

Control Design for PMM-Based Generator Fed by Active Front-End Rectifier in More-Electric Aircraft

Mingming Yin, Serhiy Bozhko, and Seang Shen Yeoh
University of Nottingham

ABSTRACT

The future aircraft electrical power system is expected to be more efficient, safer, simpler in servicing and easier in maintenance. As a result, many existing hydraulic and pneumatic power driven systems are being replaced by their electrical counterparts. This trend is known as a move towards the More-Electric Aircraft (MEA). As a result, a large number of new electrical loads have been introduced in order to power many primary functions including actuation, de-icing, cabin air-conditioning, and engine start. Therefore electric power generation systems have a key role in supporting this technological trend. Advances in modern power electronics allow the concept of starter/generator (S/G) which enables electrical engine start and power generation using the same electrical machine. This results in substantial improvements in power density and reduced overall weight. One of the potential S/G solutions is to employ a permanent magnet machine (PMM) controlled by active front-end rectifier (AFE). Operation of the PMM as a generator at wide range of speed that is dictated by the engine and electrical loads connected to the aircraft bus require careful design of the controllers. Corresponding plant models are derived and verified with simulations using developed models in Matlab/Simulink. The relevant controllers are designed based on the derived plants and operating points. The controllers are tested with Simulink models and experimentally using a scaled prototype of the investigated generator system.

Keywords: permanent magnet generator, flux weakening, control design, DC link voltage, more electric aircraft

CITATION: Yin, M., Bozhko, S., and Yeoh, S., "Control Design for PMM-Based Generator Fed by Active Front-End Rectifier in More-Electric Aircraft," *SAE Int. J. Aerosp.* 9(1):2016, doi:10.4271/2016-01-1987.

INTRODUCTION

The present aircraft systems technologies need to have significant changes for the sake of tendency to be more efficient and environmentally-friendly. The More-Electric Aircraft (MEA) is one of the main trends in modern aircraft engineering aiming to replace the conventional aircraft topology [1]. The subsystems that used mechanical, pneumatic, hydraulic power now are to be partially or completely substituted by electrical systems which result in significant increased on-board electric power demand. Hence the role of electrical power generation system is of great importance.

The current electrical power generation system on-board most aircrafts normally employs three-stage wound field synchronous generators. This generator has been extensively adopted in fixed wing and rotor craft applications and has proved to be highly reliable and inherently safe. However, there is a limit of increasing the power density due to electronic components located on the rotor. Additional exciter windings are required for electrical start operation which increases the overall machine weight [3]. Advances in modern power electronic converters make it possible to re-configure the power generation system while considering other machine types such as the permanent magnet machine (PMM), induction machine (IM), and switched reluctance machine (SR) [2] [5].

In addition, electric engine start function can be incorporated using the machine for starter/generator (S/G) scheme. It is one of the most significant change brought by the MEA concept that offers advantages such as reduction of aircraft empty weight, less maintenance downtime, lower cost and improved reliability [4][6][7][8].

In terms of control strategies for aircraft S/G system, there are several papers reporting on this [9][10][11][12]. Based on variable speed drive application, a control strategy of the doubly fed induction generator is achieved by inner flux control loop and stator-voltage outer loop in [9]. A control methodology which utilizes angle and speed estimation algorithm is presented [10]. The high frequency voltage signal and the subsequent demodulation of high frequency stator current make it possible to achieve sensor-less operation of full-torque from zero speed to ignition speed. Detailed control design of the PMM-based S/G has been studied in [11] and [12]. These papers consider regulation of DC link current during generating mode to accommodate droop control for possible parallel operation of multisource aircraft power system. Detailed design and analysis of DC link voltage controller that is typically used for stand-alone power systems has yet to be investigated. In addition to that, the stability of FW controller during generator mode still needs to be analyzed to ensure stable operation throughout the generator operating range. This paper aims to fill in the gaps for both of these

controllers by detailed plant derivation and control design analysis in generator mode. The paper shall cover the control design of the S/G system in generator mode only. More information on starter mode control can be found in [11] and [12].

