



AEROSPACE INFORMATION REPORT

AIR4978™

REV. C

Issued	1996-04
Revised	2002-05
Stabilized	2016-05

Temporary Methods
for Assessing the Load Carrying Capacity of
Aircraft Propulsion System Lubricating Oils

RATIONALE

The subject document describes temporary methods to assess the load carrying capacity methods of turbine oils at the time. Efforts within E-34 Propulsion Lubricants committee to further develop these methods is underway. Therefore, this document is being stabilized as is. No further reviews for currency are planned.

STABILIZED NOTICE

This document has been declared "Stabilized" by the SAE E-34 Propulsion Lubricants Committee and will no longer be subjected to periodic reviews for currency. Users are responsible for verifying references and continued suitability of technical requirements. Newer technology may exist.

SAE Technical Standards Board Rules provide that: "This report is published by SAE to advance the state of technical and engineering sciences. The use of this report is entirely voluntary, and its applicability and suitability for any particular use, including any patent infringement arising therefrom, is the sole responsibility of the user."

SAE reviews each technical report at least every five years at which time it may be revised, reaffirmed, stabilized, or cancelled. SAE invites your written comments and suggestions.

Copyright © 2016 SAE International

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of SAE.

TO PLACE A DOCUMENT ORDER: Tel: 877-606-7323 (inside USA and Canada)
Tel: +1 724-776-4970 (outside USA)
Fax: 724-776-0790
Email: CustomerService@sae.org
<http://www.sae.org>

SAE WEB ADDRESS:

Full document details: SAE AIR4978C

SAE values your input. To provide feedback
on this Technical Report, please visit
<http://www.sae.org/technical/standards/AIR4978C>

Documents

Document Sharing Hub

FOREWORD

All modern aviation propulsion system lubricant specifications contain some type of a gear scuffing/scoring test to measure the lubricant's load carrying capacity, i.e., Ryder, IAE, and FZG. These tests are costly and recently have exhibited questionable reliability and availability and, in the case of the Ryder Test, complete unavailability because of a lack of a test gear source.

There are very large databases (from the past 30 plus years) for these tests and they form the basis for judging on the effectiveness of various products in controlling scuffing or scoring. For this reason, there are some strong ties to these devices. However, because of the expense of running these tests and because of the limited utility of the data generated regarding hardware design, there is little support to reestablish these tests to their former role. Although limited efforts may restore some capacity to perform these tests, the long-term perspective is to develop new methods based on the abundance of tribology research that has and is being done.

The continued use of these gear type tests is considered at best tenuous and the development of new methods to completely replace the old methods is far term. Thus, there is a need to have test methods for the interim and that is the purpose of this document.

INTRODUCTION

This SAE Aerospace Information Report (AIR) is intended as a guide toward standard practices during a period when the ability to perform previously used lubricant load carrying test methods, such as Ryder and IAE, is severely limited. The methods presented herein are the result of communication among lubricant formulators, hardware designers, lubricant specialists, tribologists, lubricant specification writers, and lubricant users. These methods do not necessarily have a definitive correlation with the previously used test devices but rather provide a ranking relationship for oils of different load carrying classes as defined by those devices. As such, they can be used to generate data to assist in making judgments regarding qualification and batch approval of lubricants formulated with current state-of-the-art basestocks and additives which have a long service history. They should not be used for making judgments or decisions of any kind for oil formulation chemistry with no or little previous load carrying data and service experience.

INTRODUCTION (Continued)

The methods contained in this document consist of those which have been submitted to SAE Committee E-34 and which have been agreed by the committee to be consistent with the intended purpose.

1. SCOPE:

1.1 Purpose:

To present methods which, according to the consensus of the aviation propulsion community represented by SAE Committee E-34, allow the continued assessment of load carrying capacity of current chemistry products during periods of limited or nonavailability of previously used standardized methods.

1.2 Field of Application:

The methods listed in this document are intended to provide a means of generating data which can be used as a guide for making decisions against the backdrop of load capacity databases (Ryder, IAE, FZG) and experiences available on chemically similar oils used for lubrication of aircraft propulsion and power drive systems.

