



SURFACE VEHICLE RECOMMENDED PRACTICE

J2675™

MAY2024

Issued 2004-04
Reaffirmed 2023-03
Revised 2024-05

Superseding J2675 MAR2023

Combined Cornering and Braking Test for Truck and Bus Tires

RATIONALE

The purpose of this revision is to correct minor errors in the existing document and to bring the document up to date in light of developments between 2004 and 2023. The original document referenced an over-the-road test machine that has since been decommissioned and is no longer available. The document has also been updated to include new classes of hybrid testing machines, one of which tests a tire while moving it over a paved surface in a controlled environment.

1. SCOPE

This SAE Recommended Practice describes a test method for determination of heavy truck (Class VI, VII, and VIII) tire force and moment properties under combined cornering and braking conditions. The properties are acquired as functions of slip angle, normal force, and slip ratio. Slip angle and normal force are changed incrementally using a sequence specified in this document. At each normal force and slip angle increment, the slip ratio is continually changed by application of a braking torque ramp. The data are suitable for use in vehicle dynamics modeling, comparative evaluations for research and development purposes, and manufacturing quality control.

This document is intended to be a general guideline for testing on an **ideal** machine. Users of this recommended practice may modify the recommended protocols to satisfy the needs of specific use-cases, e.g., reducing the recommended number of test loads and/or pressures for benchmarking purposes. However, due care is necessary when modifying the protocols to maintain data integrity.

1.1 Truck Tires

For this recommended practice, truck tires are defined as tires mounted on heavy commercial over-the-road trucks (Class VI, VII, and VIII) and buses. Vehicles which use heavy truck tires include: tractor and semi-trailer combinations, rigid trucks (e.g., flat beds, dump trucks, and refuse and refrigerated vans), buses (e.g., school, city, and coaches), heavy trailers (including semi-trailers, full trailers, platform trailers, and dollies), and special purpose vehicles (e.g., truck mounted concrete pumps, military trucks, and firefighting appliances). Tires mounted on other types of vehicles with a GVWR of 8845 kg (19500 pounds) or less (Class I through V) are explicitly excluded from consideration in this document. However, when testing tires used on larger off-highway vehicles, the protocols outlined in this recommended practice may provide a useful reference provided the tire sizes and road surfaces are similar to on-highway conditions.

1.2 Effects Not Considered

The effects of non-zero inclination angle are not considered in this document, but the accuracies of machines including inclination are referenced.

SAE Executive Standards Committee Rules provide that: "This report is published by SAE to advance the state of technical and engineering sciences. The use of this report is entirely voluntary, and its applicability and suitability for any particular use, including any patent infringement arising therefrom, is the sole responsibility of the user."

SAE reviews each technical report at least every five years at which time it may be revised, reaffirmed, stabilized, or cancelled. SAE invites your written comments and suggestions.

Copyright © 2024 SAE International

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, or used for text and data mining, AI training, or similar technologies, without the prior written permission of SAE.

TO PLACE A DOCUMENT ORDER: Tel: 877-606-7323 (inside USA and Canada)
Tel: +1 724-776-4970 (outside USA)
Fax: 724-776-0790
Email: CustomerService@sae.org
http://www.sae.org

SAE WEB ADDRESS:

Full document details: SAE J2675_202405

For more information on this standard, visit
https://www.sae.org/standards/content/J2675_202405

Documents

Standard, visit Sharing Hub

1.3 Test Machines

This recommended practice is test machine neutral. It may be applied to any type of test machine capable of fulfilling the specified requirements. For example, specific data used to develop this recommended practice came from both an indoor flat-belt type machine, the CALSPAN Tire Research Facility (TIRF) (as it stood in 2004), and an outdoor over-the-road dynamometer, the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute (UMTRI) Mobile Tire Dynamometer. The ideal machine defined in 1.3.1 is not required, but a test machine's performance must be fully defined over its operating range.

