PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

BSI Standards Publication

Service activities relating to drinking water supply, wastewater and stormwater systems — Examples of good practices for stormwater management

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of ISO/TR 24539:2021.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee CB/503/-/2, Urban storm water management.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

This publication is not to be regarded as a British Standard.

© The British Standards Institution 2021
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2021

ISBN 978 0 539 05544 3

ICS 03.080.30; 13.060.01; 93.025

Compliance with a Published Document cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 May 2021.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/TR 24539

First edition
2021-04-20

Service activities relating to drinking water supply, wastewater and stormwater systems — Examples of good practices for stormwater management

Reference number
ISO/TR 24539:2021(E)

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

© ISO 2021

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2021, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Contents

Page

Foreword ..... **iv**
Introduction ..... **v**
1 Scope **1**
2 Normative references **1**
3 Terms and definitions **1**
4 Format and content of the examples provided in this document **1**
Annex A (informative) **Examples of stormwater management** **2**
Annex B (informative) **Related documents** **75**
Bibliography **82**

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 224, *Service activities relating to drinking water supply, wastewater and stormwater systems*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Introduction

The objectives of stormwater management systems include effective control and management of flows; protection of water quality; preservation of water quantity; protection of the built, public and natural environments; water conservation and reuse; protection or enhancement of ecosystem health; protection or enhancement of public health, safety and welfare; protection or enhancement of social values; and facilitation of sustainable development and climate adaptation.

"Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report: Summary for Policymakers, 2014, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change" gives us the warning that many global risks of climate change are concentrated in urban areas. It indicates that risks are amplified for those lacking essential infrastructure and services or living in poor-quality housing and exposed areas. The key risks, all of which are identified with high confidence, include those of severe ill-health and disrupted livelihoods for urban populations due to flooding from a range of sources including pluvial, fluvial, storm surges and coastal flooding.

Pursuant to the "World Urbanization Prospects: The 2011 Revision, 2011, United Nations", the world urban population is expected to increase by 72 per cent by 2050, from 3,6 billion in 2011 to 6,3 billion in 2050. i.e. the same size as the world's total population was in 2002. Virtually all of the expected growth in the world population will be concentrated in the urban areas of the less developed regions, which are deemed to be vulnerable to flooding. The report states that flooding is the most frequent and greatest hazard for the 633 largest cities or urban agglomerations analysed. Mud slides are often associated with severe weather conditions and flooding, particularly in rural areas and commonly will impact rural villages and small towns, or their associated transportation infrastructures.

Thus, climate change and urbanization with rapid growth in population in cities and surrounding areas are most likely to increase flooding and the risks associated with stormwater worldwide. Serious challenges for stormwater management are posed for an increasing number of stormwater utilities, which are responsible for the control of pluvial flooding that is caused by rainwater entering and surcharging stormwater systems or remaining on surfaces and flowing overland or into local depressions and topographic lows to create temporary ponds.

The immediate impacts of urban flooding can include loss of human life, damage to property, disruption of traffic and other services and deteriorations of limited freshwater resources, water ecosystems and hygienic living conditions. Effective stormwater management systems can enhance the resilience of communities by reducing the likelihood and severity of pluvial, fluvial and coastal flooding.

Planning methods for stormwater systems have been established in most developed countries but they do not always apply directly to other countries with different conditions. In order to help deliver the best solution to the targeted area, the framework and planning processes should be standardised, within a local institutional and regulatory context.

Urban stormwater management is usually the responsibility of municipal water and wastewater service providers. However, in some countries the urban stormwater system management is performed by separate entities especially established for this purpose. Sometimes these services are not financially supported from the municipal water and wastewater revenues but from stormwater levies applied to flood vulnerable properties concerned and created for that purpose or a local governing authority.

While it is largely and historically true that urban stormwater management has been the responsibility of municipal wastewater authorities, it is increasingly recognized that stormwater management may be best or additionally served through collaboration with other relevant stakeholders such as Forestry Commissions (for forested hill and mountain sides), Agricultural Commissions for upstream farming properties, river authorities or Port Commissions for the management of tidal surges on both marine and freshwater bodies or local governing authorities.

This document compiles examples of good practices in stormwater management.

These examples illustrate a wide range of measures including both asset and non-asset-related measures for various objectives relating to stormwater management.

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

This page deliberately left blank

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Service activities relating to drinking water supply, wastewater and stormwater systems — Examples of good practices for stormwater management

1 Scope

This document provides examples of good practices in stormwater management related to ISO 24536 and information on standards and guidelines used in various countries.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

No terms and definitions are listed in this document.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

4 Format and content of the examples provided in this document

Examples of stormwater management introduced here are classified by country and are described in Annex A. They are also classified according to the objectives in ISO 24536:2019, Table 1, and are shown in Table A.1. The examples were provided by country representatives and adapted to the format of this document. In addition, although various standards and guidelines are described in Annex B, Table B.1 and Table B.2, they are shown only as a name and a reference URL.

Table 1 illustrates the structure of the examples included in Annex A.

Table 1 — The structure of the examples

Section	Content
Background	Provides background information on the project, such as characteristics of the watershed, social background, issues and tasks.
Purpose	Provides a description of the project objectives, such as improvements to be achieved.
Project outline	Provides a description of the project.
Organization	Provides simply the identity of the organization offering its experience.

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub

Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Annex A
(informative)

Examples of stormwater management

A.1 Introduction

There are many examples of good stormwater management practices that follow the procedures set by the ISO 24536. The examples have been classified according to the stormwater management objectives they were answering to.

