

Fibre optic communication system design guides —

Part 8: Calculating dispersion penalty from measured time-resolved chirp data

ICS 33.180.01

National foreword

This Published Document was published by BSI. It is the UK implementation of IEC/TR 61282-8:2006.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted by Technical Committee GEL/86, Fibre optics, to Subcommittee GEL/86/3, Fibre optic systems and active devices.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 May 2007

© BSI 2007

ISBN 978 0 580 50765 6

Amendments issued since publication

Amd. No.	Date	Comments

TECHNICAL REPORT

IEC TR 61282-8

First edition
2006-11

**Fibre optic communication system
design guides –**

**Part 8:
Calculating dispersion penalty from
measured time-resolved chirp data**



Reference number
IEC/TR 61282-8:2006(E)

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	3
1 Scope.....	4
2 Normative references	4
3 Terms and definitions	4
4 Measuring dispersion penalty using a bit-error-ratio test set	5
5 Obtaining time-resolved chirp data	6
6 Calculating dispersion penalty from time-resolved chirp data	7
6.1 Calculate <i>BER</i> for a particular condition	7
6.2 Calculate dispersion penalty.....	8
6.3 Calculate transmitter and dispersion penalty	9
6.4 Calculate total transmitter power penalty	9
7 An example measurement and calculation of power penalties.....	9
8 A comparison of dispersion penalty measurements from <i>BER</i> and TRC measurements.....	10
Annex A (informative) Data analysis of bit error ratio versus received power in digital systems	11
Bibliography.....	18
Figure 1 – Equipment setup for direct power penalty measurements	6
Figure 2 – Typical TRC data suitable for dispersion penalty calculations.....	7
Figure 3 – An example measurement of the three power penalties.....	10
Figure 4 – Comparison of dispersion penalty results for measurements with a <i>BER</i> test set and for calculation from TRC data	10
Figure A.1 – Plot of Equation (A.1) with <i>SNR</i> plotted linearly	12
Figure A.2 – Graphical illustration of method to transform y-axis	14
Figure A.3 – Example plot and analysis using the exact procedure.	16
Figure A.4 – Example plot and analysis using the log-log procedure	17
Table A.1 – Values for <i>BER</i> versus <i>SNR</i>	13
Table A.2 – Experimental data for exact linearization.....	16
Table A.3 – Experimental data for log-log analysis.....	17

INTRODUCTION

Dispersion penalty is a commonly used parameter of laser transmitters and is usually included as a specification for transmitters designed for 2,5 Gb/s and higher data rates. The value of the dispersion penalty is a function of the interaction of laser chirp, spectral width and fibre dispersion and will depend on the particular type of fibre.

Because the type and length of the fibre specified for a particular transmitter is fixed, the dispersion penalty is determined by the temporal characteristics of the transmitter chirp, which include the spectral characteristics of the laser.

As developers and manufacturers of laser transmitters are attempting to go to higher rates and longer distances, they are finding that chirp is limiting their ability to achieve a required dispersion penalty. Direct measurement of dispersion penalty requires two *BER* measurements over a reference receiver input range that yields *BER* values typically from 10^{-4} to 10^{-12} . This is typically a long measurement. Measuring time-resolved chirp (TRC) and calculating dispersion penalty can be a considerably shorter measurement.

This technical report describes the procedure for calculating dispersion penalty from TRC data.

FIBRE OPTIC COMMUNICATION SYSTEM DESIGN GUIDES –

Part 8: Calculating dispersion penalty from measured time-resolved chirp data

1 Scope

This part of IEC 61282 provides definitions of dispersion penalty and other related penalties. It describes the direct measurement of these penalties using a *BER* test set and the calculation of the penalties from time-resolved chirp (TRC) data. Annex A provides the theory for power penalty calculations.

The calculations are valid for all types of single longitudinal mode (SLM) laser transmitters intended for use in telecommunications applications at data rates of 2,5 Gbit/s and higher with NRZ modulation format. These include but are not limited to directly modulated DFB lasers, DFB lasers with integrated electro-absorption modulators, and DFB lasers with external Mach-Zehnder modulators. This technique is not suitable for multiple longitudinal mode (MLM) lasers or LEDs.

