



International
Standard

ISO/IEEE
11073-10425

**Health informatics — Device
interoperability —**

**Part 10425:
Personal health device
communication — Device
specialization — Continuous
Glucose Monitor (CGM)**

*Informatique de santé — Interopérabilité des dispositifs —
Partie 10425: Communication entre dispositifs de santé personnels
— Spécialisation des dispositifs — Glucomètre continu (CGM)*

**Third edition
2024-09**

Reference number
ISO/IEEE 11073-10425:2024(en)

© IEEE 2024

Authorized licensed use limited to: Tsinghua University. Downloaded on February 24, 2026 at 09:06:47 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.

Full document details: [IEEE 11073-10425-2024](#)



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© IEEE 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from IEEE at the address below.

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc
3 Park Avenue, New York
NY 10016-5997, USA

Email: stds.ipr@ieee.org
Website: www.ieee.org

Published in Switzerland

© IEEE 2024 – All rights reserved

Authorized licensed use limited to: Tsinghua University. Downloaded on February 24, 2026 at 09:06:47 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted (see www.iso.org/directives).

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. The IEEE develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and serve without compensation. While the IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, the IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information contained in its standards.

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

ISO/IEEE 11073-10425 was prepared by the IEEE 11073 Standards Committee of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (as IEEE Std 11073-10425) and drafted in accordance with its editorial rules. It was adopted, under the “fast-track procedure” defined in the Partner Standards Development Organization cooperation agreement between ISO and IEEE, by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215, *Health informatics*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO/IEEE 11073-10425:2019), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- updated Normative Reference to refer to IEEE Std 11073-20601-2019;
- updated version of this device specialization;
- updated the association details based on new version;
- updated the wording in 6.3 regarding the Observational;
- added some text to 6.12 to further elaborate the DIM extensibility rule;
- corrected the use condition of GET MDS at E.4.1;

- updated the text in 8.5.2 regarding attribute-id-list, in order to be compliant with 20601-V4;
- added 4.3, Compliance with other standards;
- removed the year in bibliography to represent the latest version;
- updated the bit example in E.4.3 by inserting the Mds-Time-Info into MDS;
- made the ISO/IEEE 11073-10101 as normative reference;
- updated the wording at 1.3 and 4.1 regarding the precedence of nomenclature between 10101, 20601, 104xx and this standard;
- updated the usage of nomenclature-version. Tied it with the corresponding protocol-version;
- updated the examples in Annex E using protocol-version4.

A list of all parts in the ISO 11073 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Abstract: Within the context of the ISO/IEEE 11073 family of standards for device communication, a normative definition of the communication between continuous glucose monitor (CGM) devices and managers (e.g., cell phones, personal computers, personal health appliances, set top boxes), in a manner that enables plug-and-play interoperability, is established in this standard. It leverages appropriate portions of existing standards including ISO/IEEE 11073 terminology and information models. It specifies the use of specific term codes, formats, and behaviors in telehealth environments, restricting optionality in base frameworks in favor of interoperability. This standard defines a common core of communication functionality of CGM devices. In this context, CGM refers to the measurement of the level of glucose in the body on a regular (typically 5 minute) basis through a sensor continuously attached to the person.

Keywords: continuous glucose monitor, IEEE 11073-10425™, medical device communication, personal health devices

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

Copyright © 2023 by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
All rights reserved. Published 28 July 2023. Printed in the United States of America.

IEEE is a registered trademark in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, owned by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated.

PDF: ISBN 978-1-5044-9836-4 STD26255
Print: ISBN 978-1-5044-9837-1 STDPD26255

IEEE prohibits discrimination, harassment, and bullying.

For more information, visit <http://www.ieee.org/web/aboutus/whatis/policies/p9-26.html>.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE Standards documents are made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers, or a reference to this page (<https://standards.ieee.org/ipr/disclaimers.html>), appear in all standards and may be found under the heading “Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents.”

Notice and Disclaimer of Liability Concerning the Use of IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE SA) Standards Board. IEEE develops its standards through an accredited consensus development process, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. IEEE Standards are documents developed by volunteers with scientific, academic, and industry-based expertise in technical working groups. Volunteers are not necessarily members of IEEE or IEEE SA, and participate without compensation from IEEE. While IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards.

IEEE makes no warranties or representations concerning its standards, and expressly disclaims all warranties, express or implied, concerning this standard, including but not limited to the warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose and non-infringement. In addition, IEEE does not warrant or represent that the use of the material contained in its standards is free from patent infringement. IEEE standards documents are supplied “AS IS” and “WITH ALL FAULTS.”

Use of an IEEE standard is wholly voluntary. The existence of an IEEE Standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard.

In publishing and making its standards available, IEEE is not suggesting or rendering professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity, nor is IEEE undertaking to perform any duty owed by any other person or entity to another. Any person utilizing any IEEE Standards document, should rely upon his or her own independent judgment in the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the appropriateness of a given IEEE standard.

IN NO EVENT SHALL IEEE BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: THE NEED TO PROCURE SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE PUBLICATION, USE OF, OR RELIANCE UPON ANY STANDARD, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE AND REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGE WAS FORESEEABLE.

Translations

The IEEE consensus development process involves the review of documents in English only. In the event that an IEEE standard is translated, only the English version published by IEEE is the approved IEEE standard.

Official statements

A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with the IEEE SA Standards Board Operations Manual shall not be considered or inferred to be the official position of IEEE or any of its committees and shall not be considered to be, nor be relied upon as, a formal position of IEEE. At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that the presenter’s views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position of IEEE, IEEE SA, the Standards Committee, or the Working Group.

Comments on standards

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards documents are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE or IEEE SA. However, **IEEE does not provide interpretations, consulting information, or advice pertaining to IEEE Standards documents.**

Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments. Since IEEE standards represent a consensus of concerned interests, it is important that any responses to comments and questions also receive the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its Societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to comments, or questions except in those cases where the matter has previously been addressed. For the same reason, IEEE does not respond to interpretation requests. Any person who would like to participate in evaluating comments or in revisions to an IEEE standard is welcome to join the relevant IEEE working group. You can indicate interest in a working group using the Interests tab in the Manage Profile & Interests area of the [IEEE SA myProject system](#). An IEEE Account is needed to access the application.

