PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

BSI Standards Publication

Space systems — Space debris mitigation design and operation manual for spacecraft

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of ISO/TR 18146:2020. It supersedes PD ISO/TR 18146:2015, which is withdrawn.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee ACE/68, Space systems and operations.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2020
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2020

ISBN 978 0 539 13126 0

ICS 49.140

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 October 2020.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/TR 18146

Second edition
2020-10

Space systems — Space debris mitigation design and operation manual for spacecraft

Systèmes spatiaux — Conception de réduction des débris spatiaux et manuel d'utilisation pour les engins spatiaux

Reference number
ISO/TR 18146:2020(E)

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

© ISO 2020

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2020

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

Contents

Page

Foreword ... **v**
Introduction ... **vi**
1 Scope ... **1**
2 Normative reference ... **1**
3 Terms and definitions ... **1**
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms ... **1**
5 System-level activities ... **2**
5.1 General ... 2
5.2 Design for limiting the release of objects ... 3
5.2.1 Intents of requirements in ISO 24113:2019[1] ... 3
5.2.2 Work breakdown ... 3
5.2.3 Identification of released objects and design measures ... 4
5.2.4 Design measures ... 5
5.2.5 Monitoring during operation ... 5
5.2.6 Preventing failure ... 5
5.3 Prevention of break-up ... 5
5.3.1 General ... 5
5.3.2 Break-up caused by intentional behaviour, or stored energy ... 6
5.3.3 Break-up caused by a collision with catalogued objects ... 7
5.3.4 Break-up caused by the impact of debris or meteoroid ... 11
5.4 Disposal after the end of mission to minimize interference with the protected regions ... 14
5.4.1 Intents of requirements in ISO 24113:2019[1] ... 14
5.4.2 Work breakdown ... 15
5.4.3 Procedure for determination of mission extension or termination ... 17
5.4.4 Disposal plan ... 19
5.4.5 Estimation of the orbital lifetime ... 20
5.4.6 Design of the function to remove spacecraft from the protected regions ... 20
5.4.7 Assurance of resources for disposal manoeuvre ... 21
5.4.8 Reliability of disposal function up to the design life ... 21
5.4.9 Useful life limited items ... 22
5.4.10 Health assessment procedure and contingency planning ... 22
5.4.11 Design the monitoring system to monitor the critical parameters ... 23
5.4.12 Assessment of the risk of debris impact ... 24
5.4.13 Operational remediations ... 24
5.4.14 Decision-making to extend or terminate the mission ... 25
5.4.15 Disposal ... 25
5.4.16 Registration of objects launched into outer space complying with the UN treaty ... 25
5.4.17 Specific subjects for GEO mission ... 26
5.4.18 Specific subjects for LEO mission ... 26
5.4.19 High elliptical orbit mission ... 26
5.5 Ground safety from re-entering objects ... 26
5.5.1 Intents of requirements in ISO 24113:2019[1] ... 26
5.5.2 Work breakdown ... 26
5.5.3 Identification of requirements ... 27
5.5.4 Hazards analysis ... 27
5.5.5 Design measures ... 28
5.5.6 Specific design for controlled re-entry in subsystem level ... 29
5.5.7 Notification ... 29
5.5.8 Conduct controlled re-entry and monitoring ... 29
5.6 Quality and reliability assurance ... 29
6 Debris-related work in the development cycle ... **30**

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

6.1 General 30
6.2 Concept of debris-related work in phased planning 30
6.3 Mission analysis phase (phase 0 or pre-phase A) 34
6.3.1 General 34
6.3.2 Debris-related work 34
6.4 Feasibility phase (phase A) 35
6.5 Definition phase (phase B) 35
6.5.1 Work in phase B 35
6.5.2 Work procedure 35
6.6 Development phase (phase C) 36
6.6.1 Work in phase C 36
6.6.2 Conditions 37
6.7 Production phase (phase D) 38
6.7.1 Work in phase D 38
6.7.2 Qualification review 38
6.8 Utilization phase (phase E) 38
6.8.1 Launch preparation 38
6.8.2 Lift-off time 39
6.8.3 Initial operation 39
6.8.4 Normal operation 39
6.8.5 Decision to terminate or extension of operations 40
6.9 Disposal phase (phase F) 40

