INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/IEC 9804

First edition
1990-11-15

Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Service definition for the Commitment, Concurrency and Recovery service element

Technologies de l'information — Interconnexion de systèmes ouverts — Spécification du service pour l'élément de service d'engagement, concurrence et reprise

Reference number
ISO/IEC 9804 : 1990 (E)

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

Contents

Foreword vi

Introduction vii

1 Scope 1

2 Normative references 1

3 Definitions 1

3.1 Reference model definitions 1

3.2 Naming and addressing definition 2

3.3 Service conventions definitions 2

3.4 Presentation service definitions 2

3.5 ACSE service definitions 2

3.6 Application Layer structure definitions 2

3.7 CCR service definitions 2

4 Abbreviations 4

5 Conventions 4

6 Concepts 5

6.1 Use of CCR in a distributed application environment 5

6.2 CCR facilities 7

6.3 Heuristic decisions 8

7 Service definition 9

7.1 C-BEGIN service 9

7.2 C-PREPARE service 10

© ISO/IEC 1990
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher.

International Organization for Standardization
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland
Printed in Switzerland

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

7.3 C-READY service 11

7.4 C-COMMIT service 11

7.5 C-ROLLBACK service 11

7.6 C-RECOVER service 12

8 Sequencing information 13

8.1 General 13

8.2 Events 14

8.3 States 14

8.4 Interpretation of the state table 14

8.5 Completing the branch 14

8.6 Collisions and disruptive services 14

9 Using CCR 20

9.1 General 20

9.2 Use of CCR by a cooperating main service 20

9.3 Use of resynchronization 20

9.4 Use of transport expedited service 20

9.5 Starting a branch 20

Annexes

A CCR service-user rules 21

A.1 Introduction 21

A.1.1 Rule categories 21

A.1.2 Heuristic decision considerations 21

A.2 Compliance 21

A.3 CCR service primitive usage rules 22

A.3.1 C-BEGIN request primitive 22

A.3.2 C-PREPARE request primitive 22

A.3.3 C-READY request primitive 22

A.3.4 C-COMMIT request primitive 22

A.3.5 C-COMMIT response primitive 22

A.3.6 C-ROLLBACK request primitive 22

A.3.7 C-ROLLBACK indication primitive 23

A.3.8 C-RECOVER(ready) request primitive 23

A.3.9 C-RECOVER(commit) request primitive. 23

A.3.10 C-RECOVER(done) response primitive 23

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

A.4 Atomic action data manipulation rules 23

A.4.1 Recording atomic action data that indicates an offer of commitment .. 23

A.4.2 Forgetting atomic action data that indicates an offer of commitment .. 23

A.4.3 Recording atomic action data indicating an order of commitment 23

A.4.4 Forgetting atomic action data indicating an order of commitment 24

A.5 Bound data manipulation rules 24

A.5.1 Changing bound data to produce the final state 24

A.5.2 Releasing bound data in the initial state as part of rollback 24

A.5.3 Releasing bound data in the final state as part of commitment 24

A.5.4 Releasing bound data as part of a heuristic decision 24

A.6 CCR service-user data transfer rules 24

A.6.1 Data transfer request and response primitive 24

A.6.2 Data transfer indication and confirm primitive 24

B Relationship of CCR to the Application Layer Structure 25

B.1 CCR service-provider 25

B.2 CCR service-user 25

B.3 Atomic action tree 25

C CCR tutorial 27

C.1 Introduction 27

C.1.1 What is CCR? 27

C.1.2 Atomic action 27

C.1.3 Purpose 28

C.1.4 Using CCR 28

C.2 Structure of an atomic action tree 28

C.2.1 Model 28

C.2.2 CCR service-user 28

C.2.3 Branch and its identifiers 30

C.2.4 An example using JTM 30

C.3 CCR service-user information resources 30

C.3.1 Bound data 30

C.3.2 Atomic action data 31

C.3.3 Operational data 31

C.4 Concurrency 31

C.4.1 General considerations 31

C.4.2 Concurrency example — locking 31

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

C.5 Recovery 32

C.5.1 Atomic action data 32

C.5.2 Presumed rollback 33

C.5.3 AP and AE invocation identifiers 34

C.6 Time relations and sequence of service primitives 39

C.7 Comments on implementation complexity 39

C.8 Using the User Data parameter on CCR services 40

C.8.1 Level of commitment 40

C.8.2 CCR diagnostics 40

C.8.3 Application-semantics 40

C.8.4 Heuristic warning 40

C.9 Optional use of C-PREPARE 40

C.9.1 Implicit prepare semantic 41

C.9.2 Implicit conditional prepare and continue 41

C.9.3 C-READY and application-semantics collision 41

C.10 Use of session synchronize and resynchronize services 42

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

International Standard ISO/IEC 9804 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

Annexes A and B form an integral part of this International Standard. Annex C is for information only.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

Introduction

This International Standard is one of a set of International Standards produced to facilitate the interconnection of information processing systems. It relates to other International Standards in the set defined by the Reference Model for Open Systems Interconnection (ISO 7498). The reference model subdivides the area of standardization for interconnection into a series of layers of specification, each of manageable size.

