



BSI Standards Publication

Wind energy generation systems

Part 26-4: Reliability for wind energy generation systems

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of IEC TS 61400-26-4:2024.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee PEL/88, Wind turbines.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

This publication is not to be regarded as a British Standard.

© The British Standards Institution 2024
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2024

ISBN 978 0 580 98844 8

ICS 27.180

Compliance with a Published Document cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 August 2024.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------



TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION



**Wind energy generation systems –
Part 26-4: Reliability for wind energy generation systems**

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

ICS 27.180

ISBN 978-2-8322-9458-1

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	4
1 Scope.....	6
2 Normative references	6
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms	7
3.1 Terms and definitions.....	7
3.2 Abbreviated terms.....	9
4 Preamble.....	10
5 Reliability terms derived from the information model.....	10
5.1 Information categories applied in reliability metrics	10
5.2 Derivation of parameters for reliability metrics	11
5.2.1 General	11
5.2.2 Additional state information required.....	12
5.2.3 Reliability terms derived from information categories – normative levels	13
5.2.4 Reliability terms derived from the information model – optional levels.....	16
5.2.5 Failure frequency and other aggregated reliability terms.....	17
5.3 Applicability to WEGS, systems and components.....	17
Annex A (informative) Illustrative examples.....	18
A.1 Scenario 1 – Determination of MFDT, MRT, MTD, MTBF and MDT for reporting reliability	18
A.2 Scenario 2 – Incorporation of transitions to SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE and PLANNED CORRECTIVE ACTION in the aggregated TTF counter stop criteria	19
A.3 Scenario 3 – SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE and PLANNED CORRECTIVE ACTION not incorporated in the aggregated TTF counter stop criteria	27
Annex B (informative) Reliability engineering considerations	33
B.1 General.....	33
B.2 Reliability.....	34
B.3 Serviceability	39
B.4 RAM	43
Annex C (informative) Illustration of the approach used at component level	44
Annex D (informative) Considerations on different needs for reporting reliability	46
D.1 Advantages by introducing optional information categories	46
D.2 Discussion of allocations for different stakeholder scenarios.....	46
Bibliography.....	51
Figure 1 – IEC 61400-26-1 information model.....	12
Figure 2 – Reliability terms derived from the information model – normative levels	15
Figure A.1 – Examples of transitions from IN SERVICE	20
Figure A.2 – Illustration of the transition sequences in scenario 2 and 3	21
Figure B.1 – The information model as input provider for statistical analysis	34
Figure B.2 – Reliability terms derived from the information model – including optional levels.....	37
Figure B.3 – Serviceability terms derived from the information model – including optional levels.....	42
Figure C.1 – Illustration of break-down to component level	45

Figure D.1 – Example of system approach only considering OPERATIVE (IAO), NON-OPERATIVE (IANO) and FORCE MAJEURE (IAFM)	46
Figure D.2 – Example of a system approach leaving a mandatory category (IAOSPP) in ambiguity	47
Figure D.3 – Example of system approach only considering FORCED OUTAGE (IANOFO and SUSPENDED (IANOS) as downtime	48
Figure D.4 – Example of system approach defining only active production situations to be 'system up-time', apart from requested shutdowns – to avoid ambiguity with the definitions for mandatory levels, introduction of level 5 is advised	49
Figure D.5 – Example of system approach defining only FULL PERFORMANCE situations to be 'system up-time' – to avoid ambiguity with the definitions for mandatory levels, introduction of level 5 is advised	49
Figure D.6 – Example of system approach defining only FORCED OUTAGE, SUSPENDED and FORCE MAJEURE situations to be 'system down-time'	50
Table A.1 – Registration of FDT, RT, TD, TBF and DT	18
Table A.2 – Scenario 2	22
Table A.3 – Scenario 3	28

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

WIND ENERGY GENERATION SYSTEMS –

Part 26-4: Reliability for wind energy generation systems

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as “IEC Publication(s)”). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) IEC draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). IEC takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, IEC had not received notice of (a) patent(s), which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at <https://patents.iec.ch>. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

IEC TS 61400-26-4 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 88: Wind energy generation systems. It is a Technical Specification.