7 System-level information 41
7.1 Mission design 41
7.2 Mass allocation 41
7.3 Propellant allocation 42
7.4 Power allocation 42

8 Subsystem/component design and operation 42
8.1 General 42
8.2 Debris-mitigation measures and subsystem-level actions for realizing them 42
8.3 Propulsion subsystem 44
8.3.1 General 44
8.3.2 Debris-related design 44
8.3.3 Information of propulsion subsystems 44
8.3.4 Information in component design 46
8.4 Attitude and orbit control subsystem 48
8.4.1 Debris-related designs 48
8.4.2 Information of AOCS 48
8.4.3 Information of component design 49
8.5 Power-supply subsystem 49
8.5.1 Debris-related designs 49
8.5.2 Information of power-supply subsystems 50
8.5.3 Information of component design 51
8.6 TT&C subsystem 52
8.6.1 Debris-related designs 52
8.6.2 Information of TT&C subsystems 52
8.6.3 Information of component design 53
8.7 Structural subsystem 53
8.7.1 Debris-related design 53
8.7.2 Information of structural subsystems 53
8.8 Thermal-control subsystem 54
8.8.1 Debris-related design 54
8.8.2 Information of thermal-control subsystem 54

Bibliography 55

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 20, *Aircraft and space vehicles*, Subcommittee SC 14, *Space systems and operations*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO/TR 18146:2015), which has been technically revised.

The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

— text has been updated to be aligned with ISO 24113:2019[1];

— information has been added that the ejection of slag debris from solid rocket motors is limited newly in low Earth orbit in addition to GEO previously;

— information relating to collision avoidance against catalogued space objects has been improved;

— information of the intention of the new requirement avoiding fragmentation caused by impact of space debris and meteoroid, and typical assessment procedure in the world space agencies has been added;

— corresponding to the new requirement limiting the total probability of successful disposal to be at least 0,9, the state of the art to confirm the compliance with that taken in the world space industries and national agencies has been added;

— other information relating to the changes in ISO 24113 has been added.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

Introduction

Coping with debris is essential to preventing the deterioration of the orbital environment and ensuring the sustainability of space activities. Effective actions are also taken to ensure the safety of those on the ground from re-entering objects that were disposed of from low-Earth orbit.

Recently, the orbital environment has become so deteriorated by debris that action is taken to prevent damage due to the impact. Collision avoidance manoeuvres are taken to avoid large debris (larger than 10 cm, for example), which can be observed from the ground. Spacecraft design protects against micro-debris (even smaller than 1 mm) that can cause critical damage to vulnerable components.

ISO 24113:2019[1] and other ISO documents, introduced in Bibliography, were developed to encourage debris mitigation activities.

In Clause 5, the major space debris mitigation requirements are informed.

In Clause 6, the information of life-cycle implementation of space debris mitigation related activities is provided.

In Clause 7, the system level aspects stemming from the space debris mitigation requirements are highlighted; while in Clause 8, the impacts at subsystem and component levels are detailed.

This document provides comprehensive information on what ISO requires to do for the design and operation of the launch vehicles, and where such requirements and recommendations are registered in a set of ISO documents.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

Space systems — Space debris mitigation design and operation manual for spacecraft

1 Scope

This document contains information on the design and operational practices for launch vehicle orbital stages for mitigating space debris.

This document provides information to engineers on what are required or recommended in the family of space debris mitigation standards to reduce the growth of space debris by ensuring that spacecraft is designed, operated, and disposed of in a manner that prevents them from generating debris throughout their orbital lifetime.

2 Normative reference

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

No terms and definitions are listed in this document.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

A/M	area-to-mass
AOCS	attitude and orbit control system
CDR	critical design review
CFRP	carbon-fibre-reinforced plastic
CNES	Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales
CSpOC	Combined Space Operations Center (USA)
DAS	debris assessment software (NASA)
COTS	commercial off-the-shelf
DRAMA	debris risk assessment and mitigation analysis (ESA)
EOMDP	end-of-mission (operation) disposal plan
ESA	European Space Agency
FDIR	failure detection, isolation and recovery

