



BSI Standards Publication

Information technology — Biometric performance testing and reporting

Part 9: Testing on mobile devices

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of [ISO/IEC TS 19795-9:2019](#).

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee IST/44/-/3, Biometric data interchange formats.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2020
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2020

ISBN 978 0 539 04330 3

ICS 35.240.15

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 January 2020.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

ISO/IEC TS 19795-9

First edition
2019-12-18

Information technology — Biometric performance testing and reporting — Part 9: Testing on mobile devices



Reference number
ISO/IEC TS 19795-9:2019(E)

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub
© ISO/IEC 2019



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2019, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 General considerations for biometrics on mobile devices	2
4.1 Biometric authentication process	2
4.2 Biometric capture sensor	3
4.3 Uncontrolled environment	3
4.4 Challenges in storing references and generating comparison scores	3
4.5 Adaptation of the biometric references	4
5 Overview of full-system evaluation of mobile devices	4
5.1 General description	4
5.2 Considerations for time efficient evaluation	4
5.2.1 Factors that increase the time and cost of biometric performance evaluations	4
5.2.2 Reduction of the number of recognition transactions	5
5.2.3 Reduction of the number of conditions to evaluate	8
5.2.4 Reduction of the number of visits	9
6 Guidance for testing and reporting	9
6.1 Data collection	9
6.1.1 General procedures	9
6.1.2 Test crew size and characteristics	9
6.1.3 Test subject interaction	10
6.1.4 Modality specific consideration	10
6.2 Test method	12
6.2.1 Enrolment	12
6.2.2 Iterative and multi session enrolment	12
6.2.3 Verification	12
6.3 Performance measurement	12
6.3.1 Metrics	12
6.3.2 Optional technology evaluation for lower FAR claims	12
6.3.3 Guidance for target requirements evaluation	13
6.4 Considerations for third party evaluation	13
6.4.1 General	13
6.4.2 Specifications for the system under test	13
6.4.3 Consistency of system under test online and offline	15
6.4.4 Checking a system provider self-attestation	15
6.5 Reporting	15
Annex A (informative) Sample test report	18
Annex B (normative) Profiling ISO/IEC TS 19795-9 (this document) for an application	22
Bibliography	26

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see <http://patents.iec.ch>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 37, *Biometrics*.

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 19795 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

The development of a mass-market in connected mobile devices, e.g. smartphones and tablets, has allowed users the convenience of accessing remotely a variety of services which previously needed face-to-face interactions or to have physical access to the service provider's infrastructure.

For some services, convenience should nevertheless remain secondary to the security needs. These services include for example remote payment on commercial websites, banking transactions or certified signing of official documents. To allow these trustful interactions, the need of reliable user authentication is of paramount importance.

One way to certify the user's identity is to implement biometric authentication ability in the device.

It is then important to properly evaluate the accuracy of biometric authentication to ensure that security is strong enough to allow mobile sensible transactions.

Several biometric modalities are widely utilized in consumer-focused mobile devices. Evaluation of biometric performance for all of these modalities should be consistent and follow the same guidelines, methodologies and requirements. Nevertheless, some modality specific considerations should also be addressed when conducting an evaluation. This document provides a general framework usable for all modalities as well as dedicated recommendations when needed.

[ISO/IEC 19795-1](#) describes three types of biometric performance evaluations: technology, scenario and operational evaluations. [ISO/IEC TR 30125^{\[1\]}](#) recommends scenario evaluation as the most proper type of evaluation for testing biometric performance on mobile devices.

A scenario evaluation is an "end-to-end" biometrics evaluation in which the full system is tested with a careful control of the surrounding conditions. However, when applying this type of evaluation to biometric systems working on mobile devices, testing and reporting methods should consider the particularities and constraints of these use cases.

This page deliberately left blank

Information technology — Biometric performance testing and reporting —

Part 9: Testing on mobile devices

1 Scope

This document provides guidance for performance testing of biometrics when this technology is used on mobile devices with local biometric authentication to improve authentication assurance.

This document aims to:

- Provide guidance for affordable and cost-efficient testing and reporting methods for performance assessment at a full system level of biometric systems embedded in mobile devices with offline evaluation of false accept rate (FAR) claims.
- Define modality-specific considerations of these methods.

This document is applicable to:

- verification use cases related to secure transactions.