Throughout this document, mandatory information categories as defined in IEC 61400-26-1 are written in capital letters (e.g. FULL PERFORMANCE, OUT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIFICATION).

The text of this Technical Specification is based on the following documents:

Draft	Report on voting
88/954/DTS	88/1024/RVDTS

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this Technical Specification is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, and developed in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and ISO/IEC Directives, IEC Supplement, available at www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs. The main document types developed by IEC are described in greater detail at www.iec.ch/publications.

A list of all parts in the IEC 61400 series, published under the general title *Wind energy generation systems*, can be found on the IEC website.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under webstore.iec.ch in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn, or
- revised.

IMPORTANT – The "colour inside" logo on the cover page of this document indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

WIND ENERGY GENERATION SYSTEMS –

Part 26-4: Reliability for wind energy generation systems

1 Scope

This part of IEC 61400, which is a Technical Specification, specifies terms and information categories for identification and reporting of reliability metrics. The definitions are applicable to key components, any number of wind turbines, fleets of wind turbine types, a wind power station or a portfolio of wind power stations. The wind power station is made up of all WTGSs (Wind Turbine Generator Systems), functional services and balance of plant elements as seen from the point of common coupling.

This document provides guidelines regarding reliability methodologies with informative annexes regarding use.

It expands on the information model in IEC 61400-26-1, recognizing that availability and reliability are interrelated.

It does not assign specific reliability specifications, constraints or targets but rather provides standardized means of categorizing and prioritizing data and illustrates the use of the model and metrics in informative annexes.

It does not specify the method of information acquisition or specific use. Beyond that, it is not the intention of this document to specify exactly how to calculate other undefined or performance-based reliability metrics.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 61400-26-1, *Wind energy generation systems – Part 26-1: Availability for wind energy generation systems*

IEC 60050-192, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) – Part 192: Dependability*

IEC 61703, *Mathematical expressions for reliability, availability, maintainability and maintenance support terms*

3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in IEC 60050-192, IEC 61400-26-1 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1.1

failure

loss of ability to perform to specification

Note 1 to entry: EN 13306 defines failure as "termination of the ability of an item to perform a required function".

Note 2 to entry: After failure the item has a fault, which can be complete or partial.

Note 3 to entry: "failure" is an event, as distinguished from "fault", which is a state.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-03-01, modified – Notes to entry have been changed.]

3.1.2

MACMT

mean active corrective maintenance time

expectation of the active corrective maintenance time

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-07-22]

3.1.3

MAD

mean administrative delay

expectation of the administrative delay

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-07-26]

3.1.4

downtime

expectation of the down time

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-08-10]

3.1.5

fault detection time

FDT

time interval between failure and detection of the resulting fault

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2024, 192-07-11, modified – Notes and figures have been deleted]

3.1.6

MLD

mean logistic delay

expectation of the logistic delay

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-07-27]

3.1.7

reliability

ability to perform to specification, without failure, for a given time interval, under given conditions

Note 1 to entry: The time interval duration can be expressed in units appropriate to the item concerned, e.g., calendar time, operating cycles, distance run, etc., and the units should always be clearly stated.

Note 2 to entry: Given conditions include aspects that affect reliability, such as: mode of operation, stress levels, environmental conditions, and maintenance.

Note 3 to entry: Reliability can be quantified using appropriate measures, see IEC 60050-192, 192-05-05, Reliability related concepts: measures.

Note 4 to entry: A general definition of reliability is provided in EN 13306: "ability of an item to perform a required function under given conditions for a given time interval."

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-01-24, modified – Note 3 to entry has been changed and Note 4 to entry has been added.]

3.1.8

repair

direct action taken to effect restoration

EXAMPLE 1: To restore equipment damaged, faulty or worn to a serviceable condition.

