

SEMI S14-1103

SAFETY GUIDELINES FOR FIRE RISK ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION FOR SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING EQUIPMENT

These safety guidelines were technically approved by the Global Environmental Health and Safety Committee and are the direct responsibility of the North American Environmental Health and Safety Committee. Current edition approved by the North American Regional Standards Committee on September 3, 2003. Initially available at www.semi.org October 2003; to be published November 2003. Originally published February 2000; previously published November 2002.

1 Purpose

1.1 This document provides considerations to the manufacturers of semiconductor manufacturing equipment that will assist them in assessing and mitigating the risk to equipment and product associated with fire and combustion by-products.

1.2 Although these guidelines are written in the form of an assessment tool, they are intended for use throughout the design and development of semiconductor manufacturing equipment.

1.3 These guidelines may also be used by the users of such equipment and by other interested parties to assess and compare the described risks of various equipment designs or in the design and evaluation of ancillary equipment or modifications.

1.4 These guidelines are not intended to specify which techniques (e.g., selection of materials or detection systems) are to be used to mitigate fire risk. These guidelines do, however, recommend that the traditional risk management hierarchy of elimination, engineering controls, administrative controls, warning and work practices be followed.

1.5 The appropriate application of these guidelines will result in a report which identifies, analyzes and assesses residual fire risks.

2 Scope

2.1 These guidelines apply to equipment used to manufacture, measure, assemble, and test semiconductor products which is intended to be located in clean-rooms used for semiconductor manufacturing processes or areas within their recirculation airstream. They apply to all of the components of the equipment, as described herein.

NOTE 1: This document is not limited to the structural or large-area components of equipment.

2.2 This document applies to fire risks originating within the subject equipment that may result in damage to it, other equipment, products, or the facility.

2.3 This document identifies considerations for assessing the fire risk of semiconductor manufacturing

equipment, means of categorizing the risks, and means of mitigating the risks.

NOTICE: This safety guideline does not purport to address all of the safety issues associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the users of this safety guideline to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory or other limitations prior to use.

3 Limitations

3.1 These guidelines do not establish acceptance criteria for residual risk.

3.2 This document recommends a hierarchy of approaches (e.g., elimination of a hazard is preferable to an engineering control) but neither prescribes which specific risk mitigation methods (e.g., change of material or elimination of an ignition source) are to be used nor ranks their relative merit.

3.3 These guidelines apply to the protection of property; they do not apply to the protection of personnel.

NOTE 2: Protection of personnel from fire risk is within the scope of SEMI S2.

3.4 This document applies to equipment in its scope when the equipment is used in the environment specified by the equipment supplier. Specifically, it does not apply to the behavior of equipment when it is subject to an external fire.

3.5 This document is not intended to be used to assess compliance with regulatory requirements, nor is it intended to be adopted as regulation.

3.6 Because of these limitations, it may be appropriate for purchasers of equipment to specify the applicable codes and standards and the acceptable level of residual risk.

NOTE 3: Applicable regional, and national codes, international regulations, and the equipment manufacturer's and user's requirements must also be considered. When a conflict exists, the regional and national codes take precedence.

4 Referenced Standards

4.1 SEMI Standards

SEMI E10 — Specification for Definition and Measurement of Equipment Reliability, Availability, and Maintainability (RAM)

SEMI E70 — Guide for Tool Accommodation Process

SEMI S2 — Environmental, Health, and Safety Guideline for Semiconductor Manufacturing Equipment

SEMI S7 — Safety Guidelines for Environmental, Safety, and Health (ESH) Evaluation of Semiconductor Manufacturing Equipment

SEMI S10 — Safety Guideline for Risk Assessment and Risk Evaluation Process

4.2 NFPA Documents¹

National Fire Protection Association: NFPA 12 — Standard on Carbon Dioxide Fire Extinguishing Systems

National Fire Protection Association: NFPA 13 — Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems

National Fire Protection Association: NFPA 72 — National Fire Alarm Code

National Fire Protection Association: NFPA 2001 — Standard on Clean Agent Fire Extinguishing Systems

4.3 Factory Mutual Documents²

Factory Mutual Research Corp. Standard 4910 — Clean Room Materials Flammability Test Protocol

4.4 Underwriters Laboratory Documents³

Underwriters Laboratory Standard 94 — Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances

Underwriters Laboratories Standard 746A — Polymeric Materials - Short Term Property Evaluations

Underwriters Laboratories Standard 746B — Polymeric Materials - Long Term Property Evaluations

Underwriters Laboratories Standard 746C — Polymeric Materials - Use in Electrical Equipment Evaluations

NOTICE: Unless otherwise indicated, all documents cited shall be the latest published versions.