2. REFERENCES:

2.1 Applicable Documents:

The following publications form a part of this document to the extent specified herein. The latest issue of SAE publications shall apply. The applicable issue of other publications shall be the issue in effect on the date of the purchase order. In the event of conflict between the text of this document and references cited herein, the text of this document takes precedence. Nothing in this document, however, supersedes applicable laws and regulations unless a specific exemption has been obtained.

2.1.1 ASTM Publications: Available from ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959.

ASTM D 5001	Measurement of Lubricity of Aviation Turbine Fuels by the Ball-on-Cylinder Lubricity Evaluator (BOCLE)
ASTM D 5182-91	Standard Test Method for Evaluating the Scuffing (Scoring) Load Capacity of Oils

3. METHOD SELECTION:

3.1 Process for Approving Test Methods:

Methods will be submitted to Committee E-34 with data showing their relationship to a standardized load capacity test previously used for aviation propulsion system lubricants. The method should:

- a) Be affordable, i.e., cost no more than the currently used methods;
- b) Have adequate sources of test specimens;
- c) Separate oil performance according to the currently accepted load carrying classes as determined by databases for standardized load carrying test methods.

The Committee will review a method and supporting data and a) give tentative approval, b) give guidance for changes or for additional data to make a method acceptable, or c) reject a method as outside the scope of this document.

Use of a tentatively approved method will generate a broader database for the particular method and the committee will review the data and experience on this method at each meeting. Full approval for inclusion in the document, suggestions to improve the method or rejection of the method could result from these reviews.

This document and process will remain in effect until the committee decides there is no longer a need for alternate methods of assessing load carrying capacity of aviation propulsion system lubricants.

3.2 Methods:

The methods listed below received tentative approval for use under the terms of this document by SAE Committee E-34 on July 17, 2001.

- a. Modified ASTM D 5182-91 Standard Test Method for Evaluating the Scuffing (Scoring) Load Capacity of Oils (Appendix A)
- b. Rolls Royce Tribology Evaluator to Determine the Lubricating Quality of Aviation Turbine Oils (Appendix B)
- c. Test Method for Prediction of Scuffing Load Capacity by the Gear Oil Scuff Test (GOST) Apparatus (Appendix C)
- d. WAM Economical Load Capacity Screening Test (Appendix D)
- e. WAM High Speed Load Capacity Test Method (Appendix E)

The methods listed in this AIR may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. The AIR does not support to address all of the safety issues associated with the use of the methods. It is the responsibility of the user of this AIR and methods to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

PREPARED UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF
SAE COMMITTEE E-34, PROPULSION LUBRICANTS

APPENDIX A
MODIFIED ASTM D 5182-91 STANDARD METHOD
FOR EVALUATING SCUFFING/SCORING LOAD CAPACITY
OF AVIATION PROPULSION SYSTEM OILS

The gear load-carrying capacity test will be conducted in accordance with ASTM D 5182-91 modified as follows:

The FZG test speed shall be 1760 rpm rather than 1450 rpm.

The failure criteria is reached when the summed total width of scuffing/scoring/adhesive wear damage from all 16 teeth is estimated to equal or exceed two gear tooth widths (40 mm) rather than one gear tooth width (20 mm).

APPENDIX B

ROLLS ROYCE TRIBOLOGY EVALUATOR METHOD TO DETERMINE THE LUBRICATING QUALITY OF AVIATION TURBINE OILS

B.1 SCOPE/BACKGROUND:

Lubricant load carrying performance is an important parameter when considering evaluation/approval of a lubricant type for a specific application. The test methods currently in use within the aviation propulsion industry for assessing compliance with specification requirements are the Ryder and the IAE gear tests.

The Rolls-Royce tribology evaluator is a device used to rate the relative lubricating quality of aviation turbine oils. Employing ball-on-cylinder philosophy, a nonrotating steel ball is held in a vertically mounted chuck and forced against an axially mounted steel cylinder with an applied load. The test cylinder is rotated at a fixed speed while being partially immersed in the fluid reservoir. This maintains the cylinder in a wet condition and continuously transports a film of test fluid to the ball/cylinder interface. The wear scar generated on the test ball is a measure of the fluid lubricating properties.