The goal of Open Systems Interconnection is to allow, with a minimum of technical agreement outside the interconnection standards, the interconnection of information processing systems

—from different manufacturers;

—under different managements;

—of different levels of complexity; and

—of different technologies.

This International Standard recognizes that application-processes may wish to communicate with each other for a wide variety of reasons. However, any communication requires certain services independent of the reasons for communication. The application-service-element defined in this International Standard provides such services.

This International Standard defines the facilities of the application-service-element for commitment, concurrency and recovery (CCR). CCR provides services for a single association. A referencing specification uses these services for starting and ending a specific sequence of distributed application operations despite application or communication failure.

This International Standard is referenced by a specification to apply CCR to its operation. CCR services may be used with presentation services (ISO 8822), or with other Application Layer services. However, the use of CCR services is subject to the restrictions specified in clause 9. The use of CCR services allows a referencing specification to define its activity as an atomic action. An atomic action may use many associations, possibly with different protocols on each association.

Annex A describes the rules that shall be followed by a specification that references this International Standard.

Annex B presents the relationship of the CCR model and concepts to the Application Layer Structure (ISO/IEC 9545).

Annex C is a tutorial to aid the understanding of the concepts and facilities of CCR.

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Service definition for the Commitment, Concurrency and Recovery service element

1 Scope

This International Standard is intended for reference by other specifications when the functionality of commitment, concurrency and recovery is required. It can be referenced whenever the processing of two or more application-entity invocations in a distributed application needs to be organized into an atomic action.

This International Standard defines services that are used on a single association to coordinate two application-entity invocations involved in an atomic action. The determination of which application-entity invocations are involved in an atomic action is not within the scope of this International Standard.

This International Standard establishes the general principles for the coordinated use of the CCR services when more than two application-entity invocations are involved in a single atomic action, or when recovery is required after failure. The coordination of multiple associations and the related application-entity invocations that constitute an atomic action is achieved by a referencing specification in conjunction with this International Standard.

This International Standard is only applicable to a distributed application whose specification references this International Standard.

This International Standard does not specify individual implementations or products. It does not constrain the implementation of entities and interfaces within a computer system.

No requirement is made for conformance to this International Standard.

This International Standard includes requirements for compliance that apply to a referencing specification.

2 Normative references

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this International Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this International Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO 7498:1984, *Information processing systems — Open Systems Interconnection — Basic Reference Model.*

ISO 7498-3:1989, *Information processing systems — Open Systems Interconnection — Basic Reference Model — Part 3: Naming and addressing.*

ISO 8326:1987, *Information processing systems — Open Systems Interconnection — Basic connection oriented session service definition.*

ISO/TR 8509:1986, *Information processing systems — Open Systems Interconnection — Service Conventions.*

ISO 8649:1988, *Information processing systems — Open Systems Interconnection — Service definition for the Association Control Service Element.*

ISO 8822:1988, *Information processing systems — Open Systems Interconnection — Connection oriented presentation service definition.*

ISO/IEC 9545:1989, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Application Layer structure.*

ISO/IEC 9805:1990, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Protocol specification for the Commitment, Concurrency and Recovery service element.*

3 Definitions

3.1 Reference model definitions

This International Standard makes use of the following terms derived from ISO 7498:

a) application-association; association;
b) application-entity;
c) Application Layer;
d) application-process;
e) presentation-connection;
f) presentation-service;
g) session-service; and
h) session-connection.

