PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

BSI Standards Publication

Surface chemical analysis — Characterization of nanostructured materials

NO COPYING WITHOUT BSI PERMISSION EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW

raising standards worldwide™

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of ISO/TR 14187:2011.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee CII/60, Surface chemical analysis.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© BSI 2011

ISBN 978 0 580 68398 5

ICS 71.040.40

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 August 2011.

Amendments issued since publication

Date	Text affected

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/TR 14187

First edition
2011-08-15

Surface chemical analysis — Characterization of nanostructured materials

Analyse chimique des surfaces — Caractérisation des matériaux nanostructurés

Reference number
ISO/TR 14187:2011(E)

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

© ISO 2011

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2011

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

Contents

Page

Foreword iv

Introduction v

1 Scope 1

2 Terms and definitions 1

3 Symbols and abbreviated terms 1

4 Characterization of nanostructured materials with surface analysis methods 3
4.1 Introduction 3
4.2 Electron Spectroscopies (AES and XPS) 6
4.2.1 Surface functionalization and product formation 7
4.2.2 Presence of contamination and coatings 8
4.2.3 Orientation of surface molecules 8
4.2.4 Coating or layer thickness 8
4.2.5 Near-surface elemental distribution 10
4.2.6 Particle size 10
4.2.7 Particle location, composition and shape 11
4.2.8 Other properties: electronic characteristics and surface acidity 11
4.3 Ion-beam surface analysis methods (SIMS and LEIS) 12
4.3.1 SIMS and examples of SIMS applications 12
4.3.2 Low energy ion scattering and applications to nanomaterials 13
4.4 Scanning probe microscopy 14
4.5 Surface characterization of carbon nanostructures 15

5 Analysis considerations, issues and challenges associated with characterization of nanostructured materials: Information for the analyst. 15
5.1 Introduction 15
5.2 General considerations and analysis challenges 16
5.3 Physical properties 17
5.4 Particle stability and damage: influence of size, surface energy and confluence of energy scales 18
5.4.1 Crystal structure 18
5.4.2 Damage and probe effects 19
5.4.3 Time and environment 19
5.5 Sample mounting and preparation considerations 24
5.6 Specific considerations for analysis of nanostructured materials using XPS, AES, SIMS and SPM 24
5.6.1 Introduction 24
5.6.2 Issues related to application of XPS to nanomaterials 24
5.6.3 Issues related to the application of AES to nanostructured materials 27
5.6.4 Issues related to application of SIMS to nanoparticles 27
5.6.5 Issues related to the application of scanning probe methods to nanoparticles 29

6 General characterization needs and opportunities for nanostructured materials 29

Bibliography 31

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

In exceptional circumstances, when a technical committee has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard ("state of the art", for example), it may decide by a simple majority vote of its participating members to publish a Technical Report. A Technical Report is entirely informative in nature and does not have to be reviewed until the data it provides are considered to be no longer valid or useful.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/TR 14187 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 201, *Surface chemical analysis*, Subcommittee SC 5, *Auger electron spectroscopy*.

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

Introduction

As engineered nanomaterials of many types play an increasing role in many different technologies [1], international organizations (including ISO, ASTM, the International Bureau of Weights of Measures (BIPM), Consultative Committee for Amount of Substance: Metrology in Chemistry (CCQM) and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD))[1] are working to identify critical properties [2] and measurements that must be understood to adequately define the nature of the materials being used. An inherent property of any nanostructured material, whether a particle, fibre or other object, is that a large percentage of the material is associated with a surface or interface. Therefore, surface composition and chemistry have been identified as being part of a minimum set of chemical parameters need to characterize nanomaterials and it would naturally seem that the wide range of tools developed for surface characterization could or should be routinely applied to these materials. Two different issues, however, have limited the impact of traditional surface analysis tools in some areas of nanoscience and nanotechnology. First, many of the tools do not have sufficient spatial resolution in three dimensions needed to analyse individual nanostructured materials (or, equivalently, variations of composition within that material). For this reason, some researchers do not consider application of the tools even though they can often provide very important information. Second, surface analytical (and other) tools are often applied to nanostructured materials without appropriately considering several analytical challenges or issues that these materials present. Such challenges include environmentally altered behaviours of nanoparticles (including effects of making measurements in vacuum), time-dependent characteristics of nanostructured materials, the influence of particle shape on analysis results, and the increased possibility of altering the structure or composition of the nanomaterial by the incident radiation (typically electrons, X-rays, or ions) during the analysis. This Technical Report gives information on these important issues. The report first describes the types of information that can be obtained about nanostructured materials, sometimes using analytical approaches beyond those in standard applications. Second, the report examines the technical challenges generally faced when applying surface analysis tools (and often other tools) for characterization of nanostructured materials as well as those specific to each technique.

