

IPC J-STD-004C

January 2022

Supersedes J-STD-004B

November 2011

JOINT INDUSTRY STANDARD

Requirements for Soldering Fluxes



BUILD ELECTRONICS BETTER



participants from

27 countries

contributed to this standard



Documents

Document Sharing Hub

The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-J-STD-004C

Requirements for Soldering Fluxes

A standard developed by the Flux Specifications Task Group (5-24a)
of the Assembly and Joining Processes Committee (5-20) of IPC

Supersedes:

J-STD-004B - November 2011
J-STD-004A - January 2004
J-STD-004 - January 1995
Amendment 1 - April 1996

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105N
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the Flux Specifications Task Group (5-24a) of the Assembly and Joining Processes Committee (5-20) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

Assembly and Joining Processes Committee

Chair
Daniel L. Foster
Missile Defense Agency (MDA)

Vice Chair
Udo Wetzel
Robert Bosch GmbH

Flux Specifications Task Group

Chair
Anthony W. Lentz
FCT Assembly, Inc

Vice Chair
Keith Sellers
NTS Baltimore

Technical Liaisons of the IPC Board of Directors

Bob Neves
Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories

Flux Specifications Task Group

Jasbir Bath
Koki Solder America

Gerald Leslie Boggert
Bechtel Plant Machinery, Inc.

Lance Brack
Raytheon Missile Systems

Eric Camden
Foresite, Inc.

William P. Cardinal
Collins Aerospace

Zhiman Chen
Zhuzhou CRRC Times
Electric Co., Ltd.

Beverly Christian
HDP User Group

Miguel Dominguez
Continental Temic SA de CV

Aurelie Ducoulombier
Inventec Performance Chemicals

Tony Feldmeier
Honeywell Aerospace Minneapolis

Daniel L. Foster
Missile Defense Agency (MDA)

Jason Fullerton
Cobham Defense Electronics
Systems

Mahendra S. Gandhi
Northrop Grumman Space
Systems

Emmanuelle Guene
Inventec Performance Chemicals

Alafio Hewitt
Lockheed Martine Missile &
Fire Control

Gaston Hildago
Toyota Motor North America

Emma Hudson
Emma Hudson Technical
Consultancy

Christopher Hunt
Gen3 Systems Limited

Jennie S. Hwang
H-Technologies Group

Russell Kido
Practical Components Inc.

Justin Kleingartner
ACTnano Inc.

Vijay Kumar
Lockheed Martin Missile &
Fire Control

Andy C. Mackie
Indium Corporation

Chris Mahanna
Robisan Laboratory Inc.

Yuri Misumo
Koki Company Limited

Terry Munson
Foresite, Inc.

Graham Naisbitt
Gen3 Systems Limited

Timothy O'Neil
AIM Solder

Douglas O. Pauls
Collins Aerospace

Jagadeesh Radhakrishnan Intel
Corporation

Stanton Rak
Rak Company

David W. Sbiroli
Indium Corporation

Jose Servin Olivares
Vitesco Technologies

Russell S. Shepherd
NTS Anaheim

Eric Straw
Collins Aerospace

Keith William Sweatman
Nihon Superior Co., Ltd.

Kirk Van Drael
Plexus Corp.

Bill R. Vuono
Qorvo US, Inc.