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

3.2 Naming and addressing definition

This International Standard makes use of the following term defined in ISO 7498-3:

a) application-entity title.

3.3 Service conventions definitions

This International Standard makes use of the following terms defined in ISO/TR 8509:

a) confirmed service;
b) non-confirmed service;
c) primitive;
d) request (primitive);
e) indication (primitive);
f) response (primitive); and
g) confirm (primitive).

3.4 Presentation service definitions

This International Standard makes use of the following terms defined in ISO 8822:

a) abstract syntax;
b) abstract syntax name;
c) defined context set;
d) presentation context; and
e) presentation data value.

3.5 ACSE service definitions

This International Standard makes use of the following terms defined in ISO 8649:

a) association-initiator;
b) association-initiator; and
c) disrupt.

3.6 Application Layer structure definitions

This International Standard makes use of the following terms defined in ISO/IEC 9545:

a) application-entity-invocation;
b) application-service-element;
c) multiple association control function;
d) single association control function; and
e) single association object.

3.7 CCR service definitions

3.7.1 acceptor: The CCR service-user that receives the indication primitive for a particular CCR service. For a confirmed service, it also issues the response primitive.

3.7.2 application failure: The failure of an application-entity invocation to meet its normal specification.

3.7.3 atomic action: A specific set of operations of a distributed application that may be characterized by the properties of atomicity, consistency, isolation, and durability.

3.7.4 atomic action branch; branch: A relationship between two CCR service-users representing an integral part of an atomic action. The relationship may survive both communication or application failure. It is begun by the use of CCR services and later completed by either the use of CCR services or by an application or communication failure.

3.7.5 atomic action branch identifier; branch identifier: A value assigned by the superior that uniquely identifies a branch within the scope of the atomic action.

3.7.6 atomic action data: State and control information about an atomic action and its branches. Atomic action data required for recovery persists if an application or communication failure occurs.

3.7.7 atomic action identifier: A value assigned by the master that uniquely identifies an atomic action within the OSI environment.

3.7.8 atomic action tree: A hierarchical relationship between CCR service-users involved in the operations of an atomic action.

3.7.9 atomicity: A property of a set of related operations such that the operations are either all performed, or none of them are performed.

3.7.10 bound data: Data that are accessed and manipulated by a CCR service-user as part of an atomic action. Its state is bound by the rules of CCR. Bound data survives application and communication failures and exists beyond the atomic action branch.

3.7.11 CCR service-provider: Two peer CCR application-service-elements involved in the same atomic action branch.

3.7.12 CCR service-user: That part of an application-entity invocation that makes use of CCR services to coordinate one or more branches of an atomic action tree.

3.7.13 commitment of an atomic action branch; commitment: Completion of an atomic action branch with the release of bound data in the final state.

3.7.14 communication failure: The unexpected release of the supporting association.

3.7.15 compensating action: Operations used to re-establish either the initial or the final state from a mixed situation that was brought about by a conflict between heuristic decision(s) and the decision of the master.

3.7.16 concurrency control: A real open system mechanism that coordinates modifications to bound data used by concurrent atomic actions so the isolation property of the atomic action is guaranteed.

3.7.17 confirmation of commitment: A statement from a subordinate to the superior that the subordinate has completed local commitment procedures.

3.7.18 consistency: A property of a set of related operations such that the effects of the operations are performed accurately, correctly, and with validity, with respect to application semantics.

3.7.19 cooperating main service: A referencing specification that incorporates the CCR semantics within its own service primitives and carries CCR transfer syntax within its own protocol-data-units.

