

International Telecommunication Union

ITU-T

TELECOMMUNICATION
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR
OF ITU

Series E

Supplement 9
(12/2013)

SERIES E: OVERALL NETWORK OPERATION,
TELEPHONE SERVICE, SERVICE OPERATION AND
HUMAN FACTORS

**Supplement 9 to ITU-T E.800-series
Recommendations (Guidelines on regulatory
aspects of QoS)**

ITU-T E-series Recommendations – Supplement 9



International
Telecommunication
Union
Documents

Document Sharing Hub

ITU-T E-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS

OVERALL NETWORK OPERATION, TELEPHONE SERVICE, SERVICE OPERATION AND HUMAN FACTORS

INTERNATIONAL OPERATION

Definitions	E.100–E.103
General provisions concerning Administrations	E.104–E.119
General provisions concerning users	E.120–E.139
Operation of international telephone services	E.140–E.159
Numbering plan of the international telephone service	E.160–E.169
International routing plan	E.170–E.179
Tones in national signalling systems	E.180–E.189
Numbering plan of the international telephone service	E.190–E.199
Maritime mobile service and public land mobile service	E.200–E.229

OPERATIONAL PROVISIONS RELATING TO CHARGING AND ACCOUNTING IN THE INTERNATIONAL TELEPHONE SERVICE

Charging in the international telephone service	E.230–E.249
Measuring and recording call durations for accounting purposes	E.260–E.269

UTILIZATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL TELEPHONE NETWORK FOR NON-TELEPHONY APPLICATIONS

General	E.300–E.319
Phototelegraphy	E.320–E.329
ISDN PROVISIONS CONCERNING USERS	E.330–E.349
INTERNATIONAL ROUTING PLAN	E.350–E.399

NETWORK MANAGEMENT

International service statistics	E.400–E.404
International network management	E.405–E.419
Checking the quality of the international telephone service	E.420–E.489

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

Measurement and recording of traffic	E.490–E.505
Forecasting of traffic	E.506–E.509
Determination of the number of circuits in manual operation	E.510–E.519
Determination of the number of circuits in automatic and semi-automatic operation	E.520–E.539
Grade of service	E.540–E.599
Definitions	E.600–E.649
Traffic engineering for IP-networks	E.650–E.699
ISDN traffic engineering	E.700–E.749
Mobile network traffic engineering	E.750–E.799

QUALITY OF TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES: CONCEPTS, MODELS, OBJECTIVES AND DEPENDABILITY PLANNING

Terms and definitions related to the quality of telecommunication services	E.800–E.809
Models for telecommunication services	E.810–E.844
Objectives for quality of service and related concepts of telecommunication services	E.845–E.859
Use of quality of service objectives for planning of telecommunication networks	E.860–E.879
Field data collection and evaluation on the performance of equipment, networks and services	E.880–E.899
OTHER	E.900–E.999

INTERNATIONAL OPERATION

Numbering plan of the international telephone service	E.1100–E.1199
---	---------------

NETWORK MANAGEMENT

International network management	E.4100–E.4199
----------------------------------	---------------

For further details, please refer to the list of ITU-T Recommendations.

Supplement 9 to ITU-T E-series Recommendations

Supplement 9 to ITU-T E.800-series Recommendations (Guidelines on regulatory aspects of QoS)

Summary

Supplement 9 to ITU-T E.800-series Recommendations provides guidelines on regulatory aspects of quality of service (QoS) and it focuses on end-to-end QoS as perceived by the user when using modern mobile and broadband services. Network performance is outside the scope of this supplement.

History

Edition	Recommendation	Approval	Study Group	Unique ID*
1.0	ITU-T E-800 series Suppl. 9	2013-12-12	12	11.1002/1000/12112-en

Keywords

End-to-end, QoS.

* To access the Recommendation, type the URL <http://handle.itu.int/> in the address field of your web browser, followed by the Recommendation's unique ID. For example, <http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/11830-en>.

