



Recommendation ITU-R F.1107-2
(05/2011)

**Probabilistic analysis for assessing
interference into the fixed service from
satellites using the geostationary orbit**

F Series
Fixed service



International
Telecommunication
Union
Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Foreword

The role of the Radiocommunication Sector is to ensure the rational, equitable, efficient and economical use of the radio-frequency spectrum by all radiocommunication services, including satellite services, and carry out studies without limit of frequency range on the basis of which Recommendations are adopted.

The regulatory and policy functions of the Radiocommunication Sector are performed by World and Regional Radiocommunication Conferences and Radiocommunication Assemblies supported by Study Groups.

Policy on Intellectual Property Right (IPR)

ITU-R policy on IPR is described in the Common Patent Policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC referenced in Annex 1 of Resolution ITU-R 1. Forms to be used for the submission of patent statements and licensing declarations by patent holders are available from <http://www.itu.int/ITU-R/go/patents/en> where the Guidelines for Implementation of the Common Patent Policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC and the ITU-R patent information database can also be found.

Series of ITU-R Recommendations

(Also available online at <http://www.itu.int/publ/R-REC/en>)

Series	Title
BO	Satellite delivery
BR	Recording for production, archival and play-out; film for television
BS	Broadcasting service (sound)
BT	Broadcasting service (television)
F	Fixed service
M	Mobile, radiodetermination, amateur and related satellite services
P	Radiowave propagation
RA	Radio astronomy
RS	Remote sensing systems
S	Fixed-satellite service
SA	Space applications and meteorology
SF	Frequency sharing and coordination between fixed-satellite and fixed service systems
SM	Spectrum management
SNG	Satellite news gathering
TF	Time signals and frequency standards emissions
V	Vocabulary and related subjects

Note: This ITU-R Recommendation was approved in English under the procedure detailed in Resolution ITU-R 1.

Electronic Publication
Geneva, 2011

© ITU 2011

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without written permission of ITU.

RECOMMENDATION ITU-R F.1107-2*

Probabilistic analysis for assessing interference into the fixed service from satellites using the geostationary orbit

(1994-2002-2011)

Scope

This Recommendation provides methods for assessing sharing criteria for interference from satellites using the geostationary orbit into digital fixed wireless systems. Annex 1 provides the approach to calculate interference into digital systems, and provides an outline of a calculation methodology and includes examples and a software model to implement the methodology.

The ITU Radiocommunication Assembly,

considering

- a) that emissions from space stations operating in the geostationary orbit and sharing the same spectrum may produce interference in receiving stations of the fixed service (FS);
- b) that it may be impractical to coordinate between the many terrestrial stations and the many space stations, and that, therefore, sharing criteria should be established to preclude the need for detailed coordination;
- c) that in devising such sharing criteria, account needs to be taken of the operational and technical requirements of networks in the satellite service as well as of the requirements of the FS and measures available to them;
- d) that it has been determined that a probabilistic basis for developing sharing criteria results in a more efficient use of the spectrum than from criteria developed using worst case analysis;
- e) that it is difficult and burdensome to assemble sufficient statistically accurate information about real existing and planned terrestrial and satellite system stations;
- f) that computer simulations of FS and satellite services operating in the geostationary orbit can generate statistically accurate information suitable for determining sharing criteria for a wide variety of sharing scenarios,

recommends

- 1** that information derived from computer simulations of FS and satellite services operating from the geostationary orbit and using the same spectrum may be acceptable for developing sharing criteria;
- 2** that when developing sharing criteria with respect to digital systems in the FS, the material in Annex 1 to assess interference from FS into digital FS should be taken into account.

* This Recommendation should be brought to the attention of Radiocommunication Study Groups 4, 6 and 7.

Annex 1

Information for assessing the interference into digital fixed service systems from emissions of space stations operating in the geostationary orbit

1 Introduction

This Annex presents additional information that is necessary for assessing the interference into such FS systems employing digital modulation.

The methodology provides statistics for both the interference-to-noise ratio (I/N) values of individual stations and the fractional degradation of performance (FDP) values of routes. The methodology employed for assessing the route FDP as described in § 3 is only valid when the I/N of a receiver station of that route is not so large as to drive the receiver into a non-linear range. The user is therefore encouraged to assess the I/N per receiver statistics, as described in § 2, before assessing the FDP statistics on a multihop basis, as described in § 3.