Note 1 to entry: IEC 60050-192 further defines several subsets of availability, e.g., "instantaneous availability" (192-08-01), "inherent availability" (192-08-02) and "operational availability" (192-08-03).

Note 2 to entry: Repair also includes fault localization and function checkout.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-06-14, modified – Note 1 to entry has been changed, an example and Note 2 to entry have been added.]

3.1.9

mean repair time

MRT

expectation of the repair time

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-07-21]

3.1.10

technical delay

TD

delay incurred in performing auxiliary technical actions associated with, but not part of, the maintenance action

EXAMPLE Rendering the equipment safe (such as immobilising, cooling, isolation and grounding).

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-07-15]

3.1.11

MTBF

mean operating time between failures

expectation of the duration of the operating time between failures

Note 1 to entry: Mean operating time between failures should only be applied to repairable items. For non-repairable items, see mean operating time to failure (192-05-11).

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-05-13]

3.1.12**mean time to failure****MTTF**

expectation of the operating time to failure

Note 1 to entry: In the case of non-repairable items with an exponential distribution of operating times to failure (i.e. a constant failure rate) the MTTF is numerically equal to the reciprocal of the failure rate. This is also true for repairable items if after restoration they can be considered to be "as-good-as-new".

Note 2 to entry: See also operating time to failure (192-05-01).

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-05-11]

3.1.13**mean time to restoration****MTTR**

expectation of the time to restoration

Note 1 to entry: IEC 60050-191:1990 (now withdrawn; replaced by IEC 60050-192) defined the term "mean time to recovery" as a synonym, but restoration and recovery are not synonyms.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-07-23]

3.1.14**MUT****mean up time**

expectation of the up time

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-08-09]

3.2 Abbreviated terms

ACMT	active corrective maintenance time
AD	administrative delay
DT	down time
FDT	fault detection time
IA	INFORMATION AVAILABLE
IANO	NON-OPERATIVE
IAFM	FORCE MAJEURE
IAO	OPERATIVE
IANOFO	FORCED OUTAGE
IANOPCA	PLANNED CORRECTIVE ACTION
IANOS	SUSPENDED
IANOSM	SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE
IAOOS	OUT OF SERVICE
IAOSEN	OUT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIFICATION
IAOOSTS	TECHNICAL STANDBY
IAOS	IN SERVICE
IAOSFP	FULL PERFORMANCE
IAOSPP	PARTIAL PERFORMANCE
IAOSRS	READY STANDBY
IAOSEL	OUT OF ELECTRICAL SPECIFICATION

IAOOSRS	REQUESTED SHUTDOWN
LD	logistic delay
MACMT	mean active corrective maintenance time
MAD	mean administrative delay
MDT	mean down time
MFDT	mean fault detection time
MLD	mean logistic delay
MRT	mean repair time
MTBF	mean operating time between failures
MTD	mean technical delay
MTTF	mean operating time to failure
MTTR	mean time to restoration
MUT	mean up time
RT	repair time
TBF	time between failures
TD	technical delay
TTF	time to failure
TTR	time to restoration
UT	up time
WEGS	wind energy generation system
WTGS	wind turbine generator system

4 Preamble

The key aspect of this document is that a reliability interpretation of the information model specified in IEC 61400-26-1 is achieved by creating counters, one for each information category at each mandatory level, optionally expanded with level 5 and level 6 categories.

These accumulated figures are in the present document used as the basis for data needed for deriving reliability metrics for a WEGS.

The methodology illustrated is made only on the time-based modelling specified in IEC 61400-26-1. A production-based approach is generally not implementable as standardised reliability terms and definitions applicable to the production-based model are not developed.

5 Reliability terms derived from the information model

5.1 Information categories applied in reliability metrics

Each level of the information model defined in IEC 61400-26-1 (see Figure 1) represents mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive states for a WEGS. The information model can be regarded as a collection of state-transition machines; for each column/level, there are several operational states as each information category represents one state.