Table A.1 — List of examples and their key objectives for stormwater management related to ISO 24536

Sub-clause	Title	Objectives according to ISO 24536								
		Effective control and management of flow volumes	Protection of water quality	Preservation of water quantity	Protection of the built, public and natural environments: infrastructure, property and resources	Water conservation and reuse	Protection or enhancement of ecosystem health	Protection or enhancement of public health, safety and welfare	Protection or enhancement of public social values	Facilitation of sustainable development and climate adaptation
A.2.1	Creation of a wetland in Mount Barker		X		X		X	X	X	
A.2.2	Stormwater harvesting and reuse in Murray Bridge			X		X				
A.3	Austria — Increasing storage capacity and implementing dynamic control in Vienna	X	X		X			X		
A.4.1	Improving sediment control through the implementation of a wetland in Hamilton		X				X		X	X
A.4.2	Planning effective stormwater management measures in Ottawa	X	X		X		X	X	X	
A.5	Denmark — Dynamic control in Kolding	X	X	X	X		X			
A.6.1	Disconnecting stormwater from the combined network in Killingworth and Longbenton, North Tyneside, England	X	X		X		X	X		X

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Sub-clause	Title	Objectives according to ISO 24536								
		Effective control and management of flow volumes	Protection of water quality	Preservation of water quantity	Protection of the built, public and natural environments: infrastructure, property and resources	Water conservation and reuse	Protection or enhancement of ecosystem health	Protection or enhancement of public health, safety and welfare	Protection or enhancement of public social values	Facilitation of sustainable development and climate adaptation
A.6.2	River diversion and stormwater storage in Brunton Park, Gosforth, Newcastle, England	X			X		X	X		X
A.7	France — Real-time control of sewer systems for the reduction of combined sewer overflows in Biarritz	X	X		X					
A.8.1	Implementation of a real-time supervision for stormwater facilities operation and flood risk management in Nagoya	X			X					
A.8.2	Implementation of the stormwater management strategy of Niigata City	X								
A.8.3	X-band radar observation and forecast for stormwater and flood risk management in Osaka City	X			X					
A.8.4	Implementation of a flood risk protection strategy in Tokyo	X			X			X		
A.8.5	Source infiltration promotion in Yokohama	X			X					X
A.8.6	Implementation of the stormwater management strategy in Kitakyushu City	X								X
A.8.7	Implementation of an early flood warning system in Toyama City				X			X		
A.8.8	Stormwater storage tank and reuse in Hiroshima City's new stadium	X				X				X
A.8.9	Flood risk protection scheme in Fukuoka	X								X
A.8.10	CSO reduction and flood prevention in Kyoto	X	X		X				X	X

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

A.2 Australia

A.2.1 Creation of a wetland in Mt Barker

A.2.1.1 Background

A new Environmental Services Centre is planned for Mt Barker adjacent to a wastewater treatment plant, Mt Barker Creek and a high school.

The area has a long history of disturbance being a former abattoir, tannery and now informal council works storage area. Despite this disturbance, Lathan's snipe (an endangered migratory bird from Siberia) and many other bird species have been sighted along the flood plain area.

A.2.1.2 Purpose

As part of planning for the Environmental Services Centre, a wetland and surrounding landscape was built to achieve multiple objectives, including:

— creating habitat for the Lathan's snipe and other birds species;

— becoming a recreational asset with high amenity, providing links to a linear trail along Mt Barker Creek and connecting to the school and depot;

— rehabilitating vegetation and creating a seed bank for local provenance plants in a range of ecosystems;

— providing stormwater treatment for runoff generated by the new depot and car park;

— providing opportunities for education and awareness programs, both for the school and for a planned community environmental centre servicing community groups, schools and the general public.

A design response was developed that addressed these criteria and was thoroughly tested during consultation with Birds SA, council, community groups and specialist ecologists.

The design also provides a range of ecological habitats for vegetation and bird species, encourages visitors yet provides secluded areas where birds will not be disturbed.

A.2.1.3 Outline

This project was developed to rehabilitate a degraded area on the banks of Mt Barker Creek as part of a planned council depot construction. The central component of the project is a constructed wetland which will protect the creek from increased pollutants, provide habitat for rare and endangered bird species and become a recreational node along the Mt Barker Creek linear path.

A broader objective of the project is to rehabilitate a range of ecological habitats surrounding the wetlands, including grasslands, wet and dry woodlands and riparian areas. Much of the impetus for the initiative is to improve habitat for a range of rare and endangered birds and therefore the design involved close consultation with Birds SA.

The design provides a range of ecological habitats for vegetation and bird species yet provides secluded areas where birds will not be disturbed.

Walking paths, viewing decks and a boardwalk encourage people to enjoy the wetland but manage their access and allow a variety of habitats to be created (riparian inundation, open grassland, tussock grassland and wet woodlands).

The 6 000 m^2 wetland was constructed in 2014 and an extensive search was performed to source local provenance plant species to use in the wetlands. A thorough mapping exercise was undertaken during planting to enable the wetland to serve as a seedbank for these rare local species into the future.

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

The wetland also provides best practice stormwater treatment for runoff from the (future) depot thus helping to protect Mt Barker Creek and ultimately the Bremer River from urban stormwater pollutants (see Figure A.1).