B.2 TYPES OF WEAR:

Using this apparatus, the two distinct types of wear mechanisms most commonly seen in oil system components, namely mild and scuffing wear, can be reproduced and studied. Only a scuffing wear test method is included in this document.

B.2.1 Mild Wear:

As the test cylinder rotates, the lubricant is continuously transported to the ball/cylinder interface. At this interface under mild wear conditions, there is an elastohydrodynamic boundary layer of lubricant which prevents contact of anything other than the surface asperities of the ball and cylinder. However, due to the difference in hardness of these asperities, abrasion and, hence, mild wear occurs. Any wear debris carried from the cylinder to the wear scar area can also be a contributory factor.

B.2.2 Scuffing Wear:

At a specific applied ball load, a transition from mild to scuffing wear can be observed. At this transition, a reduction and ultimate breakdown of boundary lubrication and film thickness occurs. This results in full metal-to-metal contact of the sliding surfaces, leading to severe adhesive wear and a large wear scar. Loadings beyond this transition will result in localized welding of the surfaces and eventual seizure. It must be noted that scuffing wear is an entirely different tribological and physical phenomenon compared to mild wear. This method is concerned with the determination of the maximum load a particular lubricant can withstand prior to the onset of scuffing type wear.

B.3 PRIMARY TEST EQUIPMENT (See Figure B1):

B.3.1 Test Ball Specification:

Material : Chrome alloy AISI 52100 steel
Hardness : 64 to 66 Rc
Surface Finish : Grade 5-10 EP (extra polish)
Dimensions : 12.7 mm diameter

B.3.2 Cylinder Specification (See Figure B2):

Material : SAE 8720 steel
Hardness : 58 to 62 Rc
Surface Finish : 0.56 to 0.71 $\mu\text{m rms}$
Dimensions : 49.25 +0.00/-0.15 mm diameter

The ball and cylinder specifications described above are identical to those listed in ASTM D 5001.

B.3.3 Cleaning Solvents:

B.3.3.1 Isooctane (2,2,4 - trimethylpentane), analar, spectro, or better grade.

B.3.3.2 Isopropyl alcohol, reagent grade or better.

B.3.3.3 Acetone, reagent grade or better.

B.4 DESCRIPTION OF APPARATUS:

Figures B3 and B4 show the system components.

A speed controlled motor (0.25 kW), coupled directly to a reduction gearbox, provides the rotational drive to the test cylinder. The gearbox reduces the maximum shaft speed from 1850 to 440 rpm producing a maximum sliding speed of 44 in/s. A flexible drive coupling is incorporated between the motor and the test section to minimize the effect of any shaft misalignment.

The load arm and support are arranged with a moment such that the ball load is three times that applied to the arm.

Oil heating is provided via two 110 V/500 W cartridge heaters inserted into the reservoir. A Eurotherm controller is utilized to allow bulk oil temperatures of up to $200\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$.




FIGURE B1 - Rolls-Royce Tribology Evaluator




FIGURE B2 - RRTE Test Cylinder




FIGURE B3




FIGURE B4

B.5 SUMMARY OF OPERATING CONDITIONS:

TABLE B1

Parameter	Scuffing Wear Mode	Mild Wear Mode
Test duration	1 min $\pm$ 0.05 min	30 min $\pm$ 0.1 min
Test temperature	150 °C $\pm$ 2 °C	100 to 200 °C $\pm$ 2 °C
Ball load	24 to 60 kg	3 to 39 kg
Cylinder speed	220 rpm $\pm$ 5 rpm	220 rpm $\pm$ 5 rpm
Sliding speed	22 in/s $\pm$ 0.5 in/s	22 in/s $\pm$ 0.5 in/s
Sample volume	48 ml $\pm$ 1 ml	48 ml $\pm$ 1 ml

B.6 PREPARATION OF APPARATUS:

Great care must be taken to adhere strictly to cleanliness requirements and to the specified cleaning procedures. During handling and installation procedures, protect cleaned test parts (cylinder, balls, reservoir, etc.) from contamination by wearing clean cotton gloves.

