

World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol 12

WAVES AND INSTABILITIES IN PLASMAS

by **Liu Chen**

World Scientific



Documents

Document Sharing Hub

Copyright World Scientific Publishing Co.

Provided by IHS Markit under license with WILEY

No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Licensee=/, User=,

Not for Resale,

Full document details: WSPC WAV INSTBLT PLAS 1987

LECTURE NOTES

ON

WAVES AND INSTABILITIES IN PLASMAS

LIU CHEN

PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY AND
DEPARTMENT OF ASTROPHYSICAL SCIENCES
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

This page is intentionally left blank

World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 12

WAVES AND INSTABILITIES IN PLASMAS

by Liu Chen



World Scientific

Singapore • New Jersey • Hong Kong



Documents

Document Sharing Hub

Copyright World Scientific Publishing Co.

Provided by IHS Markit under license with WILEY

No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Licensee=/, User=,

Not for Resale,

Full document details: WSPC WAV INSTBLT PLAS 1987

Published by

World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.
P.O. Box 128, Farrer Road, Singapore 9128

U. S. A. office: World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc.
687 Hartwell Street, Teaneck NJ 07666, USA

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data is available.

WAVES AND INSTABILITIES IN PLASMAS

Copyright © 1987 by World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd.

All rights reserved. This book, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system now known or to be invented, without written permission from the Publisher.

ISBN 9971-50-389-1
9971-50-390-5 pbk

Printed in Singapore by Kim Hup Lee Printing Co. Pte. Ltd.

TO SHINGSHAH AND PEIJIN

This page is intentionally left blank

PREFACE

These notes have been given over the past several years in an one-semester course to second-year graduate students in the Plasma Physics Section of the Department of Astrophysical Sciences of Princeton University. The students generally have (or assumed to have) some basic knowledge of plasma dynamics in terms of single-particle and fluid descriptions. The topics covered in these notes, therefore, are selective and tend to emphasize more on kinetic-theory approaches to waves and instabilities in both uniform and nonuniform plasmas.

This work was in part supported by The Department of Energy and The National Science Foundation. I am thankful to Rosemary Fuchs, Terry Greenberg, Cecelia O'Brien, and Barbara Sarfaty for their patience and careful typing of the manuscript.

.....

This page is intentionally left blank

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220
2221
2222
2223
2224
2225
2226
2227
2228
2229
2230
2231
2232
2233
2234
2235
2236
2237
223

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface

CH. I. General Properties of Electromagnetic Waves in Dielectric Media

§I.1.	Plasma as a dielectric medium.....	1
§I.2.	Two time-scale analysis.....	4
§I.3.	Analysis with two time and space scales.....	11
§I.4.	Instabilities.....	16
§I.5.	Nyquist technique for stability analysis.....	23
§I.6.	Absolute and convective instabilities.....	31

CH. II. Linear Waves and Instabilities in Uniform Unmagnetized Plasmas

§II.1.	Solution of the linearized Vlasov equation.....	40
§II.2.	Landau damping and phase mixing of ballistic modes.....	46
§II.3.	Particle trapping and the breakdown of linearization.....	52
§II.4.	Normal modes.....	56
§II.5.	Instabilities.....	59

CH. III. Linear Waves and Instabilities in Uniform Magnetized Plasmas

§III.1.	Magnetized Vlasov equation with the guiding-center transformation.....	69
§III.2.	Solution of the linearized equation in the electrostatic limit.....	72
§III.3.	Quasi-electrostatic and quasi-transverse approximations..	78
§III.4.	Electromagnetic dielectric tensor for magnetized warm plasmas.....	81
§III.5.	Normal modes.....	

§III.6.	Instabilities.....	92
§III.7.	Kinetic theory of low-frequency magnetohydrodynamic waves	97
CH. IV. <u>Linear Waves and Instabilities in Nonuniform Plasmas</u>		
§IV.1.	Geometric optics and the ray equations.....	109
§IV.2.	Derivation of the wave (quasi-particle) kinetic equation.	115
§IV.3.	Cutoff, resonance, and WKB connection formulae.....	118
§IV.4.	Tonk-Dattner resonance and resonant absorption.....	128
§IV.5.	Linear mode conversion.....	133
§IV.6.	Linear gyrokinetic equation in nonuniform plasmas.....	140
§IV.7.	Drift instabilities in eikonal approximation.....	145
§IV.8.	Convective damping of drift waves in a sheared magnetic field: an eigenmode analysis.....	146
CH. V. <u>Topics in Nonlinear Plasma Theory</u>		
§V.1.	Quasi-linear theory.....	152
§V.2.	Ponderomotive force.....	161
§V.3.	Parametric instabilities.....	166

CHAPTER I.

General Properties of Electromagnetic Waves in Dielectric Media

§I.1 Plasma as a dielectric medium

In this chapter, we shall discuss some general properties pertinent to linear electromagnetic waves in plasmas. In fact, these properties are sufficiently general and, hence, fundamental that they are applicable to other dielectric media.

Let us assume that the plasma or, equivalently, the dielectric medium to be time-stationary and spatially homogeneous. The perturbed (wave) electric field, $\delta \underline{E}(\underline{x}, t)$, can then be taken to assume the plane-wave form; i.e.,

$$\delta \underline{E}(\underline{x}, t) = \hat{\delta \underline{E}} \exp(i\omega t - i \underline{k} \cdot \underline{x}) \quad . \quad (I.1.1)$$

Here, $\underline{k}$ is the wave vector and ω is the frequency. Whether $(\omega, \underline{k})$ is real or complex depends on the detailed nature of the problem. For example, $\omega = \omega_0$ is real if we are interested in wave properties driven by an external source oscillating at frequency ω_0 . $\underline{k}$, however, can become complex as in the case of evanescent waves. On the otherhand, if we are interested in an initial value problem (e.g., stability analysis), ω is then complex. This point can be realized by interpreting Eq. (I.1.1) as the Laplace-in-time and Fourier-in-space transform. Thus, we have, in this case, $\text{Im } \omega > 0$ to ensure causality (i.e., $\delta \underline{E} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$) and $\underline{k}$ is real.

In response to $\delta \underline{E}$, charged particles will jiggle and acquire velocities, $\delta \underline{V}$, and, hence, there will be perturbed current densities, $\delta \underline{J}(\underline{x}, t)$. Since we are only interested in linear effects and the background plasma is taken to be stationary and homogeneous, it is quite general that

$$\hat{\delta} \tilde{J}(\omega, \tilde{k}) = \tilde{g}(\omega, \tilde{k}) \cdot \hat{\delta} \tilde{E}(\omega, \tilde{k}) \quad . \quad (I.1.2)$$

Here, $\tilde{g}$ is the conductivity tensor. For the present discussion, we shall simply assume $\tilde{g}$ and Eq. (I.1.2) are given.

Substituting Eqs. (I.1.1) and (I.1.2) into the following Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \times \tilde{E} = - (1/c) \partial \tilde{B} / \partial t , \quad (I.1.3)$$

$$\nabla \times \tilde{B} = (4\pi \tilde{j} + \partial \tilde{E} / \partial t) / c ; \quad (I.1.4)$$

and noting that $\tilde{E} = \tilde{E}_0 + \delta \tilde{E}$, $\nabla \times \tilde{E}_0 = 0$, $\partial \tilde{E}_0 / \partial t = 0$ and etc., we find

$$\tilde{k} \times \delta \tilde{E} = \omega \delta \tilde{B} / c \quad (I.1.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k} \times \delta \tilde{B} &= - (\omega / c) [\tilde{I} + i4\pi \tilde{g} / \omega] \cdot \delta \tilde{E} \\ &\equiv - (\omega / c) \tilde{D} \cdot \delta \tilde{E} . \end{aligned} \quad (I.1.6)$$

Here, $\tilde{I}$ is the unit tensor and $\tilde{D} \equiv \tilde{I} + i4\pi \tilde{g} / \omega$ is the equivalent dielectric tensor. Combining Eqs. (I.1.5) and (I.1.6), we obtain

$$[\tilde{k} \tilde{k} - k^2 \tilde{I} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \tilde{D}] \cdot \delta \tilde{E} \equiv \tilde{\epsilon} \cdot \delta \tilde{E} = 0 \quad . \quad (I.1.7)$$

In deriving Eq. (I.1.7), we have used the vector identity

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \underline{A}) = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \underline{A}) - \nabla^2 \underline{A}.$$

In order that Eq. (I.1.7) has non-trivial solution of $\delta \hat{\underline{E}}$, the determinant of $\underline{\epsilon}$ must vanish; i.e.,

$$\epsilon(\omega, \underline{k}) \equiv \|\underline{\epsilon}(\omega, \underline{k})\| = 0. \quad (\text{I.1.8})$$

Equation (I.1.8) is the so-called dispersion relation. That is, from Eq. (I.1.8) we can obtain a relation between ω and $\underline{k}$, $\omega = \omega(\underline{k})$, and, thus, plot the ω vs. $\underline{k}$ dispersion curves.