1 National Fire Protection Association, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02269, Website: www.nfpa.org

2 Factory Mutual Research Corp., 1151 Boston-Providence Turnpike, Norwood, MA 02062, phone: 781-762-4300, fax: 781-762-9375, website: <http://www.factorymutual.com>

3 Underwriters Laboratory, 333 Pfingsten Rd, Northbrook, IL 60062

5 Terminology

5.1 Definitions

5.1.1 *abort switch* — a switch which, when activated, interrupts the activation sequence of a detection or suppression system.

5.1.2 *accredited testing laboratory* — an independent organization dedicated to the testing of components, devices, or systems; competent to perform evaluations based on established safety standards and recognized by a governmental or regulatory body.

5.1.3 *cleanroom* — a confined area in which the humidity, temperature, particulate matter, and contamination are precisely controlled within specified parameters (SEMI E70).

5.1.4 *combustible liquid* — a liquid that will burn and has a flash point at or above 37.8°C (100°F).

5.1.4.1 For the purpose of this guideline, a combustible liquid, when used by a system capable (under normal or single-fault conditions) of heating it above its flash point, is considered a flammable liquid.

5.1.5 *combustible material* — for the purpose of this document, a combustible material is any material which does not meet the definitions in this section for noncombustible materials.

NOTE 4: A list of criteria, guidelines and standards that may be used to evaluate the fire properties of materials is included in Appendix 2.

5.1.6 *downtime* — the time when the equipment is not in a condition, or is not available, to perform its intended function. It does not include any portion of non-scheduled time. [SEMI E10]

NOTE 5: Downtime may be considered according to the definition of SEMI E10, assuming committed availability of the parts in question.

5.1.7 *flammable liquid* — a liquid having a flash point below 37.8°C (100°F).

5.1.8 *hazard* — a condition that is a prerequisite to a mishap.

5.1.9 *hazardous voltage* — unless otherwise defined by an appropriate international standard applicable to the equipment, voltages greater than 30 volts rms, 42.4 volts peak, 60 volts dc are defined in this document as hazardous voltage.

NOTE 6: The specified levels are based on normal conditions in a dry location environment.

5.1.10 *ignition energy* — sufficient energy to ignite a combustible material. The energy required depends on the form of the energy and the composition and form of the combustible material.

NOTE 7: The combustible material may be solid, liquid, or gas.

5.1.11 *likelihood* — the expected frequency with which a mishap will occur. Usually expressed as a rate (e.g., events per year, per product, per wafer processed).

5.1.12 *mishap* — an unplanned event or series of events that results in death, injury, occupational illness, damage to or loss of equipment or property, or environmental damage.

5.1.13 *noncombustible material* — a material that, in the form in which it is used and under the conditions anticipated, will not ignite, burn, support combustion, or release flammable vapors when subjected to fire or heat. Typical noncombustible materials are metals, ceramics, and silica materials (e.g., glass and quartz).

5.1.14 *non-recycling deadman-type abort switch* — a type of abort switch that must be constantly held closed for the abort of the detection or suppression system. In addition, it does not restart or interrupt any time delay sequence for the detection or suppression system when it is activated.

5.1.15 *process chemicals* — solids, liquids, and gases used in the normal use of the equipment included in the scope of this document.

NOTE 8: Solids, liquids, and gases used in the maintenance procedures (e.g., isopropanol used as a cleaning agent) specified by the equipment supplier should be considered as well as those used in operation.

5.1.16 *pyrophoric material* — a chemical that will spontaneously ignite in air at or below a temperature of 54.4°C (130°F).

5.1.17 *residual risk* — that risk which remains after engineering, administrative, and work practice controls have been implemented.

