

IPC-1791B

2021 - August

Trusted Electronic Designer, Manufacturer and Assembler Requirements

Supersedes IPC-1791A

January 2020

An international standard developed by IPC



BUILD ELECTRONICS BETTER



Documents

Document Sharing Hub

The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-1791B

Trusted Electronic Designer, Manufacturer and Assembler Requirements

Developed by the Trusted Supplier Task Group (2-19b) of the Electronic Product Data Description Committee (2-10) of IPC

Supersedes:

IPC-1791A - January 2020
IPC-1791-AM1 - March 2019
IPC-1791 - August 2018
IPC-1071B - April 2016
IPC-1071A - August 2014
IPC-1071 - December 2010
IPC-1072-AM1 - March 2017
IPC-1072 - December 2015

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105N
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources across many continents. While the principal members of the Trusted Supplier Task Group (2-19b) of the Electronic Product Data Description Committee (2-10) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

Electronic Product Data Description Committee	Trusted Supplier Task Group	Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors
Chair Michael Ford Aegis Software	Chair Richard Snogren Bristlecone LLC	Bob Neves Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories
	Vice Chair William May Naval Surface Warfare Center	
Trusted Supplier Task Group		
Didrik Bech Elmatica AS	Mark Kirkman SAIC	Randy Reed R. Reed Consultancy LLC
Peter Bigelow IMI Inc.	Suriyakan Kleitz Schlumberger Well Services	David Reichert DuPont
Marc Carter Aeromarc, LLC	Nick Koop TTM Technologies	Douglas Schueller AbelConn, LLC
Zhiman Chen Zhuzhou CRRC Times Electric Co., Ltd.	Kevin Kusiak Lockheed Martin Corporation	Tim Scott DowDupont
Michael Collier Teledyne Leeman Labs	Meredith LaBeau Calumet Electronics Corp.	Roger Smith NSWC Crane
Jon Duong Pana-Pacific	William May NSWC Crane	Herb Snogren Bristlecone LLC
Don Dupriest Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control	Karen McConnell Northrop Grumman Corporation	Richard Snogren Bristlecone LLC
Michael Ford Aegis Software	Kathy Nargi-Toth Bowhead	Bhanu Sood NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Dennis Fritz MacDermid Enthone Electronics Solutions	Chris Peters U.S. Partnership for Assured Electronics (USPAE)	Stephen Tisdale Tisdale Environmental Consulting LLC
Ife Hsu Intel Corporation	Stephen Redington US Army ARDEC Fuze Development Center	Matt Turpin U.S. Partnership for Assured Electronics (USPAE)