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

FMEA	failure mode and effect analysis
GEO	geosynchronous Earth orbit
GPSR	global positioning system receiver
IADC	Inter-Agency Space Debris Coordination Committee
IRU	inertial reference unit
LEO	low Earth orbit
MASTER	meteoroid and space debris terrestrial environment reference
MIDAS	MASTER (-based) impact flux and damage assessment software
NOTAM	notice to airmen and notice to mariners
OLI	operation time limited item
ORDEM	orbital debris engineering model
PDR	preliminary design review
PNF	probability of no failures
QA	quality assurance
QR	qualification review
RCS	reaction control system
SDA	Space Data Association
SDR	system definition review
SDMP	space-debris-mitigation plan
STELA	semi-analytic tool for end of life analysis (CNES)
USSTRATCOM	United States strategic command
TLE	two-line element set
TT&C	telemetry tracking and command
UN	United Nations

5 System-level activities

5.1 General

To accomplish comprehensive activities for debris mitigation and protection work, the following steps are considered:

a) Identify debris-related requirements, recommendations and best practices.

b) Determine how to comply with these requirements, recommendations, and best practices.

c) Apply those methods early and throughout development and manufacturing to ensure sound debris mitigation capability in the final product.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

d) Apply appropriate quality assurance and qualification program to ensure compliance with debris mitigation requirements

e) Apply appropriate procedures during operation/utilisation and disposal to implement proper space debris mitigation and protection.

This subclause provides information useful for taking comprehensive action at the system level. More detailed information for action of subsystem and component levels is provided in Clause 6. The following specific subjects are emphasized:

— limiting the release of objects in protected orbital regions;

— preventing fragmentation in orbit (including intentional break-ups, and accidental break-ups caused by collision with trackable objects, impact of tiny debris, and stored energy);

— proper disposal at the end of operation;

— minimization of hazard on the ground from re-entering debris;

— quality, safety and reliability assurance.

5.2 Design for limiting the release of objects

5.2.1 Intents of requirements in ISO 24113:2019[1]

ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1 requires avoiding the intentional release of space debris into Earth orbit during normal operations, including general objects such as fasteners, fragments from pyrotechnics, slag from solid rocket motors, etc.

The following objects are of concern from an orbital debris mitigation standpoint:

a) objects released as directed by mission requirements (not directory indicated in ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.1.1, though);

b) mission-related objects, such as fasteners, apogee motor cases, etc. (ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.1.1);

c) fragments and combustion products from pyrotechnic devices (ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.2.1);

d) slag ejected from solid motors (ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.2.2).

It implies that if objects are unavoidably released despite requirements in ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.1.1, the orbital lifetime of such objects in LEO and interference with GEO is limited as described in ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.1.3.

5.2.2 Work breakdown

Table 1 shows the work breakdown for the actions required to prevent the releasing of debris.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

Table 1 — Work breakdown for preventing the release of objects

Process	Subjects	Major work
Preventive measures	Identification of released objects and design measures	a) In the mission, which releases objects required by mission objectives, the effect on the orbital environment and the expected benefit for the mission will be assessed." b) Take preventive design to avoid releasing objects turning into space debris (ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1). c) If objects might be released unintentionally, designers will investigate design problems and take appropriate action during design phase (e.g. insulators). d) If release is unavoidable, designers will estimate the orbital lifetime of released objects and check compliance with ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.1.3. e) When applying the solid motors, the possible generation of slag and its risk posed to space activities will be assessed.
Risk detection	Monitoring during operation	a) Confirm that the orbiting characteristics of released parts are as estimated, if needed. b) If an unexpected object is detected, the origin of the objects will be confirmed.
Countermeasures	Preventive measures	If an object is released unexpectedly, it will be investigated, and appropriate action will be taken to avoid repeating the release in the following missions.

5.2.3 Identification of released objects and design measures

Identify the parts designed is released, estimate their orbital lifetimes, and determine the propriety of their release.

a) Mission requirements that require dispersing objects

Assess the effects of proposed mission requirements on the environment. If the proposed mission may deteriorate the environment more than justified by its benefit, system engineering may suggest alternative approaches.

