

NFPA®

551

Guide for the
Evaluation of Fire Risk
Assessments

2022



NFPA[®] 551

Guide for the Evaluation of Fire Risk Assessments

2022 Edition



NFPA, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02269-9101
An International Codes and Standards Organization

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

IMPORTANT NOTICES AND DISCLAIMERS CONCERNING NFPA® STANDARDS

NFPA® codes, standards, recommended practices, and guides (“NFPA Standards”), of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a consensus standards development process approved by the American National Standards Institute. This process brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve consensus on fire and other safety issues. While the NFPA administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in NFPA Standards.

The NFPA disclaims liability for any personal injury, property, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance on NFPA Standards. The NFPA also makes no guaranty or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein.

In issuing and making NFPA Standards available, the NFPA is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity. Nor is the NFPA undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances.

The NFPA has no power, nor does it undertake, to police or enforce compliance with the contents of NFPA Standards. Nor does the NFPA list, certify, test, or inspect products, designs, or installations for compliance with this document. Any certification or other statement of compliance with the requirements of this document shall not be attributable to the NFPA and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

REVISION SYMBOLS IDENTIFYING CHANGES FROM THE PREVIOUS EDITION

Text revisions are shaded. A **Δ** before a section number indicates that words within that section were deleted and a **Δ** to the left of a table or figure number indicates a revision to an existing table or figure. When a chapter was heavily revised, the entire chapter is marked throughout with the **Δ** symbol. Where one or more sections were deleted, a **•** is placed between the remaining sections. Chapters, annexes, sections, figures, and tables that are new are indicated with an **N**.

Note that these indicators are a guide. Rearrangement of sections may not be captured in the markup, but users can view complete revision details in the First and Second Draft Reports located in the archived revision information section of each code at www.nfpa.org/docinfo. Any subsequent changes from the NFPA Technical Meeting, Tentative Interim Amendments, and Errata are also located there.

REMINDER: UPDATING OF NFPA STANDARDS

Users of NFPA codes, standards, recommended practices, and guides (“NFPA Standards”) should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of a new edition, may be amended with the issuance of Tentative Interim Amendments (TIAs), or be corrected by Errata. It is intended that through regular revisions and amendments, participants in the NFPA standards development process consider the then-current and available information on incidents, materials, technologies, innovations, and methods as these develop over time and that NFPA Standards reflect this consideration. Therefore, any previous edition of this document no longer represents the current NFPA Standard on the subject matter addressed. NFPA encourages the use of the most current edition of any NFPA Standard [as it may be amended by TIA(s) or Errata] to take advantage of current experience and understanding. An official NFPA Standard at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document, including any issued TIAs and Errata then in effect.

To determine whether an NFPA Standard has been amended through the issuance of TIAs or corrected by Errata, visit the “Codes & Standards” section at www.nfpa.org.

ADDITIONAL IMPORTANT NOTICES AND DISCLAIMERS CONCERNING NFPA® STANDARDS

Updating of NFPA Standards

Users of NFPA codes, standards, recommended practices, and guides (“NFPA Standards”) should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of a new edition, may be amended with the issuance of Tentative Interim Amendments (TIAs), or be corrected by Errata. It is intended that through regular revisions and amendments, participants in the NFPA standards development process consider the then-current and available information on incidents, materials, technologies, innovations, and methods as these develop over time and that NFPA Standards reflect this consideration. Therefore, any previous edition of this document no longer represents the current NFPA Standard on the subject matter addressed. NFPA encourages the use of the most current edition of any NFPA Standard [as it may be amended by TIA(s) or Errata] to take advantage of current experience and understanding. An official NFPA Standard at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document, including any issued TIAs and Errata then in effect.

To determine whether an NFPA Standard has been amended through the issuance of TIAs or corrected by Errata, visit the “Codes & Standards” section at www.nfpa.org.

Interpretations of NFPA Standards

A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with Section 6 of the Regulations Governing the Development of NFPA Standards shall not be considered the official position of NFPA or any of its Committees and shall not be considered to be, nor be relied upon as, a Formal Interpretation.

Patents

The NFPA does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights referenced in, related to, or asserted in connection with an NFPA Standard. The users of NFPA Standards bear the sole responsibility for determining the validity of any such patent rights, as well as the risk of infringement of such rights, and the NFPA disclaims liability for the infringement of any patent resulting from the use of or reliance on NFPA Standards.