3.7.20 distributed application: An information processing endeavor that is accomplished using two or more applica-

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

tion-entity invocations interconnected within the OSI environment.

NOTE — This term will be removed from this subclause when its definition becomes available in another referenced standard.

3.7.21 doubt period: For a CCR service-user (that is not the master), the period during an atomic action that begins when it decides to offer commitment to its superior and ends when it receives either the order to commit or to rollback. The master CCR service-user does not have a doubt period.

3.7.22 durability: A property of a completed set of related operations such that all the effects of the operations are not altered by any sort of failure.

3.7.23 final state: The state of bound data produced as a result of the completed application operations of the atomic action.

3.7.24 heuristic decision: A decision of a CCR service-user that has offered commitment to the superior and then releases all or part of its bound data before it is ordered to commit or to roll back by the superior.

3.7.25 initial state: The state of bound data at the time of first use by an atomic action.

3.7.26 intermediate CCR service-user; intermediate: A CCR service-user that has the role of both subordinate and superior. It is a subordinate of the master CCR service-user or another intermediate CCR service-user. It is the superior of one or more other intermediate and/or leaf CCR service-users.

3.7.27 intermediate state: One of the states of bound data produced during the manipulation of bound data that is neither the initial nor the final state.

3.7.28 interrupted branch: An atomic action branch whose supporting association was released because of an application or communication failure.

3.7.29 isolation: A property of a set of related operations such that partial results of the set of operations are not accessible, except by operations of the set. This definition implies that different sets of related operations that have this property and that share bound data are serializable.

3.7.30 leaf CCR service-user; leaf: A CCR service-user that only has the role of subordinate. It is the subordinate of the master CCR service-user or an intermediate CCR service-user. It has no subordinates of its own.

3.7.31 local commitment procedures: Establishing the final state of all bound data, removal of concurrency controls, and release of all resources used in performing the atomic action.

3.7.32 local rollback procedures: Re-establishing the initial state of all bound data, removal of concurrency controls, and release of all resources used in performing the atomic action.

3.7.33 master CCR service-user; master: A CCR service-user that has the role of superior. As the creator of the atomic action tree, it has no superior, but it is the superior of one or more intermediate and/or leaf CCR service-users.

3.7.34 mixed heuristic situation; mixed situation: The state of bound data produced as the result of heuristic decision(s) when a CCR service-user releases bound data in a state different from the master.

3.7.35 offer of commitment of an atomic action branch; offer of commitment: A statement from the subordinate to the superior that the subordinate is ready for either commitment or rollback.

3.7.36 order of commitment of an atomic action branch; order of commitment: A statement by the superior to the subordinate to initiate commitment of the atomic action branch.

3.7.37 phase I: For a CCR service-user that is not the master, the period during an atomic action that ends when it decides to offer commitment to its superior. For the master CCR service-user, phase I ends when it decides to commit the atomic action. This International Standard does not specify when phase I starts.

3.7.38 phase II: For a CCR service-user that is not the master, the period during an atomic action that begins when it is ordered to commit by its superior. For the master CCR service-user, phase II begins when it decides to commit the atomic action. Phase II ends for any CCR service-user when it completes all of its branches and its involvement with the atomic action ends.

3.7.39 presumed rollback: The recovery mechanism used by CCR. It conditionally allows a CCR service-user to treat an application or communication failure as a rollback. This occurs if it has not recorded atomic action data for the branch. In addition, a CCR service-user acting as a subordinate may *presume* rollback under the following condition. It has recorded atomic action data for the branch but, during recovery, it discovers that the superior does not.

3.7.40 recovery of an atomic action branch; recovery: Procedures used by a CCR service-user to complete an interrupted atomic action branch for which it has recovery responsibility.

3.7.41 recovery responsibility for an atomic action branch; recovery responsibility: A property of a CCR service-user that determines whether it attempts recovery. The CCR service-user acquires this property as a result of using certain CCR services. It retains the property until the completion of the atomic action branch.

3.7.42 referencing specification: An Application Layer International Standard or other specification that specifies the use of CCR services. CCR services are always used in conjunction with a referencing specification.

