critical service loss duration time, and type of loads lost versus time of power outages," this issue, pp. 236–241.

[13] IEEE Committee Report, "Report on reliability survey of industrial plants; Part III: Causes and types of failures of electrical equipment, methods of repair, and urgency of repair," this issue, pp. 242–249.

[14] N. H. Roberts, *Mathematical Methods in Reliability Engineering.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964.

[15] I. Bazovsky, *Reliability Theory and Practice*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1961.

[16] D. K. Lloyd and M. Lipow, *Reliability: Management, Methods, and Mathematics.* Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1962.

[17] A. D. Patton, "Determination and analysis of data for reliability studies," *IEEE Trans. Power App. Syst.*, vol. PAS-87, pp. 84–100, Jan. 1968.

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

Report on Reliability Survey of Industrial Plants, Part II: Cost of Power Outages, Plant Restart Time, Critical Service Loss Duration Time, and Type of Loads Lost Versus Time of Power Outages

IEEE COMMITTEE REPORT

Abstract—An IEEE sponsored reliability survey of industrial plants was completed during 1972. This survey included the cost of power outages, plant restart time, critical service loss duration time, and type of loads lost versus power outage duration time. Survey results reflect data from 30 companies covering 68 plants in nine industries in the United States and Canada. This information is useful in the design of industrial power distribution systems.

Introduction

KNOWLEDGE of the cost of power outages and of plant restart time is important information for use in the design of industrial power distribution systems. In addition it is also desirable to know the critical service loss duration time and the type of loads lost versus the time of power outage.

During 1972 the Reliability Subcommittee of the IEEE Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Committee completed a reliability survey of industrial plants. This is the second part, which reports results from the survey. Included in this paper are the following results:

1) cost of power outages to industrial plants in the United States and Canada (dollars per kilowatt interrupted plus dollars per kilowatthour of undelivered energy);
2) plant restart time after a failure that has caused complete plant shutdown;
3) critical service loss duration time, that is, the maximum length of power failure that will not stop plant production;
4) type of loads lost versus the time of power outage (this includes computer, motor, lighting, and solenoid loads, and gives plant outage duration times resulting from these failures).

Paper TOD-73-158, approved by the Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Committee of the IEEE Industry Applications Society for presentation at the 1973 Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Technical Conference, Atlanta, Ga., May 13-16. Manuscript released for publication November 5, 1973.

Members of the Reliability Subcommittee of the IEEE Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Committee are W. H. Dickinson, *Chairman*, P. E. Gannon, M. D. Harris, C. R. Heising, D. W. McWilliams, R. W. Parision, A. D. Patton, and W. J. Pearce.

Survey Form

The survey form used is shown in Appendix A of Part 1 [1]. The information on the cost of power outages came from card type 1, columns 13, 20, and 25. Card type 1 also contained plant restart time (column 31) and critical service loss duration (columns 33 and 36).

The data on type of loads lost came from card type 3, columns 48, 49, 50, 51, and 52. The data on time of power outage came from columns 26 and 29 of card type 3; these data are actually the outage duration time after a failure of the electric utility power supply or a failure of electrical equipment in the power distribution system.

Response to Survey

A total of 30 companies responded to the survey questionnaire reporting data on 68 plants from nine industries in the United States and Canada. Every response did not supply all the information requested on every question. Tables 22-29

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
Documents
Document Sharing Hub

give data on how many plants provided answers to the various questions.

Statistical Analysis

The results were compiled for the United States and Canada. Data from one foreign plant are also included separately.

Survey Results

Cost of Power Outages

Each plant was asked to report data on the cost of power outages as follows:

1) Dollars per failure, i.e., extra expense incurred because of a failure only (not including plant downtime) such as for damaged equipment, spoiled product, extra maintenance, or extra repair costs.

2) Dollars per hour of downtime, i.e., value of lost production in dollars per hour of plant downtime only. This is the estimated revenues (sales price) of product not made, less expenses saved in labor, material, utilities, etc. If this varies with the duration of the plant downtime, an average value per hour was to be given.

3) Maximum electric power demand when the plant is operating at its rated or design capacity in kilowatts.

This made it possible to calculate an estimate of the cost of power outages in terms of the dollars per kilowatts interrupted plus the dollars per kilowatthours of undelivered energy. The average cost of power outages from the survey is given in Table 20.

Of the 41 plants that reported outage cost data in the survey, 31 had a maximum demand greater than 1000 kW and 10 had a maximum demand less than 1000 kW. Cost data for plants with maximum demands less than 1000 kW are not considered particularly reliable due to the small number of such plants represented in the data.