NFPA adheres to the policy of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) regarding the inclusion of patents in American National Standards (“the ANSI Patent Policy”), and hereby gives the following notice pursuant to that policy:

NOTICE: The user’s attention is called to the possibility that compliance with an NFPA Standard may require use of an invention covered by patent rights. NFPA takes no position as to the validity of any such patent rights or as to whether such patent rights constitute or include essential patent claims under the ANSI Patent Policy. If, in connection with the ANSI Patent Policy, a patent holder has filed a statement of willingness to grant licenses under these rights on reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms and conditions to applicants desiring to obtain such a license, copies of such filed statements can be obtained, on request, from NFPA. For further information, contact the NFPA at the address listed below.

Law and Regulations

Users of NFPA Standards should consult applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations. NFPA does not, by the publication of its codes, standards, recommended practices, and guides, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Copyrights

NFPA Standards are copyrighted. They are made available for a wide variety of both public and private uses. These include both use, by reference, in laws and regulations, and use in private self-regulation, standardization, and the promotion of safe practices and methods. By making these documents available for use and adoption by public authorities and private users, the NFPA does not waive any rights in copyright to these documents.

Use of NFPA Standards for regulatory purposes should be accomplished through adoption by reference. The term “adoption by reference” means the citing of title, edition, and publishing information only. Any deletions, additions, and changes desired by the adopting authority should be noted separately in the adopting instrument. In order to assist NFPA in following the uses made of its documents, adopting authorities are requested to notify the NFPA (Attention: Secretary, Standards Council) in writing of such use. For technical assistance and questions concerning adoption of NFPA Standards, contact NFPA at the address below.

For Further Information

All questions or other communications relating to NFPA Standards and all requests for information on NFPA procedures governing its codes and standards development process, including information on the procedures for requesting Formal Interpretations, for proposing Tentative Interim Amendments, and for proposing revisions to NFPA standards during regular revision cycles, should be sent to NFPA headquarters, addressed to the attention of the Secretary, Standards Council, NFPA, 1 Batterymarch Park, P.O. Box 9101, Quincy, MA 02269-9101; email: stds_admin@nfpa.org.

For more information about NFPA, visit the NFPA website at www.nfpa.org. All NFPA codes and standards can be viewed at no cost at www.nfpa.org/docinfo.

Copyright © 2021 National Fire Protection Association®. All Rights Reserved.

NFPA® 551

Guide for the

Evaluation of Fire Risk Assessments

2022 Edition

This edition of NFPA 551, *Guide for the Evaluation of Fire Risk Assessments*, was prepared by the Technical Committee on Fire Risk Assessment Methods. It was issued by the Standards Council on February 24, 2021, with an effective date of March 16, 2021, and supersedes all previous editions.

This edition of NFPA 551 was approved as an American National Standard on March 16, 2021.

Origin and Development of NFPA 551

In the mid-1990s, it was recognized that the application of fire risk assessment methods in developing fire and life safety solutions continued to increase. However, a set of rules or a framework that described the properties of an acceptable fire risk assessment method was lacking. Additionally, there were no guidance documents available to those responsible for approving or evaluating fire and life safety solutions that were based on a fire risk assessment. In response, NFPA established a new project and technical committee on fire risk assessment methods in 1999. NFPA 551, 2004 edition, was the first document prepared by the committee in response to the growing need for guidance documents on fire risk assessment methods.

The 2007 edition included a number of content enhancements and new information on the subject. Certain terms were revised for consistency with other documents that address performance-based design and fire risk assessment methods, and further guidance was provided on applying fire-risk-informed decision making for various fire safety goals, including the preservation of cultural resources. More detail on selecting fire scenarios; identifying and grouping representative challenging scenarios into clusters for conducting more effective consequence analysis; addressing uncertainty; and the impact of the changing effectiveness of fire protection equipment, features, programs, and procedures were added. The capabilities of those conducting a fire risk assessment, the key factors to consider when conducting or reviewing a fire risk assessment, and the role of an operations and maintenance manual were addressed. An expanded discussion on the role of qualitative, semiquantitative likelihood, semiquantitative consequence, and quantitative methods in a fire risk assessment and cost-benefit analysis was included, as was more detail on proper documentation and elements of a fire risk assessment, and the importance of using checklists that address both likelihood and consequence.

The 2010 edition contained a reorganization of Chapter 7 to provide further guidance on documentation requirements for the fire risk assessment concept report.

The 2013 edition included minor updates to add explosions to the list of fire stimuli in Chapter 4.