Comments on standards should be submitted using the [Contact Us](#) form.

Laws and regulations

Users of IEEE Standards documents should consult all applicable laws and regulations. Compliance with the provisions of any IEEE Standards document does not constitute compliance to any applicable regulatory requirements. Implementers of the standard are responsible for observing or referring to the applicable regulatory requirements. IEEE does not, by the publication of its standards, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Data privacy

Users of IEEE Standards documents should evaluate the standards for considerations of data privacy and data ownership in the context of assessing and using the standards in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Copyrights

IEEE draft and approved standards are copyrighted by IEEE under US and international copyright laws. They are made available by IEEE and are adopted for a wide variety of both public and private uses. These include both use, by reference, in laws and regulations, and use in private self-regulation, standardization, and the promotion of engineering practices and methods. By making these documents available for use and adoption by public authorities and private users, IEEE does not waive any rights in copyright to the documents.

Photocopies

Subject to payment of the appropriate licensing fees, IEEE will grant users a limited, non-exclusive license to photocopy portions of any individual standard for company or organizational internal use or individual, non-commercial use only. To arrange for payment of licensing fees, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400; <https://www.copyright.com/>. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

Updating of IEEE Standards documents

Users of IEEE Standards documents should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of new editions or may be amended from time to time through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata. An official IEEE document at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document together with any amendments, corrigenda, or errata then in effect.

Every IEEE standard is subjected to review at least every 10 years. When a document is more than 10 years old and has not undergone a revision process, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE standard.

In order to determine whether a given document is the current edition and whether it has been amended through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata, visit [IEEE Xplore](#) or [contact IEEE](#). For more information about the IEEE SA or IEEE's standards development process, visit the IEEE SA Website.

Errata

Errata, if any, for all IEEE standards can be accessed on the [IEEE SA Website](#). Search for standard number and year of approval to access the web page of the published standard. Errata links are located under the Additional Resources Details section. Errata are also available in [IEEE Xplore](#). Users are encouraged to periodically check for errata.

Patents

IEEE Standards are developed in compliance with the [IEEE SA Patent Policy](#).

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken by the IEEE with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. If a patent holder or patent applicant has filed a statement of assurance via an Accepted Letter of Assurance, then the statement is listed on the IEEE SA Website at <https://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/patents.html>. Letters of Assurance may indicate whether the Submitter is willing or unwilling to grant licenses under patent rights without compensation or under reasonable rates, with reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination to applicants desiring to obtain such licenses.

Essential Patent Claims may exist for which a Letter of Assurance has not been received. The IEEE is not responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patent Claims, or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility. Further information may be obtained from the IEEE Standards Association.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

IEEE Standards do not guarantee or ensure safety, security, health, or environmental protection, or ensure against interference with or from other devices or networks. IEEE Standards development activities consider research and information presented to the standards development group in developing any safety recommendations. Other information about safety practices, changes in technology or technology implementation, or impact by peripheral systems also may be pertinent to safety considerations during implementation of the standard. Implementers and users of IEEE Standards documents are responsible for determining and complying with all appropriate safety, security, environmental, health, and interference protection practices and all applicable laws and regulations.

Participants

At the time this IEEE standard was submitted to the IEEE SA Standards Board for approval, the Personal Health Devices Working Group had the following membership:

Daidi Zhong, *Chair*
Malcolm Clarke, *Vice-Chair*
Raymond Krasinski, *Secretary*

Karsten Aalders
 Charles R. Abbruscato
 Nabil Abujbara
 Maher Abuzaïd
 James Agnew
 Manfred Aigner
 Jorge Alberola
 David Aparisi
 Lawrence Arne
 Diego B. Arquillo
 Serafin Arroyo
 Muhammad Asim
 Kit August
 Doug Baird
 David Baker
 Anindya Bakshi
 Ananth Balasubramanian
 Sunlee Bang
 M. Jonathan Barkley
 Gilberto Barrón
 David Bean
 John Bell
 Olivia Bellamou-Huet
 Rudy Bellardi
 Daniel Bernstein
 George A. Bertos
 Chris Biernacki
 Ola Björsne
 Thomas Blackadar
 Thomas Bluethner
 Douglas P. Bogia
 Xavier Boniface
 Shannon Boucouzis
 Lyle G. Bullock, Jr.
 Bernard Burg
 Chris Burns
 Jeremy Byford-Rew
 Satya Calloji
 Carole C. Carey
 Craig Carlson
 Santiago Carot-Nemesio
 Seungchul Chae
 Yao Chen
 Jing Cheng
 Peggy Chien
 David Chiu
 Jinyong Choi

Chia-Chin Chong
 Jinhan Chung
 John A. Cogan
 John T. Collins
 Cory Condek
 Todd H. Cooper
 Sandra Costanzo
 Douglas Coup
 Nigel Cox
 Hans Crommenacker
 Tomio Crosley
 Allen Curtis
 Jesús Daniel Trigo
 David Davenport
 Russell Davis
 Sushil K. Dekka
 Ciro de la Vega
 Jim Dello Stritto
 Kent Dicks
 Hyoungho Do
 Fangjie Dong
 Jonathan Dougherty
 Xiaolian Duan
 Sourav Dutta
 Jakob Ehrensvarð
 Fredrik Einberg
 Javier Escayola Calvo
 Mark Estes
 Leonardo Estevez
 Michael Faughn
 Bosco T. Fernandes
 Christoph Fischer
 Morten Flintrup
 Russell Foster
 Eric Freudenthal
 Matthias Frohner
 Kenneth Fuchs
 Jing Gao
 Marcus Garbe
 John Garguilo
 Liang Ge
 Rick Geimer
 Igor Gejdos
 Ferenc Gerbovics
 Alan Godfrey
 Nicolae Goga
 Julian Goldman