Because of the expanding use of nanostructured materials in research, development, and commercial applications as well as their natural presence in air and ground water, there is an increasing need to understand the properties and behaviours of nanostructured materials as they are synthesized or as they evolve in a particular environment. The novel and unusual properties of nanostructured materials excite scientists, technologists and the general public. However, the sometimes surprising properties of many of these materials raise analysis or characterization issues that sometimes are unexpected by analysts, scientists, and production engineers [3-5].

Potential health and environmental concerns related to materials with unusual or unique properties increase the need to understand the chemical, physical and biological properties of these materials throughout their life cycle. It is now recognized that some early reports on the properties of nanoparticles and other nanostructured materials, including their toxicity and environmental stability, were based on inadequate characterizations [6]. In some cases, important characterizations appear not to have been attempted or reported [7, 8]. A March 2006 article in Small Times magazine described a workshop designed to identify roadblocks to nanobiotech commercialization [6] at which several experts reported that many of the important physical characteristics needed to understand the physical and chemical properties of nanoparticles were not reported and apparently often unmeasured, especially in assessments of particle toxicity. The article further notes that the changes that these particles undergo when exposed to the environment where they are stored or used are especially important and usually unknown. In many cases, nanoparticles are coated with surfactants or contaminants, and these are often not well characterized and sometimes not adequately identified. As a result, the validity of the conclusions may be questionable. Inadequate characterization of the surface chemistry of nanoparticles has been identified as one of the areas where appropriate characterization is often lacking [4, 8]. One of the definitions of a nanostructured material is that, in at last one dimension, the size of the object or structure must be 100 nm or less. Considerable attention is being given to the characterization of nanosized-objects (particles, rods or other shapes) that might be released into the environment and a set of minimum characterization requirements for nanoparticles for use in toxicity studies has been identified [2]. However, the needs for nanomaterials characterization include the wide variety of nanostructured materials that are used in

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

computers, as sensors, in batteries or fuel cells and many other types of applications. Nonetheless, the minimum characterization requirements for nanoparticles can be generalized to a wider range of materials and potential applications as shown in Table 1.

Surface-analysis methods of various forms (described later) can provide information that relates to many elements in Table 1 including those that appear obvious (such as surface composition and chemistry) but also includes particle or component size, presence of surface impurities, nature of surface functionality (including acidity), surface structure/morphology, near-surface variation of composition (both laterally and with depth, coating/film thickness, and electronic properties of nanostructures/films.

Surface characterization is only a subset of several nanomaterials analysis needs that are being examined by ISO/TC 229. This report on surface chemical analysis methods prepared by ISO/TC 201/SC 5 has been prepared in coordination with the overall characterization needs identified by experts in TC 201 and TC 229 as well as awareness of the objectives being addressed by ISO/TC 229. This Technical Report describes the information that can be obtained (and by which techniques), and examines some of the issues and challenges faced when performing such analyses.

Table 1. Physical and chemical properties for characterization of nanostructured materials
*Items in **bold** font are properties for which surface chemical analysis can provide useful information, as described in this Technical Report.*

What does the material look like?

