



BSI Standards Publication

Artificial Intelligence (AI) — Assessment of the robustness of neural networks

Part 1: Overview

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of CEN/CLC ISO/IEC/ TR 24029-1:2023. It is identical to ISO/IEC TR 24029-1:2021. It supersedes PD ISO/IEC TR 24029-1:2021, which is withdrawn.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee ART/1, Artificial Intelligence.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

This publication is not to be regarded as a British Standard.

© The British Standards Institution 2024
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2024

ISBN 978 0 539 28443 0

ICS 35.020

Compliance with a Published Document cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 March 2021.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
29 February 2024	This corrigendum renumbers PD ISO/IEC TR 24029-1:2021 as PD CEN/CLC ISO/IEC/ TR 24029-1:2023

December 2023

ICS 35.020

English version

Artificial Intelligence (AI) - Assessment of the robustness
of neural networks - Part 1: Overview (ISO/IEC TR 24029-
1:2021)

Intelligence artificielle (IA) - Évaluation de la
robustesse des réseaux de neurones - Partie 1: Vue
d'ensemble (ISO/IEC TR 24029-1:2021)

Informationstechnik - Künstliche Intelligenz -
Bewertung der Robustheit neuronaler Netze (ISO/IEC
TR 24029-1:2021)

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 17 December 2023. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 21.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.



CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

European foreword

The text of ISO/IEC TR 24029-1:2021 has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1 "Information technology" of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and has been taken over as CEN/CLC ISO/IEC/TR 24029-1:2023 by Technical Committee CEN-CENELEC/ JTC 21 "Artificial Intelligence" the secretariat of which is held by DS.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN-CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CEN and CENELEC websites.

Endorsement notice

The text of ISO/IEC TR 24029-1:2021 has been approved by CEN-CENELEC as CEN/CLC ISO/IEC/TR 24029-1:2023 without any modification.

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Overview of the existing methods to assess the robustness of neural networks	3
4.1 General	3
4.1.1 Robustness concept	3
4.1.2 Typical workflow to assess robustness	3
4.2 Classification of methods	6
5 Statistical methods	7
5.1 General	7
5.2 Robustness metrics available using statistical methods	8
5.2.1 General	8
5.2.2 Examples of performance measures for interpolation	8
5.2.3 Examples of performance measures for classification	9
5.2.4 Other measures	13
5.3 Statistical methods to measure robustness of a neural network	14
5.3.1 General	14
5.3.2 Contrastive measures	14
6 Formal methods	14
6.1 General	14
6.2 Robustness goal achievable using formal methods	15
6.2.1 General	15
6.2.2 Interpolation stability	15
6.2.3 Maximum stable space for perturbation resistance	15
6.3 Conduct the testing using formal methods	16
6.3.1 Using uncertainty analysis to prove interpolation stability	16
6.3.2 Using solver to prove a maximum stable space property	16
6.3.3 Using optimization techniques to prove a maximum stable space property	16
6.3.4 Using abstract interpretation to prove a maximum stable space property	17
7 Empirical methods	17
7.1 General	17
7.2 Field trials	17
7.3 A posteriori testing	18
7.4 Benchmarking of neural networks	19
Annex A (informative) Data perturbation	20
Annex B (informative) Principle of abstract interpretation	25
Bibliography	26

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see patents.iec.ch).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 42, *Artificial intelligence*.

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 24029 series can be found on the ISO and IEC websites.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

Introduction

When designing an AI system, several properties are often considered desirable, such as robustness, resiliency, reliability, accuracy, safety, security, privacy. A definition of robustness is provided in [3.6](#). Robustness is a crucial property that poses new challenges in the context of AI systems. For example, in AI systems there are some risks specifically tied to the robustness of AI systems. Understanding these risks is essential for the adoption of AI in many contexts. This document aims at providing an overview of the approaches available to assess these risks, with a particular focus on neural networks, which are heavily used in industry, government and academia.

In many organizations, software validation is an essential part of putting software into production. The objective is to ensure various properties including safety and performance of the software used in all parts of the system. In some domains, the software validation and verification process is also an important part of system certification. For example, in the automotive or aeronautic fields, existing standards, such as ISO 26262 or Reference [\[2\]](#), require some specific actions to justify the design, the implementation and the testing of any piece of embedded software.