Raul Gonzalez Gomez
 Chris Gough
 Channa Gowda
 Charles M. Gropper
 Amit Gupta
 Jeff Guttmacher
 Rasmus Haahr
 Christian Habermann
 Michael Hagerty
 Jerry Hahn
 Robert Hall
 Shu Han
 Nathaniel Hamming
 Rickey L. Hampton
 Sten Hanke
 Aki Harma
 Jordan Hartmann
 Kai Hassing
 Avi Hauser
 Nathaniel Heintzman
 Charles Henderson
 Jun-Ho Her
 Timothy L. Hirou
 Allen Hobbs
 Alex Holland
 Arto Holopainen
 Kris Holtzclaw
 Robert Hoy
 Anne Huang
 Guiling Huang
 Haofoei Huang
 Zhiyong Huang
 David Hughes
 Robert D. Hughes
 Jiyoung Huh
 Hugh Hunter
 Philip O. Isaacson
 Atsushi Ito
 Michael Jaffe
 Praduman Jain
 Zongbo Jiang
 Hu Jin
 Danny Jochelson
 Akiyoshi Kabe
 Steve Kahle
 Tomio Kamioka
 James J. Kang

Kei Kariya
 Andy Kaschl
 Junzo Kashiwara
 Ralph Kent
 Laurie M. Kermes
 Sanjay R. Kharche
 Ahmad Kheirandish
 Junhyung Kim
 Minh Kim
 Min-Joon Kim
 Taekon Kim
 Tetsuya Kimura
 Michael J. Kirwan
 Alfred Kloos
 Edward Koch
 Jeongmee Koh
 Jean-Marc Koller
 John Koon
 Patty Krantz
 Alexander Kraus
 Ramesh Krishna
 Geoffrey Kruse
 Falko Kuester
 Rafael Lajara
 Pierre Landau
 Jaechul Lee
 JongMuk Lee
 Kyong Ho Lee
 Rami Lee
 Sungkee Lee
 Woojae Lee
 Jing Li
 Qiong Li
 Xiangchen Li
 Patrick Lichter
 Jisoon Lim
 Wei-Jung Lo
 Charles Lowe
 Don Ludolph
 Ling Luo
 Christian Luszbek
 Bob MacWilliams
 Srikanth Madhurbootheswaran
 Miriam L. Makhlof
 M. Sabarimalai Manikandan
 Romain Marmot
 Sandra Martinez
 Miguel Martínez de EsproncedaCámara
 Peter Mayhew
 Jim McCain
 LászlóMeleg
 Alexander Mense
 Behnaz Minaei
 Jinsei Miyazaki
 Madhu Mohan
 Erik Moll
 Darr Moore
 Chris Morel

Carsten Mueglitz
 Soundharya Nagasubramanian
 Alex Neefus
 Trong-Nghia Nguyen-Dobinsky
 Michael E. Nidd
 Jim Niswander
 Hiroaki Niwamoto
 Thomas Norgall
 Yoshiteru Nozoe
 Abraham Ofek
 Brett Olive
 BegonyaOtal
 Marco Paleari
 Bud Panjwani
 Carl Pantiskas
 Harry P. Pappas
 Hanna Park
 Jong-Tae Park
 Myungeun Park
 Phillip E. Pash
 TongBi Pei
 Soren Petersen
 James Petisce
 Peter Piction
 Michael Pliskin
 Varshney Prabodh
 Jeff Price
 Harald Prinzhorn
 Lifei Qian
 Harry Qiu
 Tanzilur Rahman
 Lin Ran
 Phillip Raymond
 Terrie Reed
 Barry Reinhold
 Brian Reinhold
 Melvin I. Reynolds
 John G. Rhoads
 Jeffrey S. Robbins
 Chris Roberts
 Moskowitz Robert
 Stefan Robert
 Scott M. Robertson
 Timothy Robertson
 Sean Roche
 David Rosales
 Bill Saltzstein
 Giovanna Sannino
 Jose A. Santos-Cadenas
 Stefan Saueremann
 John Sawyer
 Alois Schloegl
 Paul S. Schluter
 Mark G. Schnell
 Richard A. Schrenker
 Antonio Scorpiniti
 KwangSeok Seo
 Riccardo Serafin

Sid Shaw
 Frank Shen
 Min Shih
 Mazen Shihabi
 Redmond Shouldice
 Sternly K. Simon
 Marjorie Skubic
 Robert Smith
 Ivan Soh
 Motoki Sone
 Emily Sopensky
 Rajagopalan Srinivasan
 Nicholas Steblay
 Lars Steubesand
 John (Ivo) Stivoric
 Hermann Suominen
 Lee Surprenant
 Ravi Swami
 Ray Sweidan
 Na Tang
 Haruyuyki Tatsumi
 Isabel Tejero
 Tom Thompson
 Jonas Tirén
 Janet Traub
 Gary Tschautscher
 Masato Tsuchid
 Ken Tubman
 Akib Uddin
 Sunil Unadkat
 Fabio Urbani
 Philipp Urbauer
 Laura Vanzago
 Alpo Värri
 Andrei Vasilateanu
 Dalimar Velez
 Martha Velez
 Rudi Voon
 Isobel Walker
 David Wang
 Jerry P. Wang
 Shiwei Wang
 Yao Wang
 Yi Wang
 Steve Warren
 Fujio Watanabe
 Toru Watsuji
 Kathleen Wible
 Paul Williamson
 Jia-Rong Wu
 Will Wykeham
 Arton Xhafa
 Ricky Yang
 Melanie S. Yeung
 Qiang Yin
 Done-Sik Yoo
 Zhi Yu

Jianchao Zeng
Jason Zhang
Zerui Zhang
Shiwei Zhao

Liang Zheng
Daidi Zhong
Yuanhong Zhong
Qing Zhou

Miha Zoubek
Szymon Zyskoter

The following members of the individual balloting committee voted on this standard. Balloters may have voted for approval, disapproval, or abstention.

Robert Aiello
Cheryl Alexander Wang
Bjoern Andersen
Pradeep Balachandran
Malcolm Clarke
Javier Espina
Michael Faughn
Kenneth Fuchs

Charles M. Gropper
Werner Hoelzl
Piotr Karocki
Stuart Kerry
Raymond Krasinski
H. Moll
Rajesh Murthy

Bansi Patel
Scott M. Robertson
Stefan Schlichting
Walter Struppler
John Vergis
Yu Yuan
Oren Yuen
Daidi Zhong

When the IEEE SA Standards Board approved this standard on 30 March 2023, it had the following membership:

David J. Law, *Chair*
Ted Burse, *Vice Chair*
Gary Hoffman, *Past Chair*
Konstantinos Karachalios, *Secretary*

Sara R. Biyabani
Doug Edwards
Ramy Ahmed Fathy
Guido R. Hiertz
Yousef Kimiagar
Joseph L. Koepfinger*
Thomas Koshy
John D. Kulick

Joseph S. Levy
Howard Li
Johnny Daozhuang Lin
Gui Lin
Xiaohui Liu
Kevin W. Lu
Daleep C. Mohla
Andrew Myles

Paul Nikolich
Annette D. Reilly
Robby Robson
Lei Wang
F. Keith Waters
Karl Weber
Philip B. Winston
Don Wright

*Member Emeritus

Introduction

This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 11073-10425-2023, Health Informatics—Device Interoperability—Part 10425: Personal Health Device Communication—Device Specialization—Continuous Glucose Monitor (CGM).