NOTE: The UMTRI Mobile Tire Dynamometer is decommissioned and no longer available to test tires. It is mentioned because much of the supporting data for SAE J2673, SAE J2429, and SAE J2675 was obtained from tires tested using the UMTRI machine, and experience with it shows the quality of information that can be obtained with a machine of this type. Similar over-the-road test machines have since been commissioned like the TÜV measuring trailer II (Europe) and ARRB tyre-pavement test-trailer (Australia). These machines have increased vertical loading capacity (70 to 90 kN) compared to the UMTRI machine (45 kN) as well as hub braking (30 to 40 kNm) and slip angles up to ± 25 degrees and ± 10 degrees of camber in the case of the ARRB machine.

1.3.1 Ideal Machine

This recommended practice references an ideal machine capable of fully matching every requirement of SAE J2673, SAE J2429, and SAE J2675. Such a machine does not exist currently, nor is it certain that the technology to build a machine to the desired accuracy exists. However, this recommended practice does not require an ideal machine. Useful data can be and has been gathered on existing machines. However, for repeatability and for situations when data from different machines might be compared, it is important to document the capability of each machine and to provide a method for assessing performance, for example through benchmarking machine performance using a Standard Reference Test Tyre (SRTT) such as the ASTM F2870, 315/70R22.5 154/150L Radial Truck SRTT or other specified procedure.

NOTE: The ideal machine, ideal test operating range, and ideal accuracies are goals, NOT requirements.

2. REFERENCES

2.1 Applicable Documents

The following publications form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein. Unless otherwise indicated, the latest issue of SAE publications shall apply.

2.1.1 SAE Publications

Available from SAE International, 400 Commonwealth Drive, Warrendale, PA 15096-0001, Tel: 877-606-7323 (inside USA and Canada) or +1 724-776-4970 (outside USA), www.sae.org.

SAE CRP-11 Truck Tire Characterization, December 1995

NOTE: CRP-11 is a book compiling the results of a very long and involved research study. It is indexed by the original statement of work (SOW) element numbers.

Contained within CRP-11 are:

Pottinger, M.G., Pelz, W., Tapia, G.A, and Winkler, C.B. (1995, June 25-29). *A combined cornering and braking test for heavy duty truck tires* [Symposium presentation]. International Symposium on Heavy Vehicle Weights and Dimensions, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States.

Pottinger, M.G. and Pelz, W. (1995). *Recommended test sample sizes (SOW 1.5) and the CALSPAN/UMTRI sample sizes (SOW 1.5) and the CALSPAN/UMTRI comparison (SOW 3.0) for SOW 1.2.3 Data*, Smithers Scientific Services, Inc.

SAE J670 Vehicle Dynamics Terminology

SAE J2047 Tire Performance Technology

SAE J2429 Free-Rolling Cornering Test for Truck and Bus Tires

SAE J2673 Straight-Line Braking Test for Truck and Bus Tires

Pottinger, M., Marshall, K., and Arnold, G., "Effects of Test Speed and Surface Curvature on Cornering Properties of Tires," SAE Technical Paper 760029, 1976, <https://doi.org/10.4271/760029>.

Marshall, K., Phelps, R., Pottinger, M., and Pelz, W., "The Effect of Tire Break-In on Force and Moment Properties," SAE Technical Paper 770870, 1977, <https://doi.org/10.4271/770870>.

Pottinger, M. and Marshall, K., "The Effect of Tire Aging on Force and Moment Properties of Radial Tires," SAE Technical Paper 810066, 1981, <https://doi.org/10.4271/810066>.

Schuring, D., Pelz, W., and Pottinger, M., "A Model for Combined Tire Cornering and Braking Forces," SAE Technical Paper 960180, 1996, <https://doi.org/10.4271/960180>.

Pottinger, M., Pelz, W., Pottinger, D., and Winkler, C., "Truck Tire Wet Traction: Effects of Water Depth, Speed, Tread Depth, Inflation, and Load," SAE Technical Paper 962153, 1996, <https://doi.org/10.4271/962153>.