Figure A.1 — Future plan of Mt Barker Environment Services Centre wetlands

A.2.1.4 Organization

Mount Barker District Council

A.2.2 Stormwater harvesting and reuse in Murray Bridge

A.2.2.1 Background

Murray Bridge, South Australia, is situated on the banks of the River Murray and is one of the larger regional centres at the lower end of the Murray Darling Basin and an important hub for regional industries in the Lower Murrayland and Mallee Regions. Residential and industrial developments are a sign of the city's growing urban populations. Sustaining the city's many open spaces – parks, reserves and sporting facilities – is vital to the region and its local community.

In addition, major subdivisions are planned at the Newbridge (Old Racecourse) site and Gifford Hill, which are proposed to include in excess of 3 000 dwellings, and will constitute a major proportion of the proposed expansion of Murray Bridge over the next 20 years. A challenge for Council is the provision of infrastructure that can appropriately manage the increases in stormwater runoff associated with infill and greenfield developments.

A.2.2.2 Purpose

The Murray Bridge stormwater management and reuse scheme was built to provide an alternative, secure and sustainable source of non-drinking water supply to the Rural City of Murray Bridge. The Scheme harvests stormwater from eight basins and wetlands across Murray Bridge and transports it to a lined lagoon at Gifford Hill for long-term storage. When needed for irrigation, raw stormwater is pumped from the lagoon to the new treatment plant on Old Swanport Road, from which the treated stormwater is transported via distribution pumps and pipelines to the city's irrigation system.

The scheme was delivered by the Rural City of Murray Bridge, in partnership with the Australian Government and two private contributors. The total budget of $14,23 million was supported through $7,115 million of funding from the Australian Government's National Urban Water and Desalination

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Plan, to match the co-contribution from Council and in-kind works from the Gifford Hill Joint Venture. The scheme was completed on time and within budget, and with an impeccable safety record.

The Australian Government funding agreement required the scheme to decrease reliance on the River Murray and reduce potable water demand by up to 172 ML annually.

A.2.2.3 Outline

A.2.2.3.1 Scheme overview

The Rural City of Murray Bridge (Council) has a current water allocation of 250 million litres/year from the River Murray, and this allocation is subject to restrictions depending on flow conditions in the river. Council's allocation was significantly restricted during the drought years of 2006 through to 2010, dropping as low as 45 million litres/year (18 %) in 2008/09. These restrictions resulted in significant "browning off" and degradation of Council's reserves and sporting fields.

In response to the drought, Council identified stormwater as a valuable, untapped resource that can provide a secure, sustainable and diverse water supply to meet the future needs of the community. A strategy was soon developed for how stormwater can be harvested and reused across Murray Bridge to prevent a repeat of the devastating impacts of the drought, reduce reliance on mains and River Murray water, improve amenity of the many parks and reserves, improve drainage performance and flood protection, and improve water quality.

A.2.2.3.2 Design

In 2014 the Rural City of Murray Bridge entered into an Early Contractor Involvement (ECI) process with construction and design partners to optimize the previous concept design of the scheme. The ECI process was facilitated by the scheme's project manager, with input from the scheme's Technical Advisor.

Due to a delay in the planned housing developments at the Old Racecourse (Newbridge) and Gifford Hill development sites, which were originally proposed to be the source of a significant portion of the harvestable volume of stormwater in the previous concept design, a comprehensive optioneering phase was undertaken to identify alternative harvesting sites that can meet or exceed the yield targets.

Through careful planning, design and management the yield (irrigation demand met) from the eight harvesting sites currently connected to the scheme is expected to be approximately 230 ML annually, based on average rainfall in Murray Bridge. The scheme has also made provision for the connection of future pump stations at the Newbridge (Old Racecourse) and Gifford Hill development sites. Once these pump stations are installed and the developments are completed, the total harvestable volume for the scheme may exceed 700 ML annually.

The ECI process also identified a parcel of vacant land on Old Swanport Rd that was ideally located to become the hub of the scheme (Figure A.2). Located between the existing and Rural Avenue Wetland and the Gifford Hill Lagoon, with existing power supply available from Old Swanport Rd and only a short drive to the Council Depot, the site was ideal for the construction of the new treatment plant.

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021



Figure A.2 — The 'hub' of the scheme; Rural Avenue Wetland, the treatment plant and Gifford Hill Lagoon

A route for the new pipelines along Rural Avenue, Prosperity Grove and Maurice Road was selected to provide a link between the treatment plant and the existing Council irrigation network on Adelaide Road. This route also enabled stormwater to be harvested from the existing Council wetlands and basins using parallel pipelines to reduce construction cost (i.e. one set of pipelines for harvesting, and another set of pipelines for distribution, within the same road reserve).

The planning and design of the scheme included consideration of opportunities for future expansion to include additional harvesting sites, increased demand for treated stormwater, and a possible link to a regional water diversification scheme that links Murray Bridge to the District Council of Mount Barker.

Examples of the "future-proofing" measures that were incorporated into the design include:

— The siting of the 110 ML storage lagoon on land that acts as a buffer to the SE Freeway at Gifford Hill, with surrounding land available for additional storage lagoons in the future (an ultimate storage of 440 ML is envisaged).

— The inclusion of a UV disinfection unit in the Old Swanport Road treatment plant to provide treated stormwater that is fit for purpose under an "unrestricted" irrigation regime. This provides flexibility for Council and third party water users to irrigate public open spaces 24 h a day. Provision was also made in the treatment plant for a second UV disinfection unit to be retrofitted in the future, should higher treatment flow rates be desirable.

— The treatment plant and treated water storage tank have been sized to accommodate Council's peak irrigation demand (night time, typically from 10 pm to 8 am) while also filling the tank shared by two other local organizations. There is further opportunity to operate the treatment plant during non-peak times (day time, 8 am to 10 pm) to service additional Council sites and other water users.