ISO/IEEE 11073 standards enable communication between medical devices and external computer systems. This document uses the optimized framework created in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 and describes a specific, interoperable communication approach for continuous glucose monitors (CGMs).¹ These standards align with, and draw on, the existing clinically focused standards to provide support for communication of data from clinical or personal health devices (PHDs).

¹ Information on references can be found in Clause 2.

Contents

1. Overview	1
1.1 Scope	1
1.2 Purpose	1
1.3 Word usage	1
1.4 Context	1
2. Normative references	2
3. Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations	2
3.1 Definitions	2
3.2 Acronyms and abbreviations	3
4. Introduction to ISO/IEEE 11073 personal health devices (PHDs)	4
4.1 General	4
4.2 Introduction to ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 modeling constructs	4
4.3 Compliance with other standards	5
5. Glucose monitoring concepts and modalities	5
5.1 General	5
5.2 Device types	6
5.3 CGM agent-to-manager communication	7
5.4 Collected data	7
5.5 Stored data	9
6. Continuous glucose monitor (CGM) domain information model (DIM)	9
6.1 Overview	9
6.2 Class extensions	9
6.3 Object instance diagram	9
6.4 Types of configuration	11
6.5 Profiles	11
6.6 MDS object	11
6.7 Numeric objects	14
6.8 Real-time sample array objects	24
6.9 Enumeration objects	24
6.10 PM-store objects	27
6.11 Scanner objects	31
6.12 Class extension objects	31
6.13 CGM information model extensibility rules	31
7. CGM service model	32
7.1 General	32
7.2 Object access services	32
7.3 Object access event report services	34
8. CGM communication model	34
8.1 Overview	34
8.2 Communication characteristics	34
8.3 Association procedure	35
8.4 Configuring procedure	36
8.5 Operating procedure	38
8.6 Time synchronization	39

9. Test associations 39

 9.1 Behavior with standard configuration 39

 9.2 Behavior with extended configurations 39

10. Conformance 39

 10.1 Applicability 39

 10.2 Conformance specification 39

 10.3 Levels of conformance..... 40

Annex A (informative) Bibliography 46

Annex B (normative) Any additional ASN.1 definitions 47

Annex C (normative) Allocation of identifiers 51

Annex D (informative) Message sequence examples 56

Annex E (informative) Protocol data unit examples..... 58

Annex F (informative) Revision history 67

Health Informatics—Device Interoperability

Part 10425:

Personal Health Device Communication—Device Specialization—Continuous Glucose Monitor (CGM)

1. Overview

1.1 Scope

This standard establishes a normative definition of communication between personal health continuous glucose monitor (CGM) devices (agents) and managers (e.g., cell phones, personal computers, personal health appliances, set top boxes) in a manner that enables plug-and-play interoperability. It leverages work done in other ISO/IEEE 11073 standards including existing terminology, information profiles, application profile standards, and transport standards. It specifies the use of specific term codes, formats, and behaviors in telehealth environments, restricting optionality in base frameworks in favor of interoperability. This standard defines a common core of communication functionality of CGM devices. In this context, CGM refers to the measurement of the level of glucose in the body on a regular (typically 5 minute) basis through a sensor continuously attached to the person.

1.2 Purpose

This standard addresses a need for an openly defined, independent standard for controlling information exchange to and from personal health devices (PHDs) and compute engines (e.g., cell phones, personal computers, personal health appliances, set top boxes). Interoperability is the key to growing the potential market for these devices and to enabling people to be better informed participants in the management of their health.

1.3 Word usage

The word *shall* indicates mandatory requirements strictly to be followed in order to conform to the standard and from which no deviation is permitted (*shall* equals *is required to*).^{2, 3}

The word *should* indicates that among several possibilities one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others; or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required (*should* equals *is recommended that*).

The word *may* is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (*may* equals *is permitted to*).

The word *can* is used for statements of possibility and capability, whether material, physical, or causal (*can* equals *is able to*).

1.4 Context

See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 for an overview of the environment within which this standard is written.

This standard defines the device specialization for the CGM, being a specific agent type, and it provides a description of the device concepts, its capabilities, and its implementation according to this standard.

² The use of the word *must* is deprecated and cannot be used when stating mandatory requirements; *must* is used only to describe unavoidable situations.

³ The use of *will* is deprecated and cannot be used when stating mandatory requirements; *will* is only used in statements of fact.

This standard is based on ISO/IEEE 11073-20601, which in turn draws information from both ISO/IEEE 11073-10201 [B6] and ISO/IEEE 11073-20101 [B7].⁴ The medical device encoding rules (MDER) used within this standard are fully described in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.

The object classes and attributes in this standard are identified by nomenclature codes. Each code consists of a reference identifier (RefID) string and an integer code value. By using a consistent nomenclature, interoperability is enhanced as all implementations maintain the same semantic meaning for the numeric codes. This standard leverages the existing nomenclature codes in ISO/IEEE 11073-10101. Between this standard, ISO/IEEE 11073-10101, ISO/IEEE 11073-20601, and other IEEE Std 11073-104zz, all required nomenclature codes for implementation are documented. New codes may be defined in newer versions/ revisions of each of these documents. In the case of a conflict, when one term code has been assigned to two separate semantic concepts with different RefIDs, in general, the oldest definition in actual use should take precedence. The same policy applies when one RefID has two different code values assigned in different specifications. The resolution of such conflicts will be determined through joint action by the responsible working groups and other stakeholders, and any corrective action will be published as corrigenda.