5.1.18 *risk* — the expected losses from a mishap, expressed in terms of severity and likelihood.

5.1.19 *safe shutdown condition* — a condition in which all hazardous energy sources are removed and hazardous production materials are removed or contained, unless this results in additional hazardous conditions.

5.1.20 *semiconductor manufacturing process* — those manufacturing steps which are part of the creation of active or passive electrical devices on a semiconducting wafer, including the deposition of passivation layers after final metallization, but excluding testing and dicing.

5.1.21 *severity* — the extent of the worst credible loss from a mishap caused by a specific hazard.

5.1.22 *supervisory alarm* — an alarm indicating a supervisory condition.

5.1.23 *supervisory condition* — a condition in which action or maintenance is needed to restore or continue proper function.

5.1.24 *trouble alarm* — an alarm indicating a trouble condition.

5.1.25 *trouble condition* — a condition in which there is a fault in a system, subsystem or component that may interfere with proper function.

6 Fire Risk Assessment

6.1 Overview

6.1.1 This section provides criteria for evaluation of the risks associated with several types of fire hazards.

6.1.2 For each identified hazard described in Section 6, the evaluator should analyze the contributing, causal, and mitigating factors. The evaluator should also review any assessment of the material, component, or equipment as a whole by an accredited testing laboratory.

6.1.3 The risk assessment should include both normal operation and the consequence of reasonably foreseeable, single-point failures within the equipment. It should not include exposure to fire or external ignition sources not within the intended use environment.

6.1.4 Certifications by an accredited testing laboratory of materials, components, or the equipment as a whole may be used in the fire risk assessment. However, such certifications are valid only to the extent that the conditions of use for the certification correlate to the conditions of use for the equipment whose fire risk is being assessed.

NOTE 9: For example, a personal computer certified to IEC 60950 might be incorporated into equipment for use as a controller. The material flammability requirements of IEC 60950 may or may not be sufficient for this use of the personal computer, depending upon its exposure to oxidizers and external sources of ignition when incorporated into the equipment. Also, the levels of smoke tolerable in the environment for which a general industry standard was written may exceed those tolerable in semiconductor manufacturing cleanrooms. Furthermore, if the equipment is intended to be used in a potentially explosive atmosphere, the certification to IEC 60950 would not sufficiently control its risk of being a source of ignition.

6.1.5 The consequences and the probability of fire from each identified hazard should be assessed and rated, as described in SEMI S10. See Appendix 1 for the criteria used for the assignments of Severity, Likelihood and Risk in this document.

6.1.5.1 The assigned Severity and Likelihood and resulting Risk category should be recorded as part of the analysis.

6.1.5.2 For those hazards for which the Risk depends on the conditions of use or use environment, the equipment supplier should make and state appropriate assumptions. If the equipment supplier is unable to make appropriate assumptions as to the Likelihood because it depends predominantly on factors in the users' sole control (e.g., adherence to specified procedures), the supplier should state that and provide an estimate of the Severity.

NOTE 10: The facilities requirements on which the risk assessment depends are to be specified by the equipment supplier.

6.1.6 For fire to occur, there must be a fuel, an oxidizer and a source of ignition. Elimination of any of these factors eliminates the risk of fire. Similarly, the Severity, Likelihood and Risk of a fire can be reduced by reducing one or more of these elements appropriately.

6.1.7 It is important to remember that there are often implicit oxidizers (e.g., room air) and sources of ignition (e.g., room temperature for a pyrophoric material).

6.1.8 The fire risk assessment should include the judgement of a qualified (as described in SEMI S7) party to determine the level of detail of the assessment. That party may group similar hazards for assessment and reporting.

NOTE 11: Such grouping could include, for example, all of the knobs and buttons of similar materials into one group, the heated surfaces into a second, and the several flammable liquids with similar properties into a third.

6.2 Fuels

6.2.1 Fuels include all those materials capable of reacting with an oxidizer in a fire. The risks of both the materials of which the equipment is constructed and the process chemicals used in it should be assessed.

6.2.2 Materials of Construction

6.2.2.1 The risk assessment should include all of the materials of construction, regardless of quantity or application.

NOTE 12: This includes small parts, such as knobs, buttons, electrical contactors, terminal strips, circuit boards, signal wire and power wiring as well as large components, modules (such as mini-environments) and subassemblies.