- **Particle/grain/film/structural unit size**(s) /size distribution
- **Grain, particle, film morphology** (shape, layered, roughness, topography)
- Agglomeration state/aggregation (e.g., do particles stick together)

What is the material made of?

- Bulk composition (including chemical composition and crystal structure)
- Bulk purity (including levels of impurities)
- **Elemental, chemical and/or phase distribution** (including surface composition and surface impurities)

What factors affect how a material interacts with its surroundings?

- Surface area
- **Surface chemistry**, including reactivity, hydrophobicity
- Surface charge

Overarching considerations to take into account when characterizing engineered nanomaterials (for toxicity studies and other applications):

- **Stability**—how do material properties (especially the surface composition, particle agglomeration, etc.) change with time (dynamic stability), storage, handling, preparation, delivery, etc.? Include solubility and the rate of material release through dissolution
- Context/media—how do material properties change in different media or during processing (**environmental effects**); i.e., from the bulk material to dispersions to material in various biological matrices? ("as administered" characterization is considered to be particularly important)
- Where possible, materials should be characterized sufficiently to interpret **functional behaviours**. For toxicology studies, information is required on the response to the amount of material against a range of potentially relevant dose metrics, including mass, surface area, and number concentration

---

This table is adapted from [2]. The recommendations in the initial table were developed at a workshop on ensuring appropriate material characterization in nanotoxicology studies, held at the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars in Washington, DC, USA, between 28 October and 29 October, 2008; http://www.characterizationmatters.org.

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

Surface chemical analysis — Characterization of nanostructured materials

1 Scope

This Technical Report provides an introduction to (and some examples of) the types of information that can be obtained about nanostructured materials using surface-analysis tools (Section 4). Of equal importance, both general issues or challenges associated with characterizing nanostructured materials and the specific opportunities or challenges associated with individual methods are identified (Section 5). As the size of objects or components of materials approaches a few nanometres, the distinctions among “bulk”, “surface” and “particle” analysis blur. Although some general issues relevant to characterization of nanostructured materials are identified, this Technical Report focuses on issues specifically relevant to surface chemical analysis of nanostructured materials. A variety of analytical and characterization methods will be mentioned, but this report focuses on methods that are in the domain of ISO/TC 201 including Auger Electron Spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, secondary ion mass spectrometry, and scanning probe microscopy. Some types of measurements of nanoparticle surface properties such as surface potential that are often made in a solution are not discussed in this Report.

Although they have many similar aspects, characterization of nanometre-thick films or a uniform collection of nanometre-sized particles present different characterization challenges. Examples of methods applicable to both thin films and to particles or nano-sized objects are presented. Properties that can be determined include: the presence of contamination, the thickness of coatings, and the chemical nature of the surface before and after processing. In addition to identifying the types of information that can be obtained, the Technical Report summarizes general and technique-specific Issues that must be considered before or during analysis. These include: identification of needed information, stability and probe effects, environmental effects, specimen-handling issues, and data interpretation.

Surface characterization is an important subset of several analysis needs for nanostructured materials. The broader characterization needs for nanomaterials are within the scope of ISO/TC 229 and this report has been coordinated with experts of TC 229 Joint Working Group (JWG) 3.

This introduction to information available about nanomaterials using a specific set of surface-analysis methods cannot by its very nature be fully complete. However, important opportunities, concepts and issues have been identified and many references provided to allow the topics to be examined in greater depth as required.

2 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 18115 parts 1 and 2 apply.

