

ISO

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION

ISO RECOMMENDATION R 233

INTERNATIONAL SYSTEM
FOR THE TRANSLITERATION OF ARABIC CHARACTERS

1st EDITION

December 1961

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

The copyright of ISO Recommendations and ISO Standards belongs to ISO Member Bodies. Reproduction of these documents, in any country, may be authorized therefore only by the national standards organization of that country, being a member of ISO.

For each individual country the only valid standard is the national standard of that country.

Printed in Switzerland

Also issued in French and Russian. Copies to be obtained through the national standards organizations.

BRIEF HISTORY

The ISO Recommendation R 233, *International System for the Transliteration of Arabic Characters*, was drawn up by Technical Committee ISO/TC 46, *Documentation*, the Secretariat of which is held by the Nederlands Instituut voor Documentatie en Registratuur (N.I.D.E.R.) on behalf of the Stichting Nederlands Normalisatie-instituut (NNI).

Work on this question by the Technical Committee began in 1956 and led, in 1959, to the adoption of a Draft ISO Recommendation.

In April 1959, this Draft ISO Recommendation (No. 353) was circulated to all the ISO Member Bodies for enquiry. It was approved by the following Member Bodies :

Austria	Israel	Romania
Chile	Italy	Spain
Czechoslovakia	Japan	Sweden
France	Netherlands	Switzerland
Germany	New Zealand	United Kingdom
Hungary	Norway	Yugoslavia
India	Poland	

Three Member Bodies opposed the approval of the Draft :

Belgium, Denmark, U.S.A.

The Draft ISO Recommendation was then submitted by correspondence to the ISO Council, which decided, in December 1961, to accept it as an ISO RECOMMENDATION.

INTRODUCTORY NOTE
ON THE
GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF TRANSLITERATION

Transliteration is the operation of representing the characters or signs of any one alphabet by those of any other, but this note refers only to transliteration of non-Latin alphabets into the Latin alphabet (also termed "Roman alphabet"). For documentation purposes the main requirement is that non-Latin texts should be reproducible by typewriters or other devices having only Latin characters and a few additional signs (diacritical, etc.).

It is a question of representing characters or signs, not sounds—and this is what distinguishes transliteration from transcription—a matter of representing characters as they are written, rather than according to their phonetic or etymological values. Transliteration generally can, and should, be automatic, so that it can be done by anyone able to identify the language of the original; and it should be possible for anyone with an adequate knowledge of this language to re-establish the text in its original characters.*

There should be no ambiguity: a given character or sign should always be transliterated consistently and, in principle, a single character in one alphabet should always correspond to a single character in the other(s). The use of two letters for a single character is acceptable only when the Latin alphabet offers no other reasonable possibility (e.g. Cyrillic *И* and Greek *Ψ*), and then it should be a two-letter combination ruling out any ambiguous interpretation.

Diacriticals are added when necessary, but signs not available on (for instance) Latin-alphabet typewriter keyboards are used as little as possible.

* For Arabic, which is usually written in an incomplete way (without vowels), transliteration cannot be automatic unless done from a text in which the vowels and other omitted signs have been supplied. Transliteration therefore requires a good knowledge of Arabic, and it may be necessary to use dictionaries for an indication of vowelings.