



INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ISO/IEC 10164-8:1993
TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1

Published 1995-12-15

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION • МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИЯ ПО СТАНДАРТИЗАЦИИ • ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE NORMALISATION
INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION • МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ ЭЛЕКТРОТЕХНИЧЕСКАЯ КОМИССИЯ • COMMISSION ÉLECTROTECHNIQUE INTERNATIONALE

Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Systems Management: Security audit trail function —

TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1

Technologies de l'information — Interconnexion de systèmes ouverts — Gestion-système: Fonction de sécurité de l'expertise de l'historique

RECTIFICATIF TECHNIQUE 1

Technical corrigendum 1 to International Standard ISO/IEC 10164-8:1993 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

ICS 35.100.70

Ref. No. ISO/IEC 10164-8:1993/Cor.1:1995(E)

Descriptors: data processing, information interchange, network interconnection, open systems interconnection, application layer, systems management, management.

© ISO/IEC 1995

Printed in Switzerland

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

This page intentionally left blank

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ITU-T RECOMMENDATION

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY – OPEN SYSTEMS INTERCONNECTION –
SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT: SECURITY AUDIT TRAIL FUNCTIONTECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1
(to Rec. X.740 | ISO/IEC 10164-8)

- 1) *Add the following footnote to the first list item in 2.1:*
 “¹⁾ as amended by ITU-T Rec. X.701/Cor.2 | ISO/IEC 10040/Cor.2”
- 2) *Apply the following change to 2.1:*
Remove footnote 1 and replace the fourth list item with the following:
 “– ITU-T Recommendation X.724 (1993) | ISO/IEC 10165-6:1994, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection - Structure of management information: Requirements and guidelines for implementation conformance statement proformas associated with OSI management.*”
- 3) *Add the following reference to 2.2:*
 “– ITU-T Recommendation X.296³⁾, *OSI conformance testing methodology and framework for protocol Recommendations for ITU-T applications – Implementation conformance statements.*
 ISO/IEC 9646-7³⁾, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – Conformance testing methodology and framework – Part 7: Implementation Conformance Statements.*
And then add the following footnote:
 3) Presently at the stage of draft.
- 4) *Apply the following changes to 3.4:*
 Replace “dependent conformance” with “managed object conformance statement (MOCS)”.
 Replace “general conformance” with “management information conformance statement (MICS)”.
 Relabel items f) and g) as h) and i) and insert the following new items:
 “f) MICS proforma;
 g) MOCS proforma;”
- 5) *Insert the following new subclause:*

“3.9 Implementation conformance statement proforma definitions

This Recommendation | International Standard makes use of the following terms defined in ITU-T Rec. X.724 | ISO/IEC 10165-6:

- a) Managed Relationship Conformance Statement (MRCS);
- b) Management Conformance Summary (MCS);
- c) Management Information Definition Statement (MIDS) proforma;
- d) MCS proforma;
- e) MRCS proforma.”
- 6) *Add the following abbreviation to clause 4:*
 “ICS Implementation Conformance Statement
 MICS Management Information Conformance Statement
 MRCS Managed Relationship Conformance Statement”

7) *Replace clause 13 with the following:*

“13 Conformance

Implementations claiming to conform to this Recommendation | International Standard shall comply with the conformance requirements as defined in the following subclauses.

13.1 Static conformance

The implementation shall conform to the requirements of this Recommendation | International Standard in the manager role, the agent role, or both roles. A claim of conformance to at least one role shall be made in Table B.1.

If a claim of conformance is made for support in the manager role, the implementation shall support at least one of the notifications or at least one of the management operations specified in this Recommendation | International Standard. The conformance requirements in the manager role for those management operations and notifications are identified in Table B.3 and further tables referenced by Annex B.

If a claim of conformance is made for support in the agent role, the implementation shall support at least one of the notifications specified in this Recommendation | International Standard. The conformance requirements in the agent role are identified in Table B.4 and further tables referenced by Annex B.

The implementation shall support the transfer syntax derived from the encoding rules specified in CCITT Rec. X.209 | ISO/IEC 8825 named {joint-iso-ccitt asn1(1) basicEncoding(1)} for the abstract data types referenced by the definitions for which support is claimed.

NOTE – Prior to the publication of this amendment, this Recommendation | International Standard identified general and dependent conformance classes. A claim of conformance similar to general conformance class can be made by stating support in the manager role, the agent role, or both roles, for the security audit trail reporting functional unit in Table B.2. A claim of conformance similar to dependent conformance class can be made by stating support for at least one of the items in Tables B.3 or B.4.

13.2 Dynamic conformance

Implementations claiming to conform to this Recommendation | International Standard shall support the elements of procedure and definitions of semantics corresponding to the definitions for which support is claimed.

13.3 Management implementation conformance statement requirements

Any MCS proforma, MICS proforma, and MOCS proforma which conforms to this Recommendation | International Standard shall be technically identical to the proformas specified in Annexes B, C and D preserving table numbering and the index numbers of items, and differing only in pagination and page headers.