— The harvesting and distribution pipelines were sized to accommodate stormwater flows from the planned housing developments at the Old Racecourse (Newbridge) and Gifford Hill development sites, to enable simultaneous pumping from these sites together with the current harvesting sites. In particular the pipe crossings of the SE Freeway, which were installed using horizontal directional drilling (HDD) techniques, have significant redundancy to accommodate increase flows.

With the Council's unique stormwater drainage system consisting of a series of stormwater basins in localized depressions, it was also important that the harvesting and reuse strategy was able to improve the performance of the drainage system and level of flood protection to public and private infrastructure.

The basins do not naturally drain to the River Murray, but rather they rely on infiltration or pumps to dispose of stormwater. In the past, these basins have not always been able to cope during large rainfall events, resulting in flooding of roadways and in some instances, private property, as represented in

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Figure A.3. Floodplain modelling was therefore used to inform the selection of pump rates and to inform the scheme's control philosophy, to ensure that the improvements to drainage performance and flood protection were maximized.



Figure A.3 — Before and after floodplain maps for golf course basin, 5-year average recurrence interval

The planning and design of the scheme also placed strong emphasis on the future operation and maintenance by Council staff. The scheme has a central Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system located at the Old Swanport Road treatment plant that is connected to all sites via fibre optic cable or mobile phone-based telemetry systems. The SCADA system enables Council staff to remotely monitor the harvesting and distribution infrastructure for the purpose of automated control, using their personal computers and/or tablet devices. In addition, critical alarms are alerted to the operators via an SMS service.

Many of the new pumps utilize variable speed drive functionality to provide operational flexibility, reduce wear on the mechanical components of the system, and to maintain system pressure as required. Under standard operating conditions all harvesting sites will pump simultaneously to the Gifford Hill Lagoon at pre-determined flowrates, in order to maximize the harvestable volume that is generated by small and frequent rainfall events.

In anticipation of and during large rainfall events, Council staff can use the new control system to remotely monitor the water levels in the basins and adjust pump rates and sequencing to manage flood risk in real time. For example, Council will have the flexibility to reduce the pump rate at one site, to enable a higher pump rate to be achieved at another site that is considered to be at greater risk of flooding.

While the scheme has been designed to operate automatically with minimal operator intervention, manual and local modes have also been provided on all equipment to facilitate testing and operation in unusual circumstances

Other notable operation and maintenance provisions include:

— Lifting mechanisms in the treatment plant to enable the safe and practical maintenance of pump sets and filters.

— Two solar powered pump stations that can easily be converted to mains power supply if higher flowrates are required in the future (i.e. after the ultimate development scenario is reached).

— Control manholes with isolation valves at each of the harvesting sites, to provide safe inspection and access of submersible pumps.

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

— The selection of submersible pumps stations with pumps capable of being removed from the pump station without entry in to the pump sump, providing a safe means of pump inspection by the elimination of confined space entry.

— A concrete ramp at the Gifford Hill Lagoon to provide boat access in the event that chlorine dosing is required, or small machine access in the event that the lagoon needs to be cleaned out.

— Provision for portable diesel powered pumps to be fitted at the harvesting sites, to enable basins to be pumped out even if short-term power failure were to occur.

— Design of the treatment plant shed roof to accommodate a future solar array, when battery storage technology is sufficiently advanced.

A.2.2.3.3 Control devices information

The central Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system enables all harvesting sites to pump simultaneously to the Gifford Hill Lagoon at pre-determined flowrates to maximize the harvestable volume generated by small and frequent rainfall events. In anticipation of, and during, large rainfall events, Council staff can remotely monitor the water levels in the basins and adjust pump rates and sequencing to manage flood risk in real time.

A.2.2.3.4 Environmental management

As part of the construction program a project specific Environmental Management Plan (EMP) was developed with site specific criteria. The EMP detailed methodology included procedures for the prevention of contaminated water entering watercourses and the stormwater system.

Site-specific sections of the EMP detailed how to deal with the discovery and presence of local fauna and flora during the construction phase. Specifically this involved relocation of frogs, yabbies and other fauna to adjacent areas of the site that were not subject to construction works.

Consideration was also given to the discharge of backwash water from the treatment plant. This water is used to flush the treatment plant vessels during operation and is then transferred to a harvesting site for reuse, thereby reducing any disposal or wastage of this water. The selection of an ultraviolet disinfection system for the treatment of the distribution water also has an environmental benefit by eliminating both the storage and use of significant quantities of hazardous chemicals.

In order to minimize the impact of the project to trees and shrubs, the pipeline alignment and construction techniques were developed to focus on trimming of trees in order to reduce the number of trees removed.

A.2.2.3.5 Community and stakeholder engagement

The construction of this project included a dedicated community and stakeholder engagement plan and team. The team liaised with residents and stakeholders through the design and construction process with the following outcomes being achieved.

— The pipe alignment was adjusted to minimize disruption and impacts on businesses and the community in general. An example of this is the realignment of the pipeline along Adelaide Rd. The pipe was realigned to pass through a carpark rather than crossing one of the busiest intersections in Murray Bridge. Local businesses were consulted and the realigned pipe was constructed in without disruption to customer access to the businesses.

— Project introduction letters were distributed by letter drop to the general community, with follow-up communication to affected community members by specific information drops.

— Where the construction works directly impacted on community members, specific one-on-one communication was undertaken.