The WECS can be only in one state at each level. At level 1, the WECS can be only in IA or IU. At level 2, the WECS can be only in IAO, IANO, IAFM or IU. The same principle applies to level 3 and 4. The prioritization of the information categories defined in IEC 61400-26-1 shall apply to the state machine approach too. The logic shall also apply to implementations with optional levels (illustrated in Figure 1 with level 5 added).

EXAMPLE 1: A WECS experiences a situation at PARTIAL PERFORMANCE and a transition to OUT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIFICATION is made. At level 3, the WECS will transition from IN SERVICE to OUT OF SERVICE, but at levels 1 and 2 no change takes place.

EXAMPLE 2: A WECS experiences a situation at FULL PERFORMANCE where a technical fault is detected by the turbine controller. At level 4, a transition to FORCED OUTAGE is made. At level 3, the WECS will transition from IN SERVICE to FORCED OUTAGE, and at level 2, a transition from OPERATIVE to NON-OPERATIVE is made, but at level 1 no change takes place; IA remains.

5.2 Derivation of parameters for reliability metrics

5.2.1 General

IEC 61400-26-1 requires that the actual state of all mandatory levels is determined, meaning that even though level 4 defines the more detailed information, the preceding (upper) levels shall also be determined and documented. These upper states are used for the derivation of parameters for reliability metrics.

Information categories						
Mandatory level 1	Mandatory level 2	Mandatory level 3	Mandatory level 4	Mandatory priority	Optional level 5	Level 5 priority
INFORMATION AVAILABLE (IA)	OPERATIVE (IAO)	IN SERVICE (IAOS)	FULL PERFORMANCE (IAOSFP)	1		
			PARTIAL PERFORMANCE (IAOSPP)	2	derated	2.1
					degraded	2.2
		READY STANDBY (IAOSRS)	3			
		OUT OF SERVICE (IAOOS)	TECHNICAL STANDBY (IAOOSTS)	4		
			OUT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIFICATION (IAOOSSEN)	5	calm winds	5.1
					other environmental	5.2
			REQUESTED SHUTDOWN (IAOOSRS)	6		
			OUT OF ELECTRICAL SPECIFICATION (IAOOSSEL)	7		
		NON-OPERATIVE (IANO)	SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE (IANOSM)		8	
	PLANNED CORRECTIVE ACTION (IANOPCA)		9	retrofit	9.1	
				upgrade	9.2	
				other corrective action	9.3	
	FORCED OUTAGE (IANOFO)		10	response	10.1	
				diagnostic	10.2	
				logistic	10.3	
				failure repair	10.4	
	SUSPENDED (IANOS)		11	suspended scheduled maintenance	11.1	
				suspended planned corrective action	11.2	
				suspended forced outage	11.3	
	FORCE MAJEURE (IAFM)			12		
INFORMATION UNAVAILABLE (IU)			13			

IEC

Figure 1 – IEC 61400-26-1 information model

5.2.2 Additional state information required

To derive reliability metrics, it is necessary to obtain a further granularity than that provided by the counters defined in IEC 61400-26-1 giving only aggregated numbers for a full given period. For each unbroken period, when the state at a given level has been constant, it is necessary to know the duration, the preceding state, and the succeeding state. To provide a basis for more complex analyses (e.g., dependency on time-of-day, day-of-week, etc.), information about the duration of a period shall be completed with timestamps for the start and end of said period.

Data to be collected for each level in the information model consists of a set of data points that each describe an uninterrupted period when the state did not change. The set of data points shall include the following elements:

- information category level number,
- designation of state,
- timestamp for entry to state,
- designation of preceding state,
- timestamp for exit from state,
- designation of succeeding state,
- duration of state,
- number of events (within a specified period of time).

The set of data points shall make account for all time and all state changes for each level in the period being analysed.

The specification of level is required because it cannot unambiguously be deducted from the category names. For example, a transition from IANOFO to IANOS could be at either level 3 or level 4.

5.2.3 Reliability terms derived from information categories – normative levels

Some of the time counters for the operational information categories defined by IEC 61400-26-1 can directly be associated with commonly used reliability metrics. Supplementing with event counters for each state, even more reliability metrics can be derived. With the addition of the set of data points specified in this document, many of the commonly used reliability metrics can be derived.