The 2016 edition was a reconfirmation of the 2013 edition, with minor updates. It introduced a new definition of the term *hazard*, a term that is used throughout the document, as well as updates to other definitions and referenced publications.

The 2019 edition was a reconfirmation of the 2016 edition, with minor additions. Explanatory material was added to refer the user to documents that provide additional guidance. A list of these documents was added to Annex B.

The 2022 edition represented a reconfirmation of the 2019 edition, with updates to references where necessary.

Technical Committee on Fire Risk Assessment Methods

Brian J. Meacham, *Chair*
Meacham Associates, MA [SE]

Kumar R. Bhimavarapu, FM Global, MA [I]
Cornelis Kees Both, Promat Research & Technology Centre Fire Laboratory (PRTC), Belgium [RT]
David G. Bueche, Hoover Treated Wood Products, CO [M]
Shane M. Clary, Bay Alarm Company, CA [M]
Rep. Automatic Fire Alarm Association, Inc.
Lisa M. Cockerill, Mississauga Fire & Emergency Services, Canada [E]
D. Allan Coutts, Amentum/AECOM, SC [U]
Kenneth W. Dungan, Performance Design Technologies, TN [SE]
Simon Foo, Public Works & Government Services Canada (PWGSC), Canada [U]

Ellsworth Fortman, Los Angeles City Fire Department, CA [E]
Morgan J. Hurley, JENSEN HUGHES, MD [SE]
Chris Jelenewicz, Society of Fire Protection Engineers, MD [SE]
Rep. Society of Fire Protection Engineers
Seong-Wook Jeong, Hanwha E & C, South Korea [SE]
Grunde Jomaas, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom [SE]
James T. Porter, Safety and Fire Consultant, MI [M]
Rep. Ford Motor Company
Michael E. G. Schmidt, Mahwah, NJ [SE]
Xiaochuan Shi, Verisk, NJ [I]
Gabriel Taylor, US Nuclear Regulatory Commission, MD [E]

Alternates

William Jeffrey Ivans, Verisk/Insurance Services Office, NJ [I]
(Voting Alt.)
Francisco Joglar, JENSEN HUGHES, VA [SE]
(Alt. to Morgan J. Hurley)
Rodger Reiswig, Johnson Controls, FL [M]
(Alt. to Shane M. Clary)
David W. Stroup, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, MD [E]
(Alt. to Gabriel Taylor)

Robert Upson, Amentum/AECOM, SC [U]
(Alt. to D. Allan Coutts)
Victoria B. Valentine, Society of Fire Protection Engineers (SFPE), PA [SE]
(Alt. to Chris Jelenewicz)

Nonvoting

Luca Fiorentini, TECSA, Italy [SE]

Stephen Ganoe, NFPA Staff Liaison

This list represents the membership at the time the Committee was balloted on the final text of this edition. Since that time, changes in the membership may have occurred. A key to classifications is found at the back of the document.

NOTE: Membership on a committee shall not in and of itself constitute an endorsement of the Association or any document developed by the committee on which the member serves.

Committee Scope: This Committee shall have primary responsibility for documents covering the following: (1) frameworks that identify the relationships of fire safety concepts used for fire prevention and fire control, including codes, standards and recommended practices, and (2) frameworks that describe the properties of risk assessment methods for use in regulations.

Contents

Chapter 1 Administration	551– 4	5.2 Qualitative Methods.	551– 10
1.1 Scope.	551– 4	5.3 Semiquantitative Likelihood Methods.	551– 11
1.2 Purpose.	551– 4	5.4 Semiquantitative Consequence Method.	551– 11
1.3 Application.	551– 4	5.5 Quantitative Methods.	551– 12
1.4 Qualifications for Practitioners.	551– 4	5.6 Cost-Benefit FRA Methods.	551– 13
1.5 Risk.	551– 4		
Chapter 2 Referenced Publications	551– 4	Chapter 6 Information Requirements	551– 14
2.1 General.	551– 4	6.1 General.	551– 14
2.2 NFPA Publications.	551– 4	6.2 General Quality of Information.	551– 14
2.3 Other Publications.	551– 4	6.3 Method-Specific Issues.	551– 16
2.4 References for Extracts in Advisory Sections. (Reserved)	551– 4		
Chapter 3 Definitions	551– 5	Chapter 7 Documentation (Deliverables)	551– 16
3.1 General.	551– 5	7.1 General.	551– 16
3.2 NFPA Official Definitions.	551– 5	7.2 Fire Risk Assessment Concept Report.	551– 16
3.3 General Definitions.	551– 5	7.3 Comprehensive Project Documentation.	551– 17
Chapter 4 Evaluating a Fire Risk Assessment (FRA) ..	551– 5	7.4 Operations and Maintenance Manual.	551– 18
4.1 General.	551– 5	7.5 Enforcement.	551– 19
4.2 Stakeholders.	551– 6	Chapter 8 Review	551– 19
4.3 Role of the Authority Having Jurisdiction in the Process.	551– 6	8.1 Technical Review Approaches.	551– 19
4.4 Scope of FRAs.	551– 6	8.2 FRA Review Techniques.	551– 19
4.5 Uncertainty and Variability Analysis.	551– 7	8.3 Review Questions.	551– 20
Chapter 5 Selection and Evaluation: FRA Methods ...	551– 7	Annex A Explanatory Material	551– 20
5.1 General.	551– 7	Annex B Informational References	551– 25
		Index	551– 26