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

— Due to the important and significant indigenous history at Murray Bridge, the Ngarrindjeri people as the traditional owners of the land were consulted and involved throughout the construction process to ensure that the works caused no damage to items of significance.

— The construction program was developed to take into account concerns of the local community. Examples of this include:

  — Pipe construction along Maurice Rd was completed as night works to minimize the impact to traffic on this busy road.

  — Access to materials and pipe construction in the vicinity of the Racecourse was programmed to avoid disrupting events and training schedules.

  — Construction within the Golf Course involved timing of the works prior to major events and the utilization of track mats to prevent damage to the course during works.

— Extensive consultation was undertaken with state government agencies during the design and approvals phase, including:

  — South Australian Murray-Darling Basin Natural Resources Management Board;

  — Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources;

  — Environmental Protection Authority;

  — Department for Health and Ageing;

  — Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure;

  — Office of the Technical Regulator.

Indigenous participation was also a strong focus of the project, as Murray Bridge and its surrounding region has numerous sites of cultural and spiritual significance of the traditional owners of this land, the Ngarrindjeri people. An important part of the Early Contractor Involvement process was the Council project team's collaboration with the Ngarrindjeri Regional Authority to ensure that the selection of project sites and design of the proposed works was sensitive to the cultural and spiritual significance of these lands to the Ngarrindjeri people.

Council's partnership with the Ngarrindjeri Regional Authority continued through the design and construction stages of the project, involving cultural heritage assessments of the project sites and monitoring of earth disturbing activities to ensure that risks to cultural heritage were appropriately managed (Figure A.4).

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Figure A.4 — Cultural heritage survey at Rural Avenue Wetland

The Ngarrindjeri Regional Authority also produced a video with their staff and Ngarrindjeri elders along with the scheme's project manager to showcase the benefits of forging strong partnerships and mutual respect between project teams and the Ngarrindjeri nation.

A.2.2.4 Organization

Rural City of Murray Bridge

A.3 Austria — Increasing storage capacity and implementing dynamic control in Vienna

A.3.1 Background

The wastewater management in various parts of the 11th district of Vienna (Simmering) is prone to overflow events. The area of Kaiserebersdorf (Figure A.5, orange area) is especially affected. Due to the low altitude of the area, the sewers have a very low gradient and a very low depth of cover. In the case of backwater in the sewer network, the system overflows quickly. Especially where, in Figure A.5, the areas highlighted in black and in orange overlap (circled in pink), there is a relatively abrupt change in terrain. Coming in from areas with higher altitude, the water has gained increased velocity and then reaches a sewer with a grade of less than 0,1 %. During heavy rainfall events, this leads to an outflow of water from the sewer. Due to the existing low depth of cover and the confined space conditions, an expansion of the sewer in this area is difficult or even impossible.

The sewer network in Simmering is influenced directly by the sewer in Liesingtal valley and with parts of the 10th district of Vienna (Figure A.5, area highlighted in green). All wastewater from adjacent areas must be drained via the Simmering sewerage system and the Kaiserebersdorf lifting station, and directed towards the waste water treatment plant (WWTP). Up until the Kledering lifting station (screw pumping station), the water in the sewer network is drained with a separate sewerage system. Downstream from the lifting station, the water is drained with a combined system. In the separate system that feeds the Blumental storage basin, the stormwater sewers are directed into a body of water, the Liesing stream. Downstream from the Blumental basin, wastewater as well as stormwater sewers flow into the Liesing stream collection sewer-relief sewer (LCSR); however, the stormwater sewers have controlled overflow into the Liesing stream. The first place for a relief sewer in the combined system

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

is not until shortly before the main wastewater treatment plant, via the Kaiserebersdorf lifting station and into the Danube Canal (Figure A.5).

This lifting station actually consists of two lifting stations that interact with each other. The so-called "wastewater lifting station" Kaiserebersdorf and the so-called "stormwater lifting station" Kaiserebersdorf. The wastewater lifting station, as of now referred to as Lifting Station Kaiserebersdorf Waste Water, is responsible for lifting the combined wastewater that flows into Kaiserebersdorf towards the inflow of the main treatment plant so that it can be cleaned there.

If the maximum capacity of the wastewater lifting station is exceeded due to heavy rainfall, it is possible to implement gravity drainage into a body of water, namely the Danube Canal, to provide relief. If the Danube Canal floods, relief can be provided by use of the stormwater lifting station (Lifting Station Kaiserebersdorf Storm Water).

The total contiguous drainage area thus amounts to more than 69 km^2. To ensure sustainable water protection and flood protection in this area, which takes up almost 15 % of Vienna's total area, an extensive catalogue of measures based on comprehensive hydro-dynamic studies was composed.



Figure A.5 — Overview drainage area for lifting station Kaiserebersdorf (23rd and parts of the 11th district)

A.3.2 Purpose

In the case of a heavy rainfall event, the wastewater coming in from the adjacent areas (Figure A.5, highlighted green) is to be retained for as long as possible, so that the problem areas further downstream are relieved and in order to reduce combined wastewater drainage into the Danube Canal.

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Outflow of water from the sewer network onto the surface must be avoided. Special attention must be paid to the area with abrupt change in terrain (Figure A.5, circled in pink).

A.3.3 Outline

A total retention volume of more than 85 000 m^3 was created. This was done through structural extensions on the one hand, and through the active management of the existing sewer storage.

The implemented sets of measures and the retention volume that was created is listed in Table A.2.

