

SUMMARY OF TOPICS

The following changes in requirements to the Standard for Safety of Machinery - Electro-Sensitive Protective Equipment - Part 2: Particular Requirements for Equipment Using Active Opto-Electronic Protective Devices (AOPDs), UL 61496-2, are being proposed for preliminary review and comment only:

1. For Preliminary Review Only: Proposal to Adopt the Third Edition of IEC 61496-2, Safety of Machinery - Electro-Sensitive Protective Equipment - Part 2: Particular Requirements for Equipment Using Active Opto-Electronic Protective Devices (AOPDs), as a UL Standard

COMMENTS DUE: February 9, 2018

This proposal is for review and comment only (no ballot at this time). Please note that comments on a preliminary review document will not receive a response from the proposal author through CSDS. Instead, the proposal author will be asked to review the comments and adjust the proposals and/or supporting rationale as the author determines to be appropriate. The preliminary review process is an informal mechanism that provides authors with the opportunity to refine their proposals before they advance to the next stage in UL's standards development process.

In some cases, the author of the proposals may choose to discontinue them. In this case, the author need not do anything after preliminary review has ended. Normally, the next step in the process is the more formal STP ballot and stakeholder review process. Only comments posted during the STP ballot and stakeholder review process will be provided with a response in CSDS.

UL's goal is to have no interest category comprise more than one-third of the STP membership balance. To improve the current balance for STP 61496, UL is looking for participants in the all interest categories. Definitions for these interest categories are available on the Standards STP Internet site:

<http://ulstandards.ul.com/develop-standards/participation/interest-categories/>

If you are interested in applying for STP 61496 membership or are aware of potential candidates for this STP, please contact the STP Project Manager, Casey.Granata@ul.com.

For your convenience in review, proposed additions to existing requirements are shown underlined and proposed deletions are shown ~~lined-out~~.

1. For Preliminary Review Only: Proposal to Adopt the Third Edition of IEC 61496-2, Safety of Machinery - Electro-Sensitive Protective Equipment - Part 2: Particular Requirements for Equipment Using Active Opto-Electronic Protective Devices (AOPDs), as a UL Standard

RATIONALE

Proposal submitted by: Joseph Bablo, UL LLC.

**UL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL –
NOT AUTHORIZED FOR FURTHER REPRODUCTION OR
DISTRIBUTION WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM UL**



Documents
Document Sharing Hub

During the Reaffirmation and Continuance of the First Edition of the Standard for Safety of Machinery - Electro-Sensitive Protective Equipment - Part 2: Particular Requirements for Equipment Using Active Opto-Electronic Protective Devices (AOPDs), UL 61496-2, as an American National Standard, UL received comments from STP members and industry in favor of the adoption of the third edition of IEC 61496-2 rather than the continuance of the first edition of UL 61496-2. UL has received the copyright permissions to go forward with the adoption, therefore we are proposing the adoption of the third edition of IEC 61496-2.

All IEC Standards and Working Documents are copyrighted by the IEC. This copy, without regard to its form or media, whether a complete copy of an IEC Standard or Working Document or extracted portions from an IEC Standard or Working Document, retains all IEC copyrights. The conveyance of this copy does not affect IEC copyrights or transfer any copyrights.

This copy is for the exclusive use by the recipient only in the development of USNC position(s) on the development, evaluation, use or maintenance (including adoption by US Standards Developing Organizations (SDOs) of this or other IEC publications. No other use is authorized. No further copying, distribution or use of this document is authorized. Possession by others is not authorized. This document may not be used for commercial purposes.

The National Difference Page and IEC Foreword have been provided for your reference in reviewing this document. However, they are not considered to be part of the ANSI balloted material.

PROPOSAL

**UL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL –
NOT AUTHORIZED FOR FURTHER REPRODUCTION OR
DISTRIBUTION WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM**



Documents
Document Sharing Hub

NATIONAL DIFFERENCES

National Differences from the text of International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Publication 61496-2, Safety of Machinery – Electro-Sensitive Protective Equipment – Part 2: Particular Requirements for Equipment Using Active Opto-Electronic Protective Devices (AOPDs), copyright are indicated by notations (differences) and are presented in bold text.

There are five types of National Differences as noted below. The difference type is noted on the first line of the National Difference in the standard. The standard may not include all types of these National Differences.

DR – These are National Differences based on the **national regulatory requirements**.