NFPA 551

Guide for the

Evaluation of Fire Risk Assessments

2022 Edition

IMPORTANT NOTE: This NFPA document is made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers appear in all publications containing this document and may be found under the heading “Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning NFPA Standards.” They can also be viewed at www.nfpa.org/disclaimers or obtained on request from NFPA.

UPDATES, ALERTS, AND FUTURE EDITIONS: New editions of NFPA codes, standards, recommended practices, and guides (i.e., NFPA Standards) are released on scheduled revision cycles. This edition may be superseded by a later one, or it may be amended outside of its scheduled revision cycle through the issuance of Tentative Interim Amendments (TIAs). An official NFPA Standard at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document, together with all TIAs and Errata in effect. To verify that this document is the current edition or to determine if it has been amended by TIAs or Errata, please consult the National Fire Codes® Subscription Service or the “List of NFPA Codes & Standards” at www.nfpa.org/docinfo. In addition to TIAs and Errata, the document information pages also include the option to sign up for alerts for individual documents and to be involved in the development of the next edition.

NOTICE: An asterisk (*) following the number or letter designating a paragraph indicates that explanatory material on the paragraph can be found in Annex A.

A reference in brackets [] following a section or paragraph indicates material that has been extracted from another NFPA document. Extracted text may be edited for consistency and style and may include the revision of internal paragraph references and other references as appropriate. Requests for interpretations or revisions of extracted text shall be sent to the technical committee responsible for the source document.

Information on referenced and extracted publications can be found in Chapter 2 and Annex B.

Chapter 1 Administration

1.1 Scope. This guide is intended to provide assistance, primarily to authorities having jurisdiction (AHJs), in evaluating the appropriateness and execution of a fire risk assessment (FRA) for a given fire safety problem. While this guide primarily addresses regulatory officials, it also is intended for others who review FRAs, such as insurance company representatives and building owners.

1.2 Purpose. This guide is intended to assist with the evaluation of FRA methods used primarily in a performance-based regulatory environment. While the primary audience is anticipated to be authorities having jurisdiction, it is expected that the guide will be a useful resource for anyone conducting an FRA. This guide does not mandate the methods for use in demonstrating acceptable risk; rather, it describes the technical review process and documentation that are needed in evaluating an FRA.

1.3 Application. This guide is intended to be applied to the assessment of performance-based solutions, studies, code equiv-

alencies, or regulatory compliance evaluations developed using FRA methods.

1.4 Qualifications for Practitioners. Persons undertaking FRAs, as anticipated by this guide, should document their qualifications and make them available to the authority having jurisdiction. Depending on the FRA being undertaken, the documentation could include educational background, past experience with FRAs, and professional registration. The form of the documentation should meet the needs of the authority having jurisdiction within the context of applicable laws and regulations.

1.5* Risk.

1.5.1 The perception of risk, and therefore the acceptance of risk, is influenced by the values of the stakeholders. Thus, the values of the stakeholders should be established in the risk metrics, which may include life safety, property, business interruption, and intangibles. The metrics associated with these values may be people affected, dollars of loss, acreage, and so forth. The expression of the metric is usually rate based (e.g., frequency, or probability of occurrence over a specified time period). The stakeholders may attach different weights to a given risk, based on their perspective. Each AHJ may have its own weighting, depending on its role.