In general, given a $\underline{k}$, the dispersion relation can contain multiple (or, sometimes, infinite) number of ω roots. Indexing these ω branches by j , we then have

$$\omega = \omega_j(\underline{k}) \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (\text{I.1.9})$$

In plasma physics literatures, the various ω branches are sometimes called normal modes. Substituting Eq. (I.1.9) into Eq. (I.1.7), we can then determine the eigenvector $\delta \hat{\underline{E}}_j$ corresponding to the eigenvalue ω_j . From $\delta \hat{\underline{E}}_j$ the polarization of the perturbed electric (or magnetic) field for the j th branch (normal mode) with respect to $\underline{k}$ or the equilibrium magnetic field $\underline{B}_0$ is then known.

Homework #1

(1) Illustrate with an example the above mentioned concepts: conductivity tensor, dispersion relation, ω branches (or modes), eigenvector $\delta \hat{\underline{E}}$ and wave polarization.

(2) Consider the following Inverse Laplace transform $\phi(t) = \int_c (d\omega/2\pi) \times e^{-i\omega t}/D(\omega)$. How will you choose the integration contour c to ensure causality for (i) $D(\omega)$ has no zeroes in the upper-half ($\text{Im } \omega > 0$) plane? (ii) $D(\omega)$ has finite number of zeroes in the $\text{Im } \omega > 0$ plane?

(3) (i) what is the condition that $\delta\phi(x,t)$ is Fourier transformable in x ; i.e., $\delta\phi(k,t) = \int dx \delta\phi(x,t)e^{-ikx}$ exists? (ii) Suppose that $\delta\phi$ at $t = 0$ is sufficiently smooth and of finite spatial extent; i.e., $\delta\phi(x,t=0) = 0$ for $|x| \geq d$. Is $\delta\phi(x,t)$ Fourier transformable for any finite t and why?

§I.2 Two time-scale analysis

In plasma, due to the presence of weak dissipation or source, waves tend to either grow or decay slowly with time. In many cases of interest, the dissipation or source is sufficiently weak such that the characteristic growth or decay rate is much smaller than the typical oscillation frequency ω_0 . The wave process is then said to possess two time scales and we may assume δE to be of the following form

$$\delta E(t) = \hat{\delta E}(\epsilon t) \exp(-i\omega_0 t). \quad (\text{I.2.1})$$

Here, for simplicity of discussions, we have neglected spatial dependence and taken δE to be a scalar and $\epsilon \ll 1$ is a small parameter. Thus,

$$|\partial \hat{\delta E} / \partial t| / |\omega_0 \hat{\delta E}| \sim o(\epsilon) \ll 1. \quad (\text{I.2.2})$$

Using Eqs. (I.2.1) and (I.2.2), we shall explore some implications of the

$$\delta A(\omega) = B(\omega) \delta E(\omega) \quad (I.2.3)$$

with ω being, strictly speaking, the Laplace-transform variable, we have

$$\hat{\delta A}(\epsilon t) = B(\omega_0 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \hat{\delta E}(\epsilon t). \quad (I.2.4)$$

Proof of Eq. (I.2.4): From Eq. (I.2.3), we have

$$\delta A(t) = \int \delta A(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} d\omega = \int d\omega B\omega \int \frac{dt'}{2\pi} \delta E(t') e^{i\omega(t'-t)} \quad (I.2.5)$$

Taylor expanding $B(\omega)$ about $\omega = \omega_0$ and noting Eq. (I.2.1), Eq. (I.2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A(t) &= e^{-i\omega_0 t} \int \frac{dt'}{2\pi} \delta E(\epsilon t') \int d\omega [B(\omega_0) + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \omega_0} (\omega - \omega_0) \dots] e^{i(\omega - \omega_0)(t' - t)} \\ &= e^{-i\omega_0 t} \int \frac{dt'}{2\pi} \delta E(\epsilon t') [B(\omega_0) + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \omega_0} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dots] \int d\omega e^{i(\omega - \omega_0)(t' - t)} \\ &= e^{-i\omega_0 t} [B(\omega_0) + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \omega_0} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dots] \int dt' \delta E(\epsilon t') \delta(t - t') ; \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\hat{\delta A}(\epsilon t) = [B(\omega_0) + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \omega_0} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dots] \hat{\delta E}(\epsilon t). \quad (I.2.4)$$

Equation (I.2.4) can be derived alternatively by noting that

$$L_p^{-1}[-i\omega \delta E(\omega)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta E(t) = -ie^{-i\omega_0 t} (\omega_0 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \hat{\delta E}(\epsilon t). \quad (I.2.6)$$

Thus regarding ω as an operator, Eq. (I.2.3) inversely transforms to

$$\delta A(t) = e^{-i\omega_0 t} B(\omega_0 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \hat{\delta E}(\epsilon t)$$

or

$$\hat{\delta A}(\epsilon t) = B(\omega_0 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \hat{\delta E}(\epsilon t). \quad (I.2.4)$$

Next, we note the existence of two disparate time scales presents an unique opportunity of separating dynamics on the two scales. For example, we may average out the fast oscillations in order to examine only the slow time-scale phenomena. This concept of averaging out the fast oscillations (phase averaging) is essentially the same one used in deriving guiding center motion where the cyclotron motion is the fast oscillation and is averaged out. In the present case, the phase-averaging method is simple. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A(t) &= [\hat{\delta A}(\epsilon t) e^{-i\omega_0 t} + \text{c.c.}]/2, \\ \delta B(t) &= [\hat{\delta B}(\epsilon t) e^{-i\omega_0 t} + \text{c.c.}] ; \end{aligned} \quad (I.2.6)$$

we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\delta A(t) \delta B(t)} &\equiv \frac{1}{\Delta_t} \int_0^{\Delta_t} \delta A(t) \delta B(t) dt \\ &= [\hat{\delta A} \hat{\delta B}^* + \hat{\delta A}^* \hat{\delta B}]/4. \end{aligned} \quad (I.2.7)$$

Here, Δ_t the integration interval is chosen such that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \gg \Delta_t \omega_0 \gg 1; \quad (I.2.8)$$

i.e., for the Δ_t time scale, $\hat{\delta A}$ is frozen (adiabatic) and can be taken outside the integral.