There is a wide spread in the cost of power outages. Consequently few plants with high outage costs can have a significant effect on the overall average cost. In such cases the median cost of power outages may be more representative than the average cost. The median cost is such that half of the plants have a cost greater than this value and half have less. Table 21 shows the median power outage costs. Additional details on the cost of power outages are given in Tables 22-27. These additional details include: 1) number of plants reporting the outage cost per failure and the outage cost per hour of downtime, 2) minimum plant cost, 3) maximum plant cost, 4) costs for various industries.

Tables 22, 24, and 26 give the cost of outage per failure per kilowatt maximum demand. Tables 23, 25, and 27 give the cost of a sustained outage per hour down per kilowatt maximum demand.

Plant Restart Time

Each plant was asked to report data on the time required to get the plant back into operation after service is restored following a failure that has caused a complete plant shutdown. A total of 43 plants reported these data. The average plant

TABLE 20 - AVERAGE COST OF POWER OUTAGES FOR INDUSTRIAL PLANTS IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CANADA

All Plants	$1.89 per kW + $2.68 per kWh
Plants > 1000 kW Max. Demand	$1.05 per kW + $0.94 per kWh
Plants < 1000 kW Max. Demand	$4.59 per kW + $8.11 per kWh

TABLE 21 - MEDIAN COST OF POWER OUTAGES FOR INDUSTRIAL PLANTS IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CANADA

All plants	$0.69 per kW + $0.83 per kWh
Plants > 1000 kW Max. Demand	$0.32 per kW + $0.36 per kWh
Plants < 1000 kW Max. Demand	$3.68 per kW + $4.42 per kWh

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

restart time was 17 h. The median was 4 h. Additional details are given in Table 28.

Critical Service Loss Duration Time

One of the most commonly asked questions is, What is a power failure? In particular, How long can power be lost without causing a complete plant shutdown? Each plant was asked to report data giving the maximum length of power failure that will not stop plant production. This time is typically in the range of cycles to minutes and is called "critical service loss duration time."

A total of 55 plants reported data on critical service loss duration time. The median value was 10 s, that is, half of the plants were greater than this value and half were less. Additional details are given in Table 29.

Loads Lost Versus Time of Power Outage

Each plant was asked, What loads were lost because of failure even though power was restored promptly? Five types of loads were included in the survey:

1) *computer:* one or more computers or solid-state control devices operated incorrectly;
2) *motor:* one or more motors (contactor dropout);
3) *lighting:* lighting load;
4) *solenoid:* one or more solenoid-operated devices dropped out, such as a solenoid-operated fuel valve;
5) *other:* lost other loads, to be described in remarks.

A very short outage duration time after an equipment failure (including electric utility power supply) might not result in a loss of load. Table 30 shows how short power outage duration times after an equipment failure affected the loads lost. The average plant outage duration resulting from these failures is also given in Table 30.

Discussion of Results

Cost of Power Outages (Tables 20–27)

1) There is a wide spread in the cost of power outages (per kilowatt and per kilowatthour) of industrial plants. Even within a given industry, such as chemical, there is a wide spread in the cost of power outages (per kilowatt and per kilowatthour) for different plants.

2) Plants with a maximum demand of less than 1000 kW have a much higher cost of power outages (per kilowatt and per kilowatthour) than plants with a maximum demand of greater than 1000 kW. This indicates that small industrial plants have a higher cost of power outages (per kilowatt and per kilowatthour) than large industrial plants. It is suspected that this may be because the small industrial plants have more employees per kilowatt (and per kilowatthour). It is also possible that high-consumption industries tend to have a lot of electrochemical or heating processes, and these tend to have low outage costs; for example, heat not supplied now can be supplied later, providing the outage is not too long.

3) It is suggested that the "all-industry" data for the 41 and 42 plants should be compiled to show 25 percent and 75 percent in addition to the minimum median and maximum values already tabulated (Tables 22 and 23).

4) It is suggested that future surveys also include the cost of power outages (per kilowatt and per kilowatthour) of commercial buildings.

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 22 - PLANT OUTAGE COST PER FAILURE PER kW OF MAXIMUM DEMAND - ALL PLANTS ($ per kW)

Industry	Number of Plants Reporting	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Average
All Industry - USA & Canada	42	.002	.69	10.00	1.89
Auto	0	-	-	-	-
Cement	0	-	-	-	-
Chemical	11	.02	.22	3.33	.75
Metal	2	.18	2.42	4.67	2.42
Mining	0	-	-	-	-
Petroleum	5	.002	.07	.31	.12
Pulp and Paper	1	.33	.33	.33	.33
Rubber and Plastics	2	.28	.50	.71	.50
Textile	2	.07	1.00	1.92	1.00
Other Light Manufacturing	6	.09	1.10	2.80	1.22
Other Heavy Manufacturing	8	1.67	3.85	10.00	5.11
Other	5	.25	.94	7.50	2.86
Foreign	1	.33	.33	.33	.33