Table A.2 — Measures and retention volume

Measures		Retention volume
1	Optimising the management of the LCSR and implementing the operating mode "flood protection"	~17 000 m^3
	Construction of valve chamber Thürnlhofstraße	~8 000 m^3
	Construction of valve chamber Ailecgasse	~6 000 m^3
2	Reactivation of the abandoned wastewater treatment plant Blumental as a combined wastewater storage basin	~20 000 m^3
3	Construction of the Simmering storage basin (incl. transport sewers)	~34 500 m^3
4	Full expansion of the Kaiserebersdorf lifting station (SW/WW)	

Set of measures 1: The active management of the newly built Liesing stream collection sewer-relief sewer (LCSR) between Blumental and Kledering is in the focus of the measures already implemented, as well as the management of the old Liesing stream collection sewer (LCS) between Kledering and Thürnlhofstraße. During a rainfall event, the new LCSR, with a diameter of 2,4 m and a length of about 5,3 km, and the old LCS can be divided into a cascading storage chain by means of 11 regulating structures. The cascading chain frees up storage capacity in the amount of 25 000 m^3. Via the Kledering lifting station, the wastewater is divided, depending on the actual system's capacity, and directed towards the LCS and a newly built relief sewer in Ailecgasse. With the construction of a valve chamber in this new Aliecgasse collection sewer, an additional storage of approximately 6 400 m^3 was created.

The higher-level control of the different regulating structures works automatically through the central control system of the Vienna sewer system, out of the control centre of the largest pumping station in Vienna.

Different operating modes allow for an optimized operation, tailored to the individual rainfall event. The modes were programmed in regard to water protection for the Liesing stream and to flood protection of the areas further downstream in Simmering. For instance, the flood protection mode ensures that any stormwater inflow into the LCSR is stopped and instead directed straight into the Liesing stream. This creates an additional storage volume in the LCSR (approximately 17 000 m^3) for wastewater, allowing for it to be retained from the high-risk area for longer periods of time.

Set of measures 2: With the reactivation of the abandoned wastewater treatment plant Blumental (TPB) as a combined wastewater storage basin with a volume of 20 000 m^3, the restraint effect of the LCSR was further enhanced.

In order to review the effects of sets of measures 1 and 2 on flood protection in the target area, hydro-dynamic calculations were carried out based on the "hydro-dynamic model Vienna". For this, Euler Type II model rain with a duration of up to 6 h and annualities of 5 years and 10 years were used. Moreover, the heavy rainfall event of 13 August 2010 was calculated. Its recurrence interval is 50 years.

The result of the calculations showed a retention time of up to 2 h for the wastewater in the valley of Liesing stream during a rainfall event with an annuality of 5 years. This improved flood protection and the amount of stormwater draining into the Danube Canal through the relief sewer at the Kaiserebersdorf lifting station was reduced.

Set of measures 3: With the new construction of the Simmering storage basin as a relief for the Kaiserebersdorf collection sewer and the area further downstream, a storage volume of approx.

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

34 500 m³ was created, in combination with the two transport sewers (Figure A.6, catchment area hatched black, transport sewers in blue, inflow control structures marked with black rectangles).



Figure A.6 — Drainage area Simmering storage basin

During heavy rainfall events, inflow into the storage basin and a redirection into the existing sewer network are controlled at two control stations. Each of the two stations has a transversal throttle valve to control the amount of water directed into the sewer network, and a flexible, longitudinal gate weir which controls the inflow into the storage basin.

The flow in the collection sewer in Kaiserebersdorfer Straße can be entirely redirected into the storage basin, so as to reduce the outflow and to relieve the area further downstream.

In order to measure and assess the effects of the storage basin, hydro-dynamic calculations based on the "hydro-dynamic model Vienna" were carried out.

The model rain Euler Type II was used with the following duration periods and annualities:

— duration of 60 minutes and an annuality of 5 years;

— duration of 120 minutes and an annuality of 5 years;

— duration of 60 minutes and an annuality of 10 years;

— duration of 120 minutes and an annuality of 10 years.

Moreover, a hydro-dynamic long-term simulation of 15 years and the heavy rainfall event from 13 August 2010 were calculated. This verified that in the target area, the model rain with an annuality of 10 years and a duration of 60 minutes can be stored temporarily and then drained.

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

Set of measures 4: Thanks to the full expansion of the Kaiserebersdorf lifting station, the wastewater lifting station now has five lifting screws with a performance rate of approximately 1,330 l/s each, which lift the wastewater out of the transport sewer. Moreover, the lifting station has a sixth, smaller lifting screw with a performance rate of approximately 200 l/s. This screw only removes the wastewater coming in from the Alberner-Hafen collection sewer.

Another screw was added to the stormwater lifting station, enhancing it to perform at a maximum flow rate of 16 m^3/s. If the Danube Canal floods, this can be relieved only through the lifting station. The lifting station now has four lifting screws with a performance rate of 4 m^3/s each.

All measures described are a significant improvement not only for flood protection, but also for water protection. From the point of view of water protection, the combined wastewater coming in from the Kledering area can be retained and temporarily stored before later being directed to the main wastewater treatment plant. Stormwater drainage into the Danube Canal from the area around Kaiserebersdorf lifting station can thus be reduced. In particular, the wastewater flowing in from the Liesing wastewater system can be retained. This leads to a reduction of the pollution load in the combined wastewater system underneath and thus also in the relieved combined wastewater.