We now examine the implication of the above results, Eqs. (I.2.4) and (I.2.7), to the Maxwell's equations, Eqs. (I.1.3) and (I.1.4). First, from $\underline{B} \cdot \text{Eq. (I.1.3)} - \underline{E} \cdot \text{Eq. (I.1.4)}$, we have

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{P} = - \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[(\underline{B} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \underline{B} + \underline{E} \cdot (4\pi \underline{J} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \underline{E})) \right] \quad (I.2.9)$$

Here

$$\underline{P} = c \underline{E} \times \underline{B} / 4\pi \quad (I.2.10)$$

is the Poynting vector. Equation (I.2.9) is often referred to as Poynting's conservation theorem. Neglecting spatial dependence and noting that $\underline{E} = \underline{E}_0 + \delta \underline{E}$, $\underline{B} = \underline{B}_0 + \delta \underline{B}$, Eq. (I.2.9) yields, the following expression quadratic in the wave quantities

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \underline{B} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \underline{B} + \delta \underline{E} \cdot (4\pi \delta \underline{J} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \underline{E}) = 0. \quad (I.2.11)$$

We now note that the Laplace transform of $4\pi \delta \underline{J} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \underline{E}$ is given by

$$L_p(4\pi \delta \underline{J} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \underline{E}) = 4\pi \delta \underline{J}(\omega) - i\omega \delta \underline{E}(\omega) = -i\omega \underline{D}(\omega) \cdot \delta \underline{E}(\omega) \quad (I.2.12)$$

Thus, if we let

.....

$$\delta \tilde{E}(t) = [\hat{\delta \tilde{E}}(et) e^{-i\omega_0 t} + \text{c.c.}] / 2 \quad (\text{I.2.13})$$

and similarly for $\delta \tilde{B}(t)$, we find, using Eq. (I.2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi \delta \tilde{J} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \tilde{E} &= (1/2) e^{-i\omega_0 t} [-i(\omega_0 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \hat{D}(\omega_0 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \cdot \hat{\delta \tilde{E}}] \\ &+ (1/2) e^{i\omega_0 t} [(-i)(-\omega_0 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \hat{D}(-\omega_0 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \cdot \hat{\delta \tilde{E}}^*] \\ &\approx (1/2) \{ e^{-i\omega_0 t} [-i\omega_0 \hat{D}(\omega_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} [\omega_0 \hat{D}(\omega_0)] \frac{\partial}{\partial t}] \cdot \hat{\delta \tilde{E}} \\ &+ e^{i\omega_0 t} [i\omega_0 \hat{D}(-\omega_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} [\omega_0 \hat{D}(-\omega_0)] \frac{\partial}{\partial t}] \cdot \hat{\delta \tilde{E}}^* \}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I.2.14})$$

Substituting Eq. (I.2.14) into Eq. (I.2.11) and performing the phase averaging, we have, term by term,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\delta \tilde{E} \cdot (4\pi \delta \tilde{J} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \tilde{E})} &= (1/4) \{ -i\omega_0 \hat{\delta \tilde{E}}^* \cdot \hat{D}(\omega_0) \cdot \hat{\delta \tilde{E}} + i\omega_0 \hat{\delta \tilde{E}} \cdot \hat{D}(-\omega_0) \cdot \hat{\delta \tilde{E}}^* + \\ &\hat{\delta \tilde{E}}^* \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} [\omega_0 \hat{D}(\omega_0)] \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\delta \tilde{E}} + \hat{\delta \tilde{E}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} [\omega_0 \hat{D}(-\omega_0)] \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\delta \tilde{E}}^* \}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I.2.15})$$

Defining

$$\hat{D}_{\approx} = \hat{D}_{\approx h} + i \hat{D}_{\approx a},$$

where h and a denote, respectively, Hermitian and anti-Hermitian components; i.e.,

$$\hat{D}_{\approx h}(\omega_0) = (1/2) \{ \hat{D}_{\approx} + (\hat{D}_{\approx}^T)^* \},$$

and

$$\underline{D}_a(\omega_o) = (1/2i)\{\underline{D} - (\underline{D}^T)^*\}. \quad (I.2.18)$$

Here $(\underline{A}_{ij})^T = \underline{A}_{ji}^*$; i.e., the complex conjugate of the transpose of $\underline{A}$. We note, furthermore, that from realizability condition

$$\underline{D}(-\omega_o) = \underline{D}^*(\omega_o). \quad (I.2.19)$$

The proof of Eq. (I.2.19) is straightforward by noting that both $\delta\tilde{E}$ and $\partial\delta\tilde{E}/\partial t + 4\pi\delta\tilde{J}$ are real physical quantities. Equation (I.2.15) then reduces to, assuming $|\underline{D}_a| \ll |\underline{D}_h|$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -i\omega_o \delta\tilde{E}_j^* \underline{D}_{ji} \delta\tilde{E}_i + i\omega_o \delta\tilde{E}_i^* \underline{D}_{ij} \delta\tilde{E}_j^* + \delta\tilde{E}_j^* \frac{\partial}{\partial\omega_o} [\omega_o \underline{D}_{ji}] \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta\tilde{E}_i \\ & + \delta\tilde{E}_i^* \frac{\partial}{\partial\omega_o} [\omega_o \underline{D}_{ij}^*] \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta\tilde{E}_j^* = 2\omega_o \delta\tilde{E}_j^* (\underline{D}_{ji})_a \delta\tilde{E}_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\delta\tilde{E}_j^* \frac{\partial}{\partial\omega_o} (\omega_o \underline{D}_{ji})_h] \delta\tilde{E}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (I.2.20)$$

All together, phase averaging Eq. (I.2.11) leads to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta\hat{W} + \frac{1}{8\pi} \omega_o \delta\tilde{E}^* \cdot \underline{D}_a \cdot \delta\tilde{E} = 0, \quad (I.2.21)$$

where

$$\delta\hat{W} = \frac{1}{16\pi} [|\delta\hat{B}|^2 + \delta\tilde{E}^* \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial\omega_o} (\omega_o \underline{D}_h) \cdot \delta\tilde{E}] \quad (I.2.22)$$

is the wave energy. We note that $\delta\hat{W}$ consists of three components: the

magnetic field energy $|\hat{\delta B}|^2$, the electric field energy and coherent particle kinetic (mechanical) energy. The latter two contributions are combined into the second term in Eq. (I.2.22). This is clear by noting Eq. (I.1.6) (i.e., $\underline{D} = \underline{I} + i4\pi\sigma/\omega$). Thus,

$$\hat{\delta E}^* \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} (\omega_0 \underline{D}_h) \cdot \hat{\delta E}^* = |\hat{\delta E}|^2 + \hat{\delta E}^* \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} (i4\pi\sigma)_h \cdot \hat{\delta E}. \quad (I.2.23)$$

Since $\delta \underline{J} = \underline{g} \cdot \delta \underline{E}$, which is due to particle coherent dynamics, the meaning of particle kinetic (mechanical) energy is transparent.

Equation (I.2.21) clearly shows that in plasmas wave energy may either decrease or increase depending on the anti-Hermitian part of $\underline{D}$. As a simple example, we take $\underline{k}=0$ and $\underline{D} = \underline{D}_s \underline{I}$. We then have, noting $\delta \underline{B}=0$,

$$\frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} (\omega_0 \underline{D}_{sh}) |\hat{\delta E}|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{8\pi} \omega_0 \underline{D}_{sa} |\hat{\delta E}|^2 = 0. \quad (I.2.24)$$

Equation (I.2.24) has the solution

$$|\hat{\delta E}|^2 = |\hat{\delta E}_0|^2 \exp(2\gamma t) \quad (I.2.25)$$

with

$$\gamma/\omega_0 = -\underline{D}_{sa} / [\partial(\omega_0 \underline{D}_{sh})/\partial \omega_0]. \quad (I.2.26)$$

Noting also that in this case $\underline{D}_{sh} = \text{Re}(\underline{D}_s) \equiv \underline{D}_{sr}$ and $\underline{D}_{sa} = \underline{D}_{si}$. Thus, for waves with positive wave energy $\partial(\omega_0 \underline{D}_{sr})/\partial \omega_0 > 0$, we would have wave growth (decay) if the dissipation, i.e., $\omega_0 \underline{D}_{si}$, is negative (positive). The converse is true for negative-energy waves. Finally, Eqs. (I.2.21) and (I.2.22)

indicate that the consistency of the two-time-scale analysis requires the previously stated assumption

$$|D_{\approx a}| / |D_{\approx h}| \sim 0 (\epsilon) \ll 1. \quad (I.2.27)$$

§I.3 Analysis with two time and space scales

In plasmas, spatial dependence plays a crucial role. In particular, it gives rise to the wave dispersion; i.e., ω depends on the wave vector $\underline{k}$. As in the case of temporal variations, wave spatial variations also often exhibits two scales, one rapid and one slow. Such two-space-scale phenomena can occur due to various reasons; e.g., dissipation or source, propagation of wave packet, weak nonuniformity, etc.