Debbie Wade
Advanced Rework Technology

Dan White
Collins Aerospace

Paul Zutter
Army Aviation & Missile Command



This Page Intentionally Left Blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	SCOPE	1	3.3.1.2	Corrosion Test	6
1.1	Purpose	1	3.3.1.3	Quantitative Halide Content Tests	7
1.2	Classification	1	3.3.1.4	SIR Test	7
1.3	Measurement Units	1	3.3.1.4.1	Reporting SIR Test Results	7
1.4	Definition of Requirements	2	3.3.1.4.2	SIR Test Criteria	7
1.5	Process Control Requirements	2	3.3.1.5	Resistance to ECM Testing	7
1.6	Order of Precedence	2	3.3.1.5.1	Reporting ECM Test Results	7
1.6.1	Conflict	2	3.3.2	Characterization Testing	8
1.6.2	Clause References	2	3.3.2.1	Flux Solids (Nonvolatile) Determination	8
1.6.3	Appendices	2	3.3.2.2	Acid Value Determination	8
1.7	Use of "Lead"	2	3.3.2.3	Specific Gravity Determination	8
1.8	Abbreviations and Acronyms	2	3.3.2.4	Viscosity of Paste (Tacky) Flux	8
1.8.1	ECM	2	3.3.2.5	Visual	8
1.8.2	SIR	2	3.4.1	Optional Qualitative Halide Tests	8
1.9	Terms and Definitions	2	3.4.1.1	Optional Chlorides and Bromides by Silver Chromate Method	8
1.9.1	Halide	3	3.4.1.2	Optional Fluorides By Spot Test	8
1.9.2	Halogen	3	3.4.2	Optional SIR Tests	8
1.9.3	Low Halogen Flux (Cl and Br)	3	3.4.2.1	Reporting Values for Optional SIR Test Methods	8
1.9.4	Resin Flux	3	3.4.3	Optional Fungus Resistance Test	8
1.9.5	Rosin Flux	3	3.4.4	Optional Halogen Content Test	8
1.9.6	Supplier	3	3.5	Quality Conformance Testing	8
2	APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	3	3.5.1	Acid Value Determination	8
2.1	IPC	3	3.5.2	Specific Gravity Determination	8
2.2	Joint Industry Standards	3	3.5.3	Viscosity of Paste (Tacky) Flux	8
2.2.1	J-STD-001	3	3.5.4	Visual	8
2.2.2	J-STD-003 Solderability Tests for Printed Boards	3	3.6	Performance Testing	8
2.2.3	J-STD-005 Requirements for Soldering Pastes	3	3.6.1	Wetting Balance Test	8
2.3	American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)	3	3.6.2	Spread Test - Liquid Flux	8
2.3.1	ASTM D-465-15	3	4	QUALIFICATION AND QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS	9
2.4	British Standards	3	4.1	Responsibility for Inspection	9
2.4.1	EN 14582	3	4.1.1	Responsibility for Compliance	9
2.5	International Organization for Standards	3	4.1.1.1	Quality Assurance Program	9
2.5.1	ISO 9001-2000	3	4.1.2	Test Equipment and Inspection Facilities	9
2.6	National Conference of Standards Laboratories (NCSL)	3	4.1.3	Inspection Conditions	9
2.6.1	ANSI-NCSL-Z540-1	3	4.2	Types of Inspections	9
3	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	4	4.3	Qualification Inspection	10
3.1	Designation	4	4.3.1	Sample Size	10
3.2	Flux Qualification	4	4.3.2	Inspection Routine	10
3.2.1	Classification	4	4.3.3	Requalification	10
3.2.1.1	Flux Composition	4	4.3.3.1	Formula Variations Constituting Material Change	10
3.2.1.2	Flux	4	4.3.3.2	Manufacturing Site Change	10
3.2.1.2.1	Flux Activity	4	4.4	Quality Conformance Inspection	10
3.2.1.2.2	Halide Content	5	4.4.1	Sampling Plan	10
3.2.2	Characterization	5	4.4.2	Rejected Lots	10
3.3	Qualification Testing	6	4.5	Performance Inspection	10
3.3.1	Classification Testing	6	4.6	Statistical Process Control (SPC)	10
3.3.1.1	Copper Mirror				

Appendix A	Example Qualification Test Report	11	Tables	
Appendix B	Notes	15	Table 3-1	Flux Identification System 4
Appendix C	Abbreviations and Acronyms	17	Table 3-2	Preparation of Flux Forms for Testing 5
	Figures		Table 3-3	Test Requirements for Flux Classification 5
Figure 3-1	Flux Corrosivity by Copper Mirror Test	6	Table 3-4	Halogen Content in Low Halogen Materials 8
Figure 3-2	Example of No Corrosion	6	Table 4-1	Qualification, Quality Conformance and Performance Testing for Flux 9
Figure 3-3	Example of Minor Corrosion		Table B-1	Spread Area Requirements 16
Figure 3-4	Example of Major Corrosion	7		
Figure B-1	Typical Wetting Balance Curve	15		

Normen-Download-Beuth-Anika Metz-KdNr.8261125-L/Nr.10209486001-2022-03-13 12:20

Requirements for Soldering Fluxes

1 SCOPE

This standard prescribes general requirements for the classification and characterization of fluxes for high quality solder interconnections. This standard may be used for quality control and procurement purposes.

1.1 Purpose The purpose of this standard is to classify and characterize Sn-Pb and Pb-free soldering flux materials for use in electronic metallurgical interconnections for printed circuit board assembly. Soldering flux materials include the following: liquid flux, paste flux, solder paste, solder cream as well as flux-coated and flux-cored solder wires and preforms. It is not the intent of this standard to exclude any acceptable flux or soldering material; however, these materials must produce the desired electrical and metallurgical interconnection.

1.2 Classification

CLASS 1 General Electronic Products

Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products

Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Typically, the end-use environment would not cause failures.

CLASS 3 High Performance/Harsh Environment Electronic Products

Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support or other critical systems.

1.3 Measurement Units All dimensions and tolerances in this specification are expressed in hard SI (metric) units and bracketed soft imperial [inch] units. Users of this specification are expected to use metric dimensions. All dimensions ≥ 1 mm [0.0394 in] will be expressed in millimeters and inches. All dimensions < 1 mm [0.0394 in] will be expressed in micrometers and microinches.

1.4 Definition of Requirements The words **shall** or **shall not** are used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control or acceptance.

The word “should” reflects recommendations and is used to reflect general industry practices and procedures for guidance only.