Key

RBW regulating structure to regulate the contents of the storage basins
TB separating structure to control inflow into the storage sewer
UBW control structure (for diversion purposes)
LS lifting station with screw pumps
PS pumping station with centrifugal pumps
WW wastewater

Figure A.7 — Schematic representation of the implemented measures to improve flood protection

Due to their complex hydro-dynamic interplay, higher-level coordinated control between all plants is required (Figure A.7). The timely feeding and emptying of the individual storage basins is especially

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

AVA Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

important. For an adequate assessment of what "timely" must mean, a special online weather information system is used. It consists, on the one hand, of a network for precipitation measuring with 31 measuring stations across the city and its surroundings, and, on the other hand, a weather forecast portal tailored to the special requirements of sewer network management by the Austrian Central Institute for Meteorology and Geodynamics.

The effectiveness of the measures described in this subclause has already been confirmed during various heavy rainfall events in the past.

A.3.4 Organization

Vienna Wastewater Department

A.4 Canada

A.4.1 Improving sediment control through the implementation of a wetland in Hamilton

A.4.1.1 Background

Windermere Basin is located at the southeast corner of Hamilton harbour, at the mouth of Red Hill Creek, and began as a pre-industrial wetland. The basin has been historically subjected to contamination by industrial chemicals, sewage overflows, landfill leaks and eroded sediment from Red Hill Creek since the 1950s. The basin was significantly altered through dredging in 1990 to act as a sediment trap to protect shipping routes by preventing sediments conveyed by the Red Hill Creek from entering the harbour. Notable in the area is the Woodward Avenue Wastewater treatment plant, rated capacity of 409 million litres/day, and discharging directly into Red Hill Creek upstream of the basin. Located immediately downstream of the basin are Piers 24 and 25, where significant shipping activity occurs under the control of the Hamilton Port Authority.

In October 2000, the city of Hamilton and the Hamilton Port Authority (HPA) (formerly the Hamilton Harbour Commissioners) entered into an agreement which transferred ownership of the basin and surrounding lands to the city. As part of this agreement, the city assumed responsibility for maintenance dredging of the basin, to be undertaken in a timely matter to ensure that the build up of sediment would not impinge upon the shipping and navigation needs of the harbour.

Through undertaking bathymetric surveys, it was discovered that the basin had reached capacity and was no longer effective. The city undertook several studies to determine the best course of action to deal with its obligations to the HPA and how to best manage the basin property. It was determined that sediments within the basin should remain, dredging be completed at pier 25, and that the basin should be restored. With the help of a consulting engineering company, the city undertook a municipal class environmental assessment (Class EA), preliminary, detailed design and contract administration services for the enhancement of the basin. Upon completion of the Class EA, it was determined that the preferred solution was to construct a watercourse to direct sediment to Hamilton harbour and create an aquatic habitat (wetland) within the remainder of the basin.

The project was completed in 2013 and cost approximately CAN$ 20 million, which came from the municipal provincial and federal governments as part of the Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund (CSIF).

A.4.1.2 Purpose

The main objective of the project was to improve the stormwater runoff quality through sediment control of overland water draining to the basin.

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

A.4.1.3 Outline

A.4.1.3.1 General

After the basin was transferred to the city in 2001, a steering committee was formed to provide input to the city with regards to future activities and uses for the property. Figure A.8 shows the transitions that were achieved in the project area at various stages in the implementation. The steering committee developed the following vision statement:

"Windermere Basin will be a restored estuarine ecosystem, providing a sanctuary for wildlife and passive recreational use. With improved water quality and habitat regeneration, Windermere Basin will be a "healed" area; a source of community pride; a place where citizens and visitors can witness the ongoing regeneration of the area to a healthy environment."

"Windermere Basin will be a green area in an industrial waterfront. As a unique feature of the eastern gateway to the city of Hamilton, the basin will be an area where people can learn and understand about the area's natural and cultural history. Trails will connect the basin with other natural and cultural attractions in the surrounding area, thus facilitating public and access and linkages across the entire Hamilton Waterfront."

a) 1950s-era photo of Windermere looking towards Hamilton harbour | **b) June 2012, pre-construction** | **c) September 2012, substantial completion** | **d) October 2013, 1 year after completion**

Figure A.8 — Transition of Windermere Basin

A.4.1.3.2 Triple bottom line — the environment, the economy and society

The city and the consulting team applied a triple bottom line approach, to ensure that works completed would provide benefits in the key areas of environment, economy and society.

A.4.1.3.3 Environment

The local environment has been significantly improved over the previous conditions by restoring approximately 15,4 hectares of the basin property, including approximately 11 hectares of Great Lakes coastal wetlands. The project provided the opportunity to create new Great Lakes coastal wetland area to counterbalance the loss of natural wetlands in southern Ontario (where, according to Environment Canada over two thirds of wetlands have been lost), also to restore the basin back to a pre-industrial wetland. Furthermore, the improvement in habitat conditions will contribute to the delisting of Hamilton harbour as an area of concern for the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement. These improvements to the local environment were accomplished by improving the water and sediment quality by isolating the basin from the Parkdale Combined Sewer Outfall and Red Hill Creek, both sources of high levels of nutrients and contaminants. In addition, the project implemented capping of existing exposed contaminated sediment with 0,5 m thick clean fill to improve the water and soil quality. Planting of

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

native vegetation and habitat enhancement features offered improved aquatic and terrestrial habitat that will benefit a variety of birds, fish and other wildlife.

A.4.1.3.4 Economy

Construction of a channel through the basin and dredging at pier 25 will provide economic benefit to the city by allowing an ongoing dredging program that has a manageable cost, in comparison to sediment management of the entire basin at a 200 % to 300 % higher cost. Dredging at pier 25 permits more efficient dredging operations. A sediment management plan was developed that addresses the long-term requirements for meeting the city's commitments to the Hamilton Port Authority.

