

Potentials for Platooning in U.S. Highway Freight Transport

Matteo Muratori, Jacob Holden, Michael Lammert, Adam Duran,
 Stanley Young, and Jeffrey Gonder
 National Renewable Energy Laboratory

ABSTRACT

Smart technologies enabling connection among vehicles and between vehicles and infrastructure as well as vehicle automation to assist human operators are receiving significant attention as a means for improving road transportation systems by reducing fuel consumption – and related emissions – while also providing additional benefits through improving overall traffic safety and efficiency. For truck applications, which are currently responsible for nearly three-quarters of the total U.S. freight energy use and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, platooning has been identified as an early feature for connected and automated vehicles (CAVs) that could provide significant fuel savings and improved traffic safety and efficiency without radical design or technology changes compared to existing vehicles. A statistical analysis was performed based on a large collection of real-world U.S. truck usage data to estimate the fraction of total miles that are technically suitable for platooning. In particular, our analysis focuses on estimating “platoonable” mileage based on overall highway vehicle use and prolonged high-velocity traveling, and established that about 65% of the total miles driven by combination trucks from this data sample could be driven in platoon formation, leading to a 4% reduction in total truck fuel consumption. This technical potential for “platoonable” miles in the United States provides an upper bound for scenario analysis considering fleet willingness and convenience to platoon as an estimate of overall benefits of early adoption of connected and automated vehicle technologies. A benefit analysis is proposed to assess the overall potential for energy savings and emissions mitigation by widespread implementation of highway platooning for trucks.

CITATION: Muratori, M., Holden, J., Lammert, M., Duran, A. et al., "Potentials for Platooning in U.S. Highway Freight Transport," *SAE Int. J. Commer. Veh.* 10(1):2017, doi:10.4271/2017-01-0086.

INTRODUCTION

Connected and automated vehicles (CAVs) are receiving significant attention as a technology solution to realize safer, more cost-effective, and efficient operation of several transportation systems [1]. CAVs can also potentially help curb energy consumption and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the transportation sector. In this paper we focus on the role of platooning for combination trucks¹ in the United States, one of the most promising CAV technologies that could experience widespread adoption in the next 5 to 10 years. Platooning is a demonstrated method of groups of vehicles travelling close together actively coordinated in formation at high speed that has the potential to reduce energy consumption resulting from aerodynamic drag [2] [3]. Trucks are ideal applications for platooning due to their technical characteristics and mode of operation (several vehicles driving for long distances along the same route, often concentrated in few corridors).

Combination trucks, currently powered by petroleum-derived fuels, account for the majority of the energy use in the U.S. freight sector (64.9% of freight, and 4.8% of total U.S. energy use in 2013 [4]) and an even larger share of GHG emissions (77.1% of freight, and 7.5% of total

U.S. GHG emissions in 2013 [5]). Looking at the future, the importance of trucking on the U.S. energy use and GHG emissions is likely to increase, due mainly to three factors: *a)* freight transport has been growing more rapidly than passenger transport, and the trend is likely to continue in the future [6] [7]; *b)* a continued increase in the share of trucking in total freight activity [8] [9] [10]; *c)* transportation, and freight in particular, is more expensive to decarbonize compared to other sectors, and will experience lower energy and GHG emissions reduction in response to economy-wide climate change mitigation measures [11].

Several studies, reviewed in the Methods section, have been focusing on assessing the potential savings achievable by platooning operations for a group of two or more trucks, as well as extrapolating these savings on a national scale, based on overall miles traveled by trucks. However, a key element has been neglected in the existing literature: what is the “platoonable” fraction of traveled miles during real-world operations? Namely, in a fleet of trucks, what fraction of miles driven is amenable for platooning operation? Clearly not every mile driven can be driven in a platoon formation, and platooning operations at low speeds do not lead to significant fuel saving. However, for large trucks operating extensively on highways over long distances the fraction of platoonable miles at high speed can be significant (in estimating the potential savings related to trucks

1. Combination trucks include Class 7 and Class 8 trucks, as defined by the U.S. Federal Highway Administration. Class 8 trucks, which are the majority of combination trucks, are vehicles with a gross weight rating exceeding 33,001 lbs (14,969 kg). Class 8 includes tractor-trailer tractors as well as single-unit dump trucks. The typical 5-axle tractor-trailer combination, also called a “semi” or “18-wheeler,” is a Class 8 vehicle.

platooning, MacKenzie *et al.* [12] assume that every mile traveled by trucks is platoonable, leading to significantly different results compared to this study).

