

ITU-T

TELECOMMUNICATION
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR
OF ITU

G.8265.1/Y.1365.1

Corrigendum 1
(04/2016)

**SERIES G: TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS AND MEDIA,
DIGITAL SYSTEMS AND NETWORKS**

Packet over Transport aspects – Synchronization, quality
and availability targets

**SERIES Y: GLOBAL INFORMATION
INFRASTRUCTURE, INTERNET PROTOCOL ASPECTS
AND NEXT-GENERATION NETWORKS, INTERNET OF
THINGS AND SMART CITIES**

Internet protocol aspects – Transport

Precision time protocol telecom profile for frequency
synchronization

Corrigendum 1

Recommendation ITU-T G.8265.1/Y.1365.1 (2014) –
Corrigendum 1

ITU-T G-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS

TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS AND MEDIA, DIGITAL SYSTEMS AND NETWORKS

INTERNATIONAL TELEPHONE CONNECTIONS AND CIRCUITS	G.100–G.199
GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS COMMON TO ALL ANALOGUE CARRIER-TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS	G.200–G.299
INDIVIDUAL CHARACTERISTICS OF INTERNATIONAL CARRIER TELEPHONE SYSTEMS ON METALLIC LINES	G.300–G.399
GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF INTERNATIONAL CARRIER TELEPHONE SYSTEMS ON RADIO-RELAY OR SATELLITE LINKS AND INTERCONNECTION WITH METALLIC LINES	G.400–G.449
COORDINATION OF RADIOTELEPHONY AND LINE TELEPHONY	G.450–G.499
TRANSMISSION MEDIA AND OPTICAL SYSTEMS CHARACTERISTICS	G.600–G.699
DIGITAL TERMINAL EQUIPMENTS	G.700–G.799
DIGITAL NETWORKS	G.800–G.899
DIGITAL SECTIONS AND DIGITAL LINE SYSTEM	G.900–G.999
MULTIMEDIA QUALITY OF SERVICE AND PERFORMANCE – GENERIC AND USER-RELATED ASPECTS	G.1000–G.1999
TRANSMISSION MEDIA CHARACTERISTICS	G.6000–G.6999
DATA OVER TRANSPORT – GENERIC ASPECTS	G.7000–G.7999
PACKET OVER TRANSPORT ASPECTS	G.8000–G.8999
Ethernet over Transport aspects	G.8000–G.8099
MPLS over Transport aspects	G.8100–G.8199
Synchronization, quality and availability targets	G.8200–G.8299
Service Management	G.8600–G.8699
ACCESS NETWORKS	G.9000–G.9999

For further details, please refer to the list of ITU-T Recommendations.

Recommendation ITU-T G.8265.1/Y.1365.1

Precision time protocol telecom profile for frequency synchronization

Corrigendum 1

Summary

Recommendation ITU-T G.8265.1/Y.1365.1 contains the ITU-T precision time protocol (PTP) profile for frequency distribution without timing support from the network (unicast mode). It provides the necessary details to utilize IEEE 1588 in a manner consistent with the architecture described in Recommendation ITU-T G.8265/Y.1365. This edition of the Recommendation defines the PTP profile for unicast mode only. Future editions of the Recommendation will contain a separate profile for a mixed unicast/multicast case.

Corrigendum 1 corrects the specification format of the logInterMessageInterval parameter that specified the minimum message period for the telecom profile for frequency, and corrects text to clarify that only negotiated unicast mode is used.

History

Edition	Recommendation	Approval	Study Group	Unique ID*
1.0	ITU-T G.8265.1/Y.1365.1	2010-10-07	15	11.1002/1000/10911
1.1	ITU-T G.8265.1/Y.1365.1 (2010) Amd. 1	2011-04-13	15	11.1002/1000/11143
1.2	ITU-T G.8265.1/Y.1365.1 (2010) Amd. 2	2012-10-29	15	11.1002/1000/11821
2.0	ITU-T G.8265.1/Y.1365.1	2014-07-22	15	11.1002/1000/12193
2.1	ITU-T G.8265.1/Y.1365.1 (2014) Cor. 1	2016-04-13	15	11.1002/1000/12811

* To access the Recommendation, type the URL <http://handle.itu.int/> in the address field of your web browser, followed by the Recommendation's unique ID. For example, <http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/11830-en>.