2.1.2 ASTM Publications

Available from ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428.-2959, United States, www.astm.org.

ASTM F2870 Standard Specification for 315/70R22.5 154/150L Radial Truck Standard Reference Test Tire

2.1.3 Tire and Rim Association Publications

Available from Tire and Rim Association, Inc., 4000 Embassy Parkway, Suite 390, Akron, OH 44333, www.us-tra.org.

XXXX Yearbook, The Tire and Rim Association, Inc. (XXXX stands for the current year)

NOTE: European Tyre and Rim Technical Organisation publications available from the European Tyre and Rim Technical Organisation, 78/80, rue Defacqz B-1060 Brussels, Belgium, Tel: 32-3-344-40-59, www.etrto.org.

2.2 Related Publications

The following publications are provided for information purposes only and are not a required part of this SAE Technical Report.

2.2.1 OSHA Publications

Available from U.S. Department of Labor/OSHA, 200 Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20210, Tel: 800-321-6742, www.osha.gov/pls/publications/pubindex.list.

OSHA Standard 1910.77 - Available in wall chart form as #TTMP-7/95 from the Rubber Manufacturers Association, 1400 K St., NW, Suite 900, Washington, DC 20005

2.2.2 NIST Publications

Available from National Sanitation Foundation International, P.O. Box 130140, 789 N. Dixboro Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, Tel: 734-769-8010, Toll Free (USA): 800-NSF-MARK, www.nsf.org.

NIST Handbook 105-1 Specifications and Tolerances for Reference Standards and Field Standard Weights and Measures (NIST Class F) - Available electronically at <http://ts.nist.gov/ts/htdocs/230/235/105-1.pdf>.

2.2.3 Other Publications

Pottinger, M.G., Tapia, G.A., Winkler, C.B., and Pelz, W. (1995). Straight-Line Braking Test for Heavy Duty Truck Tires (Paper #45). American Chemical Society Mtg.

Trevorrow, N. and Wright, B. (2011). *Understanding the impact of pavement surfaces from next generation freight vehicles: literature review* (Report No. AP-T174/11). Austroads.

<https://austroads.com.au/publications/asset-management/ap-t174-11>.

3. DEFINITIONS

The definitions, which follow, are of special meaning in this document and are either not contained in other documents or are worded somewhat differently in this document.

NOTE: Definitions and symbols in this document employ the SAE J670 Superseded Tire Axis System (called the Historic SAE Tire Axis System in SAE J2047), which is described in Appendix C of SAE J670.

3.1 TEST

Execution of the procedure described in this document one time on one tire.

3.2 TEST PROGRAM

A test program is a designed experiment involving a set of the tests described in this document.

4. NOMENCLATURE

Table 1 lists the symbols used in this document.

Table 1 - Symbols defined

Symbol	Defined Term
α	Slip Angle
C	Force and Moment Interaction Matrix
F _{ACT}	Force and Moment Corrected for Interactions
F _{SEN}	Force and Moment Sensed by Measuring System
F _{cal}	Forces and Moments Applied During Calibration
F _X	Longitudinal Force
F _Y	Lateral Force
F _Z	Normal Force
γ	Inclination Angle
M _X	Overturning Moment
M _Z	Aligning Moment
ω	Spin Angular Velocity About the Wheel Spindle
p	Inflation Pressure
R ₁	Loaded Radius
S	Test Speed
SR	Slip Ratio
T _A	Ambient Temperature
T _S	Spindle Torque

5. APPARATUS

5.1 Conventional Laboratory Machines

A conventional laboratory machine for performing truck tire force and moment testing according to this document is comprised of three systems: a simulated roadway, a loading and positioning system, and a measuring system. Table 2 specifies the applicable setting accuracies with respect to test speed, loading, and positioning. Table 2 also specifies ideal control setting rates for machines capable of performing not only this test but also other related tests such as free-rolling cornering and straight-line braking.