D1 – These are National Differences which are based on **basic safety principles and requirements**, elimination of which would compromise safety for consumers and users of products.

D2 – These are National Differences from IEC requirements based on existing **safety practices**. These requirements reflect national safety practices, where empirical substantiation (for the IEC or national requirement) is not available or the text has not been included in the IEC standard.

DC – These are National Differences based on the **component standards** and will not be deleted until a particular component standard is harmonized with the IEC component standard.

DE – These are National Differences based on **editorial comments or corrections**.

Each national difference contains a description of what the national difference entails. Typically one of the following words is used to explain how the text of the national difference is to be applied to the base IEC text:

Addition / Add - An addition entails adding a complete new numbered clause, subclause, table, figure, or annex. Addition is not meant to include adding select words to the base IEC text.

Modification / Modify - A modification is an altering of the existing base IEC text such as the addition, replacement or deletion of certain words or the replacement of an entire clause, subclause, table, figure, or annex of the base IEC text.

Deletion / Delete - A deletion entails complete deletion of an entire numbered clause, subclause, table, figure, or annex without any replacement text.

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

SAFETY OF MACHINERY – ELECTRO-SENSITIVE PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT – Part 2: Particular requirements for equipment using active opto-electronic protective devices (AOPDs)

FOREWORD

1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as “IEC Publication(s)”). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.

2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.

3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.

4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.

5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.

6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.

7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.

8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.

9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard IEC 61496-2 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 44: Safety of machinery – Electrotechnical aspects, in collaboration with CENELEC technical committee 44X: Safety of machinery – Electrotechnical aspects.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition published in 2006. It constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

- a) Requirements have been corrected and made easier to understand.
- b) Test procedures have been revised to make them easier to perform and to improve repeatability.
- c) Guidance is provided for the evaluation and verification of AOPDs using design techniques for which the test procedures of this part are not sufficient.

This standard has the status of a product family standard and may be used as a normative reference in a dedicated product standard for the safety of machinery.

This standard is to be used in conjunction with IEC 61496-1:2012.

This part supplements or modifies the corresponding clauses in IEC 61496-1.

Where a particular clause or subclause of Part 1 is not mentioned in this Part 2, that clause or subclause applies as far as is reasonable. Where this part states "addition", "modification" or "replacement", the relevant text of Part 1 is adapted accordingly.

The text of this standard is based on the following documents:

CDV	Report on voting
44/651/CDV	44/670/RVC

Full information on the voting for the approval of this standard can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

A list of all parts in the IEC 61496 series, published under the general title *Safety of machinery – Electro-sensitive protective equipment* can be found on the IEC website.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC web site under "<http://webstore.iec.ch>" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

UL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL –
NOT AUTHORIZED FOR FURTHER REPRODUCTION OR
DISTRIBUTION WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM



Documents
Document Sharing Hub

INTRODUCTION

Electro-sensitive protective equipment (ESPE) is applied to machinery that presents a risk of personal injury. It provides protection by causing the machine to revert to a safe condition before a person can be placed in a hazardous situation.

This part of IEC 61496 provides particular requirements for the design, construction and testing of electro-sensitive protective equipment (ESPE) for the safeguarding of machinery, employing active opto-electronic protective devices (AOPDs) for the sensing function.

Each type of machine presents its own particular hazards, and it is not the purpose of this standard to recommend the manner of application of the ESPE to any particular machine. The application of the ESPE should be a matter for agreement between the equipment supplier, the machine user and the enforcing authority; in this context, attention is drawn to the relevant guidance established internationally, for example, ISO 12100.

Due to the complexity of the technology of ESPEs there are many issues that are highly dependent on analysis and expertise in specific test and measurement techniques. In order to provide a high level of confidence, independent review by relevant expertise is recommended.

**UL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL –
NOT AUTHORIZED FOR FURTHER REPRODUCTION OR
DISTRIBUTION WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM UL**



Documents
Document Sharing Hub

SAFETY OF MACHINERY – ELECTRO-SENSITIVE PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT – Part 2: Particular requirements for equipment using active opto-electronic protective devices (AOPDs)

1 Scope

This clause of Part 1 is replaced by the following:

This part of IEC 61496 specifies requirements for the design, construction and testing of electro-sensitive protective equipment (ESPE) designed specifically to detect persons as part of a safety-related system, employing active opto-electronic protective devices (AOPDs) for the sensing function. Special attention is directed to features which ensure that an appropriate safety-related performance is achieved. An ESPE may include optional safety-related functions, the requirements for which are given in Annex A of IEC 61946-1:2012 and of this part.