TABLE 23 - PLANT OUTAGE COST PER HR. DOWNTIME PER kW OF MAXIMUM DEMAND - ALL PLANTS ($ per kWh)

Industry	Number of Plants Reporting	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Average
All Industry - USA & Canada	41	.0009	.83	27.00	2.68
Auto	0	-	-	-	-
Cement	0	-	-	-	-
Chemical	12	.0009	.14	2.11	.33
Metal	2	.55	.94	1.33	.94
Mining	0	-	-	-	-
Petroleum	2	.04	1.24	2.43	1.24
Pulp and Paper	1	.07	.07	.07	.07
Rubber and Plastics	3	.28	.36	1.33	.66
Textile	1	.24	.24	.24	.24
Other Light Manufacturing	6	.33	.79	2.00	.91
Other Heavy Manufacturing	8	.93	6.35	27.00	9.73
Other	6	.75	2.50	5.77	2.69
Foreign	1	.07	.07	.07	.07

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 24 - PLANT OUTAGE COST PER FAILURE PER kW OF MAXIMUM DEMAND - PLANTS MORE THAN 1,000 kW MAX. DEMAND ($ per kW)

Industry	Number of Plants Reporting	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Average
All Industry - USA & Canada	32	.002	.32	7.50	1.05
Auto	0	-	-	-	-
Cement	0	-	-	-	-
Chemical	11	.02	.22	3.33	.75
Metal	1	.18	.18	.18	.18
Mining	0	-	-	-	-
Petroleum	5	.002	.07	.31	.12
Pulp and Paper	1	.33	.33	.33	.33
Rubber and Plastics	2	.28	.50	.71	.50
Textile	2	.07	1.00	1.92	1.00
Other Light Manufacturing	4	.09	1.10	2.80	1.27
Other Heavy Manufacturing	1	1.87	1.87	1.87	1.87
Other	5	.25	.94	7.50	2.86
Foreign	1	.33	.33	.33	.33

TABLE 25 - PLANT OUTAGE COST PER HR. DOWNTIME PER kW OF MAXIMUM DEMAND - PLANTS MORE THAN 1,000 kW MAX. DEMAND ($ per kWh)

Industry	Number of Plants Reporting	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Average
All Industry - USA & Canada	31	.0009	.36	5.77	.94
Auto	0	-	-	-	-
Cement	0	-	-	-	-
Chemical	12	.0009	.14	2.11	.33
Metal	1	.55	.55	.55	.55
Mining	0	-	-	-	-
Petroleum	2	.04	1.24	2.43	1.24
Pulp and Paper	1	.07	.07	.07	.07
Rubber and Plastics	3	.28	.36	1.33	.66
Textile	1	.24	.24	.24	.24
Other Light Manufacturing	4	.33	.54	1.20	.65
Other Heavy Manufacturing	1	.93	.93	.93	.93
Other	6	.75	2.50	5.77	2.69
Foreign	1	.07	.07	.07	.07

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 26 - PLANT OUTAGE COST PER FAILURE PER kW OF MAXIMUM DEMAND - PLANTS LESS THAN 1,000 kW MAX. DEMAND ($ per kW)

Industry	Number of Plants Reporting	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Average
All Industry - USA & Canada	10	.50	3.68	10.00	4.59
Auto.....	0	-	-	-	-
Cement.....	0	-	-	-	-
Chemical.....	0	-	-	-	-
Metal.....	1	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Mining.....	0	-	-	-	-
Petroleum.....	0	-	-	-	-
Pulp and Paper.....	0	-	-	-	-
Rubber and Plastics.....	0	-	-	-	-
Textile.....	0	-	-	-	-
Other Light Manufacturing...	2	.50	1.11	1.72	1.11
Other Heavy Manufacturing...	7	1.67	5.00	10.00	5.57
Other.....	0	-	-	-	-
Foreign.....	0	-	-	-	-

TABLE 27 - PLANT OUTAGE COST PER HR. DOWNTIME PER kW OF MAXIMUM DEMAND - PLANTS LESS THAN 1,000 kW MAX. DEMAND ($ per kWh)

Industry	Number of Plants Reporting	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Average
All Industry - USA & Canada	10	.86	4.42	27.00	8.11
Auto.....	0	-	-	-	-
Cement.....	0	-	-	-	-
Chemical.....	0	-	-	-	-
Metal.....	1	1.33	1.33	1.33	1.33
Mining.....	0	-	-	-	-
Petroleum.....	0	-	-	-	-
Pulp and Paper.....	0	-	-	-	-
Rubber and Plastics.....	0	-	-	-	-
Textile.....	0	-	-	-	-
Other Light Manufacturing..	2	.86	1.43	2.00	1.43
Other Heavy Manufacturing..	7	3.33	7.69	27.00	11.00
Other.....	0	-	-	-	-
Foreign.....	0	-	-	-	-