NOTE 13: Some of the smallest components can create a high risk, as in the potential for ignition by adiabatic compression of fluoropolymer seats in high pressure oxygen valves.

NOTE 14: The assessment can be simplified by grouping similar items together. Example 1: wiring that has the same type of insulation. Example 2: knobs, switches, handles and latches made from similar polymers. Identified groups should include their approximate total mass.

6.2.2.2 In assessing the risk, the evaluator should consider:

- the size of each component,
- the total quantity and distribution of similar components,
- the inherent properties of the material, such as ignitability, flame spread, heat of combustion, and byproducts (see Appendix 2 for guidance on evaluation of these properties),
- the exposure to oxidizers, and
- the exposure to ignition sources.

NOTE 15: The specification control of materials used in approved electrical components should also be considered. Approvals may allow for substitution of many materials with equivalent flammability ratings.

NOTE 16: The inherent properties of materials affect two types of risk within the equipment: flame spread risk and contamination risk (i.e., smoke damage from combustion byproducts). In the case of electrical and electronic components used in assemblies and equipment that are constructed and used in accordance with applicable standards (e.g., IEC 61010-1, IEC 60204-1), flame spread risk may be adequately controlled by the standard, and detailed assessment of the material properties of such components that affect flame spread may not be warranted. However, a significant contamination risk could still remain (e.g., a standard may allow a component mounted on a printed circuit board to fail and burn, as long as the burning remains local to the printed circuit board) and warrant a detailed assessment of related material properties of the components. The level of detail of the assessment may also be affected by the mitigation method chosen (see Section 7).

6.2.3 Process Chemicals

6.2.3.1 This portion of the risk assessment should include all of the process chemicals expected (based on the equipment supplier's recommended or baseline processes) to be used in the equipment. It should also include flammable and combustible wastes generated or collected within the equipment and fluids in the equipment which are required for its operation but which do not participate chemically in the process (e.g., vacuum pump oil).

6.2.3.2 In assessing the risk, the evaluator should consider:

- the quantity, concentration, state, temperature, and pressure of each chemical in each container,

- for those chemicals supplied automatically by the facility, the available flow, pressure, and total quantity,
- the aggregate supplies and distribution of similar chemicals,
- the inherent properties of the chemicals, such as flammable limits, flash point, autoignition temperature, heat of combustion, and the products of combustion and decomposition (see the Material Safety Data Sheets for guidance on evaluation of these properties),
- the exposure to oxidizers, and
- the exposure to ignition sources.

NOTE 17: Chemicals with similar fire properties may be considered together for the purpose of this assessment.

6.3 Sources of Ignition

6.3.1 Sources of ignition can be broadly divided into those within the equipment and those external to it.

6.3.2 Internal Sources

6.3.2.1 Potential electrical ignition sources:

- devices or conditions that in normal operation can generate ignition energy (e.g., heaters, static electricity, lasers);
- devices or conditions that in reasonably foreseeable assembly, use and/or wear conditions can generate ignition energy (e.g., power connectors, terminal strips);
- devices that in reasonably foreseeable single point failure modes can generate ignition energy (e.g., transformers, electronic components); and
- short circuits.

6.3.2.2 Potential chemical ignition sources:

- exothermic process chemical reactions,
- exothermic reactions from inadvertent mixing of process chemicals,
- exothermic reactions between process chemicals and materials of construction, and
- release of pyrophoric or air-reactive chemicals from processing or maintenance.

6.3.2.3 Sudden changes in process conditions:

- rapid (sometimes called “adiabatic”) compression of gas mixtures, and
- rapid increases in temperature.

6.3.2.4 Mechanical friction

6.3.3 External Sources

6.3.3.1 External sources include expected and foreseen conditions of the equipment’s use. As these are factors normally outside the control of the equipment supplier, their risks are difficult to assess. They are outside the scope of this document.

6.4 Oxidizers

6.4.1 The most common oxidizer is air, which is present within and around most semiconductor manufacturing equipment. Unless specific measures are taken to exclude air (e.g., inert pressurizing of an electrical enclosure), it should be assumed to be available in infinite supply.