NOTE: Torque control is not addressed in Table 2 because the test only involves slip ratio ramping and torque control is only practical for slip ratio magnitudes less than the slip ratio associated with peak friction. Furthermore, torque control requires the test machine to generate torque with a drive system.

Table 2 - Laboratory machine control setting accuracies and ideal rates

Setting	Least Acceptable Setting Accuracy	
	SI Units	USC Units
Test Speed	±1.0 km/h	±0.6 mph
Normal Force	±1% of full scale	±1% of full scale
Slip Angle ⁽¹⁾	±0.05 degree	±0.05 degree
Inclination Angle ⁽¹⁾	±0.05 degree	±0.05 degree
Spin Angular Velocity ⁽²⁾	±10 rpm	±10 rpm
Rate	Ideal Maximum Rate	
Normal Force	≥8900 N/s	≥2000 lb/s
Slip Angle	≥5 degrees/s	≥5 degrees/s
Spin Angular Velocity	≥1200 rpm/s	≥1200 rpm/s
Inclination Angle ⁽¹⁾	≥1 degree/s	≥1 degree/s

⁽¹⁾ Slip angle (α) and inclination angle (γ) are not required nor used in this recommended practice. They are provided for reference should anyone wish to build a machine for more general tests.

⁽²⁾ Precise control of spin angular velocity (ω) would only be possible in the case of an ideal machine using a drive system to apply torque to the test tire. It is not necessary to set a given steady-state ω level in this recommended practice; it is only necessary that the machine generate a braking ramp that sweeps through the required slip ratio (SR) range defined in Section 9 within the specified test time.

5.1.1 Simulated Roadway

The simulated roadway shall be a surface coated with an abrasive material. Efforts should be made to ensure the stability of the frictional properties of the abrasive material through a control tire testing procedure such as the example included in Section 7 or similar quality control procedure. The roadway shall be maintained free of loose materials and deposits.

NOTE: Frictional characteristics for the simulated road surface, including acceptable changes in friction characteristics during testing (surface endurance), are not defined. However, simulated road surface friction properties should align to expected on-highway road surface conditions for the tire being characterized.

5.1.1.1 The roadway shall be wide enough to support the entire tire footprint. Ideally, the active width would be 800 mm (31.5 inches) to ensure that the widest standardized or currently envisioned tire (e.g., 605/70R20.5, 24R20.5, etc.) could be tested.

5.1.1.2 The roadway and its supporting structure shall be sufficiently rigid so as to not change appreciably in either transverse or longitudinal curvature or angular orientation under the maximum test loads, torques, and slip angles applied in this document.

5.1.1.3 The simulated roadway shall be flat.

NOTE: Straight-line braking data to support this requirement does not exist. However, it is certainly correct for a general-purpose machine. The correctness of this requirement is inferred from the distortion of free-rolling force and moment properties by roadway curvature (refer to SAE Technical Paper 760029) - available test data imply the need for an impractically large round wheel machine to provide data indistinguishable from flat surface data.

5.1.1.4 The drive system shall be capable of operating the roadway at the test speed, S . An ideal drive system would permit speeds between 10 and 120 km/h (6 and 75 mph). Test speed affects tire force and moment data in braking (refer to SAE Technical Paper 962153). Therefore, it is desirable to specify test speed, S , as realistically as possible, consistent with the test machine's capabilities.

5.1.1.5 Ambient Temperature, T_A , shall be maintained within the allowable range specified in Sections 8 and 9. Ambient temperature affects tire temperature, and tire temperature affects tire force and moment data (refer to SAE Technical Paper 770870).

5.1.2 Loading and Positioning System

The system positions the tire with respect to the roadway and loads it against the roadway surface at the normal forces, F_z , specified in Section 9. The system shall accommodate the tire sizes to be tested.