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability
Documents
Document Sharing Hub

TABLE 28 - PLANT RESTART TIME (After Service is Restored Following a Failure that has Caused Complete Plant Shutdown)

Industry	Number of Plants Reporting	Average (Hours)	Median (Hours)
All Industry - USA & Canada..	43	17.4	4.0
Auto.....	0	-	-
Cement.....	0	-	-
Chemical.....	19	20.7	20
Metal.....	1	4	4
Mining.....	0	-	-
Petroleum.....	3	37.3	24
Pulp and Paper.....	1	10	10
Rubber & Plastics.....	3	2.33	2
Textile.....	3	58.3	72
Other Light Manufacturing....	7	2.14	2
Other Heavy Manufacturing....	1	2	2
Other.....	5	2.6	1
Foreign.....	1	48	48

TABLE 29 - CRITICAL SERVICE LOSS DURATION (Maximum Length of Power Failure that Will Not Stop Plant Production)

Industry	Number of Plants Reporting	Average	Median
All Industry - USA & Canada....	55	12.6 min.	10.0 sec.
Auto.....	0	-	-
Cement.....	0	-	-
Chemical.....	20	4.56 min.	1.25 sec.
Metal.....	2	15.0 min.	15.0 min.
Mining.....	0	-	-
Petroleum.....	1	1.0 sec.	1.0 sec.
Pulp and Paper.....	1	10.0 cycles	10.0 cycles
Rubber & Plastics.....	3	30.0 sec.	20.0 sec.
Textile.....	3	3.34 min.	30.0 cycles
Other Light Manufacturing.....	7	10.3 min.	10.0 sec.
Other Heavy Manufacturing.....	10	47 min.	45 min.
Other.....	8	1.9 min.	20.0 cycles
Foreign.....	1	15.0 cycles	15.0 cycles

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.
Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability

TABLE 30 - LOADS LOST VERSUS TIME OF POWER OUTAGE
(Tabulation of the Percentage of Equipment Failures for Which the Designated Load was Lost and Average Plant Outage Duration Resulting from these Failures)

	For Equipment Failures 1 Cycle or less in Duration			For Equipment Failures Between 1 and 10 Cycles in Duration			For Equipment Failures 10 Cycles or More in Duration		
Type of Load	Yes	No	Not Known	Yes	No	Not Known	Yes	No	Not Known
Computer	0%	0%	0%	4%	96%	0%	9%	91%	0%
Motor	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%	67%	33%	0%
Lighting	0%	0%	0%	22%	78%	0%	38%	61%	2%
Solenoid	0%	0%	0%	22%	74%	4%	25%	66%	9%
Other	0%	0%	0%	7%	15%	78%	25%	62%	13%
Average Plant Outage Duration	0.0 Hours			1.39 Hours			22.6 Hours		

Only non-zero data was used in computing the average plant outage duration

5) Additional information on the cost of power outages in Sweden, Norway, and the United States is contained in [2].

Plant Restart Time (Table 28)

The textile, petroleum, and chemical industries have a much longer plant restart time than the other industries included in the survey.

Critical Service Loss Duration (Table 29)

1) There is a wide spread in critical service loss duration time for the 55 plants in the survey.

2) It is suggested that the data from the 55 plants should be compiled to show several percentiles (10, 25, 75, and 90 percent) in addition to the median value already tabulated.

Loads Lost Versus Time of Power Outage (Table 30)

1) An outage between 1 to 10 cycles resulted in 33 percent of the plants losing motor loads and 22 percent losing a solenoid and only 4 percent losing a computer load. An outage greater than 10 cycles resulted in 67 percent of the plants losing motor loads and 25 percent losing a solenoid and only 9 percent losing a computer load; many plants must not have had computer loads to give such a low value. In fact, many plants must not have had motor loads or solenoid loads either. The important parameter to look at is the change in these percentages from 0 to the maximum value as the length of power outage time is increased.

2) It is suggested that loss of load data be compiled for the following additional categories of outage duration time:

a) 10 to 15 cycles,
b) 15+ to 30 cycles,
c) 0.5 + to 2.0 s,
d) 2.0+ to 4.0 s,
e) greater than 4.0 s.

The average plant outage duration should also be determined for these categories.

References

[1] IEEE Committee Report, "Report on reliability survey of industrial plants; Part I: Reliability of electrical equipment," this issue, pp. 213–235.

[2] R. B. Shipley, A. D. Patton, and J. S. Denison "Power reliability cost vs worth," *IEEE Trans. Power App. Syst.*, vol. PAS-91, pp. 2204–2212, Sept./Oct. 1972.

Copyright © 2012 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: Historical Reliability Data for IEEE 3006 Standards: Power Systems Reliability