FOREWORD

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the United Nations specialized agency in the field of telecommunications, information and communication technologies (ICTs). The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of ITU. ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T study groups which, in turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of ITU-T Recommendations is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSA Resolution 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

NOTE

In this publication, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Compliance with this publication is voluntary. However, the publication may contain certain mandatory provisions (to ensure, e.g., interoperability or applicability) and compliance with the publication is achieved when all of these mandatory provisions are met. The words "shall" or some other obligatory language such as "must" and the negative equivalents are used to express requirements. The use of such words does not suggest that compliance with the publication is required of any party.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this publication may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the publication development process.

As of the date of approval of this publication, ITU had not received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this publication. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/>.

© ITU 2014

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

Table of Contents

	Page
1 Scope	1
2 References.....	1
3 Overview of quality of service	1
4 Global challenges.....	2
5 Selecting the appropriate regulatory approach	5
5.1 Options and principles	5
6 Fundamentals of quality of service regulation.....	6
6.1 Justifications for quality of service regulation	7
6.2 Parameters and targets	9
6.3 Activities in quality of service regulation	10
7 Recommended approach.....	11
7.1 Service level agreements	12
8 Specifying parameters, levels and measurement methods	12
8.1 Parameters	12
8.2 Formulation of the target levels.....	13
8.3 Measurement methods	13
8.4 Reporting	14
Appendix I – List of telecommunications regulatory bodies.....	15
Bibliography.....	21

Supplement 9 to ITU-T E-series Recommendations

Supplement 9 to ITU-T E.800-series Recommendations (Guidelines on regulatory aspects of QoS)

1 Scope

This supplement provides guidelines on regulatory aspects of Quality of Service (QoS). The intent here is to assist regulators or administrations who need to achieve desired levels of QoS for one or more ICT services under their jurisdiction.

This supplement focuses on end-to-end QoS as perceived by the user when using modern mobile and broadband services. Nevertheless, the guidance provided in this supplement can correspondingly be used for traditional wire-bound and legacy services.

Network performance is outside the scope of this supplement.

2 References

- [ITU-T E.800] Recommendation ITU-T E.800 (2008), *Definitions of terms related to quality of service*.
- [ITU-T E.803] Recommendation ITU-T E.803 (2011), *Quality of service parameters for supporting service aspects*.
- [ITU-T E.804] Recommendation ITU-T E.804 (2014), *QoS Aspects for Popular Services in Mobile Networks*.
- [ITU-T P.10] Recommendation ITU-T P.10/G.100 (2006), *Vocabulary for performance and quality of service*.

3 Overview of quality of service

Quality of service (QoS) is defined as the totality of characteristics of a telecommunication service that bear on its ability to satisfy stated and implied needs of the user of the service (see [ITU-T E.800]); these characteristics can be measured by objective means (e.g., by a level meter or a delay counter). QoS is frequently confused with elements of network performance (NP) because (signalling) functions inside the networks are sometimes referred to as "services"; IETF uses QoS to describe the performance of functional services in network layer models. In order to avoid that confusion, QoS is often more precisely expressed as "end-to-end QoS".

Another confusion should be avoided when network counters and key performance indicators (KPIs) are brought into discussions about QoS. Network counters are vendor-specific NP parameters which cannot be standardized due to their proximity to specific implementations. A majority of standardized KPIs are describing NP parameters and only a very limited number of such KPIs are truly related to end-to-end QoS.

Furthermore, the end-to-end QoS that is perceived at the user interface, which is basically summarizing the characteristics of the underlying in-service media streams, should not be mistaken for the QoS of non-utilization stages of ICT services, which describe the (customer) "service" surrounding ICT services offered by service providers that are outside the actual usage of services that are of interest and concern to the users, e.g., quality and content of information on a service and its features, the contractual conditions offered by the service provider, provisioning facilities, documentation, and service support after contract with customers (see [ITU-T E.803] – Quality of service parameters for supporting service aspects).

Copyright © 2013 ITU-T. All rights reserved.