B.7 CLEANING OF APPARATUS AND TEST COMPONENTS:

B.7.1 Test Rings, As Received:

B.7.1.1 Strip the wax protective coating from the test rings by manually wiping them with a lint-free cloth soaked in acetone.

B.7.1.2 Using a steam bath, boil the test rings in acetone for a period of 20 min.

B.7.1.3 Drain off any remaining liquid and top up with fresh acetone. Boil for a further 20 min period.

B.7.1.4 Remove test rings from vessel and rinse thoroughly with acetone. Dry with a lint-free cloth and store in a desiccator.

B.7.2 Test Balls, As Received:

B.7.2.1 Remove the oil coating from each ball by wiping with an acetone soaked lint-free cloth.

B.7.2.2 Follow steps 7.1.2 through 7.1.4.

B.7.3 Oil Tank, Ball Chuck, and Ring Mandrel Assembly Components:

B.7.3.1 Rinse each component with isooctane.

B.7.3.2 Clean in an ultrasonic bath for 15 min in isopropyl alcohol.

B.7.3.3 Remove and rinse with isooctane.

B.7.3.4 Dry and store in a desiccator prior to use.

B.8 SCUFFING TEST:

B.8.1 Assembly and Test Procedure:

B.8.1.1 Rinse motor output shaft with isooctane and wipe with disposable wiper.

B.8.1.2 Assemble mandrel/cylinder components as shown in Figure B5. Finger tighten the four allen headed bolts, ensuring the keyway on the lockplate is aligned with the keyway on the mandrel.

B.8.1.3 Slide mandrel spacer and motor shaft and insert keyway.

B.8.1.4 Locate mandrel/cylinder assembly on the motor shaft keyway and attach outer washer and main locknut (see Figure B5).

B.8.1.5 Torque mandrel allen bolts in a progressive diagonal manner to a setting of $25 \text{ in/lb} \pm 3 \text{ in/lb}$.

B.8.1.6 By inserting the locking bar into the hole in the motor shaft, torque the main locknut to a setting of $50 \text{ in/lb} \pm 5 \text{ in/lb}$.

B.8.1.7 Rotate the motor shaft at test speed to check for satisfactory smooth running (periodically check the eccentricity of the assembly using a dial test indicator).

B.8.1.8 Install a clean test ball by first placing the ball in the retaining nut. Screw the retaining nut onto the threaded chuck located on the load arm and tighten securely.

B.8.1.9 Lower the load arm by sliding the counterbalance forward. With the test ball firmly in contact with the test cylinder check the load arm horizontal via the attached spirit level. The indicator bubble shall be centered in the middle of the two lines. If necessary, adjust the retaining nut screw to achieve a level load arm.

B.8.1.10 Having installed a fresh cylinder, it is necessary to adjust the relative lateral position of the load arm.

B.8.1.11 For subsequent tests, reset the cylinder position a distance of approximately 2 mm across from the edge of the previous wear track. When testing lubricants of known low load carrying greater than 2 mm between tracks is recommended.

B.8.1.12 Install the clean reservoir and support with spacing platform. Using a clean graduated 50 ml measuring cylinder, transfer $48 \text{ ml} \pm 1 \text{ ml}$ of test lubricant to the reservoir.




FIGURE B5 - Test Ring and Mandrel Assembly

- B.8.1.13 Place thermocouple in lubricant immediately behind the cylinder. Ensure thermocouple tip is fully submerged.
- B.8.1.14 Start rotation of cylinder by switching motor drive to ON. Set potentiometer on speed control unit to maintain 220 rpm $\pm$ 5 rpm.
- B.8.1.15 Insert cartridge heaters into holes in oil bath and select desired oil test temperature on Eurotherm controller. Adjust controller setting until control within ± 3 °C of the desired temperature is achieved.
- B.8.1.16 After a minimum of 10 min, apply the required test load to the arm (see 8.2). Slide the counterbalance weight forward and lower the assembly onto the rotating cylinder. Care must be taken to ensure the arm assembly is lowered gently as failure to observe this fact will lead to erroneous and unreliable results. Set a timer to run for 1 min.
- B.8.1.17 At the end of 1 min run time, immediately remove the load and raise the load arm by moving the counterbalance weight rearward.
- B.8.1.18 Switch motor to OFF on speed control unit. Switch off the Eurotherm temperature controller.
- B.8.1.19 Having allowed the apparatus to cool sufficiently, remove the test ball from the locking nut. Wipe ball clean with a disposable wiper prior to microscopic examination.