6.4.2 It is possible that some materials of construction will act as oxidizers or will yield oxidizers when subjected to heat.

6.4.3 Several common process chemicals (e.g., oxygen and hydrogen peroxide) are oxidizers. Their risks should be assessed in a manner similar to that described above for process chemicals that are fuels.

6.5 Reporting

6.5.1 Although these guidelines are intended for use throughout the design and development process, it is not the intent of these guidelines that the equipment supplier should make all of the information recorded during the development of the equipment available to others. The equipment supplier should document the fire risk assessment and mitigation in three forms: internal records, a final fire risk assessment report, and a summary report.

6.5.2 Relevant analyses, assessments, and design decisions should be documented in the equipment supplier’s internal records. These records need not, however, be made available to other parties.

6.5.3 Final Fire Risk Assessment Report

6.5.3.1 This report should contain an itemized list of the residual risks identified in reviewing the final design, considering the risks described in the preceding subsections or otherwise known or foreseen by the evaluator. Only those risks meeting the criteria in Appendix 1 should be included. For each identified residual risk, the report should:

- explain the mechanism of loss or damage;
- identify aggravating or necessary contributing factors;
- identify the mitigating factors;
- state the assigned Severity for each type of loss and present the rationale for its assignment. A “type”

is a column in Table A1-1, e.g., “Equipment Physical Damage”;

- state the assigned Likelihood and present the rationale for its assignment; and
- state the resulting Risk category.

NOTE 18: Criteria for risks may be found in Appendix 1, Sections A1-2.5 through A1-2.8.

6.5.3.2 This report should also describe the fire risk mitigation techniques included in the equipment design.

6.5.3.3 The final fire risk assessment report should be prepared or reviewed by a party qualified, as described in SEMI S7, to do such work.

NOTE 19: This party may be an employee of the equipment supplier or may be a third party.

6.5.3.4 When this guideline is being used as part of a SEMI S2 equipment evaluation, the manufacturer should make the final fire risk assessment report available to the party performing the SEMI S2 evaluation.

6.5.3.5 The equipment supplier may make the final fire risk assessment report available to other parties.

6.5.4 Summary Report

6.5.4.1 The summary report should be prepared from the final fire risk assessment report and contain:

- a list of the residual fire risks and their ratings, and
- brief descriptions of the fire risk mitigation techniques included in the equipment.

6.5.4.2 The equipment supplier should provide the summary report to users of the equipment and may provide it to other parties.

7 Fire Risk Mitigation

7.1 Overview

7.1.1 This section describes several means of mitigating the risks of fire. It first describes ways in which the equipment and its use can be designed to minimize the risks. It also describes the use of detection and suppression systems.

NOTE 20: The description of a mitigation technique in this section is not intended to imply that such technique should be used for each system. Therefore, the decision to include a particular mitigation technique, such as detection or suppression, should be based on the assessed risk.

7.1.2 In mitigating risks, the general hierarchy of elimination, engineering controls, administrative controls, warning and work practices should be followed. Following this hierarchy comprises using techniques which are highest in it if several techniques are equally applicable. Design and use constraints, as

well as relative cost, however, may justify using techniques from generally less preferable categories. In any case, the residual risk should be assessed and reported.

7.2 Fuels

7.2.1 Materials of Construction

7.2.1.1 The lowest fire risk is posed by noncombustible materials of construction. Available noncombustible materials are not, however, suitable or desirable for all applications within semiconductor manufacturing equipment.

NOTE 21: The properties necessary to perform the intended function of system components and the properties necessary to satisfy component-level standards may also restrict the use of noncombustible materials.

7.2.1.2 When materials which are combustible are chosen, the fire risk may be mitigated by:

- selecting those materials with the least undesirable properties as described above; and
- minimizing the total mass and distribution of such materials.

7.2.2 Process Chemicals

7.2.2.1 It may be possible to reduce the fire risk by changing the quantities and species of the chemicals used in the intended processes.

7.2.3 The fire risks of combustible materials of construction and flammable and combustible process chemicals may also be reduced by limiting those factors described in Section 6.