Thus, in general, we would allow fast and slow variations in both time and space. $\delta E(\underline{x}, t)$ can then be expressed in the following form

$$\delta \underline{E}(t, \underline{x}) = \delta \underline{E}(\epsilon t, \epsilon \underline{x}) \exp(i \underline{k}_0 \cdot \underline{x} - i \omega_0 t). \quad (I.3.1)$$

We can then carry out analyses similar to those done in Sec. §I.2. For example, given the following relation in $(\omega, \underline{k})$ space

$$\delta \underline{A}(\omega, \underline{k}) = \underline{B}(\omega, \underline{k}) \cdot \delta \underline{E}(\omega, \underline{k}) ; \quad (I.3.2)$$

where $(\omega, \underline{k})$ are the Laplace and Fourier transform variables, we can find, in the $(t, \underline{x})$ space, that

$$\hat{\delta \underline{A}}(\epsilon t, \epsilon \underline{x}) = [\underline{B}(\omega_0 + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \underline{k}_0 - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}})] \cdot \hat{\delta \underline{E}}(\epsilon t, \epsilon \underline{x}). \quad (I.3.3)$$

Expanding Eq. (I.3.3) to first order, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\delta A}_i(\epsilon t, \epsilon \underline{x}) \approx & [B_{ij}(\omega_o, k_{o\ell}) + \frac{\partial B_{ij}(\omega_o, k_{o\ell})}{\partial \omega_o} (i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \\ & + \frac{\partial B_{ij}(\omega_o, k_{o\ell})}{\partial k_{om}} (-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m})] \hat{\delta E}_j(\epsilon t, \epsilon \underline{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (I.3.4)$$

Here, we have used indicial tensor representation to clarify the operations. We can of course carry out a similar phase averaging both in time and space. This is straightforward and we shall not discuss it.

In stead, we shall substitute Eqs. (I.3.1) and (I.3.4) into Maxwell's equations, Eqs. (I.1.5) and (I.1.6), and examine the results order by order. For this purpose, we note that

$$\underline{D} = \underline{D}_h + i \underline{D}_a \quad (I.3.5)$$

and assume, more specifically,

$$|\hat{\delta \underline{E}}^* \cdot [\partial(\omega_o \underline{D}_a / \partial \omega_o) \cdot \hat{\delta \underline{E}}] / |\hat{\delta \underline{E}}^* \cdot [\partial(\omega_o \underline{D}_h) / \partial \omega_o] \cdot \hat{\delta \underline{E}}| \sim O(\epsilon) \ll 1. \quad (I.2.27)'$$

In the zeroth order, $O(1)$, we obtain the expected result

$$\epsilon_{\underline{h}}(\omega_o, \underline{k}_o) \cdot \hat{\delta \underline{E}} = [k_o k_o - k_o^2 \underline{I} + (\omega_o/c)^2 \underline{D}_h] \cdot \hat{\delta \underline{E}} = 0. \quad (I.3.6)$$

Equation (I.3.6) gives the zeroth-order dispersion relation

$$\epsilon_h(\omega_o, \underline{k}_o) \equiv \epsilon_{\underline{h}}(\omega_o, \underline{k}_o) = 0. \quad (I.3.7)$$

An alternative expression for the dispersion relation can be obtained by dotting $\hat{\underline{E}}^*$ into Eq. (I.3.6) to obtain

$$|\underline{k}_0 \times \hat{\underline{E}}|^2 = (\omega_0/c)^2 \hat{\underline{E}}^* \cdot \underline{D}_h \cdot \hat{\underline{E}}. \quad (I.3.8)$$

In the next order, $O(\epsilon)$, we have

$$c \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}} \times \hat{\underline{E}} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\underline{B}} \quad (I.3.9)$$

$$c \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}} \times \hat{\underline{E}} = \omega_0 \underline{D}_a \cdot \hat{\underline{E}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} (\omega_0 \underline{D}_h) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\underline{E}} - \omega_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{k}_0} \underline{D}_h \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}} \hat{\underline{E}}. \quad (I.3.10)$$

Now we perform the following operations

$$\hat{\underline{E}}^* \cdot \text{Eq. (I.3.10)} + \hat{\underline{E}} \cdot \text{Eq. (I.3.10)}^* - \hat{\underline{B}}^* \cdot \text{Eq. (I.3.9)} - \hat{\underline{B}} \cdot \text{Eq. (I.3.9)}^*. \quad (I.3.11)$$

We obtain, noting that $\underline{D}(-\omega_0, -\underline{k}_0) = \underline{D}^*(\omega_0, \underline{k}_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [|\hat{\underline{B}}|^2 + \hat{\underline{E}}^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} (\underline{D}_h \omega_0) \cdot \hat{\underline{E}}] + 2\omega_0 \hat{\underline{E}}^* \cdot \underline{D}_a \cdot \hat{\underline{E}} - \omega_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{k}_0} (\hat{\underline{E}}^* \cdot \underline{D}_h \cdot \hat{\underline{E}}) \\ &= -c \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}} \cdot [\hat{\underline{E}}^* \times \hat{\underline{B}} + \hat{\underline{E}} \times \hat{\underline{B}}^*]. \end{aligned} \quad (I.3.12)$$

From Eq. (I.3.8), we note that

$$|\hat{\underline{B}}|^2 = \left(\frac{c}{\omega_0}\right)^2 |\underline{k}_0 \times \hat{\underline{E}}|^2 = \hat{\underline{E}}^* \cdot \underline{D}_h \cdot \hat{\underline{E}}. \quad (I.3.13)$$

Equation (I.3.12) divided by 16π can then be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta W - \frac{\omega_o}{16\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k_o} (\delta \hat{E}^* \cdot \hat{D}_{zh} \cdot \delta \hat{E}) + \frac{c}{8\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot R_e (\delta \hat{E}^* \times \delta \hat{B}) + \frac{\omega_o}{8\pi} \delta \hat{E}^* \cdot \hat{D}_{za} \cdot \delta \hat{E} = 0, \quad (I.3.14)$$

where

$$\delta W \equiv \frac{1}{16\pi} \delta \hat{E}^* \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_o} (\omega_o^2 \hat{D}_{zh}) \cdot \delta \hat{E} / \omega_o. \quad (I.3.15)$$

Now, let us define

$$\begin{aligned} V_{gr} &\equiv - \left[\omega_o \frac{\partial}{\partial k_o} (\delta \hat{E}^* \cdot \hat{D}_{zh} \cdot \delta \hat{E}) - 2c \operatorname{Re}(\delta \hat{E}^* \times \delta \hat{B}) \right] / 16\pi \delta W \\ &= - \{ 2c^2 [k_o |\delta \hat{E}|^2 - \operatorname{Re}(k_o \cdot \delta \hat{E}^*) \delta \hat{E}] - \omega_o^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_o} (\delta \hat{E}^* \cdot \hat{D}_{zh} \cdot \delta \hat{E}) \} / \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_o} (\omega_o^2 \delta \hat{E}^* \cdot \hat{D}_{zh} \cdot \delta \hat{E}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (I.3.16)$$

Equation (I.3.14) then becomes the familiar form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta W + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot (V_{gr} \delta W) = 2\omega_i \delta W, \quad (I.3.17)$$

where

$$\omega_i \equiv - \left[\frac{\omega_o}{16\pi} \delta \hat{E}^* \cdot \hat{D}_{za} \cdot \delta \hat{E} \right] / \delta W. \quad (I.3.18)$$

V_{gr} as defined by Eq. (I.3.16) can be interpreted as

V_{gr} = total wave energy flux/wave energy.

Meanwhile, since

$$\tilde{v}_{gr} = \partial \omega_0 / \partial k_0, \quad (I.3.20)$$

it shows that the wave energy is carried by the wave packet or, sometimes called, quasi particle which propagates at the velocity $\tilde{v}_{gr}$. If $\omega_i = 0$; i.e. the plasma is Hermitian, then the wave energy remains constant along the propagation path of the wave packet. With $\omega_i \neq 0$, the wave energy can either increase or decrease along the path.