1DV DE Modification of last sentence in 2nd paragraph of Clause 1 as follows:

Replace reference to "IEC 61946-1:2012" with "IEC 61496-1:2012"

This part of IEC 61496 does not specify the dimensions or configurations of the detection zone and its disposition in relation to hazardous parts for any particular application, nor what constitutes a hazardous state of any machine. It is restricted to the functioning of the ESPE and how it interfaces with the machine.

Excluded from this part are AOPDs employing radiation at wavelengths outside the range 400 nm to 1500 nm.

This part of IEC 61496 may be relevant to applications other than those for the protection of persons, for example, the protection of machinery or products from mechanical damage. In those applications, additional requirements may be necessary, for example, when the materials that are to be recognized by the sensing function have different properties from those of persons.

This part does of IEC 61496 not deal with EMC emission requirements.

2 Normative references

This clause of Part 1 is applicable except as follows:

Additional references:

IEC 60825-1:2007, *Safety of laser products – Part 1: Equipment classification and requirements*

IEC 61496-1:2012, *Safety of machinery – Electro-sensitive protective equipment – Part 1: General requirements and tests*

IEC 62471, *Photobiological safety of lamps and lamp systems*

ISO 13855, *Safety of machinery – Positioning of safeguards with respect to the approach speeds of parts of the human body*

EN 471:2003, *High-visibility warning clothing for professional use – Test methods and requirements.*

2DV DC Modification of Clause 2 to delete the following reference:**IEC 60825-1:2007*****Safety of laser products – Part 1: Equipment classification and requirements*****3 Terms and definitions**

NOTE At the end of this standard there is an index which lists, in alphabetical order, the terms and acronyms defined in Clause 3 and indicates where they are used in the text.

This clause of Part 1 is applicable except as follows:

Additional definitions:

3.201**active opto-electronic protective device****AOPD**

device whose sensing function is performed by opto-electronic emitting and receiving elements detecting the interruption of optical radiations generated, within the device, by an opaque object present in the specified detection zone (or for a light beam device, on the axis of the light beam)

Note 1 to entry: This note applies to the French language only.

3.202**beam centre-line**

optical path joining the optical centre of an emitting element to the optical centre of the corresponding receiving element that is intended to respond to light from that emitting element during normal operation

Note 1 to entry: The optical axis of a light beam is not always on the beam centre-line.

Note 2 to entry: Physical displacement of the beam centre-line may occur as a consequence of normal operation (for example, by the use of a motor-driven mirror).

Note 3 to entry: For an AOPD that operates on a retro-reflective technique, the optical path is defined by the retro-reflector target together with the emitting and receiving elements.

3.203**effective aperture angle****EAA**

maximum angle of deviation from the optical alignment of the emitting element(s) and the receiving element(s) within which the AOPD continues in normal operation

Note 1 to entry: This note applies to the French language only.

3.204**light beam device**

AOPD comprising one or more emitting element(s) and corresponding receiving element(s), where a detection zone is not specified by the supplier

3.205

light curtain

AOPD comprising an integrated assembly of one or more emitting element(s) and one or more receiving element(s) forming a detection zone with a detection capability specified by the supplier

Note 1 to entry: A light curtain with a large detection capability is sometimes referred to as a light grid.

3.206

test piece

opaque cylindrical element used to verify the detection capability of the AOPD

3.207

geometrically restricted optical design**GROD**

AOPD using an optic design where

- the effective aperture angle (EAA) of each emitting and each receiving element does not exceed the values given in Figure 6 and
- the axes of the optical beams are parallel and
- side lobes are minimized and
- the spacing between beam centre-lines is uniform and
- the value of detection capability is based on the complete obscuration of at least one beam for any and all positions of the test piece within the detection zone (see Figure 7).

Note 1 to entry: This note applies to the French language only.

Replacement:

3.3

detection capability

dimension representing the diameter of the test piece which:

- for a light curtain, will actuate the sensing device when placed in the detection zone;
- for a single light beam device, will actuate the sensing device when placed in the beam centre-line;
- for a multiple light beam device, will actuate the sensing device when placed in any beam centre-line

Note 1 to entry: The term “detection capability” can also be used to mean the ability to detect a test piece of the specified diameter.