The techniques used in AI systems are also subject to validation. However, common techniques used in AI systems pose new challenges that require specific approaches in order to ensure adequate testing and validation.

AI technologies are designed to fulfil various tasks, including interpolation/regression, classification and other tasks.

While many methods exist for validating non-AI systems, they are not always directly applicable to AI systems, and neural networks in particular. Neural network systems represent a specific challenge as they are both hard to explain and sometimes have unexpected behaviour due to their non-linear nature. As a result, alternative approaches are needed.

Methods are categorized into three groups: statistical methods, formal methods and empirical methods. This document provides background on these methods to assess the robustness of neural networks.

It is noted that characterizing the robustness of neural networks is an open area of research, and there are limitations to both testing and validation approaches.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) — Assessment of the robustness of neural networks —

Part 1: Overview

1 Scope

This document provides background about existing methods to assess the robustness of neural networks.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1 artificial intelligence AI

<system>capability of an engineered system to acquire, process and apply knowledge and skills

3.2 field trial

trial of a new system in actual situations for which it is intended (potentially with a restricted user group)

Note 1 to entry: Situation encompasses environment and process of usage.

3.3 input data

data for which a deployed machine learning model calculates a predicted output or inference

Note 1 to entry: Input data is also referred to by machine learning practitioners as out-of-sample data, new data and production data.

3.4

neural network

neural net

NN

artificial neural network

ANN

network of primitive processing elements connected by weighted links with adjustable weights, in which each element produces a value by applying a non-linear function to its input values, and transmits it to other elements or presents it as an output value

Note 1 to entry: Whereas some neural networks are intended to simulate the functioning of neurons in the nervous system, most neural networks are used in artificial intelligence as realizations of the connectionist model.

Note 2 to entry: Examples of non-linear functions are a threshold function, a sigmoid function and a polynomial function.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2120625, modified — Abbreviated terms have been added under the terms and Notes 3 to 5 to entry have been removed.]

3.5

requirement

statement which translates or expresses a need and its associated constraints and conditions

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288:2015, 4.1.37]

3.6

robustness

ability of an AI system to maintain its level of performance under any circumstances

Note 1 to entry: This document mainly describes data input circumstances such as domain change but the definition is broader not to exclude hardware failure and other types of circumstances.

3.7

testing

activity in which a system or component is executed under specified conditions, the results are observed or recorded, and an evaluation is made of some aspect of the system or component

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC/IEEE 26513:2017, 3.42]

3.8

test data

subset of *input data* (3.3) samples used to assess the generalization error of a final machine learning (ML) model selected from a set of candidate ML models

[SOURCE: Reference [2]]

3.9

training dataset

set of samples used to fit a machine learning model

3.10

validation

confirmation, through the provision of objective evidence, that the *requirements* (3.5) for a specific intended use or application have been fulfilled

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 25000:2014, 4.41, modified — Note 1 to entry has been removed.]

3.11**validation data**

subset of *input data* (3.3) samples used to assess the prediction error of a candidate machine learning model

Note 1 to entry: Machine learning (ML) model *validation* (3.10) can be used for ML model selection.

[SOURCE: Reference [2]]

3.12**verification**

confirmation, through the provision of objective evidence, that specified requirements have been fulfilled

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 25000:2014, 4.43, modified — Note 1 to entry has been removed.]

4 Overview of the existing methods to assess the robustness of neural networks**4.1 General****4.1.1 Robustness concept**

Robustness goals aim at answering the question “To what degree is the system required to be robust?” or “What are the robustness properties of interest?”. Robustness properties demonstrate the degree to which the system performs with atypical data as opposed to the data expected in typical operations.

4.1.2 Typical workflow to assess robustness

This subclause explains how the robustness of neural networks is assessed for different classes of AI applications such as classification, interpolation and other complex tasks.

There are different ways to assess the robustness of neural networks using objective information. A typical workflow for determining neural network (or other technique) robustness is as shown in [Figure 1](#).