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table of Contents

1 SCOPE 1	1.6.15 Information Technology (IT) 4
1.1 Purpose and Background..... 1	1.6.16 International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) Registered..... 4
1.1.1 Source Technology and Capability 1	1.6.17 Organization 4
1.1.2 Interpretation of Requirements for the Purposes of this Standard 1	1.6.18 Personnel 4
1.1.3 Benefits of Using Organizations Certified to this Standard 1	1.6.19 Policy..... 4
1.1.4 Additional Detail..... 1	1.6.20 Printed Board Assembler..... 4
1.2 Classification..... 2	1.6.21 Printed Board and Assembly Design..... 4
1.3 Definition of Requirements..... 2	1.6.22 Printed Board and Assembly Design Organization 4
1.4 Certification..... 2	1.6.23 Printed Board Trusted Assembler 4
1.4.1 Type 1 – Printed Board Design Organizations ... 2	1.6.24 Printed Board Trusted Design Organization 4
1.4.2 Type 2 – Printed Board Fabrication Organizations..... 2	1.6.25 Printed Board Trusted Fabricator 4
1.4.3 Type 3 – Printed Board Assembly Organizations..... 2	1.6.26 Procedure..... 4
1.4.4 Type 4 – Cable and Wire Harness Assembly Organizations 2	1.6.27 Product-Specific Special Case..... 4
1.4.5 Length of Certification 2	1.6.28 Quality..... 4
1.4.6 Ownership Changes..... 2	1.6.29 Security 4
1.4.7 Management Changes 2	1.6.30 Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) 4
1.5 Abbreviations and Acronyms 2	1.6.31 Trust..... 4
1.6 Terms and Definitions..... 3	1.6.32 Trusted Source or Trusted Supplier..... 5
1.6.1 Chain of Custody (ChoC) 3	1.6.33 Trusted Cable and Wire Harness Assembler..... 5
1.6.2 Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code 3	2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS 5
1.6.3 Confidentiality..... 3	2.1 IPC..... 5
1.6.4 Controlled Technical Information 3	2.2 Joint Standards..... 5
1.6.5 Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) 3	2.3 Center for Development of Security Excellence.. 5
1.6.6 Covered Contractor Information System..... 3	2.4 National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 5
1.6.7 Covered Defense Information 3	2.5 SAE International 5
1.6.8 Cyber Incident..... 3	2.6 U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) 6
1.6.9 Deemed Export 3	2.6.1 Directives and Instructions 6
1.6.10 Department of Defense (DoD) Prime Contractor 3	2.6.2 Specifications 6
1.6.11 Department of State Proforma for Permanent Export (DSP-5) 3	2.7 U.S. House of Representatives Office of the Law Revision Council 6
1.6.12 Export Administration Regulations (EAR)..... 3	2.8 U.S. Office of the Federal Register - Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 6
1.6.13 Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Channeler..... 3	2.9 U.S. Office of the Federal Registrar – Defense Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) 6
1.6.14 Foreign Person..... 3	3 REQUIREMENTS 6
	3.1 Quality Requirements 6

Figures

Tables

Figure 3-1 Printed Board Design Schema 12

Table 3-1	Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Policy and/or Procedure Guidelines	8
Table 3-2	Supplier Assessment Procedure Requirements	8
Table 5-1	NIST SP 800-171 Security Requirement Families	12

Trusted Electronic Designer, Fabricator and Assembler Requirements

1 SCOPE

This standard provides minimum requirements, policies and procedures for printed board design, fabrication, assembly, and cable and wire harness assembly organizations and/or companies to become trusted sources for markets requiring high levels of confidence in the integrity of delivered products. These trusted sources **shall** ensure quality, supply chain risk management (SCRM), security and chain of custody (ChoC).

Trusted source certification of non-U.S. printed board design, fabrication, assembly, and cable and wire harness assembly organizations requires a sponsor and to meet the requirements in Section 6, in lieu of section 3.3 and Section 4.

Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification (CMMC) is scheduled to be fully implemented by the end of Fiscal Year 2025. The rollout starts gradually, accelerating in Fiscal Year 2023. During this period there will be instances in which a U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) supplier may not be required to meet CMMC but may be required to meet NIST SP 800-171 compliance. Therefore, this revision of IPC-1791 contains reference to CMMC, and Section 5 provides clarification on the relationship between CMMC and NIST SP 800-171.

Demonstration of the ability to meet and maintain the requirements of this standard as trusted design, fabrication, assembly, or cable and wire harness assembly organizations benefits customers that provide end-products for markets desiring a high level of integrity assurance (e.g., commercial, industrial, military, aerospace, automotive and medical).

In the context of this standard, the terms trust and trusted are used to reflect a commitment to product and process integrity assurance by printed board designers, fabricators, assemblers, and cable and wire harness assemblers. The user should not confuse this certification with defense-microelectronics-specific “Trusted Supplier” accreditation administered by the Defense Microelectronics Activity (DMEA) Trusted Access Program Office. IPC-1791 certification does not include DoD facility clearance unless compelled by customer-specific requirements and pursued independent of this standard.

1.1 Purpose and Background

1.1.1 Source Technology and Capability Design, fabrication, assembly, and cable and wire harness assembly organizations have different levels of capability in terms of technology, materials, product complexity, capacity and lead times. This standard assumes the customer has certified the capability of their chosen supplier.