4 Functional, design and environmental requirements

This clause of Part 1 is applicable except as follows:

4.1 Functional requirements

4.1.2 Sensing function

Replacement:

4.1.2.1 General requirements

The sensing function shall be effective over the detection zone specified by the supplier. No adjustment of the detection zone, detection capability or blanking function shall be possible without the use of a key, key-word or tool.

The sensing device of a light curtain shall be actuated and the OSSD(s) shall go to the OFF-state when a test piece in accordance with 4.2.13 is placed anywhere within the detection zone either static (at any angle) or moving (with the axis of the cylinder normal to the plane of the detection zone), at any speed between 0 m/s and 1,6 m/s.

The sensing device of a light beam device shall be actuated and the OSSD(s) shall go to the OFF-state when a test piece in accordance with 4.2.13 is present in the beam centre-line, at any point throughout the operating distance, with the axis of the cylinder normal to the axis of the beam.

NOTE The purpose of this requirement is to ensure that the OSSD(s) go to the OFF-state when a person or part of a person passes through the detection zone or light beam. Based on a dimension of 150 mm and a walking speed of 1,6 m/s, a minimum OFF time of 80 ms was determined to be adequate.

When the OSSD(s) go to the OFF-state, they shall remain in the OFF-state while the test piece is present in the detection zone (or light beam) or for at least 80 ms, whichever is greater.

Where the supplier states that an AOPD can be used to detect objects moving at speeds greater than those specified above, the above requirements shall be met at any speed up to and including the stated maximum speed(s).

4.1.2.2 Additional requirements for AOPDs using retro-reflective techniques and for AOPDs using mixed emitters and receivers in the same assembly

4.1.2.2.1 General

AOPDs using retro-reflective techniques where the light beam traverses the detection zone more than once (over the same path) and AOPDs using mixed emitters and receivers in the same assembly shall not fail to danger if a reflective object (for example, reflective clothes) is placed at any position in the detection zone.

NOTE The use of mirrors to return the light beam is not considered to be a retro-reflective technique.

4.1.2.2.2 Sensing function

The OSSD(s) shall go to the OFF-state when a reflective object of a size equal to, or greater than, the diameter and length of the test piece (see 4.2.13) is placed in the detection zone at any position as specified in 5.2.1.4.

For a type 4 AOPD, under normal operating conditions, the OSSD(s) shall go to the OFF-state when a reflective object, as specified in 5.2.1.4 is placed as close as practicable in front of the sensing surface of the emitting/receiving elements.

4.1.3 Types of ESPE

Replacement:

In this part of IEC 61496, only type 2 and type 4 ESPEs are considered. The types differ in their performance in the presence of faults and under influences from environmental conditions. In Part 1, the effects of electrical and electromechanical faults are considered (such faults are listed in Annex B, Part 1). It is the responsibility of the machine manufacturer and/or the user to determine which type is required for a particular application.

A type 2 ESPE shall fulfil the fault detection requirements of 4.2.2.3.

For a type 2 ESPE, in normal operation the output circuit of at least one output signal switching device shall go to the OFF-state when the sensing function is actuated, or when power is removed from the ESPE.

A type 2 ESPE shall have a means of periodic test.

A type 4 ESPE shall fulfil the fault detection requirements of 4.2.2.5 of IEC 61496-1:2012.

For type 4 ESPE, in normal operation the output circuit of at least two output signal switching devices shall go to the OFF-state when the sensing function is actuated, or when power is removed from the ESPE.

When a single safety-related data interface is used to perform the functions of the OSSD(s), then the data interface and associated safety-related communication interface shall meet the requirements of 4.2.4.4 of IEC 61496-1:2012. In this case, a single safety-related data interface can substitute for two OSSDs in a type 4 ESPE.

4.2 Design requirements

4.2.2 Fault detection requirements

4.2.2.3 Particular requirements for a type 2 ESPE

Addition:

The periodic test shall verify that each light beam operates in the manner specified by the supplier.

Different configurations are considered that differ in the way the testing of the safety related performance is carried out.

Annex AA, Figures AA.1, AA.2 and AA.3 are examples of type 2 AOPDs where the periodic test is externally initiated and the results are externally evaluated. Annex AA, Figure AA.4 is an example of a type 2 AOPD where the periodic test is automatically initiated and evaluated internally.