Examples are:

1) The experiment called "WESTFORD NEEDLES," conducted in *1961 and* 1963, scattered 480 million needles in orbit. More than 100 clumps of needles have been registered and many of them are still in orbit. NASA, JSC, Orbital Debris Quarterly News, Volume 17[2] reported that t*he legacy of Project West Ford can still be found in international policies, including the first major United Nations accord on activities in outer space that calls for international consultations before undertaking an experiment which might cause "potentially harmful interference with activities of other State Parties in the peaceful exploration and use of outer space.*

2) Missions that conduct intentional fragmentation (one of the major causes of deterioration of the orbital environment).

b) Mission-related objects

Release of the following objects are avoided by appropriate mission and spacecraft design (ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.1):

1) fasteners for deploying and holding devices for panels or antennas;

2) nozzle closures and igniters of solid motors;

3) clamp bands that tie spacecraft and launch vehicles (usually as launch vehicle components);

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved
Documents Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

NOTE The structural elements which support upper spacecraft used in the multi-payloads launching missions can be released due to their unavoidability. Disposal orbit of these elements are complied with ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.1.2. (These elements usually belong to the launch vehicle, not the spacecraft.)

c) Fragments and combustion products from pyrotechnic devices

Devices are selected and/or designed to avoid the production and release of the fragments of parts or the combustion by-products. Employing vehicle components that trap all fragments and combustion products inside for segregation (ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.2.1).

d) Combustion products from solid motors

Solid motors are designed not to generate slag in both GEO and LEO protected regions (higher than the manned orbit [≒approximately 400 km]). (ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.1.2.2)

5.2.4 Design measures

In general, only devices that do not release parts into the space environment are selected.

CSpOC sometimes detects released cases of the apogee kick motors. The solid motors are not used for the apogee kick motors if they generate slag. Furthermore, it is refrained from disposing the motor cases into the orbit crossing the GEO protected region.

If parts would be released due to unavoidable reasons, the orbital lifetime of the parts and the risk of impact on another spacecraft are assessed. The orbital lifetime can be assessed according to ISO 27852:2016[3]. ISO 27852:2016[3] does not designate a specific analysis tool but rather expects that the users employ their reliable techniques depending upon orbit regime, so that designers can select any tool(s) which adhere to ISO 27852:2016[3] approved techniques. Available simplified tools that can be used to estimate the long term orbital lifetime are, for instance: NASA DAS (https://orbitaldebris.jsc.nasa.gov/mitigation/debris-assessment-software.html), ESA DRAMA (after creating an account at https://sdup.esoc.esa.int/ one can obtain a license before downloading), or CNES STELA (https://logiciels.cnes.fr/content/stela?language=en).

5.2.5 Monitoring during operation

The released objects, if they are larger than 10 cm, are confirmed with ground-based space tracking facilities to ensure that they released as expected and that their orbital lifetimes are sufficiently short. The space situation report provided by the CSpOC provides a good reference.

5.2.6 Preventing failure

If objects are released unexpectedly, the origin of the objects may be identified to help prevent recurrence in future missions. Because such phenomena may indicate a malfunction, the situation is reviewed carefully, and appropriate action taken to prevent further abnormal conditions.

5.3 Prevention of break-up

5.3.1 General

ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.2 requires the prevention of break-ups caused by intentional behaviour, stored energy, collision with catalogued objects, and impact of debris or meteoroid. In 5.3.2, the first two subjects are discussed. The collision with catalogued objects is addressed in 5.3.3, and the impact of debris and meteoroid in 5.3.4.

ISO 16127:2014[4] provides more detailed requirements and procedures for complying with them.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

5.3.2 Break-up caused by intentional behaviour, or stored energy

5.3.2.1 Work breakdown for preventing orbital break-up caused by stored energy

Table 2 shows the work breakdown for preventing orbital break-up caused by stored energy.

Table 2 — Work breakdown for preventing orbital break-ups caused by stored energy

Process	Subjects	Major work
Preventive measures	Mission assessment	Mission which involves the intentional break-up will be assessed to justify its intention is essential for peaceful use of space, and its effect on the environment can be controllable.
	Identification of sources of breakup	Identify components that may cause fragmentation during or after operation.
	Design measures	a) Missions that involve intentional break-ups are not designed. b) Take preventive design to limit the probability of accidental break-up. Confirm it in FMEA. c) Provide functions for to prevent break-ups after disposal.
Risk detection	Monitoring during operation	a) Provide functions to monitor symptoms of break-up. b) Monitor the critical parameters periodically. c) Take immediate actions if the symptom of a malfunction that can lead to a breakup is detected.
Countermeasures	Preventive measures for break-up	Perform the disposal operations to eliminate the risk of break-ups.