3.7.43 requestor: The CCR service-user that issues the request primitive for a particular CCR service. For a confirmed service, it also receives the confirm primitive.

3.7.44 rollback of an atomic action branch; rollback: Completion of an atomic action branch with the release of bound data in the initial state.

3.7.45 subordinate of an atomic action branch; subordinate: The CCR service-user that receives the request to begin the branch, offers commitment and receives the order to commit.

3.7.46 superior of an atomic action branch; superior: The CCR service-user that requests the beginning of the branch, receives the offer of commitment and orders commitment.

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

4 Abbreviations

This International Standard uses the following abbreviations.

ACSE	Association Control Service Element
AE	application-entity
AEI	application-entity invocation
ASE	application-service-element
CCR	Commitment, Concurrency, and Recovery application-service-element
CCR-sp	Commitment, Concurrency, and Recovery service-provider
cnf	confirm primitive
ind	indication primitive
MACF	multiple association control function
OSI	Open Systems Interconnection
OSIE	Open Systems Interconnection environment
req	request primitive
rsp	response primitive
SACF	single association control function
SAO	single association object
U-ASE	User application-service-element

5 Conventions

This International Standard defines services for CCR following the descriptive conventions defined in ISO/TR 8509.

In clause 7, the definition of each CCR service includes a table that lists the parameters of its primitives. For a given primitive, the presence of each parameter is described by one of the following values.

blank	not applicable
C	conditional
M	mandatory
U	user option

In these tables, the notation (=) indicates that a parameter value is semantically equal to the value to its left in the table.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990



Figure 1 - Atomic action tree

6 Concepts

6.1 Use of CCR in a distributed application environment

CCR services are defined for a single association. They are not concerned with and do not address the organization and topology of a distributed application. A referencing specification is always required to coordinate the use of CCR services. However, the use of CCR services requires an understanding of the distributed application environment.

6.1.1 Atomic action environment

6.1.1.1 Atomic action properties

An **atomic action** is a specific set of related distributed application operations that may be characterized by the following properties.

a) **atomicity**: A property of a set of related operations such that the operations are either all performed or none of them are performed.

b) **consistency**: A property of a related set of operations such that the effect of the operations are performed accurately, correctly and with validity, with respect to application semantics.

c) **isolation**: A property of a set of related operations such that partial results are not accessible, except by operations of the set. This definition implies that different sets of related operations that have this property and that share bound data are serializable.

d) **durability**: A property of a set of related operations such that all the effects of the operations are not altered by any sort of failure.

In the ideal case, all these atomic action properties are maintained by the CCR service-user. However, the degree of achievement of these properties depends on the level of compliance to the CCR service-user rules (see annex A) and the local strategies of the CCR service-users.

Taking heuristic decisions is an example of a local strategy that might violate the atomic action properties (see 6.3). Heuristic decisions do not guarantee atomicity of the atomic action. Another example is the use of a concurrency mechanism that allows intermediate states of bound data to be visible outside the atomic action.

6.1.1.2 The atomic action tree

The CCR service-users that participate in an atomic action form a relationship that has a tree structure. For this International Standard, such a relationship is modelled as an **atomic action tree**, as shown in figure 1. An atomic action tree consists of CCR service-users and atomic action branches.

A given AEI can represent one or more CCR service-users of the same or different atomic action trees.

NOTE — Atomic action branches between CCR service-users in the same AEI are outside of the scope of this International Standard.

A **branch** of the atomic action is the relationship between two logically adjacent CCR service-users.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

An atomic action tree is dynamically constructed by the formation of its branches. The atomic action tree and its branches only exist for the lifetime of the atomic action.

An atomic action tree starts when a CCR service-user begins the first branch. This CCR service-user assigns this atomic action an **atomic action identifier** whose value uniquely identifies it within the OSIE. This value is propagated throughout the atomic action. A CCR service-user uses it to maintain concurrency controls. Following an application or communication failure, it is used to correlate recovery for interrupted branches of the atomic action.

Based on the requirements of the referencing specification, a CCR service-user can introduce another CCR service-user into the atomic action tree. This adds a new branch to the atomic action tree.