5.1.2.1 The loading and positioning system shall accommodate tire-wheel-assemblies with diameters and widths required by users. An ideal system would accommodate rims from 15X6.00 to 24.5X16, allowing testing of tires between 800 and 1400 mm (31.5 and 55.0 inches) in outside diameter with section widths up to 645 mm (25.4 inches).

5.1.2.2 The loading mechanism shall be able to exert the normal forces required by Section 9 for the tire sizes to be tested. An ideal loading system would be able to exert normal forces magnitudes of up to 140 kN (31500 pounds).

5.1.2.3 The positioning system and its supporting structure shall provide a slip angle, α . It shall, as a minimum, permit incremental setting of slip angles from -7 to $+7$ degrees. An ideal slip angle setting system would be able to continuously set slip angles from -20 to $+20$ degrees at a minimum.

5.1.2.4 For this test, the positioning system and its supporting structure shall provide an inclination angle of $\gamma = 0$ degrees ± 0.1 degree. If inclination angle setting capability is provided as part of a general machine, the positioning system and its supporting structure shall, as a minimum, permit incremental setting of inclination angles from -8 to $+8$ degrees.

5.1.3 Measuring System

The measuring system shall, at a minimum, be capable of measuring these data: aligning moment (M_z), lateral force (F_y), longitudinal force (F_x), normal force (F_z), test speed (S), slip angle (α), ambient temperature (T_A), and spin angular velocity about the wheel spindle (ω). The individual results for all channels shall be corrected for tare. Force and moment interactions shall be corrected, for example by a matrix method.

The ideal measuring system should be capable of measuring these data: aligning moment (M_z), ambient temperature (T_A), inclination angle (γ) (if the positioning system permits tire inclination), inflation pressure (p), lateral force (F_y), loaded radius (R_l), longitudinal force (F_x), normal force (F_z), overturning moment (M_x), test speed (S), slip angle (α), spin angular velocity about the wheel spindle (ω), and spindle torque (T_s). Section 6 provides a matrix correction example for an ideal machine capable of measuring three forces and three moments.

5.1.3.1 The range of measurement for each individual channel must include the expected result range for the test tire in question when tested according to Section 9. Table 3 provides a set of ranges consistent with the ideal capacities of the roadway and loading and positioning systems expressed in this document. An ideal machine would have the ability to perform all the measurements for which system ranges are provided in Table 3.

Table 3 - Measuring system ideal ranges

Measurement	Full Scale Range SI Units	Full Scale Range USC Units
Aligning Moment ⁽¹⁾	0 kNm ± 11 kNm	0 ft-lb ± 8.100 ft-lb
Ambient Temperature ⁽¹⁾	−40 to 50 °C	−40 to 122 °F
Inclination Angle ⁽¹⁾	0 degrees ± 10 degrees	0 degrees ± 10 degrees
Inflation Pressure ⁽¹⁾	0 to 1500 kPa	0 to 215 psi
Lateral Force ⁽¹⁾	0 kN ± 140 kN	0 pounds ± 31500 pounds
Loaded Radius ⁽¹⁾	350 to 675 mm	14.5 to 27.5 inches
Longitudinal Force	0 kN ± 140 kN	0 pounds ± 31500 pounds
Normal Force	0 to −140 kN	0 to −31500 pounds
Overturning Moment ⁽¹⁾	0 kNm ± 33 kNm	0 ft-lb ± 24300 ft-lb
Test Speed	0 to 160 km/h	0 to 100 mph
Slip Angle ⁽¹⁾	0 degrees ± 20 degrees	0 degrees ± 20 degrees
Spin Angular Velocity	0 rpm ± 1200 rpm	0 rpm ± 1200 rpm
Spindle Torque ⁽¹⁾	0 Nm ± 94500 Nm	0 ft-lb ± 70000 ft-lb

⁽¹⁾ This measurement is recommended for an ideal machine, but not required for this test.

NOTE: Braking Force is the equivalent of negative longitudinal force (refer to SAE J2047).