We provide an estimate of the platoonable fraction of miles driven by combination trucks in the United States based on a large set of driving data collected by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and others. This data set includes over 3 million miles of driving data across a variety of fleet operators, truck manufacturers, times of operation, and regions. In particular, we assume that a truck could potentially operate in a platoon if it continuously travels at a speed larger than a certain threshold for a significant period of time. A sensitivity analysis shows that the velocity and the time threshold significantly impact the resulting fraction of platoonable miles. These thresholds have been chosen to be 50 mph (80.5 km/h) and 15 minutes for representative operations in the United States.

This estimate represents a technical potential, or upper bound, which does not account for truck and fleet operators’ willingness to platoon. This willingness, which will be assessed in future works, reduces the technical potential identified in this paper due to three main factors. First, the economic savings related to platooning operations (value of fuel saved) must outweigh the increased costs, namely the additional drivers’ time cost during platoon formation (as most likely some drivers will have to wait for other trucks traveling towards the same destination) and the value of delayed delivery.² Second, truck and fleet operators must be willing to cooperate. While this might be easier for large fleets including hundreds of vehicles, smaller operators might not have the required connectivity and willingness to collaborate with direct competitors. Third, uniform and standard technologies are required across vehicle manufacturers and operators to allow for widespread implementation of platooning across fleets.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: The Methods section describes the data set and the methodology used to estimate the real-world fraction of platoonable miles for combination trucks in the United States and a review of literature of existing studies on energy savings achievable by operating trucks in platoons. The Results section reports the quantitative results on this analysis, including a sensitivity analysis aimed at understanding the impact of time and velocity thresholds in estimating the fraction of platoonable miles and additional insights for targeted applications (i.e., platoonable miles for vehicles performing only long-distance missions on highways). These insights are used in the National Impact section to calculate an upper-bound estimate of the potential energy savings and GHG emissions reductions related to widespread adoption of platooning for combination trucks. Concluding remarks and proposed future work are reported in the last section.

METHODS

In this paper we use a large data set of about 200 real-world Class 8 tractors’ driving data, which includes over 3 million miles of data, to estimate the fraction of platoonable miles in a variety of real-world

2. Given the U.S. network and the large volume of freight moved on the road, we assumed that trucks will not modify their original route to travel in a platoon. Namely we assume that within a reasonable time a truck will be able to join others and form a platoon heading towards its final destination. This assumption might not be realistic for very early adoption in the United States or other countries.

operations in the United States. The data considered have been collected directly by NREL and other partners who have contributed data to NREL’s Fleet DNA database using on-board data logging devices or telematics systems [13]. Vocations represented in the data set include line haul truck load, less than truck load, regional parcel movement, port drayage, refrigerated operations, tanker operations, transfer truck operations, and regional food delivery. The data set includes information on vehicle speed (1-second resolution), global positioning system position, road segments (classified as highway, freeway, or collectors and local), and various levels of engine/vehicle parameters such as fuel rate and engine temperatures.

Table 1 summarizes the data set considered, while Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the distribution of all the trips included in the data set based on trip length and duration, respectively. Trips shorter than 0.5 mile and 6 minutes have been excluded to avoid including logging errors and short vehicle movements that do not constitute trips.

Table 1. Summary statistics of the driving data set considered in this study.

DATA SET	
Vehicles	194
Days	9,154
Trips	54,583
Hours	60,450
Miles	3,170,079




Figure 1. Distribution of trips included in the data set based on trip length.




Figure 2. Distribution of trips included in the data set based on trip duration.