Figure 1 – Factors influencing customer satisfaction

The (average) user perception of end-to-end QoS can be assessed by subjective testing, but this is very costly; therefore, objective methods have been developed that help to predict user perception of QoS by objective measurement tools. The most prominent example has been recently standardized in [b-ITU-T P.863] (*Perceptual objective listening quality assessment*) and is intended for QoS assessment of voice services; this is the successor of [b-ITU-T P.862] (*Perceptual evaluation of speech quality*). Objective measurement methods for other services are available, e.g., in [b-ITU-T J.247] (for video). In addition, parametric models have been developed, which allow for the estimation of the end-to-end QoS perceived by the average user, e.g., the E-model of [b-ITU-T G.107] (for *a priori* transmission planning) or the [b-ITU-T P.12xx]-series (parametric assessment of streaming media) Recommendations.

The user perception of quality is, however, not limited to the objective characteristics at the man-machine interface, summarized in the QoS concept. What counts for end users is the quality that they personally experience during their use of a telecommunication service; Quality of Experience (QoE), therefore, takes into account additional subjective parameters stemming from user expectations and from the context, in which the user is embedded during the use of the service, typical examples of context-related influences being personal mood and environment. Also, QoE covers the potential discrepancy between the service offered and individual users reading additional features into the service.

4 Global challenges

With the move from traditional networks, which were based on dedicated service-channels and/or separate networks for each service, towards integrated (transport) services on a single packet-based transport infrastructure, which delivers all (transport) services via a single network access point, an access network and a unified so-called backbone, pre-defined transmission planning of QoS has become a major challenge.

In traditional networks, allocation of transmission impairments was based on a simple but effective concept: resources had been divided into the so-called international chain and both of the terminating national networks (including terminals) with heavy regulation in place, modern packet-based network quality parameter requirements are pretty much undefined and the impression is that the responsibility for end-to-end QoS has been lost; basically, in an IP environment, services must be considered as applications executed in the terminal devices; IP networks cannot provide for self standing end-to-end QoS, but only transport classes, which enable QoS differentiation.

The view on QoS related challenges depends strongly on the role of the stakeholders involved:

Standards development organizations (SDOs) like the ITU-T or the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) or the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) have

the collective knowledge and expertise with respect to the QoS related problems inherited with the change of paradigms in networks and terminals and also with the aspects of planning and possible regulation of end-to-end QoS. However, SDOs are contribution-driven, which means that if stakeholders decide to rely on industry standards instead of globally recognized standards, and if stakeholders wish to keep control of their intellectual property and furthermore wish to not invest resources in globally recognized standards, then there is not very much for SDOs to do except to try to convince industry leaders, for example, in dedicated events such as conferences.

Network equipment manufacturers basically have to rely on the QoS related performance requests (of network and system functions) from network operators and service providers. Ideally, network equipment manufacturers would participate in the QoS work of SDOs in order to standardize the QoS and performance requirements between several parties involved in the network business. Unfortunately, for many network equipment manufacturers there is no visible incentive in the short-term which would make them participate in the work of SDOs related to end-to-end QoS; the return of investment (RoI) from this kind of engagement cannot easily be seen.

Terminal device manufacturers are confronted with a mass market today. In the past, terminal standards were for example targeting minimum attachment requirements, which were meant to not harm the network. Nowadays, there are terminal standards which target the possibility of provision of high-level end-to-end QoS to the customer. This is a challenge for terminal equipment manufacturers since the acceptance of terminals in the market is based on other factors (e.g., price, other functions of terminals (like MP3 players, GPS, etc.), applications available for that terminal (like games, etc.) and brand rather than end-to-end QoS – at least in the first place; "kids prefer the pink phone!").