5.1.3.2 The measurement accuracy for each channel shall be equal to or better than that listed in Table 4.

Table 4 - Laboratory machine measuring system accuracies

Measurement	Accuracy ⁽²⁾
Aligning Moment ⁽¹⁾	±0.5% of full scale
Ambient Temperature ⁽¹⁾	±0.5 °C (±1.0 °F)
Inclination Angle ⁽¹⁾	±0.01 degree
Inflation Pressure ⁽¹⁾	±3.5 kPa (±0.50 psi)
Lateral Force ⁽¹⁾	±0.5% of full scale
Loaded Radius ⁽¹⁾	±0.5 mm (±0.020 inch)
Longitudinal Force	±0.5% of full scale
Normal Force	±0.5% of full scale
Overturning Moment ⁽¹⁾	±0.5% of full scale
Test Speed	±0.5% of full scale
Slip Angle ⁽¹⁾	±0.01 degree
Spin Angular Velocity	±5 rpm
Spindle Torque ⁽¹⁾	±0.5% of full scale

⁽¹⁾ This measurement is not required or used in this document. Information is provided should anyone desire to build a machine suitable for more general testing.

⁽²⁾ This applies to a single sample with loading of only the measurement channel being examined.

5.1.3.3 The A/D converters used must have a 16 bit or greater resolution.

5.2 Over-the-Road Machines

An over-the-road machine for performing truck tire force and moment testing according to this document is comprised of three systems: a mobility system, a loading and positioning system, and a measuring system. Table 5 specifies the applicable setting accuracies with respect to test speed, loading, and positioning, plus ideal control setting rates.

Table 5 - Over-the-road machine control setting accuracies and ideal rates⁽¹⁾

Setting	Least Acceptable Setting Accuracy	
	SI Units	USC Units
Test Speed	±5% of test speed S	±5% of test speed S
Normal Force	±3% of test requirement S	±3% of full scale S
Slip Angle	±0.50 degree	±0.50 degree
Inclination Angle ⁽²⁾	±0.50 degree	±0.50 degree
Rate	Ideal Maximum Rate	
Normal Force	≥8900 N/s	≥2000 lb/s
Slip Angle	≥5 degrees/s	≥5 degrees/s
Inclination Angle ⁽²⁾	≥1 degree/s	≥1 degree/s

⁽¹⁾ Precise control of Spin Angular Velocity (ω) would require impractically bulky equipment in the case of an over-the-road machine. Therefore, control of ω is ignored as a feature of an Ideal Over-the-Road Machine. For the purposes of this practice, it is only necessary that the machine generate a braking ramp that sweeps through the required Slip Ratio (SR) range defined in Section 9 within the specified test time.

⁽²⁾ Inclination angle (γ) is not required and is not used in this document. It is provided should anyone desire to build a machine for more general tests.

NOTE 1: The road surface chosen to be the test surface is fundamental in this experiment. It is not discussed as a separate section as in the case of the laboratory test machine. However, test surface frictional characteristics should be defined within the context of the friction spectrum of highways. Further, the question of the change in the frictional characteristics with time (surface endurance) should be investigated. These are subjects which should be resolved through research and that should be in line with the requirements of the objectives of the test data being collected.

NOTE 2: Torque control is not considered for the reason discussed in the note in 5.1.

5.2.1 Mobility System

The mobility system shall be capable of moving the loading and positioning system over the test road at the test speed specified by the test engineer. An ideal mobility system would permit speeds between 1 and 160 km/h (0.6 and 100 mph). Test speed affects tire force and moment data in braking (refer to SAE Technical Paper 962153). Therefore, it is desirable to specify test speed as realistically as possible consistent with the test machine's capabilities.

5.2.2 Loading and Positioning System

The system positions the tire with respect to the road and loads it against the road surface at the normal forces specified in Section 9. The system shall accommodate the tire sizes to be tested.