The paper shall be structured as follows; the investigated generator system and the relevant model equations are introduced. The control structure is formed based on the requirements in generator mode. Next, the control plant for FW and DC link is derived from the equations to aid with the controller design process. They are verified at different operating points with equivalent non-linear models built in Matlab/Simulink environment. The controllers can be designed based on the derived plants and they are tested across the speed operating range in generator mode. Finally, the control design process is validated using a small prototype test rig followed by conclusions and possible future work.

POWER SYSTEM

One of the possible topology of MEA generator system that is investigated is shown in Figure 1. ω_r is the rotor speed, C is the DC link capacitor, and i_{abc} is the three phase stator currents. E_{dc} and I_{dc} are the DC link voltage and current respectively. The power system consists of a PMM fed by an active front end rectifier (AFE) that is connected to the aircraft DC bus. In starter mode, the PMM is driven by the AFE to start the engine using electrical power from the ground power supply or auxiliary power unit (APU). In generating mode, the engine drives the PMM and the generated power is sent to the DC bus to supply onboard loads. They are generally represented as resistive and constant power type loads. The relevant equations (1), (2), (3), (4) needed to represent the PMM, AFE, and DC bus is introduced to establish the average model of the generator system for control design process.




Figure 1. Typical generator system for MEA.

$$v_d = Ri_d + L_d \frac{di_d}{dt} - \omega_e L_q i_q \quad (1)$$

$$v_q = Ri_q + L_q \frac{di_q}{dt} + \omega_e L_d i_d + \omega_e \psi_m \quad (2)$$

$$E_{dc} I_{dc} = \frac{3}{2} (v_d i_d + v_q i_q) \quad (3)$$

$$I_{dc} = C \frac{dE_{dc}}{dt} \quad (4)$$

where $i_{d,q}$, $v_{d,q}$, and $L_{d,q}$ are the AC currents, voltages, and inductances respectively in dq frame. R is the stator resistance, ω_e is the electrical speed, and ψ_m is the machine flux. The parameter values are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Parameters of the system.

Parameter	Value
Stator resistance, R	$1.058m\Omega$
d -axis inductance, L_d	$99\mu H$
q -axis inductance, L_q	$99\mu H$
Mutual flux, ψ_m	$0.03644Vs$
Combined inertia, J	$0.103kgm^2$
DC link capacitor, C	$1.2mF$
Pole pairs, p	3
Maximum stator current, i_m	$400A$
Nominal DC link voltage, $E_{dcrated}$	$270V$
Rated power, P_{rated}	$45kW$
Generating speed range	$[20krpm, 32krpm]$

GENERATOR MODE CONTROL SCHEME

Field oriented vector control is chosen as the control structure for the generator system as shown in Figure 2. Any symbols marked with * are the reference value for the respective control variables. i_{qlim} is the limit value for i_q^* and i_m is the maximum stator current. $|V|$ is the AC stator voltage magnitude and v_{abc} is the three phase voltages. i_{abc} is regulated in rotating reference frame (dq) using the inner current controllers. The design of these controllers has been commonly adapted for drive systems. Following the generator mode of operation, E_{dc} is controlled on the outer loop during generator mode. Flux weakening (FW) control is also employed to prevent over-modulation of the AFE when the power system is operating in high speed regions. This is achieved by limiting $|V|$ using de-fluxing current dictated by i_d^* . The controlled variables are regulated using well known PI based controllers. A dynamic limiter is present which determines the limit for i_q^* based on i_d^* and i_m using the current limit equation [11]:

$$i_{qlim} = \sqrt{i_m^2 - i_d^{*2}} \quad (5)$$




Figure 2. Control scheme of generator system.

In this control structure, the speed of the aircraft turbine is assumed to be controlled externally. Another assumption is made whereby the generator system does not reach saturated i_q^* (load demand higher than load supply).