3 Symbols and abbreviated terms

AES	Auger electron spectroscopy
APT	atom probe tomography
AFM	atomic force microscopy
ARXPS	angle resolved X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
CNT	carbon nanotube
CVD	chemical vapour deposition

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

dSIMS	dynamic secondary ion mass spectrometry
EI-MS	electron ionization mass spectrometry
EPMA	electron probe micro-analysis
ESCA	electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis (same as XPS)
G-SIMS	gentle secondary ion mass spectrometry (a variant of SIMS to extract information about molecular groups)
HRLEIS	high resolution - low energy ion scattering
ICP-MS	inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry
IMFP	inelastic mean free path
IRS	Infrared Spectroscopy
ISS	ion scattering spectroscopy
LED	light emitting diode
LEIS	low energy ion scattering
LRS	laser Raman spectroscopy
MultiQuant	a spectrum evaluation program for quantitative evaluation of XPS data
MWCNT	multi-walled carbon nanotube
NRA	nuclear reaction analysis
PECVD	plasma enhanced chemical vapour deposition
PEM fuel cell	polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell
PMMA	poly(methyl methacrylate),
PPV	poly(diakloxy-p-phenylene vinylene)
PVB	poly(vinyl butyral)
QUASES	quantitative analysis of surfaces by electron spectroscopy (computer program for quantitative evaluation of XPS and Auger spectra)
RBS	Rutherford backscattering spectroscopy
SEM	scanning electron microscopy
SESSA	simulation of electron spectra for surface analysis (computer program for quantitative evaluation of XPS and AES spectra)
SHG/SFG	second harmonic generation/sum frequency generation
SI	secondary ion
SIMS	secondary ion mass spectrometry
SNOM	scanning near-field optical microscopy
SPM	scanning probe microscopy (a generic term covering STM, AFM and other scanning tip based microscopies)
sSIMS	static secondary ion mass spectrometry
STM	scanning tunnelling microscopy
SWCNT	single walled carbon nanotube

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

TEM-PEELS	transmission electron microscopy - parallel electron energy loss spectroscopy
TCNQ	tetracyanoquinodimethane
TOF-SIMS	time of flight – secondary ion mass spectrometry
WPMN-OECD	Working Party on Manufactured Nanomaterials – Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
XPS	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
μTA	microthermal anlaysis

4 Characterization of nanostructured materials with surface analysis methods

4.1 Introduction

Surfaces and interfaces can strongly influence many properties of materials and material systems. Surfaces control chemical reactivity, influence adhesion, and are associated with heat and electron transfer. In many circumstances, the surface composition may differ from the bulk composition due to surface contamination or to segregation (enrichment) of one component. Interfaces between grains of one material or of differing materials are critical to the performance of electronic materials and the strength of structural materials. Because of the importance of surfaces and interfaces, special tools have been developed to determine their compositions and to assess how these affect the properties of natural and engineered materials. Significant groupings of surface analysis tools include those based on electron spectroscopy (Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)), those involving incident ion beams (secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) and low-energy ion scattering (LEIS), and those based on scanning probe microscopy (SPM) including atomic force microscopy (AFM) and scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM). These tools are widely applied to characterize natural and engineered surfaces in relation to fundamental studies, for material and product development, and for analysing product reliability and performance in service environments. These analysis methods have provided significant value in many technologies including pharmacology, health, microelectronics, chemical, power, transport and aerospace, and the advanced materials used in many technologies.

Although other surface-analysis techniques are used and will be mentioned in this report, the focus will be on AES, LEIS, SIMS, SPM, and XPS and the application of these techniques to the characterization of nanostructured materials; it is noted that there are subcommittees for all of these methods except LEIS within ISO/TC 201. Detailed discussions of these methods are available from many sources [9, 10]. Information on the typical spatial resolutions of AES, SIMS, SPM, and XPS is summarized in Figure 1. In all cases, the techniques have nanometre resolution in at least one dimension.

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011



Figure 1. Schematic overview of probing and detected species for surface analysis by AES, SIMS, SPM, and XPS. Also indicated are the typical spatial resolutions available with these surface-analysis methods.