5.2.2.1 The loading and positioning system shall accommodate tire-wheel-assemblies with diameters and widths required by users. An ideal system would accommodate rims from 15X6.00 to 24.5X16, allowing testing of tires between 800 to 1400 mm (31.5 to 55.0 inches) in outside diameter with section widths up to 645 mm (25.4 inches).

5.2.2.2 The loading mechanism shall be able to exert the normal forces required by Section 9 for the tire sizes to be tested. An ideal loading system would be able to exert normal force magnitudes of up to 140 kN (31500 pounds).

5.2.2.3 The positioning system and its supporting structure shall, as a minimum, permit incremental setting of slip angles from -7 to +7 degrees. An ideal slip angle setting system would be able to continuously set slip angles at least from -20 to +20 degrees.

5.2.2.4 If inclination angle setting capability is provided, the positioning system and its supporting structure shall permit incremental setting of inclination angles from at least -5 to +5 degrees. An ideal inclination angle setting system would be able to continuously set inclination angles from -10 to +10 degrees.

5.2.3 Measuring System

The measuring system shall be capable of measuring at least: longitudinal force (F_x), normal force (F_z), test speed (S), and spin angular velocity about the wheel spindle (ω). The individual measurements for all channels shall be corrected for tare weight. Interactions shall be systematically corrected by an established procedure (for example, using a matrix method or other method).

The ideal measuring system should be capable of measuring: aligning moment (M_z), ambient temperature (T_A), inclination angle (γ) (if the positioning system permits tire inclination), inflation pressure (p), lateral force (F_y), loaded radius (R_1), longitudinal force (F_x), normal force (F_z), overturning moment (M_x), test speed (S), slip angle (α), spin angular velocity about the wheel spindle (ω), and spindle torque (T_s). Section 6 provides a matrix correction example for an ideal machine, which measures three forces and three moments.

5.2.3.1 The range of measurement for each individual channel must include the expected result range for the test tire in question when tested according to Section 9. Table 3 provides a set of ranges consistent with the ideal capacities loading and positioning systems expressed in this document. An ideal machine would have the ability to perform all the measurements for which system ranges are provided in Table 3.

5.2.3.2 The measurement accuracy for each channel shall be equal to or better than that listed in Table 6.

Table 6 - Over-the-road machine measuring system accuracies

Measurement	Accuracy ⁽¹⁾
Aligning Moment ⁽²⁾	±1.0% of full scale
Ambient Temperature ⁽²⁾	±0.5 °C (±1.0 °F)
Inclination Angle ⁽²⁾	±0.1 degree
Inflation Pressure ⁽²⁾	±3.5 kPa (±0.50 psi)
Lateral Force ⁽²⁾	±1.0% of full scale
Loaded Radius ⁽²⁾	±1.0 mm (±0.040 inch)
Longitudinal Force	±1.0% of full scale
Normal Force	±1.0% of full scale
Overturning Moment ⁽²⁾	±1.0% of full scale
Slip Angle ⁽²⁾	±0.1 degree
Spin Angular Velocity	±5 rpm
Spindle Torque ⁽²⁾	±1.0% of full scale
Test Speed	±0.5% of full scale

⁽¹⁾ This applies to a single sample with loading of only the measurement channel being examined.

⁽²⁾ This measurement is not required or used in this document. Information is provided should anyone desire to build a machine suitable for more general testing.

5.2.3.3 The A/D converters used must have a 16 bit or greater resolution.

5.3 Hybrid - Laboratory Machines Over Pavement

The Hybrid system described in this section for performing truck tire force and moment testing according to this document is comprised of four systems: an automated mobility system, a loading and positioning system, a measuring system, and a paved road surface protected from the environment.

Hybrid systems are designed to provide the repeatability of a laboratory machine combined with the accuracy of a paved road surface while being protected from extreme changes in environmental conditions. Unlike Mobile systems described in 5.2, hybrid machines may be automated to remove variability in speeds and test locations and positions, may utilize rail systems to constrain vehicle motion, and are protected from external environmental conditions.