5.3.2.2 Identification of the sources of break-up

For post-operation break-ups, ISO 16127:2014[4] identifies the following components as the most likely causes of the break-up of spacecraft:

a) batteries in the electrical subsystem;

b) propulsion mechanisms and associated components (such as engines, thrusters, etc.);

c) pressurized components (such as tanks or bottles in the propulsion subsystems, or pneumatic control system, and heat pipes);

d) rotating mechanisms.

5.3.2.3 Design measures

a) Intentional break-up

Missions that involve intentional break-ups are prohibited if the fragments would be ejected outer space. This includes attacks from the ground or airplane as well as self-destruction in orbit. For the case that there would be justification to conduct intentional destruction to improve ground safety, IADC *Space Debris Mitigation Guidelines*[5] state that it is conducted at sufficiently low altitudes so that orbital fragments are short-lived.

b) Accidental break-up during operation

According to ISO 24113:2019[1], *the probability of accidental break-up is no greater than 10^{-3} until its end of life.* The causes of break-ups are identified in FMEA, and preventive measures are incorporated in the design. Causes of break-ups are typically controlled by FDIR concept in system-safety

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved
AVA Documents Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

management. More detailed assessment procedures are presented in ISO 16127:2014[4], Annex A. For engineers wondering how to cope with rotating mechanism or complicated subsystems such as apogee engines, ISO 16127:2014[4], Annex A provides good instruction.

Note that quality and reliability management are emphasized, as well as design for debris mitigation.

c) Break-ups that occur after the end of operation

Many break-ups have occurred long after the end of operation life (e.g. 10 years after disposal). ISO 24113:2019[1] and ISO 16127:2014[4] require detailed concepts and procedures for preventing these break-ups. The key points are to provide venting mechanisms for residual fluids and shut-off functions for charging lines for battery-cells, etc. Historically, for example, separating propellant tank design combined fuel and oxygen tanks only by a common bulkhead in a way caused many explosions.

5.3.2.4 Monitoring during operations

ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.2.2.5 and ISO 16127:2014[4], 4.3.1 requires monitoring of critical parameters to detect the symptoms that can lead to a) break-up, b) loss of mission capability, or c) the loss of orbit and attitude control function, and requires immediate action when any symptoms are detected.

To prevent the occurrence of a break-up, a detection mechanism and operation procedures are designed to monitor and facilitate immediate mitigation once any possible detection of malfunction is observed to prevent break-ups.

5.3.2.5 Disposal operations

Sources of break-ups listed in 5.3.2.2 are mitigated (vented or operated in safe mode) according to ISO 16127:2014[4], 4.4.

5.3.3 Break-up caused by a collision with catalogued objects

5.3.3.1 Intents or requirements in ISO 24113:2019[1]

ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.2.3.1 to 6.2.3.3 require collision avoidance to prevent from generating fragments. (Fragmentation caused by impact with orbital objects is mentioned in ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.2.3.4 and explained in 5.3.4.)

Collision with a large object (observable from the ground; typically, larger than approximately 10 cm) causes critical damage to spacecraft and poses great risk to other intact spacecraft when thousands of fragments are dispersed within a range of a thousand of kilometres. Therefore, the UN Space Debris Mitigation Guidelines[6] recommend conducting the collision avoidance. ISO/TR 16158:2019[7] addresses best practices to evaluate and avoid collisions among orbital objects.

NOTE To conduct collision avoidance, space operators need a propulsion system (such as actuators in AOCS), technology for conjunction assessment, and the capability to conduct avoidance and returning manoeuvres. Each operator defines its philosophy, policy, and strategy for collision avoidance. The philosophy for collision avoidance, including the following, is described in the system specification to avoid the risk of insufficient propellant or manoeuvre function when needed.

a) a basic concept for collision avoidance (determination of allowable criteria for collision probability, apply functions in design to avoid collision, prepare propellant for avoidance manoeuvre, etc.);

b) collision detection measures (including self-analysis, or analysis performed by external collision service providers at present they are, for example CSpOC, the Space Data Association, etc.) https://www.space-data.org/sda/;

c) criteria for notification (conjunction distance, probability of collision, etc.);

d) criteria for conducting avoidance manoeuvres (conjunction distance, features of approaching objects, etc.);

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

AVA Documents Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

e) method of estimating the number of manoeuvres, amount of propellant for avoidance and returning manoeuvres, and how to ensure the propellant;

f) a sequence for avoidance and returning manoeuvre (methods of avoidance, concepts for avoidance by altitude change or phase shift);

g) how to access contact points to plan coordinated avoidance manoeuvres, data exchanging rules, etc.