For a type 2 AOPD where the periodic test is internally initiated and evaluated, single faults that lead to the loss of the automatically initiated internal test shall be detected and shall result in a lock-out condition.

4.2.2.4 Particular requirements for a type 3 ESPE

This subclause of Part 1 is not applicable.

Additions:

4.2.12 Integrity of the AOPD detection capability

The design of the AOPD shall be such that the AOPD detection capability does not change from the value stated by the supplier when the AOPD is operated under any and all combinations of the following:

- any condition within the specification of the supplier;
- the environmental conditions specified in 4.3;
- at the limits of alignment and/or adjustment;
- over the entire detection zone.

If a single fault (as specified in Annex B of IEC 61496-1:2012), which under normal operating conditions (see 5.1.2.1 of IEC 61496-1:2012) would not result in a loss of AOPD detection capability but, when occurring with a combination of the conditions specified above, would result in such a loss, that fault together with that combination of conditions shall be considered as a single fault, and the AOPD shall respond to such a single fault as required in 4.2.2.

The AOPD shall be designed and constructed to:


- a) limit the possibility of failure to danger resulting from extraneous reflections (for operating range up to 3 m, see Figure 1);
- b) limit the misalignment at which normal operation is possible. For an operating range of 3 m the limits of Figure 2 shall be met;

UL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL –
NOT AUTHORIZED FOR FURTHER REPRODUCTION OR
DISTRIBUTION WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM UL



Documents
Document Sharing Hub

c) limit the possibility of malfunction during exposure to extraneous light in the range of 400 nm to 1 500 nm.




For type 4: $d = 131 \text{ mm}$, $L = 250 \text{ to } 3\,000 \text{ mm}$

For type 2: $d = 262 \text{ mm}$, $L = 500 \text{ to } 3\,000 \text{ mm}$

NOTE In this figure, extraneous reflections from surfaces outside the shaded area will not cause a failure to danger. For short ranges (250 mm for type 4 and 500 mm for a type 2), the angle of 35° is a limit selected by the working group based on known designs of AOPDs.

Figure 1 – Limit area for the protection against the risk of beam bypass

If the AOPD is intended to provide protection when mounted very close to a reflective surface (i.e. inside the shaded area of Figure 1), the AOPD shall be designed in such a manner that no optical bypassing can occur on the reflective surfaces. For such a device, an EAA much less than $2,5^\circ$ (for example, less than $0,1^\circ$) can be necessary. In this case, Figure 1 does not apply and the limits of protection against optical bypassing shall be as specified by the manufacturer.



For type 4: $2d = 262 \text{ mm}$, $L = 3\,000 \text{ mm}$

For type 2: $2d = 524 \text{ mm}$, $L = 3\,000 \text{ mm}$

Figure 2 – Limit of vertical and horizontal misalignment

4.2.13 Test piece

The test piece shall be cylindrical and opaque, with a minimum effective length of 150 mm. The diameter of the test piece shall not exceed the AOPD detection capability stated by the supplier.

For AOPDs using retro-reflective techniques and for AOPDs using mixed emitter/receivers in the same assembly (see 4.1.2.2), the surface of the opaque test piece shall be:

- a retro-reflecting material conforming to the requirements for retro-reflection of EN 471 class 2 or equivalent;

NOTE Table 5 in EN 471:2003 defines the minimum coefficient of retro-reflection for class 2 material as $330 \text{ cd lx}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$ with an entrance angle of 5° and an observation angle of $0,2^\circ$ ($12'$).

- a mirror-type reflective surface having a reflection factor greater than or equal to 90 % at the operating wavelength, for example, polished chrome plating or polished aluminium;

- a diffuse reflective surface, white with a coefficient of diffuse reflectance in the range of 80 % to 90 % at the wavelength of the emitter. Example of suitable material is white paper.

For an AOPD detection capability of not more than 40 mm, the test piece for a light curtain shall be provided by the supplier and shall be marked with the following:

- diameter in millimetres;
- type reference and an indication of the AOPD with which the test piece is intended to be used.

When more than one detection capability can be configured on the AOPD, the supplier shall provide a test piece for each detection capability.

Verification shall be by inspection.

4.2.14 Wavelength

AOPDs shall operate at a wavelength within the range 400 nm to 1 500 nm.

4.2.15 Radiation intensity

If the emitting device uses LED technology, the radiation intensity generated and emitted by the AOPD shall meet the requirements of exempt group in accordance to IEC 62471.