FOREWORD

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the United Nations specialized agency in the field of telecommunications, information and communication technologies (ICTs). The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of ITU. ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T study groups which, in turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of ITU-T Recommendations is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSA Resolution 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

NOTE

In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Compliance with this Recommendation is voluntary. However, the Recommendation may contain certain mandatory provisions (to ensure, e.g., interoperability or applicability) and compliance with the Recommendation is achieved when all of these mandatory provisions are met. The words "shall" or some other obligatory language such as "must" and the negative equivalents are used to express requirements. The use of such words does not suggest that compliance with the Recommendation is required of any party.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this Recommendation may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the Recommendation development process.

As of the date of approval of this Recommendation, ITU had received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this Recommendation. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/>.

© ITU 2016

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

Table of Contents

		Page
1	Scope.....	1
2	References.....	1
3	Definitions	1
3.1	Terms defined elsewhere	1
3.2	Terms defined in this Recommendation.....	2
4	Abbreviations and acronyms	2
5	Conventions	2
6	Use of PTP for frequency distribution.....	2
6.1	High-level design requirements.....	3
6.2	General description.....	4
6.3	PTP modes.....	5
6.4	PTP mapping	6
6.5	Message rates.....	6
6.6	Unicast message negotiation	7
6.7	Alternate BMCA, telecom slave model and master selection process	9
6.8	Additional protection functions	14
7	ITU-T PTP profile for frequency distribution without timing support from the network	15
8	Security aspects	15
	Annex A – ITU-T PTP profile for frequency distribution without timing support from the network (unicast mode)	16
A.1	Profile identification.....	16
A.2	PTP attribute values.....	16
A.3	PTP options	20
A.4	Best master clock algorithm (BMCA) options	21
A.5	Path delay measurement option (delay request/delay response)	21
A.6	Configuration management options	21
A.7	Clock identity format.....	21
A.8	Flags used by this profile.....	21
A.9	Control field (controlField)	22
	Appendix I – Use of mixed unicast/multicast mode for PTP messages	23

Copyright International Telecommunication Union/ITU Telecommunication Sector
Provided by IHS Markit under license with ITU-T
No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Recommendation ITU-T G.8265.1/Y.1365.1

Precision time protocol telecom profile for frequency synchronization

Corrigendum 1

Editorial note: This is a complete-text publication. Modifications introduced by this corrigendum are shown in revision marks relative to Recommendation ITU-T G.8265.1/Y.1365.1 (2014).

1 Scope

This Recommendation specifies a profile for telecommunication applications based on [IEEE 1588] precision time protocol (PTP). The profile specifies the [IEEE 1588] functions that are necessary to ensure network element interoperability for the delivery of frequency only. The profile is based on the architecture described in [ITU-T G.8265] and definitions described in [ITU-T G.8260]. The first version of the profile specifies the high-level design requirements, modes of operation for the exchange of PTP messages, the PTP protocol mapping, the use of unicast transmission and negotiation, an alternate best master clock algorithm (BMCA), as well as the PTP protocol configuration parameters. [The support for mixed unicast/multicast or static unicast modes is for further study.](#)

This Recommendation also specifies some aspects necessary for use in a telecom environment which are outside the scope of, and complement the PTP profile.

2 References

The following ITU-T Recommendations and other references contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and other references are subject to revision; users of this Recommendation are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and other references listed below. A list of the currently valid ITU-T Recommendations is regularly published. The reference to a document within this Recommendation does not give it, as a stand-alone document, the status of a Recommendation.