5.3.3.2 General information

ISO/TR 16158:2019[7] describes the workflow for perceiving and avoiding collisions among orbiting objects, the data requirements for these tasks, the techniques that can be used to estimate the probability of collision, and guidance for executing avoidance manoeuvres.

5.3.3.3 Work breakdown

Table 3 shows the work breakdown for avoiding collisions with catalogued objects.

Table 3 — Work breakdown for avoiding collision with catalogued objects

Process	Subjects	Major work
Preventive measures	Estimation of probability	Estimate collision probability by debris population models.
	Design measures	a) If the collision probability cannot be ignored, the function to avoid collision is incorporated in design. b) Define the criteria of decision-making for avoidance and estimate the expected number of collision avoidance manoeuvres during mission operations. It will be reflected in the design of the mass of propellant.
	Standardize the procedures	The criteria of collision avoidance and the standard procedure for collision avoidance is documented.
Risk detection	Receipt of warning from the collision avoidance services	a) If warning of close approach comes from USSTRATCOM/CSpOC, check the conjunction risk and identify the approaching object in detail. Reconfirm that the up-to-date, authoritative orbit ephemerides are provided to CSpOC for re-analysis. b) Operators can also use commercial services (e.g. the Space Data Association's conjunction assessment process) or one provided by other agencies. c) Determine the necessity of collision avoidance based on the result of re-analysis conducted by collision avoidance service and, if possible, by internal analysis.
	Internal detection of risk	If the operators have their own observation data and conjunction analysis systems, they may be capable of performing their own analysis.
Counter-measures	Avoidance and returning manoeuvres	a) Decide to conduct avoidance manoeuvres, if necessary. b) Ahead of time, develop an avoidance manoeuvre plan (include return plan, if needed). c) Communicate avoidance manoeuvre plan to collision avoidance service and if any to the operator of the approaching spacecraft. d) Develop the avoidance manoeuvre plan (include returning manoeuvre, if needed) coordinating with them. e) Confirm conjunction probability during avoidance and returning manoeuvres. f) Execute avoidance and returning manoeuvres.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

5.3.3.4 Estimation of collision probability

Collision probability can be roughly estimated using the following databases and models:

a) information on in-orbit objects from the "Space-Track" website posted by the United States (https://www.space-track.org/auth/login);

b) ESA-MASTER provides statistical debris population (an account at; https://www.esa.int/ESA_Multimedia/Images/2013/04/ESA_s_MASTER_software_tool);

c) NASA-ORDEM provides statistical debris population; the point of contact can be known from the user's guide available at: https://www.orbitaldebris.jsc.nasa.gov/modeling/engrmodeling.html;

d) ESA-DRAMA has dedicated routines based on MASTER to assess statistically the number of expected collision / avoidance manoeuvres (an account at https://sdup.esoc.esa.int/).

NOTE The expected number of avoidance manoeuvres during operational life can be estimated from the probability of conjunction with the allowable distance of conjunction or allowable probability of collision.

The procedure to determine the probability is described in ISO/TR 16158:2019[7], Clause 8.

5.3.3.5 Design measures

If the probability cannot be ignored, considering mission importance and the impact of collision on orbital environment, the decision is made to incorporate the function of collision avoidance in design.

The criteria of decision-making for avoidance is defined, and the expected number of collision avoidance manoeuvres during mission operations is defined. They will be reflected in the design of the mass of propellant.

If the spacecraft has an enough orbit and attitude control function, the practice of collision avoidance manoeuvres would be possible without any design changes. If high-risk conjunction events are identified early enough using actionable data, a timely manoeuvre can be conducted such that propellant required for collision avoidance is minimized, and it would not affect the planned mission operation.