Beginning from any CCR service-user, an atomic action tree can be ordered hierarchically. Such an ordering that begins with the CCR service-user that started the atomic action defines the atomic action begin-tree. Figure 1 shows an atomic action tree in this ordering started by CCR service-user A. The arrow on each branch shows the direction in which it was started.

Following failure, the recovery facilities of CCR are used to ensure that branch completion procedures are correctly applied throughout the atomic action. An atomic action tree ends with the completion of all the individual branches.

6.1.2 Atomic action branch

An atomic action branch is a relationship between two logically adjacent CCR service-users. This relationship performs a portion of the work of an atomic action. The branch is requested by one of the CCR service-users and the other receives the request. Within the atomic action tree hierarchy, the CCR service-user that receives the request is one level lower than the CCR service-user that begins the branch.

The CCR service-user that begins a branch uses the appropriate atomic action identifier. It assigns a **branch identifier** whose value is unique within the scope of the atomic action. This branch identifier is used to identify a particular branch of the atomic action tree during recovery following an application or communication failure.

A branch is supported by an association. If an application or communication failure occurs, the branch may endure and continue with another association (see 6.2.2.2).

6.1.3 Bound data

The operations of an atomic action involve specific CCR service-user data as determined by the requirements of the referencing specification. For this International Standard, such data under the control of an atomic action are called **bound data**.

Modifications made by the operations of the atomic action change the bound data from an **initial state** to a **final state**. The modifications are indivisible and either all are applied (placing the bound data in the final state) or none are applied (placing the bound data in the initial state).

During an atomic action, an **intermediate state** of the bound data is invisible outside of the atomic action. Any modifications are isolated from concurrent operations that take place outside of the atomic action.

6.1.4 Atomic action data

For this International Standard, the term **atomic action data** refers to state and control information about an atomic action and its branches. Atomic action data needed for recovery is required to persist if an application or communication failure occurs.

6.1.5 Operation of an atomic action

The overall goal of an atomic action is to exchange application semantics to coordinate the setting of the final state of all bound data. To achieve this, CCR supports a two-phase commitment mechanism. During phase I offers of commitment are collected. During phase II commitment is ordered and confirmed.

Within the atomic action, each CCR service-user may offer commitment on one branch or it may make no offer of commitment. The atomic action may therefore be represented as a hierarchical tree ordered on the basis of offers of commitment — this is the atomic action commit-tree.

6.1.6 Roles in an atomic action

Offers of commitment on a branch are only made from the CCR service-user that received the C-BEGIN indication primitive for the branch.

The following roles for a branch can therefore be distinguished.

a) **superior** (of the branch): the CCR service-user that requests the beginning of the branch, receives the offer of commitment and orders commitment.

b) **subordinate** (of the branch): the CCR service-user that receives the request to begin the branch, offers commitment and receives the order to commit.

Three types of CCR service-users exist within an atomic action.

a) **master**: has the role of superior. As the creator of the atomic action tree, it has no superior, but it is the superior of one or more intermediate and/or leaf CCR service-users.

b) **intermediate**: has the role of both subordinate and superior. It is a subordinate of the master CCR service-user or of another intermediate CCR service-user. It is the superior of one or more other intermediate and/or leaf CCR service-users.

c) **leaf**: only has the role of subordinate. It is the subordinate of the master CCR service-user or an intermediate CCR service-user. It has no subordinates of its own.

6.1.7 Two-phase commitment

CCR supports a two-phase commitment mechanism. During **phase I** offers of commitment are collected. This International Standard does not specify when phase I starts.

A CCR service-user offers commitment to its superior when it has received offers of commitment from all its subordinates and has completed all operations. At this point, it is capable of placing its bound data in either the initial or final state.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

The master leaves phase I and enters **phase II** when it decides to commit the atomic action. To do this, it has received offers of commitment from all its subordinates. It also is capable of placing its bound data in the final state. The master then orders its subordinates to commit. The master leaves phase II after receiving commitment confirmation from all its subordinates to which it has ordered commitment.