Additional economic benefits will also be realized through providing additional tourism opportunities. Hamilton Harbour is a well known birding area, with the existing basin being a prime location to observe a number of species. The enhanced basin, with the new diversity of habitat conditions, will offer further opportunities to see an increased variety of birds and wildlife. To facilitate this activity, a viewing area has been constructed on the east side of Red Hill Creek, which offers excellent sight lines across the site.

A.4.1.3.5 Society

The enhanced basin provides substantial societal benefits to the city and its residents. The wetland, in comparison to its existing conditions, will offer a greater variety of bird watching opportunities and will tie in to the previous work conducted by the Hamilton Waterfront Trust. The project will assist in creating a natural eastern gateway to the city and a significant positive public profile will be generated through the project.

A.4.1.3.6 Maintenance

Although the basin wetland design has been developed to minimize the amount of maintenance, regular inspections of the site will be necessary to ensure that engineering structures are in good working order. The proper operation of the wetland will also require some maintenance to manage the ecology along with potential invasive species such as phragmites and common carp. In addition, a monitoring program has been developed to ensure ecological sustainability and allow for an adaptive management strategy.

a)

b)

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

c)

d)

Figure A.9 — Overview of Windermere Basin

Representative images in Figure A.9 provide a bird's eye view of the basin itself and the principal features, a pictorial image of the foreshore and images of two of the key transitional activities.

A.4.1.4 Organization

City of Hamilton.

A.4.2 Planning effective stormwater management measures in Ottawa

A.4.2.1 Background

Many cities face significant land use changes over the years from forest, to agriculture, to a predominantly urban/suburban character, often exacerbated by changes from low density residential/commercial to high density with infilling. As a result, there is the potential for flooding within the area and the possibility of unmanaged stormwater runoff into adjacent water systems. The question is "how to introduce effective stormwater management where the evolving land use pattern does not have appropriate designs and infrastructure?" The city of Ottawa decided to examine alternative SWM solutions through the development of a SWM retrofit plan in its Pinecrest Creek and Westboro Beach area.

The Ottawa River Action Plan (ORAP) of 2010 governs the issue. It has two key objectives: a) optimize recreational use and economic development of the river, with a focus on reducing beach closures; and b) maintain a healthy aquatic environment within the river.

It was noted among other things that urban development has greatly increased imperviousness and drainage efficiency (resulting in increased flooding and erosion within the Pinecrest Creek corridor); transportation, infrastructure and recreational uses have encroached into the creek corridor (constraining its ability to adjust to changes in flow regimes and increasing risk of infrastructure erosion and flooding); Pinecrest Creek has been disconnected from the Ottawa River due to piped sections (resulting in an extremely limited fish population); and the separate storm sewer outfalls upstream of Westboro Beach were identified as key contributors to "wet weather" bacterial contamination during and after rainfall events (resulting in closures of about 30 % of the swimming season (mid-June to late August).

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved

Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021

An extensive initial public consultation was carried out which identified three key themes:

1) The city must address impacts of uncontrolled stormwater runoff as well as combined sewer overflows (CSOs). Several ORAP projects address urban stormwater; however, it is recognized that stormwater pollution is as important an issue as combined sewer overflows.

2) Residents and businesses must take responsibility for their discharges to the environment (i.e. source control). At present, the city needs a communication plan for improving awareness of, and action on, water environment issues at the community level.

3) A watershed approach is needed to ensure that the full range of pollutant sources and impacts are addressed.

Further public consultation took place during the Pinecrest Creek/Westboro retrofit study.

A.4.2.2 Purpose

The purpose was to recommend what stormwater management techniques to apply in the study area that would provide the best solution considering a number of economic, environmental and social factors.

A.4.2.3 Outline

A retrofit study was the first step and identified a potential long-term plan comprising a range of programs, capital projects and outreach efforts aimed at reversing or partially reversing the historical impacts of development on Pinecrest Creek and local reach of the Ottawa River (Westboro Beach). The study area is almost completely developed, with only minor undeveloped areas remaining. It comprises:

— 18 849 households;

— 227 hectares of existing pavement/concrete;

— 272 km of streets.

Of the original 4 km of creek bed, only 2,5 km remain open, the rest being enclosed in pipes.

SWM drainage system retrofit measures are categorized by the location where they operate and include:

— Lot level: These are located at the source of runoff and function to reduce the amount or volume of rainfall that runs off and to prevent pollutants from being picked up and conveyed off the lot.

— Conveyance: The means by which stormwater runoff is transported from one location to another.

— End-of pipe measures: Larger-scale facilities that receive the accumulated runoff collected by the conveyance system.

— Stream rehabilitation: These measures are undertaken when the implementation of retrofit measures within the watershed is not sufficient to address erosion and stability impacts.

To develop the retrofit plan, key steps (consistent with Municipal Class Environmental Assessment requirements) had to be undertaken:

i) Setting the stage: identifying existing conditions and SWM retrofit potential.

ii) Establishing scenarios for retrofit options and selection of the preferred scenario.

iii) Public consultation and communications.

iv) Preparation of an implementation and monitoring plan.

Five implementation scenarios were examined, evaluated and ranked according to predicted abilities to meet the objectives and targets: existing conditions – or “do nothing”; highest practical implementation

© ISO 2021 – All rights reserved
Documents
Document Sharing Hub
Full document details: PD ISO/TR 24539:2021