B.8.2 Selection of Test Load:

- B.8.2.1 When evaluating the load carrying capability of a lubricant, the apparatus shall initially be run with a ball load of 24 kg.

This is equivalent to an actual load on the arm of 7.5 kg (the loading jig for the test weights weighs 500 g and there is a 3 times moment on the load arm).

- B.8.2.2 In each subsequent test, the ball load should be raised by 3 kg incrementally until scuffing occurs during the test run. The onset of scuffing can be recognized by a significant increase in contact noise between the ball and the cylinder and a large, distorted wear scar on the ball. Once the wear scar diameter on the ball from a particular test exceeds 3.5 mm, no further tests shall be carried out.

B.9 MEASUREMENT OF THE WEAR SCAR:

- B.9.1 Switch on microscope light and position test ball under traveling microscope at 100X magnification.
- B.9.2 Focus microscope and adjust stage such that wear scar is centered within the field of view.
- B.9.3 Align the left hand edge of the wear scar major axis with the graticule and traverse across the scar, measuring it to the nearest 0.01 mm.

B.9.4 Rotating the test ball through 90°, measure the minor axis to the nearest 0.01 mm.

NOTE: When taking measurements from scars with distorted edges, the graticule should be aligned to coincide with the approximate centerline of the peaks and troughs.

Any deviation from an elliptical shape should be recorded in the test results.

B.10 CALCULATIONS:

B.10.1 Calculate the wear scar diameter as follows in Equation B1:

$$\text{WSD} = (x + y)/2 \quad (\text{Eq. B1})$$

where:

WSD = wear scar diameter, mm

x = major axis, mm

y = minor axis, mm

B.11 REPORT:


Report the following information:

B.11.1 Wear scar diameter to the nearest 0.01 mm.

B.11.2 Description of any abnormality in the wear scar area, i.e., anomalous wear pattern, unusual particles present.

B.12 DEFINING LOAD CARRYING CAPABILITY OF TEST LUBRICANT:

The ball load (kgs) required to achieve a wear scar of 1.5 mm shall be reported as the relative load carrying capability of the test lubricant. See Figure B6.



RELATIVE LOAD CARRYING CAPABILITY = $\underline{\underline{X}}$ kg

FIGURE B6 - Defining Load Carrying Capability

APPENDIX C

TEST METHOD FOR PREDICTION OF SCUFFING LOAD CAPACITY BY THE GEAR OIL SCUFF TEST (GOST) APPARATUS

C.1 SCOPE:

- C.1.1 This test method predicts the load carrying capacity of lubricating oils prior to the onset of scuffing using a Gear Oil Scuff Test (GOST) apparatus.
- C.1.2 This test method is applicable to formulated oils intended for use in highly loaded applications such as gearboxes.
- C.1.3 This standard may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This standard does not purport to address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

C.2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS:

The following publications form a part of this document to the extent specified herein. The latest issue of SAE publications shall apply. The applicable issue of other publications shall be the issue in effect on the date of the purchase order. In the event of conflict between the text of this document and references cited herein, the text of this document takes precedence. Nothing in this document, however, supersedes applicable laws and regulations unless a specific exemption has been obtained.

C.2.1 ASTM Publications:

Available from ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959.

ASTM D 5001 Test Method for Measurement of Lubricity of Aviation Turbine Fuels by the Ball-on-Cylinder Lubricity Evaluator (BOCLE)¹

C.3 TERMINOLOGY:

C.3.1 Descriptions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

- C.3.1.1 APPLIED LOAD: The weight in grams added to the load arm of the GOST unit.

¹ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol. 05.03.

C.3.1.2 CONTACT LOAD: The force in grams with which the ball contacts the test ring.

C.3.1.2.1 DISCUSSION: For the GOST cantilever system, the contact load is two times the applied load.