In addition to having differences in spatial resolution, different surface analysis techniques can provide different types of information. The UK National Physical Laboratory [11] has created a drawing that summarizes the types of information that can be provided by many different analysis methods, as shown in Figure 2. The types of information that can be obtained include topography, elemental composition, molecular and chemical state, and structural information. Useful or potentially useful methods not included in Fig. 2 include LEIS, laser Raman spectroscopy, and nonlinear optical methods such as second harmonic generation (SHG) and sum frequency generation (SFG). LEIS has also been known as Ion Scattering Spectrometry (ISS) and is a well-established method. However, in modern instruments it can be particularly useful because of the high sensitivity to the very outermost atomic layers of a sample [12]. A few examples of LEIS will be included in the examples provided in later sections. TOF-SIMS is often applied in the static mode and is indicated by Static SIMS in Figure 2. Full chemical structure would include information about the molecular structure of the elements and molecules present in the sample or on the sample surface. Several methods provide some, but not comprehensive, information about molecular structure.

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011



Figure 2. Diagram providing overview of spatial resolution and types of information that can be ordained by a range of tools important for the analysis of nanostructured materials. After reference [11].

It has already been noted that nanostructured materials inherently involve a high percentage of atoms located on or near surfaces or interfaces, and that the material properties are significantly impacted by the nature and properties of these surfaces and interfaces in addition to any fundamental changes in materials properties due to their overall small size. Among the materials properties that must be known to understand behaviour are characteristics related to surface chemistry and surface charge. Specific knowledge is often required on the presence and properties of surface layers and surface contamination, the chemical state or enrichment of species on the surface or at interfaces, and information about surface functionality. Examples of the types of information needs and techniques by which they can be addressed are shown in Table 2. Table 2 includes commonly used tools beyond those shown in Figure 1, but is not intended to be comprehensive.

Although many analysis tools can be useful for characterizing nanostructured materials, it is important to recognize that there are many challenges and unmet analysis needs for such applications. Some challenges are associated with limitations of the current tools [5, 13] or with challenges in using (or having access to) all of the needed tool set [3, 4], Issues associated with environmentally induced changes, damage or sample handling issues [3, 14], will be discussed in Section 5.

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

Table 2 - Information needs and relevant tools for nanostructured material surfaces

Type of Information	Film or nanoparticle	Possible Techniques	Comments or range of applicability
Surface composition (including surface functionalization)	Nanometre films	XPS, AES LEIS SIMS	Outer 10 nm Outer < 1nm Outer 1 nm
	Nanoparticles	XPS, AES, SIMS, LEIS	As above
Depth distribution	Nanometre films	XPS RBS, NRA Atom Probe Tomography Sputter profiles using AES, XPS, SIMS	Outer 10 nm nondestructively About 2 µm up to 1 µm Less than ~1 µm
	Nanoparticles	XPS, AES, TEM, APT	
Layer thickness	Nanometre Films	XRR, SPS, RBS, Ellipsometry, AES	
	Nanoparticles	AES, XPS, TEM	
Molecular structure	Nanometre films	Raman/IRS TOF-SIMS	
	Nanoparticles	Raman/IRS TOF-SIMS	
Surface roughness	Nanometre films	AFM, Profilometry	
	Nanoparticles	TEM, AFM, SEM	
Size	Nanoparticles	TEM, SEM, AFM, XPS	

In the following three sections, five surface-analysis methods (AES, XPS, LEIS, SIMS, and SPM) are briefly described and examples of their use for nanomaterials characterization are given. The examples indicate what can be done but do not provide a comprehensive picture. To highlight some differences and similarities in analytical approaches, examples of characterization of nanostructured thin films and nanoparticles will be provided. In addition to these examples, a separate section will discuss characterization of carbon nanotubes (CNTs). Applications are often limited only by the ingenuity of the research team involved. It will also be apparent that these tools are generally most useful when they are applied in combination with other techniques that provide complementary information (**multi-technique analysis**). Such complementary information is often essential for characterizing the samples and may also alert analysts to environmental or probe-initiated effects (sample damage) that alter the material or confuse the results. Care is also required for sample handling and preparation of samples for analysis without introducing sample changes or contamination [14-16].