A CCR service-user, that is not the master, leaves phase I and enters the **doubt period** when it decides to offer commitment to its superior. It leaves the doubt period and enters **phase II** when it receives the order to commit from its superior. An intermediate then orders its subordinates to commit. Finally, it leaves phase II when it sends commitment confirmation to its superior.

6.1.8 Commitment procedure

Commitment is the procedure whereby the CCR service-users participating in an atomic action release their bound data in the final state.

Commitment only occurs after all participating CCR service-users (other than the master) have offered commitment. The master initiates commitment. When the master decides to commit, it enters phase II. As each CCR service-user commits, it releases its bound data in the final state and orders all of its subordinates to commit.

6.1.9 Rollback procedure

Rollback is the procedure used to force the completion of some or all the branches of an atomic action. The procedure results in the release of related bound data in the initial state. Rollback may apply to an entire atomic action. It may also apply to a subtree of the atomic action tree whose root is an intermediate or a leaf.

A CCR service-user, that is not the master, may initiate rollback prior to offering commitment. The master may initiate rollback prior to ordering commitment.

For rollback, a CCR service-user releases its bound data in the initial state. It forces the completion of the branches to its subordinates by propagating the rollback on them. If it initiated rollback, it forces the completion of the branch to its superior.

Prior to offering commitment (i.e. before entering the doubt phase), a CCR service-user may order any of its subordinates to roll back even if it does not roll back or release its own bound data. The branches with such subordinates are completed. The CCR service-user remains in the atomic action.

After offering commitment, a CCR service-user that has not taken a heuristic decision only rolls back if it receives an order to roll back from its superior (see 6.3).

6.1.10 Concurrency control

Concurrency control is a real open system mechanism. It coordinates modifications to bound data used by concurrent atomic actions. A concurrency control mechanism guarantees the atomic action isolation property.

NOTE — A concurrency control mechanism ensures that at least one serial sequence of a given set of atomic actions exists that produces the same result to the common bound data as the concurrent (parallel) operation of the same atomic actions on the same bound data. That is, the concurrent execution of atomic actions is serializable.

CCR requires concurrency control for the control of atomic actions. However, the facility to accomplish concurrency is outside the scope of this International Standard.

6.2 CCR facilities

CCR facilities support the beginning and completion of a single branch. The overall goal of a branch is to exchange application semantics to cause the modification of bound data in a coordinated manner.

6.2.1 Operation of a branch

The operation of a branch is divided into two parts:

a) creation of the branch and the exchange of application semantics between the two CCR service-users to produce the final state of the bound data; and

b) commitment whereby the final state of the bound data is made permanent (i.e. committed) or rollback whereby the bound data are restored to the initial state.

At any time before starting the commitment procedure, either CCR service-user may roll back the branch.

A branch can be **interrupted** by an application or communication failure. A CCR service-user with **recovery responsibility** attempts to recover an interrupted branch using another association. A CCR service-user acquires recovery responsibility for a branch before it uses specific CCR services (see 6.2.2.2). Both CCR service-users may have recovery responsibility for the branch.

This International Standard defines CCR services for creating and controlling an individual branch. It also defines rules that govern the exchange of application semantics on a branch.

NOTE — The exchange of application semantics within the framework of a branch is defined by the referencing specification.

6.2.2 Recovery

CCR addresses failure and subsequent recovery at the branch level.

6.2.2.1 Failure

AEIs involved in an atomic action can fail at any time. However, CCR functionality and applicability rely upon the preservation of the bound data and atomic action data over such failures. The loss of such data causes a breakdown of the CCR functionality and applicability and the atomic action properties are no longer guaranteed.

Following an application or communication failure, recovery on another association may be needed. This is done to preserve the atomic action properties and to place the bound data into a consistent state. In particular, the CCR service-user may invoke CCR recovery facilities on another association to recover CCR semantic exchanges that may have been lost.

The CCR service-user accesses atomic action data when it invokes the CCR recovery facilities. Atomic action data and the CCR recovery facilities enable the CCR service-user to complete the branch.