NOTE 1 Exempt group is equal to risk group zero (IEC 62471).

If the emitting device uses laser technology, the radiation intensity generated and emitted by the AOPD shall at no time exceed the accessible emission limits (AEL) for a class 1M device in accordance with 8.2 of IEC 60825-1:2007.

NOTE 2 Class 2 devices may be used for alignment or adjustment.

4.2.15DV D2 Modification of 2nd paragraph of Clause 4.2.15 to replace with the following:

If the emitting device uses laser technology, the radiation intensity generated and emitted by the AOPD shall at no time exceed the accessible emission limits (AEL) for a class 1M device in accordance with the Center for Devices and Radiological Health of the Food and Drug Administration.

4.3 Environmental requirements

Addition:

4.3.5 Light interference

The ESPE shall continue in normal operation when subjected to

- incandescent light;
- flashing beacons;
- fluorescent light operated with high-frequency electronic power supply.

The ESPE shall not fail to danger when subjected to

- incandescent light (simulated daylight using a quartz lamp);
- stroboscopic light;
- fluorescent light operated with high-frequency electronic power supply;

– for a type 4 AOPD, radiation from an emitting assembly (or element) of identical design. Combination of technical measures and installation and configuration procedures in accordance with the information for use provided by the manufacturer shall be tested.

NOTE For type 2 AOPDs the risk of failure to danger from an emitting element of identical design can be reduced by installation measures supplied by the manufacturer.

These requirements shall be met when the AOPD conforms to the tests in 5.4.6.

No requirements are given for immunity to other extraneous light sources which may cause abnormal operation or failure to danger. A requirement for the supplier to inform the user of potential problems is given in (ff) of Clause 7 (in this part and IEC 61496-1:2012).

5 Testing

This clause of Part 1 is applicable except as follows:

5.1 General

Addition:

In the following tests, it shall be verified that when the OSSD(s) go to the OFF-state, they remain in the OFF-state while the test piece is present in the detection zone (or light beam) or for at least 80 ms, whichever is greater. If the AOPD incorporates a restart interlock, the restart interlock shall be disabled during the tests of this clause.

AOPD may be designed in different ways. The following Table 1 shows the different designs and corresponding requirements and tests as described within this standard.

Table 1 – Correspondences of requirements/testing and AOPD designs

Subclause	Requirements and tests	Different AOPD designs					
		AOPD using only emitters or only receivers in the same assembly		AOPD using retro-reflective techniques		AOPD using emitters and receivers in the same assembly	
		GROD	Unrestricted optical design	GROD	Unrestricted optical design	GROD	Unrestricted optical design
4.1	Functional requirements	X	X	X	X	X	X
4.1.2	Sensing function	X	X	X	X	X	X
4.1.2.2	Additional requirements for AOPDs using retro-reflective techniques and for AOPDs using mixed emitters and receivers in the same assembly			X	X	X	X
4.2	Design requirements	X	X	X	X	X	X
4.2.2	Fault detection requirements	X	X	X	X	X	X
4.2.12	Integrity of the AOPD detection capability	X	X	X	X	X	X
4.2.13	Test piece	X	X	X	X	X	X
4.2.14	Wavelength	X	X	X	X	X	X
4.2.15	Radiation intensity	X	X	X	X	X	X

Table 1 – Correspondences of requirements/testing and AOPD designs Continued on Next Page

Table 1 – Correspondences of requirements/testing and AOPD designs Continued

Subclause	Requirements and tests	Different AOPD designs					
		AOPD using only emitters or only receivers in the same assembly		AOPD using retro-reflective techniques		AOPD using emitters and receivers in the same assembly	
		GROD	Unrestricted optical design	GROD	Unrestricted optical design	GROD	Unrestricted optical design
4.3	Environmental requirements	X	X	X	X	X	X
4.3.5	Light interference	X	X	X	X	X	X
5	Testing	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.1	General	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.1.1	Type tests	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.1.1.2	Operating condition	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.1.2	Test conditions	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.1.2.2	Measurement accuracy	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.2	Functional tests	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.2.1	Sensing function	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.2.1.2.2	Analysis of the electro-optical subsystem	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.2.1.2.3	Verification of the electro-optical subsystem for GROD	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.2.1.2.4	EAA test of GROD	X		X		X	
5.2.1.2.5	Prism test for GROD	X		X		X	
5.2.1.3	Verification of the electro-optical subsystem for technologies other than GROD		X		X		X
5.2.1.3.2	Modelling and verification of optical subsystem model		X		X		X
5.2.1.3.3	Analysis of the detection capability by simulation		X		X		X
5.2.1.3.4	Additional tests of detection capability		X		X		X
5.2.1.3.5	Analysis of extraneous reflections		X		X		X
5.2.1.3.6	Extraneous reflections test		X		X		X
5.2.1.3.7	Misalignment test		X		X		X
5.2.1.4	Additional tests for an AOPD using retro-reflective techniques and for AOPDs using mixed emitter and receivers in the same assembly			X	X	X	X
5.4	Environmental tests	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.4.6	Light interference	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.4.6.1	General	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.4.6.2	Light sources	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.4.6.3	Test sequences	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.4.6.4	Normal operation (best alignment)	X	X	X	X	X	X