1.5.2 For fire safety, the hazards are generally fire, explosion, smoke, and toxicity associated with fire products. The likelihoods and corresponding consequences are derived from fire scenarios associated with these hazards. The impacts or harm from the fire scenarios are expressed in the metrics associated with the values, such as number of people affected per location per year.

Chapter 2 Referenced Publications

2.1 General. The documents or portions thereof listed in this chapter are referenced within this guide and should be considered part of the recommendations of this document.

2.2 NFPA Publications. National Fire Protection Association, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02169-7471.

NFPA 101A, *Guide on Alternative Approaches to Life Safety*, 2022 edition.

NFPA 550, *Guide to the Fire Safety Concepts Tree*, 2022 edition.

Fire Protection Handbook, 20th edition, 2008.

2.3 Other Publications.

2.3.1 SFPE Publications. Society of Fire Protection Engineers, 9711 Washingtonian Blvd, Suite 380, Gaithersburg, MD 20878.

SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering, 5th edition, 2016.

2.3.2 Other Publications.

Bornhuetter, R. L., and R. E. Ferguson, 1972. “The Actuary and IBNR,” *Proceedings of the Casualty Actuarial Society*, 59, 181–195.

Merriam-Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary, 11th edition, Merriam-Webster, Inc., Springfield, MA, 2003.

2.4 References for Extracts in Advisory Sections. (Reserved)

Chapter 3 Definitions

3.1 General. The definitions contained in this chapter apply to the terms used in this guide. Where terms are not defined in this chapter or within another chapter, they should be defined using their ordinarily accepted meanings within the context in which they are used. *Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, 11th edition, is the source for the ordinarily accepted meaning.

3.2 NFPA Official Definitions.

3.2.1* Approved. Acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction.

3.2.2* Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ). An organization, office, or individual responsible for enforcing the requirements of a code or standard, or for approving equipment, materials, an installation, or a procedure.

3.2.3 Guide. A document that is advisory or informative in nature and that contains only nonmandatory provisions. A guide may contain mandatory statements such as when a guide can be used, but the document as a whole is not suitable for adoption into law.

3.2.4 Labeled. Equipment or materials to which has been attached a label, symbol, or other identifying mark of an organization that is acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction and concerned with product evaluation, that maintains periodic inspection of production of labeled equipment or materials, and by whose labeling the manufacturer indicates compliance with appropriate standards or performance in a specified manner.

3.2.5* Listed. Equipment, materials, or services included in a list published by an organization that is acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction and concerned with evaluation of products or services, that maintains periodic inspection of production of listed equipment or materials or periodic evaluation of services, and whose listing states that either the equipment, material, or service meets appropriate designated standards or has been tested and found suitable for a specified purpose.

3.2.6 Should. Indicates a recommendation or that which is advised but not required.

3.3 General Definitions.

3.3.1 Acceptance Criteria. Acceptance criteria are the units and threshold values against which a fire risk assessment is judged.

3.3.2 Consequence. The outcome of an event, which may be expressed qualitatively or quantitatively.

3.3.3* Deterministic Model. A model whose outputs are not probabilities or probability distributions; that is, they do not quantify uncertainty.

3.3.4 Event. The occurrence of a particular set of circumstances, whether certain or uncertain and whether singular or multiple.

3.3.5* Fire Protection Engineering Design Brief. A description of the planned project approach that includes a discussion on conducting the fire risk assessment.

3.3.6 Fire Risk Assessment (FRA). A process to characterize the risk associated with fire that addresses the fire scenario or

fire scenarios of concern, their probability, and their potential consequences. Other documents may use other terms, such as *fire risk analysis*, *fire hazard*, *hazard analysis*, and *fire hazard analysis assessment*, to characterize fire risk assessment as used in this guide.

3.3.7* Fire Scenario. As used in this document, a fire scenario is a set of conditions and events that characterizes the development of fire, the spread of combustion products, the reactions of people, and the effect of combustion products.

3.3.8 Frequency. The average number of times an event is repeated in a given period.

3.3.9 Hazard. A condition that presents the potential for harm or damage to people, property, environment, mission, or cultural heritage.

3.3.10 Likelihood. Frequency, probability, or their combination.

3.3.11 Method. A process or technique to help resolve a model.

3.3.12 Model. A simulation of an event.

3.3.12.1* Probabilistic Model. A model whose outputs are probabilities or probability distributions.

3.3.13 Probability. The likelihood of an occurrence as expressed as a number between 0 and 1, and the basis of which is often expressed over a period of time or number of trials.