- [ITU-T G.781] Recommendation ITU-T G.781 (2008), *Synchronization layer functions*.
- [ITU-T G.8260] Recommendation ITU-T G.8260 (2012), *Definitions and terminology for synchronization in packet networks*.
- [ITU-T G.8265] Recommendation ITU-T G.8265/Y.1365 (2010), *Architecture and requirements for packet-based frequency delivery*.
- [IEEE 1588] IEEE Std 1588-2008, *Standard for a Precision Clock Synchronization Protocol for Networked Measurement and Control Systems*.

3 Definitions

3.1 Terms defined elsewhere

This Recommendation uses the following terms defined elsewhere:

- 3.1.1 **packet master clock** [ITU-T G.8260]
- 3.1.2 **packet slave clock** [ITU-T G.8260]
- 3.1.3 **packet timing signal** [ITU-T G.8260]

3.2 Terms defined in this Recommendation

None.

4 Abbreviations and acronyms

This Recommendation uses the following abbreviations and acronyms:

BMC	Best Master Clock
BMCA	Best Master Clock Algorithm
EUI	Extended Unique Identifier
GM	Grand Master
NTP	Network Time Protocol
OC	Ordinary Clock
ParentDS	Parent Data Set (terminology used in [IEEE 1588])
LSP	Label Switched Path
MPLS	Multi-Protocol Label Switching
PDV	Packet Delay Variation
PTP	Precision Time Protocol
PTSF	Packet Timing Signal Fail
QL	Quality Level
SDH	Synchronous Digital Hierarchy
SOOC	Slave-Only Ordinary Clock
SSM	Synchronization Status Message
SyncE	Synchronous Ethernet
TLV	Type Length Value
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
VLAN	Virtual Local Area Network

5 Conventions

Within this Recommendation, the following conventions are used: the term PTP refers to the PTP version 2 protocol defined in [IEEE 1588]. The term "slave" or "slave clock" refers to a "slave-only ordinary clock" (SOOC) as defined in clause 9.2.2 of [IEEE 1588]. A "Telecom Slave" is a device consisting of one or more SOOCs. The term "master" or "packet master" or "packet master clock" refers to a grand master as defined in [IEEE 1588]. PTP messages used within this Recommendation are defined in [IEEE-1588] and are identified using italicized text.

6 Use of PTP for frequency distribution

[IEEE 1588] has been developed by the IEEE initially to support the timing requirements of industrial automation. The [IEEE 1588] standard contains the precision time protocol (PTP) that was designed to enable accurate time transfer.

Subsequent to the publication of the first version of the standard, work began on developing a second version of the standard which contains features useful to the transport of the protocol over a wide area

network. This "Version 2" of IEEE 1588 also introduces the concept of the "profile", whereby aspects of the protocol may be selected and specified for a particular use other than the originally intended industrial automation. This Recommendation defines the "profile for telecom" applications in order to support the specific architectures described in [ITU-T G.8265].

In order to claim compliance with the telecom profile, the requirements of this Recommendation and the relevant requirements of [IEEE 1588], as referenced in Annex A, must be met.

The detailed aspects related to the telecom profile are described in the following clauses, while the profile itself is contained in Annex A. It follows the general rules for profile specification developed in [IEEE 1588].

The [IEEE 1588] telecom profile defined within this Recommendation is intended to be used by applications that need frequency synchronization only. It does not cover applications where there is need for phase alignment and/or time of the day. This profile addresses the case where the PTP masters and slaves will be used in networks where there is no support for the PTP protocol in any intermediate node between the PTP master and the PTP slave.

It is also important to note that the default PTP protocol is based on multicast. This profile uses only ~~the negotiated~~ unicast mode. The use of mixed unicast/multicast operation or static unicast mode is for further study.