Control Plant

Some of the model equations contain non-linearity that can be linearized before being used for small signal analysis. The small signal models are obtained using Taylor's series around an operating point and are expressed as follows:

$$\partial v_d = R\partial i_d + L_d s\partial i_d - i_{q0}L_q\partial\omega_e - \omega_{e0}L_q\partial i_q \quad (6)$$

$$\partial v_q = R\partial i_q + L_q s\partial i_q + \omega_{e0}L_d\partial i_d + \partial\omega_e L_d\partial i_{d0} + \psi_m\partial\omega_e \quad (7)$$

$$\partial I_{dc} = \frac{3}{2E_{dc0}}(v_{d0}\partial i_d + i_{d0}\partial v_d + v_{q0}\partial i_q + i_{q0}\partial v_q)\partial i_d - \frac{3}{2E_{dc0}^2}(v_{d0}i_{d0} + v_{q0}i_{q0})\partial E_{dc} \quad (8)$$

The linearized voltage limit equation that is used to calculate $|V|$ is shown as [11]:

$$\partial|V| = \frac{v_{d0}}{|V|_0}\partial v_d + \frac{v_{q0}}{|V|_0}\partial v_q \quad (9)$$

where the variables with the subscript 0 are obtained around a specified operating point. The closed loop inner current transfer functions are shown as:

$$TF_{id,q}(s) = \frac{\partial i_{d,q}}{\partial i_{d,q}^*} = \frac{(2\xi\omega_n L_{d,q} - R)s + \omega_n^2 L_{d,q}}{L_{d,q}(s^2 + 2\xi\omega_n s + \omega_n^2)} \quad (10)$$

The following sub-sections will detail the plant derivation for E_{dc} and FW to aid with the controller design using the relevant linear equations. The respective controllers can then be designed depending on the worst case operating point. For this generator system, that operating point is found to be at 32krpm with full load connected to the DC bus (45kW).

DC Link Voltage Controller Design

In this section, the plant is derived to relate output ∂E_{dc} to input ∂i_q^* for the DC link controller design process. Using equation (4), (6), (7), and (8), the linear plant can be formulated as:

$$\partial E_{dc} = \frac{3E_{dc0}}{[3(v_{d0}i_{d0} + v_{q0}i_{q0}) - 2CsE_{dc0}^2]} \begin{bmatrix} (v_{q0} + (R + L_q s)i_{q0} - L_q\omega_{e0}i_{d0})\partial i_q \\ + (v_{d0} + (R + L_d s)i_{d0} + L_d\omega_{e0}i_{q0})\partial i_d \\ + (i_{q0}(\psi_m + L_d i_{d0}) - i_{d0}i_{q0}L_q)\partial\omega_e \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

Assuming that the change of speed is much slower than the electrical dynamics, then for the purpose of control design $\partial\omega_e$ can be assumed constant. ∂i_d terms are considered as disturbances and are not considered as part of the plant. The E_{dc} plant is derived with addition of (10) to form:

$$\frac{\partial E_{dc}}{\partial i_q^*} = TF_{iq}(s) \frac{3E_{dc0}[v_{q0} + (R + L_q s)i_{q0} - L_q\omega_{e0}i_{d0}]}{[3(v_{d0}i_{d0} + v_{q0}i_{q0}) - 2CsE_{dc0}^2]} \quad (12)$$

The plant is verified by comparing step response with a non-linear model of the generator system build in Simulink environment. A similar operating point at the highest speed range (32krpm) and full load is selected as an example for both models. Both of the step responses of E_{dc} are shown in Figure 3. The two lines coincide with each other which signify that the derived transfer function can be used to represent the desired control plant. At the operating point, equation (12) is shown numerically as:

$$\frac{\partial E_{dc}}{\partial i_q^*} = \frac{-405(s - 4.45 \times 10^4)(s + 4449)}{(s^2 + 8884s + 3.948 \times 10^7)(s + 627.9)} \quad (13)$$




Figure 3. Open loop step responses to a step input of $i_q^* = -1A$ of the E_{dc} plant (blue) and the non-linear Simulink model (red).

The transfer function is of third order and one of the zeroes (4.45×10^4) is located on the right hand plane (RHP) that can be visualized on a root locus in Figure 4. This indicates a non-minimum phase system that may limit the stability of the controller.




Figure 4. Open loop root locus of E_{dc} plant operating at 32krpm.