This Annex applies to digital FS systems where multipath fading generally predominates and does not apply to those systems where precipitation attenuation generally predominates.

2 Station-by-station analysis

In the case of digital point-to-point (P-P) and point-to-multipoint (P-MP) FS systems, it is appropriate to evaluate interference in terms of FDP as defined for the time varying interference from non-geostationary satellites in Annex 3 to Recommendation ITU-R F.1108. As an analogy, when there is only one FS station, FDP_{hop} due to interference entries from geostationary satellites can be defined at the input of a receiver as follows, taking into account that the interference level is almost time-invariant:

$$FDP_{hop} = \frac{I}{N_T} \quad (1)$$

where:

I : aggregate interference (W/MHz) from visible satellites into the FS receiver
 N_T : receiver thermal noise (W/MHz).

A methodology proposed in Appendix 2 of this Annex may be used for evaluating the I/N statistics.

When it is necessary to determine the effect of interference on digital FS receivers employing diversity, a different formula may be more appropriate for evaluating FDP_{hop} as described in Annex 4 to Recommendation ITU-R F.1108.

3 Multi-hop P-P FS systems

For digital FS systems with n hops operating at frequencies where multipath fading generally predominates and acknowledging that, in general, the performance objectives for multi-hop P-P FS systems are specified on a route basis, two probabilistic assessment methods may be employed. One

is described in § 2 and another is to evaluate the FDP for the route defined as the ratio of total interference power to total noise power for one direction of a route as follows:

$$FDP_{route} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n (I_k)}{n \times N_T} \quad (2)$$

where I_k is the aggregate interference falling into the k -th receiver from visible satellites.

It should be noted that equation (2) is based on the assumptions that:

- the digital signal is regenerated at each repeater;
- the fading has Rayleigh characteristics.

It should also be noted that, for evaluating FDP_{route} for digital FS systems employing diversity, an appropriate formula different from equation (2) should be used. Further studies are required.

Although there are a variety of fading types, Rayleigh fading is regarded as the most severe fading encountered in line-of-sight paths and is a determining factor in the evaluation of FS system performance. The feature of Rayleigh fading is that the probability of 10 dB deeper fading, for example, becomes smaller by a factor of 1/10. Therefore, if there exists a time-invariant interference in a hop whose level is equal to the thermal noise level ($I/N = 0$ dB), the probability of severely errored seconds (or the probability of unavailable time) will become twice as much as that of the case where there is no interference.

The FDP concept has certain limitations, the most important assumption is that the FS receiver operation remains within a linear response range. If there is an exceptionally high level of interference so that the FS receiver operation falls into a non-linear response range, the FDP concept will not apply or will underestimate the effect of interference (see paragraph following equation (16) in Annex 3 to Recommendation ITU-R F.1108). However, as long as the FS receiver operation is maintained within a linear response range, equation (2) is valid for multi-hop FS digital systems.

The discussion in the preceding section does not result in a conclusion that only FDP should be evaluated on a route basis. Station basis evaluation of FDP will be also useful for understanding the effects of interference.

A typical hop distance of long haul systems is assumed to be 50 km, but a shorter hop distance may be appropriate for short haul systems, depending on various factors including the operating frequency and propagation effects. For example, in the case of an operating frequency in the 1-3 GHz range, random selection between specified limits (e.g. between 10 and 30 km) may be appropriate as typical hop distances.

FS routes under survey should be selected according to the Monte Carlo simulation approach with the route starting point randomly selected within a user specified test box identified by latitude and longitude limits.

In performing route analysis for digital systems subject to multipath fading, it may not be necessary that each individual hop meets the I/N criterion. The overall route performance, however, must meet the fractional degradation of performance criterion. This issue is explained below.

Where multipath is the dominant fade mechanism, Recommendation ITU-R P.530 relates the probability of an outage on a hop $P(hop\ outage)$ to the link thermal fade margin (TFM):

$$P(hop\ outage) = K \cdot d^{3.6} \cdot f^{0.89} \cdot (1 + |h_r - h_e|/d)^{-1.4} \cdot 10^{-TFM/10}$$