5.3.3.6 Procedures for collision avoidance

The criteria of collision avoidance and the standard procedure for collision avoidance are documented. It will include

a) criteria of warning for conjunction;

b) criteria to conduct re-analysis with up-to-dated authoritative orbit ephemerides;

c) criteria to decide the collision manoeuvre;

d) standard collision manoeuvre planning.

Procedures will be facilitated timely avoidance manoeuvres.

5.3.3.7 Detection of risk

5.3.3.7.1 Receipt of warning from the collision avoidance services

The CSpOC provides ready access to a conjunction warning service. When conjunctions involve actively-manoeuvring satellites (particularly in GEO), an approach such as the SDA's, which incorporates authoritative operator data (planned manoeuvres, momentum dumps, high-fidelity 3 degrees of freedom and 6 degrees of freedom attitude and orbit propagation, and active transponder ranging across the orbital arc) is more actionable and credible. Both CSpOC and SDA sides recommend applying both services in a complementary fashion.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

When notified of an upcoming close approach, current orbital characteristics from operational data, including potential avoidance manoeuvre(s), are submitted for re-analysis.

ISO/TR 16158:2019[7], Clause 12 specifies the minimum content of warning information.

5.3.3.7.2 Internal conjunction analysis

To determine the orbital characteristics for an operator's own satellites, see the procedures defined in ISO/TR 11233:2014.

If the operators have their own observation data and conjunction analysis systems, they may be able to perform their own analysis.

NOTE Orbital data for other satellites and debris can be obtained via public sources (e.g. CSpOC's TLEs and Conjunction Data Messages) or the services providers such as the SDA which aggregates actionable operator ephemerides to provide the most actionable and timely analyses for operator-on-operator spacecraft conjunctions.

5.3.3.8 Avoidance and return manoeuvres

5.3.3.8.1 Determine if avoidance manoeuvres are necessary

Operators specify criteria for conjunction warnings and decide how to conduct avoidance manoeuvres. These criteria will affect the consumption of the propellant for avoidance manoeuvres through its operation life. ISO/TR 16158:2019[7], Clause 9 lists points that are considered when determining avoidance manoeuvres.

Operators will also estimate the impact of avoidance manoeuvres on mission operations, and if the effect can't be ignored it is better to warn the mission users of the effects.

5.3.3.8.2 Communication with the collision avoidance service

Operators will communicate with the collision avoidance service, send up-to-dated orbital data (ephemeris data, etc.) obtained through spacecraft operation, and request re-analysis for final decision. Also, the risk of collision during avoidance and returning manoeuvre will be assessed on the process to develop avoidance plan.

5.3.3.8.3 Communication with the operator of the approaching spacecraft

In parallel with developing an avoidance manoeuvre plan, operators confirm, for the approaching object, the following:

a) the owner of the approaching object and the owner's contact information;

b) the operational status (under operation or disposed of) of the objects and the manoeuvrability of the objects;

c) the feasibility of coordinated mutual avoidance manoeuvres;

d) a manoeuvre plan for preventing collision during avoidance manoeuvres due to lack of coordination.

NOTE Officially, operation statuses are identified by the UN database (http://www.unoosa.org/oosa/osoindex/search-ng.jspx?lf_id=).

5.3.3.8.4 Collision-avoidance plan

ISO/TR 16158:2019[7], Clause 12 addresses the development of an avoidance plan. This avoidance manoeuvre plan includes a return manoeuvre plan. It is to determine conjunction probability during

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

avoidance and returning manoeuvres, the effects of avoidance on mission operation, and a compensation plan for any damage caused to other spacecraft.

NOTE A variety of methods and services exist for detecting and monitoring upcoming conjunction events. But in any cases, methods which yield the most timely and actionable reports are most preferable, since significant propellant savings and collision risk mitigation can be achieved using such timely and actionable services.

5.3.4 Break-up caused by the impact of debris or meteoroid

5.3.4.1 Contents of requirements in ISO 24113:2019[1]

ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.2.3.4 requires assessment for the risk that a space debris or meteoroid impact will cause the spacecraft to break-up before its end of life.

Also, ISO 24113:2019[1], 6.3.1.2 requires assessing the risk that a space debris or meteoroid impact will prevent the successful disposal". See 5.4.12.