Homework #2

(1) Using the physical realizability condition, prove that

$$D_{\tilde{\omega}}(-\omega) = D_{\tilde{\omega}}^*(\omega^*) \quad (H.2.1)$$

(2) The cold plasma Langmuir oscillation with collisional dissipation is governed by the following equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \tilde{V} = - \frac{e}{m} \delta \tilde{E} - \nu \delta \tilde{V} \quad (H.2.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \tilde{E} = 4\pi N_0 e \delta \tilde{V}; \quad (H.2.3)$$

or, combining Eqs. (H.2.2) and (H.2.3)

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \delta \tilde{V} = - \omega_{pe}^2 \delta \tilde{V} - \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \tilde{V} \quad (H.2.4)$$

Here, $\omega_{pe}^2 = 4 \pi N_0 e^2 / m_e$ and we shall assume $|v| \ll |\omega_{pe}|$.

(2.a) Solving Eq. (H.2.4) for $\delta \tilde{V}$ using the two-time scale approach.

(2.b) Derive $\tilde{D}$ and indicate the Hermitian and anti-Hermitian parts.

(2.c) Derive an expression for the wave energy δW .

(2.d) Calculate the damping or growth rate using δW and $\tilde{D}_a$.

(3) Using the disperison relation given by Eq. (I.3.8), prove that the expression of $\tilde{V}_{gr}$ given by Eq. (I.3.16) agrees with the more familiar one, $\tilde{V}_{gr} = \partial \omega_0 / \partial k_0$.

§I.4 Instabilities

Plasmas in both laboratories and space are often far from thermal equilibrium. For example, in mirror machines as well as earth's magnetosphere, the velocity distribution is non-Maxwellian due to the existence of loss cones. Furthermore, in any confined plasmas either inertially or magnetically, there is also nonuniformities in macroscopic thermodynamic quantities such as density, temperature, pressure, etc. In a way, these deviations from thermodynamic equilibrium may be regarded as free energies stored in the plasma. Conventionally, they may be catagorized in the following three types:

- (i) Velocity-space free energy: velocity-space anisotropy, beams.
Examples are loss-cone and two-stream instabilities.
- (ii) Magnetic free energy: current and magnetic field inhomogeneities. Examples are kink and tearing instabilities.
- (iii) Expansion free energy: density, temperature, pressure inhomogeneities.

Examples are drift, ballooning/interchange and trapped-particle instabilities.



Instabilities are collective processes which may be triggered (if certain threshold conditions are satisfied) to release the above-mentioned free energies. That is, via the instabilities, these free energies can be converted into either turbulent plasma motions and/or electromagnetic radiations. In this respect, instabilities may be viewed as anomalous (compared to collisional) processes whereby plasmas can relax toward thermal equilibrium and, therefore, instabilities play crucial roles in our understanding of important subjects in both laboratory and space plasmas; such as disruption in tokamaks, anomalous transport processes, collisionless shocks, solar radio bursts, etc.

In an infinite, uniform plasma, we say an instability exists if and only if for some real $\underline{k}$, the dispersion relation

$$\epsilon(\omega, \underline{k}) \equiv |\underline{\epsilon}(\omega, \underline{k})| = 0 \quad (\text{I.4.1})$$

admits a solution with $\text{Im } \omega \equiv \gamma > 0$. Here, we note our convention is

$$\delta \underline{E}(\underline{x}, t) = \hat{\delta \underline{E}} \exp(i \underline{k} \cdot \underline{x} - i \omega t)$$

with $(\omega, \underline{k})$ properly understood to be the Laplace-in- t and Fourier-in- $\underline{x}$ transform pair. That is, if a linear instability exists, a wave, which is periodic in space with a wave vector $\underline{k}$, will exponentiate in time with the growth rate given by γ .

Based on the instability excitation mechanisms, we may divide instabilities into two types: (i) dissipative-type instabilities and (ii) reactive-type instabilities.

Dissipative-type instabilities are excited via (negative ~~compos~~ compositive) Hub

dissipative processes or, more generally speaking, the anti-Hermitian component of the dielectric tensor; as we have discussed in Sec. §I.2 and Sec. §I.3. Thus, we assume

$$\epsilon(\omega, \underline{k}) = \epsilon_h(\omega, \underline{k}) + i\epsilon_a(\omega, \underline{k}) = 0, \quad (I.4.2)$$

and

$$|\epsilon_a|/|\epsilon_h| \sim 0(\epsilon) \ll 1. \quad (I.4.3)$$

Furthermore, we let

$$\omega = \omega_r + i\gamma \text{ and } |\gamma/\omega_r| \sim 0(\epsilon) \ll 1.$$

Equation (I.4.2) then becomes, order by order, $O(1)$:

$$\epsilon_h(\omega_r, \underline{k}) = 0 \quad (I.4.4)$$

or

$$\omega_r = \omega_{rj}(\underline{k}) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (I.4.5)$$

where N is the number of ω branches (i.e., normal modes). In the next order, we have, from Taylor expansion of ϵ_h about $\omega = \omega_{rj}$,

$$\gamma_j = -\epsilon_a(\omega_{rj}, \underline{k}) / \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_{rj}} \epsilon_h(\omega_{rj}, \underline{k}) \right]. \quad (I.4.6)$$

Thus, for plasmas with negative dissipations ($\epsilon_a < 0$), $\gamma_j > 0$ if $\partial\epsilon_h/\partial\omega_{rj} > 0$; i.e., if the (ω_{rj}, k) wave is a positive-energy wave. For a negative-energy wave ($\partial\epsilon_h/\partial\omega_{rj} < 0$), however, we have $\gamma_j < 0$; the wave is stable or damped. Converse conclusions can be made for positive dissipations. In Homework #3, we shall have an example of a negative-energy wave driven unstable via positive dissipations.

As to reactive-type instabilities, the most well-known example is the beam-plasma instability. First, however, we shall illustrate with the following model dispersion relation

$$\epsilon_h(\omega, k) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p}{\omega} + \frac{\omega_b}{(\omega - kv_o)} = 0. \quad (I.4.7)$$

Equation (I.4.7) is a quadratic equation in ω and, hence, can be readily solved. For simplicity, we shall assume $|\omega_b| \ll |\omega_p|$. Thus,

$$\omega_{1,2} \approx \frac{(kv_o + \omega_p - \omega_b) \pm [(kv_o - \omega_p)^2 - 2\omega_b(kv_o + \omega_p)]^{1/2}}{2}. \quad (I.4.8)$$

Thus, there exists an instability if

$$|kv_o - \omega_p| \lesssim 2(\omega_p \omega_b)^{1/2}, \quad (I.4.9)$$

and the maximum growth rate $\gamma_{\max} \approx (\omega_p \omega_b)^{1/2}$ occurs at $kv_o = \omega_p$. These results are sketched in terms of the ω - k dispersion curves shown in Fig. (I.4.1).




Fig. (I.4.1) Sketch of ω vs. k curves for the model dispersion relation of Eq. (I.4.7).

Since instability occurs at $\omega_{pe} \approx kv_0$, this model reactive-type instability can be understood in terms of coupling between the $\omega_1 \approx \omega_{pe}$ and $\omega_2 \approx kv_0$ modes. Now, away from coupling, the corresponding dielectric constant for ω_1 and ω_2 modes are, respectively,

$$\epsilon_{h1} = 1 - \omega_p / \omega_1, \quad (I.4.10)$$

and

$$\epsilon_{h2} = 1 + \omega_b / (\omega_2 - kv_0). \quad (I.4.11)$$

since

$$\partial(\omega_1 \epsilon_{h1}) / \partial \omega_1 = 1 > 0, \quad (I.4.12)$$

the ω_1 mode is a positive-energy wave. On the other hand,

$$\partial(\omega_2 \epsilon_{h2}) / \partial \omega_2 \approx 1 - \frac{kv_0 \omega_b}{(\omega_2 - kv_0)^2} \approx -\frac{kv_0}{\omega_b} < 0, \quad (I.4.13)$$

i.e., the ω_2 mode is a negative-energy wave. Thus, the above model reactive-type instability can be explained in terms of coupling between a negative-energy and a positive-energy wave. It is easy to see that if both modes are positive-energy waves, then the system is stable. For example, the following model dispersion relation

$$\epsilon_h(\omega, k) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p}{\omega} - \frac{\omega_b}{(\omega - kv_0)} = 0 \quad (I.4.14)$$

has no unstable solutions. The ω - k dispersion curve is given in Fig. (I.4.2).