The supplier of an implementation which is claimed to conform to this Recommendation | International Standard shall complete a copy of the Management Conformance Summary (MCS) provided in Annex B as part of the conformance requirements together with any other ICS proformas referenced as applicable from that MCS. An ICS which conforms to this Recommendation | International Standard shall:

- describe an implementation which conforms to this Recommendation | International Standard;
- have been completed in accordance with the instructions for completion given in ITU-T Rec. X.724 | ISO/IEC 10165-6;
- include the information necessary to uniquely identify both the supplier and the implementation.

Claims of conformance to the management information defined in this Recommendation | International Standard in managed object classes defined elsewhere shall include the requirements of the MIDS proforma in the MOCS for the managed object class.

8) *Apply the following change to A.5:*

Replace the production for “SecurityAuditInfo” with the following:

"SecurityAuditInfo ::= SEQUENCE { serviceReportCause notificationIdentifier correlatedNotifications additionalText additionalInformation }"	ServiceReportCause OPTIONAL, NotificationIdentifier OPTIONAL, [1] IMPLICIT CorrelatedNotifications OPTIONAL, AdditionalText OPTIONAL, [2] IMPLICIT AdditionalInformation OPTIONAL }"
--	---

- 9) *Replace Annexes B, C, D and E with the following:*

Annex B

MCS proforma⁵⁾

(This annex forms an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard)

B.1 Introduction

B.1.1 Purpose and structure

The Management Conformance Summary (MCS) is a statement by a supplier that identifies an implementation and provides information on whether the implementation claims conformance to any of the listed set of documents that specify conformance requirements to OSI management.

The MCS proforma is a document in the form of a questionnaire that when completed by the supplier of an implementation becomes the MCS.

B.1.2 Instructions for completing the MCS proforma to produce a MCS

The supplier of the implementation shall enter an explicit statement in each of the boxes provided. Specific instruction is provided in the text which precedes each table.

B.1.3 Symbols, abbreviations and terms

For all annexes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the following common notations, defined in CCITT Rec. X.291 | ISO/IEC 9646-2 and ITU-T Rec. X.296 | ISO/IEC 9646-7, are used for the Status column:

- m Mandatory;
- o Optional;
- c Conditional;
- x Prohibited;
- Not applicable or out of scope.

NOTES

- 1 'c', 'm', and 'o' are prefixed by "c:" when nested under a conditional or optional item of the same table;
- 2 'o' may be suffixed by ".N" (where N is a unique number) for selectable options among a set of status values. Support of at least one of the choices (from the items with the same value of N) is required.

For all annexes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the following common notations, defined in CCITT Rec. X.291 | ISO/IEC 9646-2 and ITU-T Rec. X.296 | ISO/IEC 9646-7, are used for the Support column:

- Y Implemented;
- N Not implemented;
- No answer required;
- Ig The item is ignored (i.e. processed syntactically but not semantically).

B.1.4 Table format

Some of the tables in this Recommendation | International Standard have been split because the information is too wide to fit on the page. Where this occurs, the index number of the first block of columns are the index numbers of the corresponding rows of the remaining blocks of columns. A complete table reconstructed from the constituent parts should have the following layout:

Index	First block of columns	Second block of columns	Etc.
-------	------------------------	-------------------------	------

⁵⁾ Users of this Recommendation | International Standard may freely reproduce the MCS proforma in this annex so that it can be used for its intended purpose, and may further publish the completed MCS. Instructions for the MCS proforma are specified in ITU-T Rec. X.724 | ISO/IEC 10165-6.

In this Recommendation | International Standard the constituent parts of the table appear consecutively, starting with the first block of columns.

When a table with sub-rows is too wide to fit on a page, the continuation table(s) have been constructed with index numbers identical to the index numbers in the corresponding rows of the first table, and with sub-index numbers corresponding to the sub-rows within each indexed row. For example, if Table X.1 has 2 rows and the continuation of Table X.1 has 2 sub-rows for each row, the tables are presented as follows:

Table X.1 – Title

Index	A	B	C	D	Support		G
					E	F	
1	a	b	–				
2	a	b	–				

Table X.1 (continued) – Title

Index	Sub-index	H	I	J	K	L
1	1.1	h	i	j		
	1.2	h	i	j		
2	2.1	h	i	j		
	2.2	h	i	j		

A complete table reconstructed from the constituent parts should have the following layout:

Index	A	B	C	D	Support		G	Sub-index	H	I	J	K	L
					E	F							
1	a	b	–					1.1	h	i	j		
								1.2	h	i	j		
2	a	b	–					2.1	h	i	j		
								2.2	h	i	j		

References made to cells within tables shall be interpreted as references within reconstructed tables. In the example, above, the reference X.1/1d corresponds to the blank cell in column G for row with Index 1, and X.1/1.2b corresponds to the blank cell in column L for row with Sub-index 1.2.

B.2 Identification of the implementation

B.2.1 Date of statement

The supplier of the implementation shall enter the date of this statement in the box below. Use the format DD-MM-YYYY.

Date of statement