UL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL –
 NOT AUTHORIZED FOR FURTHER REPRODUCTION OR
 DISTRIBUTION WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM UL

Table 1 – Correspondences of requirements/testing and AOPD designs Continued on Next Page

Table 1 – Correspondences of requirements/testing and AOPD designs Continued

Subclause	Requirements and tests	Different AOPD designs					
		AOPD using only emitters or only receivers in the same assembly		AOPD using retro-reflective techniques		AOPD using emitters and receivers in the same assembly	
		GROD	Unrestricted optical design	GROD	Unrestricted optical design	GROD	Unrestricted optical design
5.4.6.5	Failure to danger – Incandescent light (3 000 lux and worst-case alignment)	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.4.6.6	Failure to danger – Stroboscopic light (worst-case alignment)	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.4.6.7	Failure to danger – Fluorescent light (3 000 lux and worst-case alignment)	X	X	X	X	X	X
5.4.6.8	Failure to danger – Interfering light from an emitting element of identical design	X	X	X	X	X	X

5.1.1 Type tests**5.1.1.2 Operating condition***Addition:*

For the purpose of these tests, the plane of the light curtain detection zone may be either vertical or horizontal as preferred for a test.

If it can be demonstrated that the results will be the same, testing at long operating distances may be simulated by the use of neutral density filters.

5.1.2 Test conditions**5.1.2.2 Measurement accuracy***Addition to first paragraph:*

- for angular measurement: $\pm 0,1^\circ$;
- for light intensity measurement: $\pm 10 \%$.

5.2 Functional tests

5.2.1 Sensing function

Replacement:

5.2.1.1 General

It shall be verified that the sensing device is continuously actuated and, where appropriate, that the OSSD(s) go to the OFF-state, taking into account the operating principle of the AOPD and, in particular, the techniques used to provide tolerance to environmental interference.

For a light curtain:

- by slowly moving the test piece in the detection zone across the beams at an angle of 45° and at an angle of 90° (see Figures 3 and 4) at each end of the detection zone [as near as practical to the emitter and receiver (or retro-reflector)] and midway between the ends (see Figure 5);
- by placing the test piece in the detection zone, stationary, at any position and/or angle considered critical as a result of the analysis in 5.2.1.2.2
- by moving the test piece in the detection zone, across the beams at the maximum speed in the range specified in 4.1.2.1, and at any other speed in that range which is considered critical as a result of the analysis in 5.2.1.2.2;
- by moving the test piece (having a length of 150 mm) through the detection zone at 1,6 m/s such that the direction of movement and the axis of the test piece are normal to the detection plane, at the extremities of the detection zone (for example, at each corner) and in any other position that is considered critical as a result of the analysis in 5.2.1.2.2.

For a light beam device:

- by placing the test piece in the beam at each end of the beam and midway along the beam such that the axis of the test piece is normal to the axis of the beam;
- by moving the test piece (having a length of 150 mm) through the beam at 1,6 m/s such that the direction of movement and the axis of the test piece are normal to the axis of the beam, at each end of the beam midway along the beam, and at any point throughout the operating distance which is considered critical as a result of the analysis in 5.2.1.2.2.

The above tests shall be performed with the AOPD operating at the minimum specified operating distance or 0,5 m, whichever is the greater, and at the maximum specified operating distance.




Figure 3 – Test piece at 45°




Figure 4 – Test piece at 90°

UL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL –
NOT AUTHORIZED FOR FURTHER REPRODUCTION OR
DISTRIBUTION WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM UL



Documents
Document Sharing Hub