This document is not applicable to:

- privacy aspects;
- secure authentication from mobile device to server;
- testing and reporting for presentation attack detection (PAD) mechanisms in mobile devices;
- performance testing of biometric sub-systems such as acquisition sub-system or comparison sub-system;
- continuous authentication.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

[ISO/IEC 2382-37](#), *Information technology — Vocabulary — Part 37: Biometrics*

[ISO/IEC 19795-1](#), *Information technology — Biometric performance testing and reporting — Part 1: Principles and framework*

[ISO/IEC 19795-2](#), *Information technology — Biometric performance testing and reporting — Part 2: Testing methodologies for technology and scenario evaluation*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in [ISO/IEC 2382-37](#), [ISO/IEC 19795-1](#) and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1
mobile device
small, compact, handheld, lightweight computing device, typically having a display screen with digitizer input and/or a miniature keyboard

EXAMPLE Laptops, tablet PCs, wearable ICT devices, smartphones, USB gadgets.

3.2
authentication assurance
confidence in the authentication process

3.3
gender
classification as male, female or some other category based on social, cultural or behavioural factors

Note 1 to entry: This is determined through self-declaration or self-presentation and may change over time.

Note 2 to entry: Depending on jurisdiction recognition, this may or may not require assessment by a third party.

3.4
time limit
longest time before a biometric system returns a decision on accept or reject, success or failure to enrol, success or failure to acquire a biometric sample

Note 1 to entry: All decisions returned after time limit are discarded.

Note 2 to entry: Time limit set to 0 means no time limit. All metrics evaluated are reported with the longest time needed by the biometric system to return decisions.

4 General considerations for biometrics on mobile devices

4.1 Biometric authentication process

Currently there are two types of local authentications that can be executed by mobile devices:

- explicit authentication in which the user is aware that a biometric authentication is going to happen and presents voluntarily his/her biometric characteristic to the capture device;
- passive authentication in which the user is authenticated without active effort by the user.

NOTE This document does not cover biometric systems where the user is continuously authenticated by the system in the background.

Therefore, the definition of testing methods and protocols should consider both types of authentications. In particular, the test plan should consider the following aspects:

- what constitutes the biometric capture process;
- how the user should interact with the mobile device during this process;
- which are the policies to manage failure to acquire (FTA) failures.

4.2 Biometric capture sensor

Mobile devices may have two kinds of biometric capture sensors:

- Embedded sensors which are the generic sensors of a mobile device (e.g. front and back cameras, microphone, touchscreen) but that are used for collecting the biometric characteristic during a biometric authentication.

For some modalities, biometric acquisition may rely on these embedded sensors, which have not been designed and optimized for this task. Image resolution or uncontrolled image post-processing may for example impede the accuracy of biometric algorithms designed for more controlled acquisition.

- Dedicated sensors which are specific sensors for collecting biometric characteristics (i.e. a fingerprint reader).

Even when dedicated sensors are embedded in the device, they need to be coupled with optimized software in order to take into account hardware and ergonomics constraints specific to a mobile use case. These constraints may include, e.g. lower usable area available for fingerprint, closer range acquisition for face recognition.

A full-system evaluation should be carried out including the biometric capture sensor. Where the same or equivalent capturing sensor and the same comparison algorithm is used, evaluation results for one device may be applicable to others.

For this reason, guidelines for the evaluation should include recommendations to decide the most appropriate sensor to use during the evaluation as well as how to expand test reports to include the characteristics of the evaluated sensor as well as its situation on the device. These guidelines should be defined per biometric modality.

4.3 Uncontrolled environment

A full-system evaluation should control the conditions in which testing is going to be carried out. As described in [ISO/IEC TR 30125](#), one of the major issues of mobile devices is the uncontrolled nature of the capture environment and the variability over time. To obtain realistic results, biometric performance should be analysed in numerous conditions. However, it is unfeasible to do it due to time and budget constraints. Testing conditions should be reduced to measure performance in a limited set of conditions.

Therefore, recommendations for selecting the most proper testing conditions and how to report it should be defined for ambient conditions present during the evaluation. Specific recommendations should be defined per biometric modality.

4.4 Challenges in storing references and generating comparison scores

In most current implementations of biometric authentication on mobile devices, the generation and the storage of the biometric references and samples are protected from external access. Devices are not designed to store multiple references and generate comparison scores from the submission of a probe against these references. Some solution is necessary to the problem of comparing one probe against multiple references on mobile devices, where typically only one reference is available, and scores are not accessible. Solutions could include development of test harnesses, of prototype devices, or of alternative operating modes.

A process for validating results from these alternative operating modes against standard operating modes will be required.