



Published 1992-09-15

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION • МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИЯ ПО СТАНДАРТИЗАЦИИ • ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE NORMALISATION
INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION • МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ ЭЛЕКТРОТЕХНИЧЕСКАЯ КОМИССИЯ • COMMISSION ELECTROTECHNIQUE INTERNATIONALE

Information technology — Text Communication — Message-Oriented Text Interchange Systems (MOTIS) —

Part 4: Message Transfer System: Abstract Service Definition and Procedures

TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 4

Technologies de l'information — Communication de texte — Systèmes d'échange de texte en mode message —

Partie 4: Système de transfert de message: Procédures et définition de service abstrait

RECTIFICATIF TECHNIQUE 4

Technical corrigendum 4 to International Standard ISO/IEC 10021-4 : 1990 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

Page 9

Subclause 8.1.1.1.2

Insert a new paragraph six:

"Symmetric algorithms may be used within the above **asymmetric-token** (see clause 8.5.8)."

Page 11

Subclause 8.1.1.2.2

Insert a new last paragraph:

"Symmetric algorithms may be used within the above **asymmetric-token** (see clause 8.5.8)."

UDC 681.3 : 621.39

Ref. No. ISO/IEC 10021-4 : 1990/Cor.4 : 1992 (E)

Descriptors: data processing, information interchange, network interconnection, open systems interconnection, data transmission, text communication, messages, definitions, procedure.

© ISO/IEC 1992

Printed in Switzerland

Page 17

Subclause 8.2.1.1.1.11

Delete the following values from Explicit-conversion:

"teletex-to-telex, telex-to-ia5-text, telex-to-teletex, telex-to-g4-class-1, telex-to-videotex, ia5-text-to-telex, telex-to-g3-facsimile, videotex-to-telex,"

Page 18

Subclause 8.2.1.1.1.14

In the first sentence of the last paragraph replace "mhs-preferred-delivery-method" with "preferredDeliveryMethod".

Page 18

Subclause 8.2.1.1.1.17

Replace the second paragraph with the following:

"This argument's value is the combination of two independent components. If present, the first component shall have one of the following values: **ordinary-mail**, **special-delivery**, **express-mail**, **counter-collection**, **counter-collection-with-telephone-advice**, **counter-collection-with-telex-advice**, or **counter-collection-with-teletex-advice**. If present, the second component shall have the value **bureau-fax-delivery**."

Page 20

Subclause 8.2.1.1.1.25

Add to the end of the sentence in the third paragraph:

"with an asymmetric algorithm (see clause 8.5.8)."

Page 21

Subclause 8.2.1.1.1.26

Insert a new last paragraph:

"Symmetric algorithms may be used within the above **asymmetric-token** (see clause 8.5.8). If symmetric algorithms are used for both the **message-token** and the **content-integrity-check** then the **message-token** can only support Non Repudiation of Origin elements-of-service if the security policy in force provides for the involvement of a third party acting as a notary."

Page 24

Subclause 8.2.1.1.1.34

In the bullet identified by "**external:**" after "1984 systems" insert:

"; it shall only be used with **mts-transfer-protocol-1984**"

After this bullet insert a new note:

"NOTE - The interworking rules ensure that the **external content-type** is never used in conjunction with **mts-transfer** or **mts-transfer-protocol**. Although the **external content-type** is designed to allow interworking between 1988 systems through intermediate 1984 systems, a 1984 system may deliver (or submit) a **content** of the **external content-type** provided that the MTS-user (or the MTA itself) performs the equivalent of the upgrading (or downgrading) rules given in ISO/IEC 10021-6."

Page 25

Subclause 8.2.1.1.1.34

In the description of **inner-envelope**, replace:

", for forwarding by the recipient named on the outer-envelope to those named on the inner-envelope."

with:

". When delivered to the recipient named on the outer-envelope, the outer-envelope is removed and the content is deciphered, if needed, resulting in an inner-envelope and its content. The information contained in the inner-envelope is used to transfer the content of the inner-envelope to the recipients named on the inner-envelope."

Page 25

Subclause 8.2.1.1.1.37

The word **content** in the second paragraph should be in bold face.

Add at the end of the subclause:

"NOTE - The value of the octet string containing the encoded **content** does not change as the message crosses the MTS."

Pages 26-27

Subclause 8.2.1.1.2.4

In the third paragraph replace "the arguments of the submitted message (see clause 8.2.1.1.1)" with "the Message-submission arguments (see clause 8.2.1.1.1) of the subject message".

Delete the last sentence of the third paragraph.

Page 40

Subclause 8.3.1.1.1.4

In the first paragraph, replace the last sentence with "An additional value of this argument shall be present for each occasion the message was redirected."

Page 40

Subclause 8.3.1.1.1.5

In the first paragraph, replace the last sentence with "An additional value of this argument shall be present for each occasion the message was redirected."

Page 40

Subclause 8.3.1.1.1.6

Replace the first paragraph with the following:

"If the originator of the message requested disclosure of other recipients, this argument contains the **OR-names** of the originally-specified recipients other than the one (if any) identified by either the **originally-intended-recipient-name** argument, if present, or else by the **this-recipient-name** argument. This argument shall be generated by the MTS if, and only if, the message-submission abstract-operation had the **disclosure-of-other-recipients** argument set to **disclosure-of-other-recipients-requested** and there is at least one such other recipient."

Add at the end of the subclause:

"NOTE - If DL expansion has been performed, the **OR-names** of the DL's members are not disclosed. The **OR-name** of the DL is disclosed if, and only if, it is that of an originally-specified recipient."

Page 49

Subclause 8.3.1.2.1.11

Add new **non-delivery-diagnostic-codes** to the end of the list, replacing the full stop at the end of "**unable-to-downgrade**" by a semi-colon:

"unable-to-complete-transfer: receiving system has indicated that it is permanently unable to complete transfer of the subject; for example, when the transfer is of such a size that it could never be accepted;

transfer-attempts-limit-reached: the maximum number or time duration of repeat attempts to transfer the subject was reached."

Page 49

Subclause 8.3.1.2.1.13

To improve its clarity, replace the fourth paragraph by:

"The **report-origin-authentication-check** contains the **report-origin-authentication-algorithm-identifier** and an asymmetrically-encrypted hashed version of:

the **report-origin-authentication-algorithm-identifier**;

the **content-identifier** of the subject;

the **message-security-label** of the subject;

and all values of the following (per-recipient) arguments:

the **actual-recipient-name**,

the **originally-intended-recipient-name**, and:

for a delivery-report:

the **message-delivery-time**,

the **type-of-MTS-user**,

the **recipient-certificate** if requested by the originator of the message for recipients to which the report relates,

the **proof-of-delivery** if requested by the originator of the message for recipients to which the report relates and if the report is on a message; or

for a non-delivery-report:

the **non-delivery-reason-code**, and

the **non-delivery-diagnostic-code**."

Page 61

Subclause 8.5.2

Add a new sentence at the end of the third paragraph "If the identification is relative to the associated ADMD then that **administration-domain-name** shall be present." and delete Note 2.

Page 62

Subclause 8.5.5

In the fourth paragraph replace ", optionally a number of **extension-attributes**" with " selected from those defined in ISO/IEC 10021-2"