Line drawings and illustrations are depicted herein to assist in the interpretation of the written requirements of this Standard. The text takes precedence over the figures.

1.5 Process Control Requirements The primary goal of process control is to continually reduce variation in the processes, products, or services to provide products or processes meeting or exceeding User requirements. Process control tools such as IPC-9191, JESD557 or other User-approved system may be used as guidelines for implementing process control.

Manufacturers of Class 3 products **shall** develop and implement a documented process control system.

A documented process control system, if established, **shall** define process control and corrective action limits.

This may or may not be a statistical process control system. The use of “statistical process control” (SPC) is optional and should be based on factors such as design stability, lot size, production quantities, and the needs of the Manufacturer, see 4.6.

Process control methodologies **shall** be used in the planning, implementation and evaluation of the manufacturing processes used to produce soldered electrical and electronic assemblies. The philosophy, implementation strategies, tools and techniques may be applied in different sequences depending on the specific company, operation, or variable under consideration to relate process control and capability to end product requirements.

When a decision or requirement is to use a documented process control system, failure to implement process corrective action and/or the use of continually ineffective corrective actions would be grounds for disapproval of the process and associated documentation.

1.6 Order of Precedence The contract takes precedence over this Standard, referenced standards and drawings.

In the event of conflict, the following order of precedence applies:

- 1) Procurement as agreed and documented between customer and supplier.
- 2) Master drawing reflecting the customer's detailed requirements.
- 3) When invoked by the customer or per contractual agreement, this standard..

When documents other than this standard are cited, the order of precedence would be defined in the procurement documents.

The User has the opportunity to specify alternate acceptance criteria.

1.6.1 Conflict In the event of conflict between the requirements of this standard and the applicable drawing(s) and documentation, the applicable user-approved drawing(s) and documentation govern.

Some examples of documentation include the contract, purchase order, technical data package, engineering specification or performance specification. In the event of a conflict between the text of this standard and the applicable documents cited herein, the text of this standard takes precedence. In the event of conflict between the requirements of this standard and drawing(s) and documentation that has not been user approved, this standard governs.

1.6.2 Clause References When a clause in this document is referenced its subordinate clauses apply, unless the requirement references specific subordinate clauses.

1.6.3 Appendices Appendices to this standard are not binding requirements unless separately and specifically required by this standard, the applicable contracts, assembly drawing(s), documentation or purchase orders. Appendices provide further information or refer to other sources of information to help explain the document.

- 1.6.3.1 Appendix A Example Qualification Test Report
- 1.6.3.2 Appendix B Notes
- 1.6.3.3 Appendix C Abbreviations and Acronyms

1.7 Use of "Lead" For readability and translation, this document uses the noun lead only to describe leads of a component. The metallic element lead is always written as Pb.

1.8 Abbreviations and Acronyms Periodic table elements are abbreviated in the standard. See Appendix C for full spellings of abbreviations (including elements) and acronyms used in this standard.

1.8.1 ECM The acronym ECM stands for Electrochemical Migration. ECM is defined as the growth of conductive metal filaments under the influence of a DC voltage bias where growth is by electro-deposition from a solution containing metal ions that are dissolved from the anode, transported by the electric field and redeposited at the cathode and specifically excludes phenomena such as field induced metal transport in semiconductors and diffusion of the products arising from metallic corrosion.

1.8.2 SIR The acronym SIR stands for Surface Insulation Resistance. SIR is defined as the electrical resistance of an insulating material between a pair of contacts, conductors or grounding devices and that is determined under specified environmental and electrical conditions.

1.9 Terms and Definitions Other than those terms listed below, the definitions of terms used in this standard are in accordance with IPC-T-50.

1.9.1 Halide Halogen ions are known as halides. Specifically, chlorine ion is known as chloride or (Cl-), bromine ion is known as bromide or (Br-), fluorine ion is known as fluoride or (F-), iodine ion is known as iodide or (I-) and halogen compounds that have ionic character are termed halide compounds.

1.9.2 Halogen Halogen is the term for all chlorine (Cl) and/or bromine (Br) in compounds. These two types of compounds are referenced based upon the EN 14582 test method (see 3.4.4).

1.9.3 Low Halogen Flux (Cl and Br) Low halogen materials contain ≤1000 ppm (0.1%) Br, and ≤1000 ppm (0.1%) Cl. Sample preparation should be AABUS.

1.9.4 Resin Flux Primarily composed of synthetic resins and/or natural resins other than rosin types.

1.9.5 Rosin Flux Primarily composed of natural rosin, extracted from the oleoresin of pine trees and refined. The rosins used **shall** have a minimum acid value of 130 as determined per ASTM D-465. A synonym for rosin is colophony.

1.9.6 Supplier The term "supplier" is equivalent to the "manufacturer" of the flux product. Only the manufacturer (not other intermediate providers, such as wholesalers, distributors, representative firms, etc.) is responsible to qualify the flux product. Therefore, throughout this document, the term "supplier" is used.