C.3.1.3 SCUFFING: In lubrication, damage caused by instantaneous localized welding between surfaces in relative motion which does not result in immobilization of the parts.

C.3.1.4 SCUFFING LOAD CAPACITY (SLC): The minimum load required to produce scuffing of surfaces under controlled conditions.

C.3.1.4.1 DISCUSSION: In this test method, the SLC of a fluid is evaluated by the minimum applied load in grams that, at any time during the test, will produce a friction coefficient greater than 0.175 between a stationary ball and a fluid wetted rotating ring operating under defined conditions.

C.3.1.5 CORRECTED SCUFFING LOAD CAPACITY (CSLC): The SLC adjusted to account for the effects of viscosity on the onset of severe adhesive wear in the Ryder gear test.

C.3.1.5.1 DISCUSSION:: Hydrodynamic and elastohydrodynamic lift in many applications are sensitive to both oil viscosity and contact geometry. The GOST test is designed to minimize viscosity effects.

C.3.1.6 FRICTION TRACE: A recorded trace of the tangential friction force in grams.

C.3.1.7 FRICTION COEFFICIENT: Tangential friction force divided by the contact load.

C.4 SUMMARY OF TEST METHOD:

C.4.1 A 50-mL test specimen of oil is placed in the test reservoir of a GOST apparatus and adjusted to the standard temperature of 80 °C.

C.4.2 A load arm holding a 6.35-mm diameter non-rotating steel ball and loaded with a 500-g mass is lowered until it contacts a polished steel test ring partially immersed in oil rotating at 700 r/min. The ball is forced to rub against the test ring for a 30-s break-in period before beginning an incremental load test.

C.4.3 Wear tests are conducted by maintaining contact between the ball and the partially immersed 700-r/min test ring for 60 s. A new portion of the test ring and a new ball are used for each incremental load test.

C.4.4 The tangential friction force is recorded while the ball is in contact with the test ring. The friction coefficient is calculated from the tangential friction force.

C.4.5 The minimum applied load required to produce a friction coefficient greater than 0.175 is an evaluation of the lubricating properties of the oil.

C.5 SIGNIFICANCE AND USE:

C.5.1 The applied load required to produce severe adhesive wear and failure has some reliance on lubricating properties of the oil. Shortened life of components such as gears and cam followers has sometimes been ascribed to lack of ultimate load carrying ability.

C.5.2 The relationship of GOST results to gear tooth distress due to severe adhesive wear has been demonstrated using the Ryder gear test apparatus. Figure C1 shows the correlation achieved with the Ryder apparatus.

C.5.3 The GOST procedure may be used to evaluate the relative effectiveness of oils for preventing wear under the prescribed test conditions.

C.5.4 This test method is designed to evaluate boundary lubrication properties. While viscosity effects on the contact are not totally eliminated, they are minimized. An equation to predict the effects of viscosity on the correlation achieved with the Ryder gear test is provided in C.12.2.

C.6 APPARATUS:

C.6.1 Gear Oil Scuff Test (GOST) Apparatus:

C.6.1.1 The GOST apparatus, illustrated in Figure C2, is a modification of the Ball-on-Cylinder Lubricity Evaluator (BOCLE) specified in Test Method D 5001. Complete operating conditions are given in Table C1.

C.6.1.1.1 The GOST consists of a fluid reservoir, a load arm, a hanger, and a load cell.

C.6.1.2 If a standard BOCLE machine is modified, a device to measure and record tangential friction force is necessary.

C.6.1.3 If a standard BOCLE machine is modified, a redesigned reservoir cover or splash guards is necessary to prevent loss of fluid from the joint between the reservoir cover and reservoir.

C.6.1.4 If a standard BOCLE machine is modified, an adaptor capable of holding a 6.35-mm diameter test ball is required.

C.6.1.5 If a standard BOCLE machine is modified, an electric heater apparatus is required, capable of achieving a test oil temperature of 80 C.²

² Heater element, Part No. BOC-219H from Inter-Av, Inc., P.O. Box 792228, San Antonio, TX 78279, has been found satisfactory.