5.3.4.2 Concept of the requirements

The term of "break-up" is defined in ISO 24113:2019[1], 3.2 as an "event that completely or partially destroys an object and generates space debris". In such meaning, the requirement in ISO24113:2019[1], 6.2.3.4 looks to require assessing the probability that even a millimetre-size debris impact on every part of spacecraft, since most the elements of spacecraft can't help to generate a fragment if a small debris or meteoroid impact on the spacecraft. However, this requirement would not pose so strict requirement. The intention of the requirement of ISO24113:2019[1], 6.2.3.4 is to assess the risk of the following two catastrophic fragmentations.

a) Fragmentation of spacecraft or its large deployment devices

   At the early phase of development of spacecraft and its large elements (e.g. conceptual design phase), when the operation orbit, cross-sectional area, etc. of spacecraft would be defined, the probability of fragmentation due to the kinetic energy of impact of debris or meteoroid is assessed to compare the different solutions to improve design against impacts. For this level of analysis, a relatively crude EMR (energy-to-mass ratio) threshold is often applied in the calculation.

b) Fragmentation of high energy storage equipment

   The probability of fragmentation of a high energy storage equipment item, such as a propellant tank or high-pressure vessel, due to the impact of debris or meteoroid is assessed to evaluate the needs to relocate behind the rigid element, or to protect by shielding.

5.3.4.3 General information

There is no ISO standard defining the detailed procedure to avoid the fragmentation due to the impact of debris.

ISO 16126:2014[8] defines requirements and a procedure for assessing the survivability of an unmanned spacecraft against space debris and meteoroid impacts to ensure the survival of critical components required for performing post-mission disposal, and it is not corresponding to the catastrophic fragmentations. However, as far as assessment for the energy storage vessels is concerned, since they are critical both to conducting disposal actions and to causing catastrophic fragmentation, both assessments will be done corporately for better efficiency.

ISO 14200:2012[9] provides with guidance in the selection and use of a debris flux model for the assessment of impact survivability of a spacecraft in its planned operational orbit. Several models for space debris exist, sometimes with different predictions of debris fluxes versus size distributions. Since each model will be revised every several years, the designers need to confirm the latest revision of those models.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020

IADC provides the "IADC Protection Manual"[10] and "Spacecraft Component Vulnerability for Space Debris Impact"[11].

ESA provides ESSB-HB-U-002[12] "ESA Space Debris Mitigation Compliance Verification Guidelines".

5.3.4.4 Work breakdown

Table 4 shows the work breakdown for protection design against debris and meteoroid.

Table 4 — Work breakdown for avoiding fragmentation caused by impact of orbital objects

Process	Subjects	Major work
Preventive measures	Definition of requirements	a) Minimize the risk of system-level fragmentation. b) Minimize the risk of break-up of large element. c) Minimize the risk of fragmentation of high energy storage equipment d) Minimize the risk of loss of function for disposal actions. Define the EMR for a) and b) above. Define the requirement for impact survivability, as a form of PNF for c) and d) above.
	Assessment of the risk	a) Calculate the threshold of debris size corresponding to EMR on the condition of mass, orbit characteristics of spacecraft, A/M of debris. And assess the probability of impact. b) Same as above using physical characteristic of large elements and their location. c) For component analysis. 1) Identify the vulnerable components that are potentially damaged by impact, with confirming spacecraft orbital parameters and design architecture. 2) Identify existing ballistic limit for the surfaces of vulnerable components. 3) Analyse failure probability with adequate impact risk analysis codes, and the environment models. 4) Estimate impact-induced probability of no perforation and confirm is greater than requirements.
	Design improvement	a) Improve the configuration in the conceptual design level. b) Improve the basic design of the large elements. c) For elements that require protective design, apply proper countermeasures, i.e. re-allocation behind the hard elements, adding redundant elements, putting shielding in front of vulnerable components, and other measures.

5.3.4.5 System-level fragmentation

This analysis is conducted in early phase in the development lifecycle when the operation orbit, system concept, shape and size has not been fixed. In such concept design phase, the crowded orbital region may be avoided, the shape and area may be tuned for less probability of impact, the member of structures element may be reinforced, etc.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 18146:2020