NOTE—In this standard, IEEE Std 11073-104zz is used to refer to the collection of device specialization standards that utilize ISO/IEEE 11073-20601, where zz can be any number from 01 to 99, inclusive.⁵

2. Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document (i.e., they must be understood and used; therefore, each referenced document is cited in text and its relationship to this document is explained). For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments or corrigenda) applies.

ISO/IEEE 11073-10101, Health informatics—Point-of-care medical device communication—Part 10101: Nomenclature.⁶

ISO/IEEE 11073-20601, Health informatics—Personal health device communication—Part 20601: Application Profile—Optimized Exchange Protocol.

See Annex A for all informative material referenced by this standard.

3. Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply. The *IEEE Standards Dictionary Online* should be consulted for terms not defined in this clause.⁷

agent: A node that collects and transmits personal health data to an associated manager.

blood glucose: Glucose concentration in the blood.

class: In object-oriented modeling, a term collectively describing the attributes, methods, and events utilized by objects instantiated from the class.

compute engine: See: **manager**.

continuous glucose monitor (CGM): A medical device to provide a series of estimates of blood glucose concentration; typically from body fluid.

⁴ The numbers in brackets correspond to those of the bibliography in Annex A.

⁵ Notes in text, tables, and figures of a standard are given for information only and do not contain requirements needed to implement this standard.

⁶ ISO/IEEE publications are available from the ISO Central Secretariat (<http://www.iso.ch/>). ISO/IEEE publications are also available in the United States from The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (<http://standards.ieee.org/>).

⁷ *IEEE Standards Dictionary Online* is available at: <http://dictionary.ieee.org>. An IEEE Account is required for access to the dictionary, and one can be created at no charge on the dictionary sign-in page.

device: A physical apparatus implementing either an agent or a manager role.

glucose: The major source of energy used by the body cells. Glucose is commonly referred to as *sugar*.

handle: An unsigned 16-bit number that is locally unique and identifies one of the object instances within an agent.

interstitial fluid (ISF): The thin layer of fluid that surrounds the body's cells.

manager: A node receiving data from one or more agent systems. Some examples of managers include a cellular phone, health appliance, set top box, or a computer system.

object: In object-oriented modeling, a particular instantiation of a class. The instantiation realizes attributes, methods, and events from the class.

obj-handle: *See:* **handle**.

personal health device (PHD): A device used in personal health applications.

personal telehealth device: *See:* **personal health device (PHD)**.

3.2 Acronyms and abbreviations

APDU	application protocol data unit
ASN.1	Abstract Syntax Notation One
AST	alternative site testing
BGM	blood glucose meter
CGM	continuous glucose monitor
DIM	domain information model
DM	disease management
EUI-64	extended unique identifier (64 bits)
HCP	health care professional
ICS	implementation conformance statement
ID	identifier
ISF	interstitial fluid
MDC	medical device communication
MDER	medical device encoding rules
MDS	medical device system
MOC	managed object class
OID	object identifier
PHD	personal health device
VMO	virtual medical object
VMS	virtual medical system

4. Introduction to ISO/IEEE 11073 personal health devices (PHDs)

4.1 General

This standard and the remainder of the series of ISO/IEEE 11073 PHD standards fit in the larger context of the ISO/IEEE 11073 series of standards. The full suite of standards enables agents to interconnect and interoperate with managers and with computerized health-care information systems. See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 for a description of the guiding principles for this series of ISO/IEEE 11073 PHD standards.

ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 supports the modeling and implementation of an extensive set of PHDs. This standard defines aspects of the CGM device. It describes all aspects necessary to implement the application layer services and data exchange protocol between an ISO/IEEE 11073 PHD CGM agent and a manager. This standard defines a subset of the objects and functionality contained in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601, and it extends and adds definitions where appropriate. All new definitions are given in Annex B in Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1) (ITU-T X.680 **Error! Reference source not found.**). Nomenclature codes referenced in this standard that are not defined in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 are normatively defined in Annex C.

ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 supports the modeling and implementation of an extensive set of PHDs. This standard defines aspects of the weighing scale device. It describes all aspects necessary to implement the application layer services and data exchange protocol between an ISO/IEEE 11073 PHD weighing scale agent and a manager. This standard defines a subset of the objects and functionality contained in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 and extends and adds definitions where appropriate. All new definitions are given in Annex B in ASN.1. All nomenclature codes referenced in this standard are collected in Annex C.

4.2 Introduction to ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 modeling constructs

4.2.1 General

The ISO/IEEE 11073 series of standards, and in particular ISO/IEEE 11073-20601, is based on an object-oriented systems management paradigm. The overall system model is divided into three principal components: the domain information model (DIM), the service model, and the communication model. See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 for a detailed description of the modeling constructs.

4.2.2 Domain information model (DIM)

The DIM is a hierarchical model that describes an agent as a set of objects. These objects and their attributes represent the elements that control behavior and report on the status of the agent and the data that an agent can communicate to a manager. Communication between the agent and the manager is defined by the application protocol in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.

4.2.3 Service model

The service model defines the conceptual mechanisms for the data exchange services. Such services are mapped to messages that are exchanged between the agent and the manager. Protocol messages within the ISO/IEEE 11073 series of standards are defined in ASN.1. The messages defined in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 can coexist with messages defined in other standard application profiles defined in the ISO/IEEE 11073 series of standards.

4.2.4 Communication model

In general, the communication model supports the topology of one or more agents communicating over logical point-to-point connections to a single manager. For each logical point-to-point connection, the dynamic system behavior is defined by a connection state machine as specified in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.

4.2.5 Implementing the models

An agent implementing this standard shall implement all mandatory elements of the information, service, and communication models as well as all conditional elements where the condition is met. The agent should implement the recommended elements,

and it may implement any combination of the optional elements. A manager implementing this standard shall utilize at least one of the mandatory, conditional, recommended, or optional elements. In this context, *utilize* means to use the element as part of the primary function of the manager device. For example, a manager whose primary function is to display data would need to display a piece of data in the element in order to utilize it.

4.3 Compliance with other standards

Devices that comply with this standard may also be required to comply with other domain- and device-specific standards that supersede the requirements of this standard with respect to issues including safety, reliability, and risk management. A user of this standard is expected to be familiar with all other such standards that apply and to comply with any higher specifications thus imposed.