4.2 Electron Spectroscopies (AES and XPS)

Both AES and XPS can detect the presence of all elements with the exception of H and He and involve the detection of electrons emitted from samples with kinetic energies typically below 2000 eV. Much of the value of these methods is their surface sensitivity that arises from the short distances that electrons travel at these energies without undergoing inelastic scattering and energy loss [17-19]. Therefore the electrons detected in Auger or photoelectron peaks are from the outer few nanometres of the material, as indicated in Figure 2. Catalysis was one of the first areas where the combination of “bulk” analysis methods with these surface

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011

analysis methods allowed information about the enrichment or depletion of elements on the surface to be determined [20-22]. Because electrons that emerge from the material and have lost energy appear in the background region of the spectra [23], it is possible to use these methods to provide depth, enrichment or layering information within the XPS and AES analysis volume. Consequently, these two methods can be used with multiple approaches to obtain important information about layering or coatings on films, particles and nanoparticle surfaces [24].

Although both X-ray and electron excitations produce Auger electrons, AES is usually associated with incident-electrons during which Auger electrons are produced. These incident electrons typically range in energy from 2 keV to as much as 25 keV. X-rays (often Mg or Al Kα) are typically the incident radiation in XPS, which was initially called Electron Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis (ESCA) by Kai Siegbahn who was awarded a Nobel Prize in Physics for his development of this technique. Because an electron beam can be focused to tens of nanometres in size, it is possible to analyse individual nanoparticles with AES. However, issues related to electron penetration and scattering can cause significantly worse resolution than expected [25] based on beam size alone. Although XPS does not have the spatial resolution to analyse individual nanoparticles (with the possible exception of a few special synchrotron-based systems with highly focused X-ray beams or photoelectron-imaging systems), it is often possible to analyse collections of particles (in a single layer or effectively in powder form) and to obtain useful information [17, 24, 26].

Both AES and XPS can be extremely important tools for determining the presence, composition and thickness of coatings on films and nanoparticles as well as surface enrichment and depletion at surfaces. To the surprise of many, XPS can sometimes be used to determine particle sizes when conditions are not appropriate for analysis by other methods [17, 22, 27]. The size, shape, and layered structure of nanostructured materials influence XPS data in several different ways including:

- Peak intensities and relative peak intensities of
  - peaks for different elements
  - different peaks for the same element
- Peak energies
  - binding energies of peaks
  - values of the Auger parameter
- Background signals from electrons that have lost energy

These effects are more fully described in the literature [17, 28] and on the web [27]. Depending on what is known about the specimen and the objectives of the analysis, each of these effects can be used to extract useful information about nanostructured samples including:

i) Verification of surface functionalization and product formation
ii) Presence of contamination, coatings, and oxidation
iii) Orientation of surface molecules
iv) Surface enrichment or depletion
v) Layer thickness
vi) Particle size and particle location
vii) Other Properties: Electronic characteristics of thin films and particles, and surface acidity.

Many different research teams have used AES and XPS to characterize a wide variety of nanostructured materials. Many of them have focused on surface films or nanolayers, while others have focused on nanoparticles of various types. A few examples highlight the role of XPS in some studies. Other applications are simply listed to show the range of research areas where XPS and AES have been used.

4.2.1 Surface functionalization and product formation - Perhaps the most common uses of XPS for characterization of nanostructured materials involve confirmation of the reactions expected to occur during synthesis or the presence of functional groups on a surface. These types of experiments take advantage of the surface sensitivity and chemical-state information available from XPS. For confirmation of the addition of a type of surface species or confirmation of product formation only a simple analysis may be required. These are sometimes highly important experiments that involve relatively straightforward XPS measurements.

For example, in a set of clever experiments by Lim and co workers [29], D and L cysteines were attached to the surfaces of Au nanoparticles and used to regulate interparticle chiral recognition. For these studies, XPS

© ISO 2011 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 14187:2011