Fig. (I.4.2) Sketch of ω vs. k curves for the model dispersion relation of Eq. (I.4.14).

Similarly, we can show that the coupling between two negative-energy waves introduces no instability.

We now briefly examine the beam-plasma instability. Here, we let a cold electron beam streaming through a background cold plasma. Let the beam velocity be v_b and density n_b . For the background plasma, we have density n_0 and $m_i \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., immobile ions. For simplicity of analysis, we assume the beam is weak; $n_b \ll n_0$. The corresponding electrostatic dispersion relation can then be shown to be

$$\epsilon_h = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{(\omega - kv_b)^2} = 0. \quad (I.4.15)$$

Equation (I.4.15) can also be written as

$$\omega_1^2 = \omega_{pe}^2 / [1 - \omega_{pb}^2 / (\omega_1 - kv_b)^2], \quad (I.4.16)$$

and

$$(\omega_2 - kv_b)^2 = \omega_{pb}^2 / (1 - \omega_{pe}^2 / \omega_2^2). \quad (I.4.17)$$

For $|kv_b| < \omega_{pe}$, we have

$$\omega_1 \approx \pm \omega_{pe} / [1 - \omega_{pb}^2 / \omega_{pe}^2]^{1/2} \approx \pm \omega_{pe}, \quad (I.4.18)$$

and

$$\omega_2 = kv_b \pm i(\omega_{pb} / \omega_{pe}) kv_b. \quad (I.4.19)$$

Meanwhile, for $|kv_b| > \omega_{pe}$, we have

$$\omega_1 \approx \pm \omega_{pe} / [1 - \omega_{pb}^2 / k^2 v_b^2]^{1/2} \approx \pm \omega_{pe}, \quad (I.4.20)$$

and

$$\omega_2 \approx kv_b \pm \omega_{pb}. \quad (I.4.21)$$

The corresponding ω - k dispersion curves are sketched in Fig. (I.4.5).




Fig. (I.4.3) Sketch of ω vs. k curves for the beam-plasma instability dispersion relation of Eq. (I.4.15).

By the analogy with the model problem, we can understand this instability in terms of the coupling between the positive-energy wave with $\omega \approx \omega_{pe}$ and the negative-energy wave with $\omega \approx kv_b - \omega_{pb}$. This is clear by noting the respective dielectric constants are

$$\epsilon_{h1} = 1 - \omega_{pe}^2 / \omega_1^2, \quad (I.4.22)$$

and

$$\epsilon_{h2} = 1 - \omega_{pb}^2 / (\omega_2 - kv_b)^2. \quad (I.4.23)$$

I.5 Nyquist technique for stability analysis

In many practical applications, the dispersion relation $\epsilon(\omega, k) = 0$ is generally too complicated to solve analytically for instability growth rates. In fact, the first thing one would like to know is if the dispersion relation admits solutions of ω with $\text{Im } \omega > 0$. For this purpose, there exists the powerful Nyquist technique.

Let us assume that ϵ be analytic in the $\text{Im } \omega > 0$ half plane and that it

the unstable solutions. We now construct the following function

$$G(\omega) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon(\omega)} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \omega} \quad (1.5.1)$$

It is clear that $G(\omega)$ has poles at $\omega = \omega_m$. We now define a contour c in the $\text{Im } \omega > 0$ plane to be $c = c_1 + c_2$ where c_1 lies above the real ω axis and c_2 is an infinite semicircle [c.f., Fig. (1.5.1)].




Fig. (1.5.1) Integration contour in the complex ω plane for the Nyquist stability analysis.

From Cauchy theorem, we find

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c G(\omega) d\omega = \sum_{m=1}^N \text{Res } G(\omega=\omega_m) = \sum_{m=1}^N \text{Res } \left[\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \omega} \right) \right]_{\omega=\omega_m} \quad (1.5.2)$$

Now, near $\omega = \omega_m$, we have

$$\varepsilon(\omega) \approx d_1(\omega - \omega_m)^{P_m} + d_2(\omega - \omega_m)^{P_m+1} + \dots \quad (1.5.3)$$

or

$$G(\omega) \approx \frac{P_m}{(\omega - \omega_m)} \quad (1.5.4)$$

Equation (1.5.2) then reduces to

Copyright World Scientific Publishing Co.

Provided by IHS Market under license with WILEY

No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

Licensee=I, User=,

Not for Resale,

Full document details: WSPC WAV INSTBLT PLAS 1987

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c G(\omega) d\omega = \sum_{m=1}^N P_m . \quad (I.5.5)$$

Equation (I.5.5) shows that given $\epsilon(\omega, \underline{k})$ being analytic in the $\text{Im } \omega > 0$ plane, there then exists instabilities if

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega} \right) d\omega = M = \text{a positive integer.} \quad (I.5.6)$$

This is the, sometimes, called Nyquist stability theorem.

We now further explore Eq. (I.5.6). First, we note that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega} \right) d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \left[\frac{\epsilon(c_e)}{\epsilon(c_s)} \right] . \quad (I.5.7)$$

Now, let

$$\epsilon(c_e) = \epsilon(c_s) \exp(i2\pi M) , \quad (I.5.8)$$

we, again, recover the result of Eq. (I.5.6). Here, however, M corresponds to the number of times that the $\epsilon(\omega)$ curve when mapped along the contour c encircles the origin of the ϵ plane in the counter-clockwise direction. Thus, we reduce the stability analysis to the problem of mapping $\epsilon(\omega)$ along the c contour.

Let us illustrate this technique with the beam-plasma instability discussed in Sec. §I.4. The corresponding linear dispersion relation is

$$\epsilon_h(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega_b^2}{(\omega - kv_b)^2} = 0 ,$$

with $|\omega_p| \gg |\omega_b|$ assumed for simplicity. First, we consider the $k v_b < \omega_p$ limit which we know is unstable. Now since ϵ_h has poles at $\omega = 0$ and $\omega = k v_b$. The c_1 contour needs to be deformed about the poles as shown in Fig. (I.5.2).




Fig. (I.5.2) ω -plane contour for the Nyquist analysis of the beam-plasma instability dispersion relation of Eq. (I.4.15) in the $k v_b < \omega_p$ limit.

In Fig. (I.5.2), the radius of the two (small) semicircles about the poles, δ , is taken to be infinitesimally small but finite. The mapping of $\epsilon_h(\omega)$ along $c_\omega = c_1 + c_2$ is shown in Fig. (I.5.3).




Fig. (I.5.3) Mapping of ϵ_h given by Eq. (I.4.15) along the ω -plane contour shown in Fig. (I.5.2).

Thus, $\epsilon_h(\omega)$ along c_ω encircles the origin once in the counter-clockwise direction. That is, $M = 1$ and we have one unstable solution. This, of course, agrees with the results obtained in Sec. §I.4. Next, we consider the $k_o v_b > \omega_p$ limit, which we know is stable. The corresponding c_ω contour is given in Fig. (I.5.4).




Fig. (I.5.4) ω -plane contour for the Nyquist analysis of the beam-plasma instability dispersion relation of Eq. (I.4.15) in the $kv_b > \omega_p$ limit.

The resultant mapping of $\epsilon_h(\omega)$ is shown in Fig. (I.5.5).




Fig. (I.5.5) Mapping of ϵ_h given by Eq. (I.4.15) along the ω -plane contour shown in Fig. (I.5.4).

Thus, in this case $\epsilon_h(\omega)$ mapped along c_ω does not encircle the origin and we have $M = 0$; i.e., we have no instability as it should.

Now the Nyquist technique for stability analyses is not limited to simple dispersion relation. As an example, we shall apply it to a stability analysis governed by a differential equation. This application, which is relevant to eigenmode stability problems to be discussed later, is presented here to further demonstrate the Nyquist technique. Let us consider the following model differential equation.