Typically, medical devices should comply with the IEC 60601-1 [B1] base standards with respect to electrical and mechanical safety and any device-specific standard as might be defined in the IEC 60601-2 [B2] series of standards. Software aspects may apply through standards such as IEC 62304 [B3]. Devices that comply with this standard implement higher layers of network software and utilize lower layers as appropriate to the application. The requirements on performance of such applications and conformance are defined elsewhere and are outside the scope of this standard. Moreover, the use of any medical equipment is subject to risk assessment and risk management appropriate to the application. Relevant examples include ISO 14971 [B5] and IEC 80001-1 [B4]. The requirements of such risk assessment, risk management, and conformance are outside the scope of this standard. The applicable versions of the referenced safety-related standards may differ by country.

5. Glucose monitoring concepts and modalities

5.1 General

This clause presents the general concepts of CGMs. In the context of PHDs in this family of standards, a CGM is a device that estimates the concentration of glucose in the blood typically measured from interstitial fluid (ISF). The glucose concentration is available on a continual basis at a periodic interval from a sensor. A CGM improves therapy control as opposed to the single, episodic measurements of a blood glucose meter (BGM). Frequent measurements provided by a CGM give a patient greater insight as to the fluctuations in blood glucose levels throughout the day, and in turn, can help to reduce the risk of developing diabetic complications.

Glucose, or the concentration of blood sugar in the blood, is the primary source of energy for the body's cells. The glucose level is tightly regulated in the human body and is normally maintained between approximately 70 mg/dL and 150 mg/dL (4 mmol/L and 8 mmol/L). The total amount of glucose in the circulating blood is, therefore, approximately 3.5 g to 7.5 g (assuming an ordinary adult blood volume of 5 L). In a healthy adult male of 75 kg with a blood volume of 5 L, a blood glucose level of 100 mg/dL (5.5 mmol/L) corresponds to a total of approximately 5 g (1/5 oz and equivalent to a commercial sugar packet) of glucose in the blood and approximately 45 g (1.5 oz) in the total body fluid (which includes blood and ISF). Glucose levels rise after meals and are usually lowest in the morning, before the first meal of the day.

The failure to maintain blood glucose in the normal range leads to conditions of persistently high (hyperglycemia) or low (hypoglycemia) blood sugar. Diabetes mellitus, which is characterized by persistent hyperglycemia from several causes, is the most prominent disease related to the failure to regulate blood sugar. If left untreated or improperly managed, diabetes can lead to complications including cardiovascular disease, kidney failure, and eye disease.

Concentration of blood glucose uses either mmol/L or mg/dL as units. Countries that use the metric system generally use mmol/L. However, the United States as well as other countries use mg/dL. To convert blood glucose measurements between the two units, utilize the following conversions:

- Divide the mg/dL by 18.02 to get mmol/L (or multiply by 0.0555)
- Multiply the mmol/L by 18.02 to get mg/dL (or divide by 0.0555)

The glucose concentration measured by various techniques can be classified into different types defined by three elements: sample type, sample source, and concentration reference method. Table 1 shows all the glucose concentration types defined in this standard.

Table 1—Glucose concentration types

Sample type	Sample source	Reference method
Blood	Capillary	Whole blood
		Plasma
	Venous	Whole blood
		Plasma
	Arterial	Whole blood
		Plasma
	Undetermined	Whole blood
		Plasma
ISF	Subcutaneous tissue	N/A
Control solution	N/A	N/A

NOTE—The blood glucose concentration may be indirectly derived from an ISF sample, which is a common technique used in continuous glucose monitoring. A control solution is normally used for glucose meter quality control.

ISF is the common source of the measurement made by a CGM, though new technologies on the horizon may employ other sources. BGMs may utilize other sample sources for their measurements, with the common source being capillary whole blood.

5.2 Device types

Continuous glucose monitor (CGM) devices are generally designed to be portable and permanently connected to the body.

The structural shape of CGMs may vary, but a CGM device typically includes the following components: the glucose sensor, a transmitter, and a receiver. These components may be enclosed in physical different devices.

With current technology at the time of writing, the sensor consists of a small metallic filament that is inserted into subcutaneous layer of fat tissue under the skin, where it measures an approximation of the blood glucose level from the ISF. Preferred sites for sensor insertion are the abdomen, lumbar region, and the upper arms. Typically, there is a mechanical means (e.g., an adhesive patch) used to keep the sensor in place. The sensor needs to be replaced periodically.

A transmitter connected to the sensor is used to wirelessly transmit the measurements to the receiver. This receiver is often a physically separate device that can display trend graphs and other statistics or notifications along with the current glucose measurement, as depicted in Figure 1(a). Insulin pumps, and other personal electronic devices, can also serve as the receiver of the CGM measurements, as depicted in Figure 1(b).

CGMs provide blood glucose approximation typically from ISF. To help ensure an accurate approximation, a CGM is periodically calibrated against a blood-based glucose measurement. While manual entry of the blood glucose measurement into the CGM receiver is possible, more sophisticated CGMs provide wireless communication between either the transmitter or receiver and a BGM.

For clarity, the terms *transmitter* and *receiver* were used; however, note that both these devices may actually be transceivers.




Figure 1—Agent-to-manager communication scenarios:
(a) CGM receiver to manager and (b) CGM sensor/transmitter to manager
 (there may be other scenarios not depicted in Figure 1)

5.3 CGM agent-to-manager communication

As described in 5.2, a CGM may consist of two physical parts, e.g., the sensor/transmitter and the receiver. This device specialization provides a standard of interoperability between only one of those physical parts and the computing device acting as the CGM manager. For example, this standard could be between the CGM receiver and manager, or it could be between the CGM sensor/transmitter and manager when the system does not include a specific CGM receiver. These scenarios are depicted in Figure 1. Other scenarios not discussed may exist.

The CGM agent may periodically send the measurement results to the manager upon availability or the exchange may take place after a CGM session (hours or days). Furthermore, the manager may request stored results of a dedicated time period. This functionality, in addition to the store and forward scenario, requires time stamps for each measurement result. It is the responsibility of the agent to resolve the time stamp of any measurements reported from CGM components. The manager as referenced here could be a personal computer, mobile phone, or other computing device.