Chromatic dispersion induced power penalty values in this technical report are characteristics of the transmitter, which is considered to be the device-under-test (DUT). Other power penalty sources, such as nonlinear effects and amplifier noise are not covered by this document.

Since dispersion penalty for a transmission link depends on the transmitter, receiver and fibre, the dispersion penalty parameter for a transmitter is based on a specified fibre dispersion and receiver characteristic, which should be reported with the test results.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 61280-2-8, *Fibre optic communication subsystem test procedures – Digital systems – Part 2-8: Determination of low BER using Q-factor measurements*

IEC 61280-2-10, *Fibre optic communication subsystem test procedures – Digital systems – Part 2-10: Time-resolved chirp and alpha-factor measurement of laser transmitters*

ITU-T Recommendation G.957, *Optical interfaces for equipments and systems relating to the synchronous digital hierarchy*

3 Terms and definitions

Power penalty measurements compare *BER* versus received power curves for two conditions. The first condition is a reference condition. The second condition introduces impairment. Changing the received power influences *BER* by altering the ratio of optical signal power to the receiver noise. Therefore it is useful, but equivalent, to express *BER* versus received power curves as *BER* versus *OSNR* curves, where this “optical signal to noise ratio” compares average optical signal power to all noise including the electrical noise of the receiver. Generally, the *OSNR*, based on average signal power, differs from the *SNR* of a digital signal, which is based on the average difference between the signal of the ‘1’ and ‘0’ bits, as described in IEC 61280-2-8.

3.1

dispersion penalty

apparent change in receiver sensitivity due to distortion of the signal waveform during its transmission over a path with a specified chromatic dispersion and minimal PMD. It is manifested as a shift of the system's *BER* curves from the fibre path to a no-fibre path:

- reference condition: DUT without dispersive fibre;
- impaired condition: DUT with specified fibre path

NOTE 1 It is normal that the impaired condition will shift the *BER* to a higher received power and yield a dispersion penalty that is a positive value. Under some conditions, for example, when fibre dispersion compensates for transmitter chirp, in the impaired condition, the *BER* curve will be shifted to a lower received power and yield a negative dispersion penalty.

NOTE 2 Minimal PMD is a necessary condition because, for example, 0,6 ps PMD causes 0,1 dB power penalty for 40 Gb/s NRZ.

3.2

transmitter and dispersion penalty

TDP

apparent change in receiver sensitivity due to distortion of the signal waveform during its transmission over a path with a specified chromatic dispersion for a transmitter with a defined extinction ratio. It is manifested as a shift of the system's *BER*-curves for these two cases:

- reference condition: ideal transmitter (specified with maximum rise and fall times only) with the same extinction ratio as the actual DUT with no dispersive fibre in the path;
- impaired condition: DUT with specified fibre path

NOTE This parameter is defined in IEEE 802.3ae-2002, *10Gb/s Ethernet*. The IEEE standard does not specify a transmitter with the same ER as the DUT, but accomplishes the equivalent by specifying a measurement of optical modulation amplitude (OMA) as opposed to average power.

3.3

total transmitter power penalty

apparent change in receiver sensitivity due to distortion of the signal waveform during its transmission over a path with a specified chromatic dispersion for a transmitter with an infinite extinction ratio. It is manifested as a shift of the system's *BER*-curves for these two cases:

- reference condition: ideal transmitter with infinite extinction ratio;
- impaired condition: DUT with specified fibre path

4 Measuring dispersion penalty using a bit-error-ratio test set

Directly measuring dispersion penalty requires the setup of Figure 1. This figure is introduced to provide a context for the calculation of dispersion induced power penalty, which is the main topic of this document.

NOTE When dispersion induced power penalty is measured directly, care should be taken to use power levels that are low enough to avoid introducing penalties that can be induced by nonlinear effects.