Network operators and service providers are faced with the necessity of huge investments in both infrastructure and access technology. They are likely to react partially by investing in new capacity, and partially by rationing existing capacity. From their perspective, traffic management tools play an important role, increasing the efficiency with which operators can manage existing network capacity. "The appropriateness of different approaches to traffic management is at the heart of the Net Neutrality debate. Given the controversial nature of this debate, it is important to bear in mind that traffic management has always beneficial aspects to it. It is commonly used for example to protect safety-critical traffic such as calls to the emergency services. The question, therefore, is not whether traffic management is acceptable in principle, but whether particular approaches to traffic management cause concern."¹

But also there remains the question whether network operators and service providers may or may not use traffic management as a welcome method towards suppressing competition from the so-called "un-managed" (i.e., not differentiating between traffic types, source or destination points) Internet or inhibiting the possibility of content or application providers with which it competes from introducing new innovative products. Opening access and core packet networks as pure bit pipes will probably not provide the revenues to match the huge investments mentioned; therefore, network operators and service providers will aim at providing services on top of the bit stream itself. From the beginning of the development of next generation networks (NGNs), which started in the mid-1990s with the ETSI project TIPHON the outcome of which finally was harmonized with ITU work in the NGN-GSI, network operators and service providers **claimed** that the so-called "guaranteed QoS" (which is only a statistical guarantee) requires service differentiation in the networks; in fact for the network this would be rather a traffic class differentiation, with different services then requesting a certain transport class from the network.

¹ "Ofcom's approach to net neutrality" <http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/consultations/net-neutrality/statement/>.

Regulators and administrations in general are challenged with their responsibility to consumer protection being affected by the rapid introduction of vendor-specific new services, which they have to take into account; in addition they are also required to strike a balance between service competition and infrastructure competition to address the challenges associated with QoS on the network². In the early days of the move towards end-to-end services being no longer provided on a fixed, well-known platform, it still seemed to be fairly easy to require that the new technology provide QoS not less than in the ISDN era; however, today, it is easy to lose the overview of proprietary services, provided by various network operators and service providers "on-net" and the respectively offered QoS. The real problem seems to be that services are not standardized, which would mean that for interconnection scenarios (one of the major responsibilities of the ITU, and one of the main purposes of the ITRs) one would need specific service agreements for each network-to-network-interface (NNI).

In contrast, regulators and administrations have seen in the recent past that the un-managed Internet has led to the creation of new services offered "over the top" (e.g., Skype), which like network operators and service providers are an important factor contributing to the economical benefits of their respective countries; services on the Internet can be created, improved, judged and used by each individual within the legal context without restrictions.

Consequently, regulators and administrations have to take a close look at the conditions under which access to these services in comparison to the access to the Internet is being provided; e.g., in the access there may be a certain percentage of the bandwidth or of the capacity reserved for the on-net services which then are not available for access to the Internet; similarly the packed-based backbone of the network operator may serve for both the provision of their proprietary services (which are intended to secure their revenues) and for the carriage of open Internet traffic (which gives lower revenues); this may lead to a tendency to give lower priority to the open Internet traffic.

Consumers are challenged when using telecommunication services in their personal lives (i.e., the discrepancy between advertised and actual delivery speeds of the network). In the communications between the European Commission and the Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications (BEREC), the need for clear and transparent communication of QoS parameters and network management practices has been a recurrent theme.

"Consumers may not be able to detect the actual applications of discriminating traffic management techniques and find it difficult to distinguish between the effects of traffic management techniques on QoS from the effects of other quality degrading factors. For instance, a consumer who is observing that traffic is routinely throttled may not know whether this is done by intention, or is caused by other factors such as network congestion, which is leading to the degradation of service. Even if [network] operators or ISPs are required to declare which traffic management techniques and policies are being used, consumers may find it difficult to act upon such information if it is presented in a highly technical way which does not explain the 'real world' effects. Thus, it will be important to monitor the effectiveness of transparency and QoS."³

In technical terms the global challenges can be summarized as follows:

Due to the dramatic increase in mobile communication, both in terms of the number of registered devices and of the volume of requested resources it is quite likely that migration scenarios and hybrid connections with existing wire-bound and traditional networks and terminals will be neglected and appropriate QoS standards will not be established or enforced.

² ITU GSR10 Best Practice Guidelines for Enabling Open Access,
<http://www.itu.int/ITU-D/treg/Events/Seminars/GSR/GSR10/consultation/index.html>.

³ BEREC Response to the EU Commission's consultation on the open Internet and net neutrality in Europe, 30 Sep. 2010.