5.4 Collected data

5.4.1 General

The CGM is a portable device and therefore may not be connected to a manager while collecting data. The two main use cases for a CGM agent to connect to a manager and send its data are the following:

- The CGM user visits a health care professional (HCP) to examine the adequacy of the insulin therapy. The HCP normally compares the historic data from the CGM with the corresponding data from an insulin pump device to derive

necessary adjustments to the insulin therapy. As the interval between such visits may constitute several months, CGMs are typically capable of storing data for such time periods.

- The CGM user connects the CGM agent to a manager as needed to examine the adequacy of the insulin therapy and to apply adjustments. This tends to happen on a more frequent basis (e.g., once per week).

In addition to the preceding two use cases, a CGM agent may also be continuously connected to a manager to report collected data (e.g., artificial pancreas).

5.4.2 Glucose

Glucose is a measurement of glucose concentration in the blood. Typically for CGM, this measurement is made from other body fluids than blood, and thus calibration is required to calculate the blood glucose levels.

5.4.3 Sensor calibration

A glucose measurement is typically needed to calibrate the CGM. This measurement could originate from a BGM, but would need to be stored in the memory of the continuous glucose meter, so as to have a log of the calibrations performed. Traditionally, the calibration glucose measurement is entered manually by the user, but may also be collected directly from a BGM.

5.4.4 Sensor run-time

CGM sensors deteriorate over time due to their method of collecting measurements, e.g., sensor embedded in the subcutaneous tissue receives build-up. Thus, CGM sensors need to be replaced periodically and each manufacturer specifies the life of the sensor. The sensor run-time indicates the suggested period of time the sensor should be used.

5.4.5 Glucose sampling interval

The glucose sampling interval indicates the frequency of glucose measurements.

5.4.6 Glucose trend

Glucose trend is the rate of change in glucose measurements at a time instant.

5.4.7 Patient low/high thresholds

The patient low/high thresholds are settings used to indicate a range of patient acceptable glucose concentrations. If glucose concentrations fall outside this range, a typical reaction is to notify the patient (e.g., as a CGM status message or other indicator) and record the event.

5.4.8 Device hypo/hyper thresholds

The device hypo/hyper thresholds are settings to indicate the critical glucose concentration range. If a glucose concentration crosses either of these thresholds, the CGM typically notifies the patient (e.g., as a CGM status message or other indicator) and records the event.

5.4.9 Glucose rate-of-change thresholds

The glucose rate-of-change thresholds are settings to indicate the maximum increase and decrease rate of glucose variation. If a glucose rate of change crosses either of these thresholds, the CGM typically notifies the patient (e.g., as a CGM status message or other indicator) and records the event.

5.4.10 PHD DM status

The “PHD DM Status” allows generic notification handling for PHDs within the Disease Management domain. The status of the device is represented in a number of bit flags covering warning, error, service, and undetermined messages.

5.4.11 CGM status

CGM status object represents the specific notifications given by the CGM device including, but not limited to, warnings, errors, and handling events.

5.5 Stored data

As stated in 5.4.1, a CGM may be used over several months of operation without being connected to a manager to send its data. Once a CGM is connected to a manager, the manager is able to select which of the agent's stored measurements or observations to retrieve. Depending on the agent's capabilities to organize its data into clusters of chronologically contiguous data, the manager may also select the time ranges of the stored data to retrieve. The agent then transmits the manager's selection in one or several blocks of messages for processing by a manager or other processing apparatus. The manager may also be able to choose a set of data clusters for deletion.

6. Continuous glucose monitor (CGM) domain information model (DIM)

6.1 Overview

This clause describes the DIM of the CGM.

6.2 Class extensions

In this standard, extensions are defined with respect to ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.

The following two attributes are an extension to the enumeration class:

- The Capability-Mask-Simple attribute indicates whether the corresponding bit in the Enum-Observed-Value-Simple-Bit-Str attribute is supported by the Agent.
- The State-Flag-Simple attribute indicates whether the corresponding bit in the Enum-Observed-Value-Simple-Bit-Str attribute is a state or event.

If the Enum-Observed-Value-Simple-Bit-Str attribute is used, the Capability-Mask-Simple and State-Flag-Simple attributes are mandatory. The values of the Capability-Mask-Simple and State-Flag-Simple attributes are implementation specific.

See Annex B for their ASN.1 structures.

The Capability-Mask-Simple attribute is dynamic, i.e., the attribute may change at some point after configuration. The State-Flag-Simple attribute is static, i.e., it shall remain unchanged after the configuration is agreed upon.

6.3 Object instance diagram

The object instance diagram of the CGM DIM, which is defined for the purposes of this standard, is shown in Figure 2. See 6.6 through 6.12 for descriptions of the different CGM objects [e.g., the CGM medical device system (MDS) object, the glucose numeric object, and the CGM status enumeration object]. See 6.13 for rules for extending the CGM DIM beyond elements as described in this standard. Each subclause that describes an object of the CGM contains the following information:

- The nomenclature code used to identify the class of the object. One example where this code is used is the configuration event, where the object class is reported for each object. This allows the manager to determine whether the class of the object being specified is a numeric, real-time sample array, enumeration, scanner, or PM-store class.
- The attributes of the object. Each object has attributes that represent and convey information on the physical device and its data sources. Each object has a Handle attribute that identifies the object instance within an agent. Attribute values are accessed and modified using methods such as GET and SET. Attribute types are defined using an ASN.1. The

ASN.1 definitions for new attribute types specific to this standard are in Annex B, and the ASN.1 definitions for existing attribute types referenced in this standard are in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.

- The methods available on the object.
- The potential events generated by the object. The data are sent to the manager using events.
- The available services such as getting or setting attributes.

The attributes for each class are defined in tables that specify the name of the attribute, its value, and its qualifier. The qualifiers are defined as follows:

- M—attribute is mandatory.
- C—attribute is conditional and depends on the condition stated in the Remark or Value column (if ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 is referenced, then it contains the conditions).
- R—attribute is recommended.
- NR—attribute is not recommended.
- O—attribute is optional.

Mandatory attributes shall be implemented by an agent. Conditional attributes shall be implemented if the condition applies and may be implemented otherwise. Recommended attributes should be implemented by the agent. Not recommended attributes should not be implemented by the agent. Optional attributes may be implemented on an agent. For attributes with qualifiers set to R or NR, underlying requirements stated in the Remark and Value column in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 shall be followed. If any attribute (from the DIM of ISO/IEEE 11073-20601) is not included in the definition of that object in this standard, it shall not be included in that object by an implementation unless it is a vendor-specific attribute extended according to 6.12.