3.3.14* Risk. The paired probabilities and consequences for possible undesired events associated with a given facility or process.

3.3.15* Scenario Cluster. A group of scenarios having some, but not all, defining characteristics in common.

3.3.16* Semiquantitative Methods. Methods that are based on the ability or need to quantify either the likelihood or the consequence of a fire event or events.

3.3.17 Stakeholder. Any individual, group, or organization that might affect, be affected by, or perceive itself to be affected by the risk.

3.3.18 Validation. The process of determining the correctness of the assumptions and governing equations implemented in a method.

3.3.19 Verification. The process of determining the correctness of the calculations or the solution of governing equations in a method.

Chapter 4 Evaluating a Fire Risk Assessment (FRA)

4.1* General. This chapter addresses evaluating a fire risk assessment (FRA) by discussing the stakeholders, an overview of the review process by the authority having jurisdiction (AHJ), scope of FRAs, bounding the FRA, and uncertainty.

4.1.1* FRAs can be used as tools for focusing attention on what is important to fire safety. When results and findings of FRAs are considered together with other factors, this is often referred to as risk-informed decision making.

4.1.2 FRAs have broad applicability in addressing fire safety issues. Examples of applications are shown in Table 4.1.2.

Table 4.1.2 Examples of Applications

Category	Example
Building project evaluation	Demonstrate compliance of a performance-based design
	Demonstrate adequacy of an existing facility
	Demonstrate adequacy of an alternative design
	Demonstrate improvement in facility fire safety
Class-of-use problems	Demonstrate adequacy of a new material use (e.g., chair fabric)
	Determine required protection for an alternative-fuel vehicle
	Establish necessary protection to be required by a code or standard
	Demonstrate improvement in fire safety
General application	Establish emergency response needs (e.g., fire department staffing)
	Establish fire risk (typical facility or overall locale) for a city, county, or state in establishing regulations

4.2 Stakeholders. The stakeholders with interest in the scope and application of the FRA should be identified early in the process. The stakeholders include all those who have a financial, personnel safety, public safety, or regulatory interest in the fire risk. Stakeholders whose interests may apply include, among others, the following:

- (1) Regulators
- (2) Facility owners and operators
- (3) Employees
- (4) Emergency responders
- (5) Insurers
- (6) Neighbors
- (7) Community
- (8) Investors
- (9) Design and construction team
- (10) FRA preparers
- (11) Tenants


4.2.1 It is important to consider all possible stakeholders during planning, particularly when stakeholder interests conflict.

4.2.2 The stakeholders should participate in the establishment of the objectives of the FRA to ensure that the results provide proper and credible bases for decision making.

4.3 Role of the Authority Having Jurisdiction in the Process. For the purposes of this guide, it is anticipated that the review of a project will proceed using an FRA as provided in 4.3.1 through 4.3.2.3. The process is exemplified in Figure 4.3.

4.3.1 FRA Evaluation. The evaluation of an FRA should be a collaborative process among stakeholders. To evaluate an FRA properly, the reviewer should be introduced to the project as early as possible.

4.3.2 AHJ Participation. The AHJ should be involved in the following steps of the process: defining the problem, accept-

**FIGURE 4.3 Overview of Review Process.**

ance criteria, choice of method, review process, detailed review, and final approval.

4.3.2.1 Defining the Review Process. The AHJ should define its role in the direct review of the FRA. Depending on the FRA-related experience and resources of the AHJ, the AHJ may perform the review. Alternatively, the AHJ may utilize a third party to perform the review.

4.3.2.2 Detailed Review. When reviewing an FRA, the AHJ should check whether the assumptions, building characteristics, occupant characteristics, and fire characteristics used in the analysis acceptably reflect the actual conditions. The types of items that should be checked are identified in Section 8.3. Additionally, the modeling that was used in the FRA should be reviewed.

4.3.2.3 Final Approval. Final approval of the FRA rests with the AHJ.

4.4 Scope of FRAs.

4.4.1 Defining the Problem.

4.4.1.1 The purpose of conducting the FRA should be identified and documented. The purpose might be to identify the level of risk present in an existing building or facility, to identify methods of lowering the risk in an existing building or facility, or to identify methods of providing a level of risk deemed acceptable in a new or renovated building or facility. The objectives of the FRA might be associated with the risk to life (occupants or fire fighters), the risk to property, the risk to operations (e.g., cost of business interruption), the risk to the environment, or the risk of loss of cultural resources. Fire safety