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990

NOTE — Following an application failure, local recovery mechanisms may be needed to restore the CCR service-user. These mechanisms may be used at a later time and may involve human intervention.

6.2.2.2 Recovery mechanism

A recovery mechanism determines when the CCR service-users of a branch acquire recovery responsibility for the branch. If a failure occurs, a CCR service-user with recovery responsibility attempts the recovery of that branch.

CCR employs the **presumed rollback** (sometimes called "presumed abort") recovery mechanism. For this mechanism, the subordinate acquires recovery responsibility when it decides to offer commitment. The superior acquires recovery responsibility when it decides to order commitment. Both keep recovery responsibility until the completion of the branch.

NOTE — For the master, the presumed rollback recovery mechanism does not require the recording of atomic action data until it decides to commit the atomic action. For a leaf or intermediate, the recording of atomic action data does not occur until it decides to offer commitment. This reduces the overhead of recording atomic action data at the beginning of the branch.

The CCR recovery mechanism for an individual branch makes three basic requirements of the CCR service-user:

a) the maintenance of atomic action data;

b) the ability to set the initial or final state of bound data; and

c) the initiation of recovery when it has recovery responsibility.

The CCR service-user uses atomic action data to determine if it has recovery responsibility.

Before commitment, a CCR service-user does not have recovery responsibility. If an application or communication failure occurs, the CCR service-user shall be capable of restoring its bound data to the initial state.

During the doubt period, a CCR service-user has recovery responsibility. If an application or communication failure occurs, the CCR service-user shall be capable of placing its bound data in either the initial or final state.

After an *application failure*, local recovery mechanisms re-establish the operation the CCR service-user. The CCR service-user then attempts to use a new association to recover any branch for which it has recovery responsibility.

After a *communication failure*, the CCR service-user attempts to use another association to recover the branch if it has recovery responsibility.

Recovery responsibility is determined by the atomic action data.

6.3 Heuristic decisions

This International Standard does not explicitly provide capabilities to communicate heuristic decisions, nor the means to reduce the impact of such decisions. This discussion is included because a referencing specification may define conditions concerning heuristic decisions that affect the use of CCR services.

6.3.1 Rationale for heuristic decisions

After a CCR service-user offers commitment, the CCR service-user is in the doubt period. It keeps the capability to commit or to roll back until ordered to do so by the superior. In practice, this may not be acceptable. A prolonged failure may occur or an exceptionally long delay may take place before the decision to commit or roll back is communicated to it.

In such circumstances, a CCR service-user may decide to take a **heuristic decision**. It puts some or all of its bound data into the initial state, the final state or some intermediate state. It does this while still in the doubt period.

For a heuristic decision, the CCR service-user considers the tradeoff between:

a) keeping the capability to commit or to roll back (e.g., keeping locks on valuable data); and

b) taking a heuristic decision that possibly violates the atomic action properties and then coping with the effects of this violation.

6.3.2 Taking a heuristic decision

Any CCR service-user that has offered commitment may take a heuristic decision. This includes a CCR service-user involved in a branch interrupted by an application or communication failure. A CCR service-user may take more than one heuristic decision for a given atomic action.

A referencing specification may specify constraints on the taking of heuristic decisions. This includes not allowing heuristic decisions.

A heuristic decision of a CCR service-user that is different from that taken by the master results in a **mixed situation**.

Mixed situations are resolved by **compensating actions**. These compensating actions are application-specific as well as situation-specific. Compensating actions are outside the scope of this International Standard.

6.3.3 Detection of heuristic mixed situation

The use of CCR services guarantees that any CCR service-user that took a heuristic decision eventually detects whether its decision was in line with the decision of the master or if a mixed situation has occurred.

6.3.4 Reporting of heuristic mixed situation

When a mixed situation is detected, the referencing specification is responsible for reporting to an entity capable of resolving the mixed situation.

A referencing specification may use the User Data parameter of some CCR service primitives to communicate the existence of a heuristic decision or a heuristic mixed situation. Such communication may not be reliable.

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: ISO_IEC 9804_1990