7.3 Sources of Ignition

7.3.1 Potential sources of ignition should be considered in conjunction with the fuels and oxidizers they might ignite.

7.3.2 Risks due to sources of ignition can be mitigated by:

- limiting their number,
- limiting their energy, and
- separating them from combustible materials of construction and from flammable and combustible process chemicals. Separation may be by distance or by barriers.

7.3.3 Equipment in which flammable liquids or gases are used should be assessed to determine if additional precautions (e.g., purging) in the electrical design are necessary.

NOTE 22: NFPA 497 and EN 1127-1 are among the documents that provide methods for making this assessment.

7.4 Oxidizers

7.4.1 Reducing the quantities or pressures of oxidizing process chemicals may reduce the fire risk.

7.4.2 The fire risks of oxidizing process chemicals may also be reduced by limiting those factors described in Section 6.

7.5 Exhaust, Enclosures and Barriers

7.5.1 Mechanical exhaust may reduce the risk of damage to the facility, other equipment, or other portions of the same equipment by limiting the spread of combustion and decomposition products. The mechanical exhaust could be provided by the equipment or by the facility.

7.5.2 Enclosures and barriers within the equipment may reduce the fire risk by separating the fuels and oxidizers from each other and from potential sources of ignition.

7.5.3 These design features may also limit the spread of fire, reducing both the loss of equipment and the emission of combustion and decomposition products.

7.6 Fire Detection, Alarm and Control

7.6.1 The fire detection system, which includes detectors, alarms and their associated controls, should be accepted for the application by an accredited testing laboratory and installed in accordance with the terms of that acceptance. The components should be suitable for the environments in which they are to be used.

7.6.2 The fire detection, alarm and control system should be installed in accordance with an appropriate national or international standard.

NOTE 23: NFPA 72 is such a standard.

7.6.3 The fire detection system should be capable of interfacing with the facility's alarm system. It may be preferable for the equipment supplier to specify the location and performance of detectors, but not provide them, so that the user may better integrate the detection in the equipment with that in the facility. This alternative should be negotiated explicitly with the user.

NOTE 24: Such devices, used solely for internal equipment protection, as opposed to fire risk mitigation, may not require compliance with fire alarm standard or regulations or Subsections 7.6 and 7.7. Some local jurisdictions, however, require that all smoke detectors be connected to building systems.

7.6.4 Activation of the fire detection alarm should be annunciated audibly and visually at the equipment.

7.6.5 Activation of the trouble or supervisory alarm should:

- notify the operator;

- allow the completion of processing of the wafers in the equipment; and
- preclude starting processing of additional wafers until the trouble or supervisory condition is cleared.

NOTE 25: Some local jurisdictions require that such alarms signal the building/facility fire alarm systems.

7.6.6 The fire detection system should be capable of operating at all times, including when equipment is inoperable or in maintenance modes. For the purpose of this section, "inoperable" includes the equipment state after an EMO is activated, and after the equipment has had its hazardous energies isolated (i.e., has been "locked out"). Therefore, the detection system must not require hazardous voltages (e.g., line alternating current) to operate. A battery back-up power supply, capable of sustaining the detection system for 24 hours, should be included.

NOTE 26: The requirements for battery back-up vary among jurisdictions.

EXCEPTION: Maintenance of the fire detection system.

7.6.7 Activation of the fire detection system should shut down the equipment within the shortest time period which allows for safe equipment shutdown.

EXCEPTION: A non-recycling, deadman type abort switch is acceptable on detection systems which are used primarily for equipment shutdown, but not on those used for activation of a suppression system.

EXCEPTION: Activation of the fire detection system should not remove power from fire and appropriate safety systems.

7.7 Fire Suppression

7.7.1 The selection of the suppression agent should consider the effectiveness of the agent, amount and location of storage, method of delivery, and potential for environmental impact, both within the facility and to the community.

7.7.2 The fire suppression agent should be accepted for the application by an Accredited Testing Laboratory.

7.7.3 The fire suppression system should be installed in accordance with an appropriate national or international standard.

NOTE 27: NFPA 12, NFPA 13, and NFPA 2001 are such standards.

7.7.4 Activation of the fire suppression system should be annunciated audibly and visually at the equipment. This is not intended to be separate from the annunciation for detection.