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \omega^2 + i\omega\nu - \omega_0^2 - x^2 \right] \delta\phi(x) = 0, \quad (I.5.9)$$

where $\nu > 0$ and the boundary conditions are

$$|\delta\phi(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (I.5.10)$$

As a physical motivation, Eq. (I.5.9) may be regarded as modelling the wave equation for Bohm - Gross (warm electron plasma) waves in a Gaussian density cavity. In order to apply the Nyquist technique, we need to obtain an equivalent dispersion relation in ω . For that purpose, we apply the operation $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \delta\phi^*(x)$ to Eq. (I.5.9). Noting Eq. (I.5.10), we find

$$\epsilon(\omega) \equiv \omega^2 + i\omega\nu - \omega_0^2 - [\langle |x\delta\phi|^2 \rangle + \langle |d\delta\phi/dx|^2 \rangle] / \langle |\delta\phi|^2 \rangle = 0, \quad (I.5.11)$$

where

$$\langle A \rangle \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx A. \quad (I.5.12)$$

Now since $\epsilon(\omega)$ diverges as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$, we shall choose the radius of the semi-circle contour, R , to be arbitrary large but finite [refer to Fig. (I.5.6)].




Fig. (I.5.6) w -plane contour for the Nyquist analysis of the "dispersion relation" of Eq. (I.5.11).

The mapping of $\epsilon(\omega)$ along c_w is shown in Fig. (I.5.7); from which we conclude that Eq. (I.5.9) predicts no instability.




Fig. (I.5.7) Mapping of ϵ given by Eq. (I.5.11) along the w -plane contour shown in Fig. (I.5.6).

In fact, we may choose c_1 to be infinitesimally below the w_r axis (i.e., $\text{Im } c_1 \rightarrow 0^-$) and prove that no marginally stable solution exists either. Finally, we remark that, while in this model example the above conclusions can also be obtained by either noting that Eq. (I.5.9) is a Weber equation or solving Eq. (I.5.11) which is a quadratic equation in ω , the Nyquist technique is more powerful and applicable to a broader range of problems.

Homework # 3

(1) The following dispersion relation describes beam-plasma interaction including collisional effects on the background electrons.

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega(\omega + i\nu)} - \frac{\omega_b^2}{(\omega - kv_b)^2} = 0 \text{ with } \nu > 0. \quad (\text{H.3.1})$$

Show that in the $|kv_b| \gg \omega_p$ limit, which is stable in the reactive limit (i.e., $\nu = 0$), the negative-energy wave, (i.e., the slow beam mode) is unstable due to the positive collisional dissipation.

(2) Consider electrons streaming through background immobile ions with velocity v_b . The corresponding electrostatic dispersion relation is

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{\omega_b^2}{(\omega - kv_b)^2} = 0 \quad (\text{H.3.2})$$

Suppose there is an electric field of the plane-wave form

$$\delta \underline{E}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\hat{\delta E} \exp(-i\omega t + ikx) + \text{c.c.}] ,$$

where $\hat{\delta E}$ is independent of t and x . Calculate the phase-averaged particle kinetic energy density for both the slow, $\omega = kv_b - \omega_p$, and the fast modes.

(3) Using Nyquist technique to prove that, as discussed in Sec. §I.4, the model dispersion relation

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{\omega_p}{\omega} + \frac{\omega_b}{\omega - kv_0} = 0 \quad (\text{I.4.7})$$

predicts

- (3.a) stability for $k v_o \ll \omega_p$
- (3.b) stability for $k v_o \gg \omega_p$
- (3.c) instability for $k v_o = \omega_p$.

§I.6 Absolute and convective instabilities

[Ref. Ch. 2 in R. J. Brigg's "Electron Stream Interaction with Plasmas" M.I.T. Press.]

Up to now, our definition of instabilities (Sec. §I.4) is based on perturbations which have plane-wave spatial dependence; i.e., $\exp(ik \cdot \underline{x})$. In other words, the perturbations are taken to be periodic in $\underline{x}$ and, hence, have infinite spatial extent. In reality, however, the perturbations are generally of finite spatial extent. Treating as an initial-value problem, there then exists two types of time-asymptotic ($t \rightarrow \infty$) behaviors at a fixed finite spatial point. One is the absolute instability characterizing by perturbations which become unbounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$ at every fixed finite point in space. The other is the convective instability characterizing by perturbations which propagate and grow along the system such that, at any fixed finite point in space, the perturbations vanish time-asymptotically. A sketch of these two-types of time-asymptotic behaviors is shown in Fig. (I.6.1).




Fig. (I.6.1) Sketches of time-asymptotic perturbations of (a) an absolute instability where $|\delta\phi(x,t)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and (b) a convective instability where $|\delta\phi(x,t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We emphasize that the differentiation of these two types of instability is not simply an academic exercise but carries important practical implications. This is because (i) instabilities are grown out of low-level thermal fluctuations and (ii) the unstable region is, in practice, of a limited spatial extent. For convective instabilities, the perturbations are spatially amplified inside the unstable region. Thus, their amplitudes as well as their nonlinear consequences can be estimated. For example, if the unstable region is small, the instabilities may be practically insignificant. On the other hand, absolute instabilities can grow to large amplitudes such that their saturation can only be due to nonlinear effects.

In terms of operators, let us assume the perturbation, $\delta\phi(t,x)$ is governed by the following wave equation

$$\epsilon(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\delta\phi(t,x) = 0, \quad (I.6.1)$$

subject to an initial perturbation, $\delta\phi(t=0,x)$. Here, we reiterate that the system is linearly unstable; i.e., the linear dispersion relation

$$\epsilon(\omega, k) = 0, \quad (I.6.2)$$

has unstable roots, $\text{Im } \omega > 0$, for real k . We, furthermore, consider the one-dimensional case only. Generalization to higher dimensionalities is straightforward.

Laplace transforming Eq. (I.6.1) in time, we obtain

$$\epsilon(\omega, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \delta\phi(\omega, x) = s(x), \quad (I.6.2)$$

where $s(x)$ represents the effective initial perturbations. Let us denote the Green's function response be $\delta G(\omega, x)$ such that

$$\epsilon(\omega, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \delta G(\omega, x) = \delta(x) \quad (I.6.3)$$

We then have

$$\delta\phi(\omega, x) = \int dx' s(x') \delta G(\omega, x-x'). \quad (I.6.4)$$

Equation (I.6.4) shows that it is sufficient to examine Eq. (I.6.3) in order to understand the time-asymptotic behaviors.

Formulating in terms of the Green's function, we have

$$\delta G(t, x) = \int_{c_\omega} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \delta G(\omega, x) e^{-i\omega t}, \quad (I.6.5)$$

and

$$\delta G(\omega, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega, k)} e^{ikx}. \quad (I.6.6)$$

Here, the ω integration contour, c_ω , must lie above the zeroes of $\epsilon(\omega, k)$ for a given real k in order to ensure the causality condition is satisfied. Furthermore, as being physically resonable, we assume that $\epsilon(\omega, k)$ is sufficiently well-behaved as $|\omega|$ or $|k|$ approaches infinity. The ω and k integration contours for, respectively, $t > 0$ and $x > 0$ can then be closed as shown in Fig. (I.6.2).




Fig. (I.6.2) Sketches of contours for (a) ω -plane integration of Eq. (I.6.5) and (b) k -plane integrations of Eq. (I.6.6).

In Fig. (I.6.2a), $+++++$ corresponds to the solutions of the dispersion relation $\epsilon(\omega_j, k) = 0$ for real k and $j = 1, \dots, N$ corresponds to the j th branch of the normal modes. That $\text{Im } \omega_j > 0$ for some real k , of course, is consistent with the fact that the system is unstable. Further, as discussed above, $\text{Im } c_\omega = \sigma > \text{Max Im } (\omega_j)$ and, hence, $\delta G(\omega, x)$ is analytic for ω on and above c_ω . Meanwhile, in Fig. (I.6.2b), $\bullet$ corresponds to solutions of the dispersion relation for a given ω on c_ω ; i.e., $\epsilon[\omega, k_\ell(\omega)] = 0$, $\ell = 1, \dots, M$ and ω on c_ω .

Since $\delta G(\omega, x)$ is analytic only for $\omega_i \geq \sigma$, we need to analytically continue it as we close the ω -integration contour in the $\omega_i < 0$ half plane. Referring to Fig. (I.6.3a), let us take one point on c_ω , $\omega = \omega_0$ and continue it downward.




Fig. (I.6.3) Deformed contours for analytic continuations in (a) the w plane and (b) the k plane.