1.1.2 Interpretation of Requirements for the Purposes of this Standard This standard covers requirements for quality, SCRM, security and ChoC:

- Quality and performance requirements (e.g., IPC-2200 series, IPC-6010 series, IPC-A-600, IPC-A-610, MIL-PRF-31032, AS9100, National Aerospace and Defense Contractors Accreditation Program Nadcap) **shall** be as defined in this standard for the type of organization.
- Requirements for SCRM **shall** be as defined in this standard for the type of organization.
- Security requirements **shall** be the same for all types of organizations.
- The requirements for ChoC **shall** be the same for all types of organizations.

1.1.3 Benefits of Using Organizations Certified to this Standard By using designers, printed board fabricators, printed board assemblers, and cable and wire harness assemblers that are certified to this standard, customers will be assured that their supplier(s):

- Maintains a quality system
- Maintains a SCRM system to ensure any threats related to disruption in supply are understood and managed
- Manages a security system to protect products and services from unauthorized access, particularly in support of export control
- Provides an ensured ChoC system for electronic and physical materials

1.1.4 Additional Detail See Appendix A for additional explanatory material.

1.2 Classification IPC standards recognize that electrical and electronic assemblies are subject to classifications by intended end-item use. Three general end-product classes have been established to reflect differences in manufacturability, complexity, functional performance requirements, and verification (inspection/test) frequency. It should be recognized that there may be overlaps of equipment between classes.

CLASS 1 General Electronic Products

Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products

Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Typically, the end-use environment would not cause failures.

CLASS 3 High Performance/Harsh Environment Electronic Products

Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support or other critical systems.

1.3 Definition of Requirements The words **shall** or **shall not** are used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control or acceptance.

The word “should” reflects recommendations and is used to reflect general industry practices and procedures for guidance only.

The word “will” is used to express a declaration of purpose.

Line drawings and illustrations are depicted herein to assist in the interpretation of the written requirements of this Standard. The text takes precedence over the figures.

1.4 Certification To ensure cost-effective use of trusted suppliers, this standard provides four types of certification (see 1.4.1 through 1.4.4). Certification types are based on the function of the organization. All types **shall** meet the requirements of 1.4.5 through 1.4.7.

1.4 Type 1 – Printed Board Design Organizations The organization responsible for the printed board and printed board assembly design. Typically, the design is composed of a variety of files in various formats used as outputs of the design process. The designs function as inputs to the printed board and assembly manufacturing process.

1.4.2 Type 2 – Printed Board Fabrication Organizations The organization responsible for the manufacture of the printed board and/or organic packaging substrates for electronic components.

1.4. Type 3 – Printed Board Assembly Organizations The organization responsible for assembly processes and verification operations necessary to ensure full compliance of assemblies.

1.4. Type 4 – Cable and Wire Harness Assembly Organizations The organization responsible for the cable and wire harness assembly process and verification operations to ensure full compliance of assemblies.

1.4. Length of Certification The length of certification is three years. A certified company **shall** submit to IPC Validation Services (<https://ipcvalidation.org/>) a copy of its International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) renewal annually and update any changes in organizational structure that affect the administration of ITAR.

1.4 Ownership Changes During the three-year certification period, any changes in foreign investor or ownership **shall** be voluntarily notified to the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS). Instructions for the voluntary notice are given in the CFIUS website (www.treasury.gov). The organization **shall** also notify IPC Validation Services within 30 days of the filing. Ownership changes **shall** have export control compliance. The foreign investor or owner **shall not** be on the ITAR prohibited country list (www.ecfr.gov).

1.4.7 Management Changes During the three-year certification period, any changes to management, organizational structure, certifications or processes **shall** be reported to IPC Validation Services within 30 days of the change. Changes resulting in noncompliance to any of the requirements in this standard **shall** result in termination of the certification to this standard.

1.5 Abbreviations and Acronyms See Appendix B for full spellings of abbreviations (including elements) and acronyms used in this standard.