This PTP telecom profile defines the [IEEE 1588] parameters to be used in order to guarantee protocol interoperability between implementations and specifies the optional features, default values of configurable attributes and mechanisms that must be supported. However, it does not guarantee that the performance requirements of a given application will be met. Those performance aspects are currently under study, and imply additional elements beyond the content of the PTP profile itself.

6.1 High-level design requirements

[IEEE 1588] states in clause 19.3.1.1:

"The purpose of a PTP profile is to allow organizations to specify specific selections of attribute values and optional features of PTP that, when using the same transport protocol, inter-work and achieve a performance that meets the requirements of a particular application."

For operation in the telecom network, some additional criteria are also required to be consistent with standard telecom synchronization practices. With that in mind, high-level objectives for the PTP profile for frequency distribution are:

- 1) To allow interoperability between PTP master clocks and PTP slave clocks compliant with the profile.
This means that PTP master clocks compliant with the profile must have the ability to serve multiple PTP slave clocks from different vendors, and slaves require the ability to derive synchronization from one or more masters from different vendors.
- 2) To permit operation over managed, wide-area packet-based telecom networks.
This may include networks based on protocols such as Ethernet, IP and multi-protocol label switching (MPLS), and combinations thereof.
- 3) To define message rates and parameter values consistent with frequency distribution to the required performance for telecom applications.
Note that the profile does not guarantee meeting performance criteria by itself, but should permit the desired performance, given competently designed equipment operating over a suitably designed and managed packet network.
- 4) To allow interoperability with existing synchronization networks (such as synchronous Ethernet (SyncE) and synchronous digital hierarchy (SDH)).

In particular, this means that the profile must define the means to support the transmission of the [ITU-T G.781] quality level (QL) values from packet master clock to packet slave clock, providing full traceability back to a primary reference.

The QL levels transmitted must be consistent with the existing synchronization practice, and the performance of clocks in the synchronization chain.

- 5) To allow the synchronization network to be designed and configured in a fixed arrangement. Masters should always remain masters, and slaves should always remain slaves. Autonomous re-configuration of the synchronization network (e.g., by use of an automatic process such as the best master clock algorithm described in [IEEE 1588]) should be prevented.
- 6) To enable protection schemes to be constructed in accordance with standard telecom network practices.

This should include the following:

- physical protection, using redundant hardware and master at the same location;
 - geographical protection, using a separate master at a different location. This should include the ability to construct both 1:1 and N:1 protection schemes for packet master clocks.
- 7) To define the criteria under which slaves switch from one packet master clock to an alternate packet master clock.
These should be based on standard telecom criteria, i.e., QL value first, then priority values.
 - 8) To permit the operation of existing, standard-based security techniques to help ensure the integrity of the synchronization.

Examples may include encryption and/or authentication techniques, or network techniques for separating traffic, such as virtual local area networks (VLANs) or label switched paths (LSPs). Note that the profile does not have to define these techniques, but nothing in the profile should prevent the use of them.

- Slaves should be prevented from connecting to rogue masters (this could be either by an authentication process, or by using network separation to prevent rogue masters from accessing slaves).
- Masters should be prevented from providing service to unauthorized slaves.

Note that it may not be possible to implement some of these requirements without actually degrading the overall level of system performance.

6.2 General description

[IEEE 1588] defines several clock types with varying degrees of PTP message processing. This Recommendation only addresses ordinary clocks as defined in [IEEE 1588]. Boundary and transparent clocks as defined in [IEEE 1588] are out-of-scope for this edition of the Recommendation.

The performance achievable at the slave clock is dependent on several factors. Key issues include the packet delay variation and the stability of the slave clock's internal oscillator. These aspects are out-of-scope for this edition of the Recommendation.

6.2.1 Domains

A domain consists of a logical grouping of clocks communicating with each other using the PTP protocol.

PTP domains are used to partition a network within an administrative domain. The PTP messages and data sets are associated with a domain and therefore the PTP protocol is independent for different domains.