An attribute is further qualified as static, dynamic, or observational. Static attributes shall not change value during the life of an association. Dynamic attributes have a value that may change during the life of an association. The dynamic attribute value should be sent at configuration time and shall be sent at or before the time when the value would be needed for interpreting a reported observation. Observational attributes have a value that may change during the life of an association. When a set of observational attribute values is received, these values are combined with the available context information (i.e., all related dynamic and static attribute values) to represent the observation at the observation time.




Figure 2—CGM DIM

6.4 Types of configuration

6.4.1 General

As specified in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601, there are two styles of configuration available. Subclauses 6.4.2 and 6.4.3 briefly introduce standard and extended configurations.

6.4.2 Standard configuration

Standard configurations are defined in the ISO/IEEE 11073-104zz specializations (such as this standard) and are assigned a well-known identifier (Dev-Configuration-Id). The usage of a standard configuration is negotiated at association time between the agent and the manager. If the manager acknowledges that it understands and wants to operate using the configuration, then the agent can begin sending measurements immediately. If the manager does not understand the configuration, the agent provides the configuration prior to transmitting measurement information.

One standard configuration is defined in this standard. The standard configuration 2500 (0x09C4) contains one glucose object (see 6.7.2).

6.4.3 Extended configuration

In extended configurations, the agent's configuration is not predefined in a standard. The agent determines which objects, attributes, and values will be used in a configuration and assigns a configuration identifier. When the agent associates with a manager, it negotiates an acceptable configuration. Typically, the manager does not recognize the agent's configuration on the first connection, so the manager responds that the agent needs to send the configuration information as a configuration event report. If, however, the manager already understands the configuration, either because it was preloaded in some way or the agent had previously associated with the manager, then the manager responds that the configuration is known and no further configuration information needs to be sent.

6.5 Profiles

6.5.1 General

A profile further constrains the objects, services, and communication model of a specialization. By profiling the device specialization, the standard provides more guidance on the specific mandatory objects that shall be implemented, the objects that are optional, and the objects that are not required. This standard does not define profiles for the CGM device.

6.6 MDS object

6.6.1 MDS object attributes

Table 2 summarizes the attributes of the CGM MDS object. The nomenclature code to identify the MDS object class is MDC_MOC_VMS_MDS_SIMP.

Table 2—MDS object attributes

Attribute name	Value	Qual.
Handle	0	M
System-Type	Attribute not present. See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	NR
System-Type-Spec-List	{MDC_DEV_SPEC_PROFILE_CGM, 3}	M
System-Model	{“Manufacturer”, “Model”}	M
System-Id	Extended unique identifier (64 bits) (EUI-64)	M
Dev-Configuration-Id	Standard config: 0x09C4 Extended configs: 0x4000–0x7FFF	M
Attribute-Value-Map	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	C
Production-Specification	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	C

Mds-Time-Info	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	C
Date-and-Time	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	C
Base-Offset-Time	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	R
Relative-Time	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	C
HiRes-Relative-Time	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	C
Date-and-Time-Adjustment	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	R
Power-Status	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	R
Battery-Level	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	R
Remaining-Battery-Time	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	R
Reg-Cert-Data-List	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	O
Confirm-Timeout	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	O
Transport-Timeout	See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601.	O

NOTE—See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 for information on whether an attribute is static or dynamic.

In the response to a Get MDS object command, only implemented attributes and their corresponding values are returned.

See ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 for descriptive explanations of the individual attributes as well as for information on attribute ID and attribute type.

The Dev-Configuration-Id attribute holds a locally unique 16-bit identifier that identifies the device configuration instance. For a CGM agent with extended configuration, this identifier is chosen in the range of extended-config-start to extended-config-end (see ISO/IEEE 11073-20601) as shown in Table 2.

The agent sends the Dev-Configuration-Id during the Associating state (see 8.3) to identify its configuration for the duration of the association. If the manager already holds the configuration information relating to the Dev-Configuration-Id, it recognizes the Dev-Configuration-Id. Then the Configuring state (8.4) is skipped, and the agent and manager enter the Operating state. If the manager does not recognize the Dev-Configuration-Id, the agent and manager enter the Configuring state.

If an agent implements multiple IEEE 11073-104zz specializations, System-Type-Spec-List is a list of type/version pairs, each referencing the respective device specialization and version of that specialization.

As defined in ISO/IEEE 11073-20601, the production-specification attribute includes component serial numbers, revisions, and so on in manufacture specific format. For CGM MDS object, the production-specification attribute shall include the required information for all physical components, e.g., sensor, transmitter, receiver, etc., as applicable. When any one of these components are changed or replaced, the MDS production-specification attribute shall be updated accordingly.

6.6.2 MDS object methods

Table 3 defines the methods (actions) of the CGM agent's MDS object. These methods are invoked using the Action service. In Table 3, the Subservice type name column defines the name of the method; the Mode column defines whether the method is invoked as an unconfirmed action (i.e., roiv-cmip-action from ISO/IEEE 11073-20601) or a confirmed action (i.e., roiv-cmip-confirmed-action); the Subservice type (action-type) column defines the nomenclature code to use in the action-type field of an action request and response (see ISO/IEEE 11073-20601); the Parameters (action-info-args) column defines the associated ASN.1 data structure (see ISO/IEEE 11073-20601 for ASN.1 definitions) to use in the action message for the action-info-args field of the request; and the Results (action-info-args) column defines the structure to use in the action-info-args of the response.

Table 3—MDS object methods

Service	Subservice type name	Mode	Subservice type (action-type)	Parameters (action-info-args)	Results (action-info-args)
ACTION	Set-Time	Confirmed	MDC_ACT_SET_TIME	SetTimeInvoke	—
	Set-Base-Offset-Time	Confirmed	MDC_ACT_SET_BO_TIME	SetBOTimeInvoke	—

— Set-Time:

This method allows the manager to set a real-time clock in the agent with the absolute time. The agent indicates whether the Set-Time command is valid using the mds-time-capab-set-clock bit in the Mds-Time-Info attribute (see ISO/IEEE