Since $k_\ell = k_\ell(\omega)$ depends on ω , the poles in k plane will move around as ω_0 moves downward. In particular, we know that, as $\omega_0 \rightarrow \omega_j$, some pole(s) in k plane must move toward the k_r -axis [c.f. Fig. (I.6.3b)]. This is because ω_j is defined by $\epsilon(\omega_j, k = \text{real}) = 0$. As, say, $k_\ell(\omega_j)$ becomes real, $\delta G(\omega, x)$ as defined by Eq. (I.6.6) becomes non-analytic since $k_\ell(\omega_j)$ lies on the k -integration contour c_k which is the k_r axis. In this respect, $\omega_j(k)$'s are the branch lines of $\delta G(\omega, x)$ in the w plane. In order to analytically continue $\delta G(\omega, x)$ below $\omega_j(k)$, it is then necessary to deform the k -integration contour around those poles which approach or cross the k_r axis; i.e., we must choose a new contour, $\tilde{c}_k$, as illustrated in Fig. (I.6.3b). The analytic continuation of δG as defined by Eq. (I.6.6) is then given by

$$\hat{\delta G}(\omega, x) = \int_{\tilde{c}_k} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega, k)} e^{ikx} \quad (I.6.7)$$

Thus, with $\tilde{c}_k$ so chosen, the k plane poles, $k_\ell(\omega)$, never cross the $\tilde{c}_k$ as ω moves downward. That is, when we close the k -plane integration in the $k_i > 0$ half plane, the identities of those poles which contribute to the integrals do

not change. We then have

$$\hat{\delta G}(\omega, x) = i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{ik_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega)}{\partial \epsilon(\omega, k_{\mathbf{k}}) / \partial k_{\mathbf{k}}}, \quad (I.6.8)$$

with the understanding that only those $k_{\mathbf{k}}$ which have $\text{Im } k_{\mathbf{k}} > 0$ when $\text{Im } \omega \geq \sigma$ are included.

Substituting Eq. (I.6.8) into Eq. (I.6.5), it is clear that poles of $\hat{\delta G}$ will contribute to the ω integration. In particular, in the time-asymptotic limit ($t \rightarrow \infty$), the pole with maximum ω_i dominates. Let this ω -plane pole be ω_s . Thus, $\partial \epsilon[\omega_s, k_s(\omega_s)] / \partial k_s = 0$; i.e., at $\omega = \omega_s$, $\epsilon(\omega_s, k) = 0$ has a double root at $k = k_s$. In other words, as $\omega \rightarrow \omega_s$, we have two k -plane poles converging at $k = k_s$. Expanding $\epsilon(\omega, k)$ about ω_s and k_s , respectively, we find

$$\epsilon(\omega, k) \approx \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega} \right) (\omega - \omega_s) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \epsilon}{\partial k_s^2} \right) (k - k_s)^2. \quad (I.6.9)$$

Substituting Eq. (I.6.9) into Eq. (I.6.7), it is fairly straightforward to show that $\hat{\delta G}(\omega_s, x)$ is singular (regular) if the two converging poles lie on the opposite (same) side of $\tilde{c}_k$. In particular, we have, for the singular case, that, noting

$$\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(x+i\alpha)(x-i\alpha)} = \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \text{ for } \text{Re } \alpha > 0, \quad (I.6.10)$$

$$\hat{\delta G}(\omega, x) \approx \left[2 \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega_s} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 \epsilon}{\partial k_s^2} \right) \right]^{-1/2} (\omega - \omega_s)^{-1/2} e^{ik_s x} \quad (I.6.11)$$

for $\omega \approx \omega_s$. Equation (I.6.11) into Eq. (I.6.5), we find that

$$\delta G(t, x) \approx \left[2 \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \omega_s} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 \epsilon}{\partial k_s^2} \right) \right]^{-1/2} e^{-i\omega_s t + ik_s x} \int_{\tilde{c}_\omega} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{e^{-i(\omega - \omega_s)t}}{(\omega - \omega_s)^{1/2}}, \quad (I.6.12)$$

where $\tilde{c}_\omega$ as sketched in Fig. (I.6.4) must go around the branch cut.




Fig. (I.6.4) Sketch of the integration contour around the branch cut defined by Eq. (I.6.12).

It is easy to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\tilde{c}_\omega} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-i(\omega - \omega_s)t}}{(\omega - \omega_s)^{1/2}} \right| \propto \frac{1}{t^{1/2}}. \quad (\text{I.6.13})$$

Thus, we obtain, in the time asymptotic limit,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta G(t, x) \propto t^{-1/2} \exp[i(k_s x - i\omega_s t)]. \quad (\text{I.6.14})$$

Equation (I.6.14) clearly shows that if $\text{Im } \omega_s > 0$ we then have $|\delta G(t, x)|$ or $|\delta \phi(t, x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., an absolute instability. Otherwise, for $\text{Im } \omega_s \leq 0$, we have $|\delta G|$ or $|\delta \phi| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$; i.e., a convective instability.

Let us summarize the results obtained in this section. First, we assume the system is linearly unstable, i.e., the linear dispersion relation $\epsilon(\omega, k) = 0$ has unstable ($\text{Im } \omega > 0$) roots for real k . We then show that, if (i) there exists two k solutions of $\epsilon(\omega, k) = 0$ which lie, respectively, on the upper and lower half k plane for $\text{Im } \omega \geq \sigma \equiv \text{Im}(\omega_s)$, and (ii) the two k solutions coalesce ($\partial \epsilon / \partial k_s = 0$) at $\omega = \omega_s$ with $\text{Im } \omega_s > 0$, we then have an absolute instability. Otherwise, the system only exhibits convective instability.

that the group velocity $\partial\omega_s/\partial k_s = 0$. Thus, the above requirements for absolute instabilities are also consistent with our physical intuition that absolute instabilities correspond to standing-still wavepackets which, while growing in time, also tend to spread out in both positive and negative directions. Finally, we emphasize that the nature of the instability depends crucially on the reference frame. This is intuitively expected, because for an observer travelling with the group velocity of the wave packet a convective instability in the laboratory frame will appear to be an absolute instability to him/her.

Let us illustrate the application of the above stability criteria with the following three examples:

- (i) Consider the model dispersion relation

$$(k-\omega/v_1)(k-\omega/v_2) = -k_o^2, \quad (I.6.15)$$

where $v_1 v_2 > 0$. By simply considering the $k \rightarrow 0$ limit, it is clear that Eq. (I.6.15) predicts instability. Meanwhile, in the limit $\text{Im } c_\omega \rightarrow \infty$ we find $k_1 \rightarrow \omega/v_1$ and $k_2 \rightarrow \omega/v_2$; i.e., the two k -plane poles lie on the same side of k -integration contour c_k and, hence, the instability is convective.

- (ii) We now consider an another model dispersion relation

$$(k-\omega/v_1)(k+\omega/v_2) = k_o^2, \quad (I.6.16)$$

here, again, $v_1 v_2 > 0$ and the system is unstable. Now as $\text{Im } c_\omega \rightarrow \infty$, $k_1 \rightarrow \omega/v_1$ and $k_2 \rightarrow -\omega/v_2$ and, thus, two k -plane poles are on the opposite side of c_k . We now calculate ω_s where k_1 and k_2 coalesce. From, $\partial\omega_s/\partial k_s = 0$, we find

$$k_s = \frac{\omega_s}{2} (1/v_1 - 1/v_2). \quad (I.6.17)$$

For simplicity, we further assume $v_1 = v_2$. Then $k_s = 0$ and, from Eq. (I.6.16), we find

$$\omega_s^2 = -k_o^2 v_1^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \omega_s = \pm i k_o v_1. \quad (I.6.18)$$

Thus, $\text{Im } \omega_s > 0$ and we have an absolute instability.

(iii) Finally, we consider the beam-plasma instability given by the dispersion relation

$$1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega_b^2}{(\omega - kv_b)^2} = 0. \quad (I.6.19)$$

Now, as in Example (i), we have, in the limit $c_\omega \rightarrow \infty$, $k_{1,2} \rightarrow \omega/v_b$ and, thus, this instability is convective.