Using the terminology developed in IEC 61703 and IEC 60050-192, the parameters below can be derived.

From the mandatory information categories (see Figure 1):

- DT and MDT, downtime, is derived directly from counting time and number of events in NON-OPERATIVE (level 2).
- UT and MUT, uptime, is derived directly from counting time and number of events in OPERATIVE (level 2).
- TBF and MTBF, time between failure, is derived from counting time between occurrences and number of events in FORCED OUTAGE.
- TTR and MTTR, time to restoration, is derived directly as the combination of time spent and number of events in FORCED OUTAGE + SUSPENDED (levels 3 and 4).
- TTF and MTTF, time to failure, is derived from a subset counter, and derived from time spent in OPERATIVE, provided that the state transition is to FORCED OUTAGE.

NOTE DT is the individually measured/counted 'downtime' of the item. MDT is the mean value that can be calculated based on additional data from the event counter.

UT is the individually measured/counted 'uptime' of the item. MUT is the mean value that can be calculated based on additional data from the event counter. (MUT + MDT do not add-up to the total time as FORCE MAJEURE and INFORMATION UNAVAILABLE are not included).

TBF is the individually measured/counted time elapsing between two consecutive failures (not necessarily the same failures). MTBF is the mean value of TBFs.

TTR is the individually measured/counted total time 'spent' on restoring the item in case of an incident. MTTR is the mean value. According to IEC 61703, MTTR can be considered the sum of MFDT + MAD + MLD + MACMT, but this requires involvement of optional categories (see 5.2.4).

TTF is the individually measured/counted time to fail. MTTF is the mean time to fail.

Users shall decide on how to incorporate transitions to SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE and PLANNED CORRECTIVE ACTION. Users shall choose between adding one or both state transitions to the counter stop criteria or leaving them out. Similarly, users shall decide on how FORCE MAJEURE shall be used for reliability metrics. Some of these aspects are discussed in Annex D.

Figure 2 illustrates from what information categories reliability terms can be derived directly. The 'arrow' in each cell points to what reliability parameters can be derived when the data point and the specified approach is applied. Not all information categories hold information that directly associates with commonly used reliability terms (the white- and orange-colored cells). It is however not ruled out, that these information categories can contribute to specific reliability studies.

The cell marked green in Figure 2 indicates the 'uptime state'. The red colored cells indicate the non-operative states providing the immediate downtime parameters associated with failures and repair.

Mandatory level 1	Mandatory level 2	Mandatory level 3	Mandatory level 4	Mandatory priority
INFORMA-TION AVAILABLE (IA)	OPERATIVE (IAO) ↓ UT (time counted)	IN SERVICE (IAOS)	FULL PERFORMANCE (IAOSFP)	1
			PARTIAL PERFORMANCE (IAOSPP)	2
			READY STANDBY (IAOSRS)	3
		OUT OF SERVICE (IAOOS)	TECHNICAL STANDBY (IAOOSTS)	4
			OUT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIFICATION (IAOSEN)	5
			REQUESTED SHUTDOWN (IAOOSRS)	6
			OUT OF ELECTRICAL SPECIFICATION (IAOSEL)	7
	NON-OPERATIVE (IANO) ↓ DT (time counted)	SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE (IANOSM)		8
		PLANNED CORRECTIVE ACTION (IANOPCA)		9
		FORCED OUTAGE (IANOFO) ↓ TBF (time between entries) TTR (time counted) TTF (time from IAO entry to IANOFO entry)		10
		SUSPENDED (IANOS) ↓ TTR (time counted)		11
	FORCE MAJEURE (IAFM)			12
INFORMATION UNAVAILABLE (IU)			13	

IEC

Key

white-coloured states	not used in reliability terms
orange-coloured states	not used in reliability terms
green-coloured states	commonly used in reliability terms
red-coloured states	commonly used in reliability terms

Figure 2 – Reliability terms derived from the information model – normative levels